The Intraocular Cytokine Profile and Therapeutic Response in Persistent Neovascular Age-Related Macular Degeneration
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Purpose. To investigate the course of inflammatory and angiogenic cytokines in the aqueous humor of patients with persistent/recurrent neovascular age-related macular degeneration (nAMD) under ranibizumab monotherapy (IVM) or ranibizumab plus dexamethasone combination treatment.

Methods. In this 12-month prospective study, 40 eyes with nAMD were treated with either IVM or combined treatment with ranibizumab plus intravitreal dexamethasone implant (IVC). Patients in the IVM group were treated following an “as needed” treatment regimen; patients in the IVC group received ranibizumab and a dexamethasone implant at baseline and were retreated with ranibizumab. At baseline and at each time of retreatment aqueous humor samples were taken.

Results. Before treatment, levels of macrophage chemoattractant protein (MCP)-1, monokine induced by γ interferon (MIG), and lipocalin-2/neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin (NGAL) were elevated in nAMD patients compared to healthy controls (P = 0.024; P = 0.04; P = 0.01). In contrast, tumor necrosis factor α, IL-12p70, and secreted protein acidic and rich in cysteine (SPARC) concentrations were lower (P = 0.001; P = 0.008; P = 0.03), while vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) was not altered (45 ± 6/51 ± 12 pg/mL nAMD/control group; P = 0.6). During IVC, levels of VEGF, MIG, platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF)-AA, and transforming growth factor β1 (P = 0.005; P = 0.011; P = 0.008; P = 0.013) were reduced. Ranibizumab monotherapy did not influence the course of any inflammatory/angiogenic cytokine. Interleukin 6 and PDGF-AA levels correlated with central retinal thickness changes (P = 0.007; P = 0.022). Over the 12-month period visual function was maintained with no significant differences during or between both treatment groups.

Conclusions. Inflammatory proteins are involved in the pathogenesis of chronic macular edema due to AMD and are associated with disease activity. During combined treatment, levels of inflammatory and angiogenic cytokines decreased over a 12-month period with no superiority in functional outcome.
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Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), as a regulator of angiogenesis, is a major contributor involved in the development of macular edema (ME) secondary to AMD. Together with VEGF, different growth factors and inflammatory cytokines have been reported to play an important role in the pathogenesis of choroidal neovascularization (CNV).1-5 Macrophage infiltration is shown in the early phases of subretinal neovascularization, and chronic inflammatory cells are present at the level of the Bruch’s membrane in experimental models.6 Furthermore, increased expression of macrophage chemoattractant protein (MCP)-1 and vascular cell adhesion molecule is observed in the aqueous humor in treatment-naïve eyes when compared to healthy controls.4 Other cytokines including angiogenin, interferon γ-induced protein 10, macrophage inflammatory protein-1β, and monokine induced by γ interferon (MIG) are highly elevated in the aqueous humor of nAMD eyes, highlighting involvement of inflammatory pathways.5

With the implementation of antiangiogenic drugs for the treatment of nAMD, improvements in visual acuity (VA) and long-term effectiveness in terms of disease stabilization could be achieved.7-10 During monotherapy a substantial proportion of patients have recurrence or persistence of disease activity, and continuous retreatment is essential to maintain visual function.11,12 Recent research has focused on alternative treatment options to reduce the burden of repeated treatments and improve effectiveness during long-term management of those patients. As inflammation is involved in the complex pathogenesis of nAMD, the role of intravitreal steroids, either as monotherapy or combined treatment, has been investigated to treat CNV lesions.13,14 A multimodal treatment approach targeting angiogenesis and inflammation has recently been
shown to reduce the amount of retreatments. However, limited clinical evidence exists about the use of combined treatments in the management of nAMD patients.

The cytokine profile of patients with recurrent or persistent CNV activity treated with a multimodal treatment approach, including anti-VEGF and corticosteroids, is unknown. The knowledge of intraocular cytokine levels and their response to treatment is necessary to better understand the pathophysiology of disease and identify targets. Therefore, this study aimed to describe the profile and course of inflammatory and angiogenic cytokines during anti-VEGF monotherapy and anti-VEGF and dexamethasone combined treatment in persistent or recurrent CNV.

METHODS

Patient Selection and Setting

In this prospective study, 40 eyes of 40 consecutive patients with persistent/recurrent ME due to nAMD were included and the course of intraocular angiogenic and inflammatory cytokines was measured over a 12-month period with different treatment regimens. Patients were recruited at the Department of Ophthalmology and Optometry at the Medical University of Vienna. Informed consent was obtained before inclusion and the study was approved by the ethics committee of the Medical University of Vienna. The study design adhered to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki and followed the guidelines of “Good Clinical Practice.” The study was registered at www.clinicaltrials.gov (NCT01162746; provided in the public domain by the National Library of Medicine [NLM], National Institutes of Health [NIH], Bethesda, MD, USA).

Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria

Only patients with recurrent or persistent nAMD were included for analysis. Recurrent/persistent disease was defined as evidence of subretinal or intraretinal fluid on optical coherence tomography (OCT) after obtaining a minimum of three monthly consecutive ranibizumab injections. No other prior initial intravitreal treatment other than ranibizumab was allowed for inclusion. Visual acuity of the patients was between 20/25 (Snellen equivalent) and 20/25 Snellen equivalent). Patients with a history of photodynamic therapy, treatment with corticosteroids, uncontrolled glaucoma, active inflammation, retinal detachment, vitreous hemorrhage, or diabetic retinopathy were not included in this study. Active CNV due to nAMD was determined at baseline by clinical findings, fluorescein and indocyanine green angiography, and by the presence of subretinal or intraretinal edema involving the fovea as assessed by OCT.

The patients were randomly assigned (1:1) to either ranibizumab monotherapy (IVM, 0.5 mg/0.05 mL Lucentis; Genentech, San Francisco, CA, USA) or a combination of ranibizumab (0.5 mg/0.05 mL Lucentis) plus dexamethasone intravitreal implant (IVC, 0.7 mg Ozurdex drug delivery system; Allergan, Irvine, CA, USA) and were evaluated on a monthly basis until month 12. Patients randomized to IVM received ranibizumab at baseline, whereas patients in the IVC group received a dexamethasone implant following an intravitreal injection of ranibizumab at the same study visit.

### TABLE. Cytokine Concentrations of Persistent or Recurrent nAMD Patients at Baseline and Month 12 and Cytokine Concentrations of the Control Patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Control Group</th>
<th>nAMD Baseline</th>
<th>nAMD Month 12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All, n = 15</td>
<td>IVM, n = 20</td>
<td>IVC, n = 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNF-α</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.7</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.5</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-6</td>
<td>1.4 ± 1.0</td>
<td>3.5 ± 8.9</td>
<td>1.8 ± 5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMP-9</td>
<td>50.5 ± 24.6</td>
<td>76.7 ± 76.7</td>
<td>81.4 ± 66.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-8</td>
<td>4.9 ± 3.5</td>
<td>4.1 ± 3.5</td>
<td>6.1 ± 4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-10</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.5</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.6</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCP-1</td>
<td>434.1 ± 120.5</td>
<td>554.3 ± 298.7</td>
<td>655.5 ± 186.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VEGF</td>
<td>51.4 ± 43.3</td>
<td>41.4 ± 46.1</td>
<td>48.1 ± 28.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-1α</td>
<td>20.9 ± 8.0</td>
<td>20.3 ± 10.4</td>
<td>22.4 ± 8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-4</td>
<td>8.6 ± 2.3</td>
<td>7.4 ± 4.2</td>
<td>8.0 ± 2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-2</td>
<td>2.2 ± 0.7</td>
<td>2.9 ± 1.8</td>
<td>2.9 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIG</td>
<td>61.0 ± 57.1</td>
<td>75.5 ± 64.8</td>
<td>108.6 ± 42.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-5</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-12p70</td>
<td>56.1 ± 26.8</td>
<td>29.5 ± 29.3</td>
<td>39.0 ± 20.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICAM-1</td>
<td>3887.5 ± 1854.5</td>
<td>3586.6 ± 2401.3</td>
<td>3574.2 ± 1712.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HGF</td>
<td>197.6 ± 250.4</td>
<td>139.7 ± 83.5</td>
<td>156.3 ± 80.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-3</td>
<td>3.0 ± 2.6</td>
<td>2.6 ± 2.3</td>
<td>1.6 ± 1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDGF-BB</td>
<td>2.1 ± 1.1</td>
<td>1.2 ± 1.3</td>
<td>1.9 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGF</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPARC</td>
<td>40,341.1 ± 13,124.8</td>
<td>28,730.3 ± 13,294.4</td>
<td>34,078.0 ± 12,599.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAI-1</td>
<td>554.3 ± 291.3</td>
<td>797.8 ± 1421.1</td>
<td>628.3 ± 1250.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thrombospondin-2</td>
<td>581.2 ± 399.1</td>
<td>572.5 ± 322.7</td>
<td>670.1 ± 221.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipocalin-2</td>
<td>5395.3 ± 1582.4</td>
<td>8301.5 ± 5604.3</td>
<td>9280.3 ± 4047.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDGF-AA</td>
<td>39.3 ± 7.9</td>
<td>32.6 ± 16.8</td>
<td>36.3 ± 8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGF</td>
<td>3.8 ± 1.1</td>
<td>3.2 ± 2.4</td>
<td>3.6 ± 1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANG-1</td>
<td>29.6 ± 11.6</td>
<td>25.7 ± 16.5</td>
<td>31.9 ± 12.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TGF-β</td>
<td>418 ± 407</td>
<td>53.1 ± 43.5</td>
<td>54.0 ± 49.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TGF-β2</td>
<td>341.4 ± 341.4</td>
<td>823.2 ± 505.1</td>
<td>1079.5 ± 387.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TGF-β1</td>
<td>67.5 ± 64.5</td>
<td>109.2 ± 105.0</td>
<td>119.6 ± 86.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All data are presented as mean and standard deviation; cytokine concentrations are presented as pg/mL.
At all following study visits, retreatment with ranibizumab was performed after an “as needed” (pro re nata) treatment regimen in both groups when signs of active CNV were present. Retreatment criteria included evidence of subretinal fluid or cystoid ME. After a minimum of 6 months and lack of disease stability, a second dexamethasone implant was allowed for retreatment in the IVC group. At baseline and at each time of retreatment, aqueous humor samples were taken for cytokine measurements. Figure 1 provides a diagram of the study and retreatment protocol.

**Study Procedures**

Intravitreal injections of ranibizumab (Lucentis) and sustained-release dexamethasone (Ozurdex; Allergan) were performed by following a standardized procedure. After thorough cleansing of the lid, lashes, and the periorbital area with an antiseptic, local anesthesia, and antimicrobial drops, the eye was covered with a sterile scarf. A sterile lid speculum was inserted and 0.1 mL aqueous humor collected by anterior chamber paracentesis with a 27-gauge needle attached to an insulin syringe. In the IVM/IVC group, 0.05 mL ranibizumab was administered 3.5 to 4.0 mm posterior to the limbus. In the IVC group following ranibizumab injection, an Ozurdex intravitreal implant was delivered by using Allergan’s proprietary Novadur solid polymer delivery system. The implant was inserted 3.5 to 4.0 mm posterior to the limbus. Immediately after intraocular injection, antimicrobial drops were administered in both groups. The patient was instructed to self-administer topical antimicrobial drops (Gentamicin) for 4 days after treatment with dexamethasone.

Aqueous humor samples were collected at baseline and whenever retreatment was necessary during the 12-month period. The samples were immediately transferred to sterile plastic tubes, frozen, and stored at −80°C. Analysis was done with bead assays (xMAP; Luminex Corp., Austin, TX, USA). Capture bead kits (Beadlyte; Upstate Biotechnology, Lake Placid, NY, USA) were used for the detection of interleukin (IL)-1α, IL-1β, IL-4, IL-6, IL-8, tumor necrosis factor α (TNF-α); matrix metalloproteinase (MMP)-9; monocyte chemoattractant protein (MCP)-1; vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF); monokine induced by γ interferon (MIG/CXCL9); intercellular adhesion molecule (ICAM)-1; hepatocyte growth factor (HGF); platelet-derived growth factor AA and BB (PDGF-AA, PDGF-BB); epidermal growth factor (EGF); secreted protein acidic and rich in cysteine (SPARC); plasminogen activator inhibitor (PAI)-1; thrombospondin 2; lipocalin-1/neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin (NGAL); placental growth factor (PGF); angiopoietin (ANG)-1; and transforming growth factor (TGF) β1, β2, β3 (TGF-β1, TGF-β2, TGF-β3).

A control group with 15 healthy age-matched patients undergoing cataract surgery was included. Aqueous humor samples of patients without retinal disease or any previous intraocular surgery were analyzed. A total of 0.1 mL aqueous humor was taken before cataract surgery by 27-gauge limbal paracentesis as described above. The samples were immediately transferred to sterile plastic tubes, frozen, and stored at −80°C. Aqueous humor samples were used undiluted and incubated overnight. Kits were used according to the manufacturers’ instructions. Curves for each cytokine were generated by using the reference cytokine concentrations supplied in the kit.

**Statistical Analyses and Outcome Measures**

Statistical analyses were performed by using descriptive statistics for all variables and data frequency. Main outcome
of these analyses was to describe the alterations of different angiogenic and inflammatory cytokines under treatment with IVM or a combination of ranibizumab and dexamethasone. Generalized linear mixed models were analyzed to investigate the effects of cytokine baseline levels on the probability of retreatment and the probability of response. Cytokines baseline values were compared by ANOVAs and post hoc Helmert tests between treatment groups and healthy control group. To investigate the correlation between number of retreatment and cytokine levels depending on the group, Spearman correlations were performed. Correlations of cytokine levels with functional and anatomic parameters over time were described by linear mixed effect models. The level of significance was set to 0.05. All analyses were performed at the Department of Medical Statistics at the Medical University of Vienna, using R 3.0.2 under RStudio (Boston, MA, USA). Figures were done by using SPSS for Windows (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA).

**RESULTS**

Forty eyes of 40 consecutive patients with persistent or recurrent nAMD and 15 healthy age-matched controls undergoing cataract surgery were included in this analysis. Twenty patients were treated with IVM and 20 were treated with IVC. Total follow-up of the study was 12 months. Mean age was 77 ± 7.1/75 ± 7.5 years [IVM/IVC] (P = 0.6), and we included 29 (72.5%) women and 11 (27.5%) men with persistent or recurrent nAMD (15:5/14:6 W:M [IVM/IVC group]; P = 0.7). Patients in IVM and IVC received an overall amount of 5.6 ± 3.8 mg (range: 3–17 mg) and 6.7 ± 4.8 mg (range: 5–16 mg) intravitreal ranibizumab injections and were included after a mean time of 11.7 ± 6.3 months and 11.9 ± 7.2 months after the first intravitreal ranibizumab treatment (P = 0.4; P = 0.8). The time between the last ranibizumab injection before the baseline treatment in the study was a mean of 3.0/3.2 (IVM/IVC) months. No correlation was found between the baseline cytokine concentrations and the number of prior intravitreal injections before inclusion in the study or with length of disease. Visual acuity was 62 ± 15 ETDRS letters in the IVM and 68 ± 12 in the IVC group (P = 0.2). Central retinal thickness was 485 ± 122 μm in the IVM and 439 ± 90 μm in the IVC group (P = 0.2). In the monotherapy group, 70% presented with type I, 5% type II, and 25% type III lesions. In the combination therapy group, 75% were type I and 25% were type III lesions.

**Baseline Cytokine Concentrations of Persistent nAMD Patients**

The Table summarizes the baseline mean values of angiogenic and inflammatory cytokines for persistent or recurrent nAMD patients and control patients.

At baseline, MCP-1 (595 ± 252 pg/mL [mean ± standard deviation]), MIG (92.5 ± 56.8 pg/mL), and lipocalin-2/NGAL (8791 ± 4913 pg/mL) concentrations were elevated in persistent nAMD patients when compared to controls (MCP-1: 434 ± 121 pg/mL; MIG: 61.0 ± 57.1 pg/mL; lipocalin-2/NGAL: 5395 ± 1582 pg/mL) (P = 0.002; P = 0.04; P = 0.01). In contrast, TNF-α, IL-12/70, and SPARC concentrations were lower than in controls. Mean TNF-α concentrations were 0.8 ± 0.5 pg/mL in comparison to 1.4 ± 0.7 pg/mL in healthy controls (P = 0.001). Interleukin 12/70 concentrations were 34.2 ± 25.7 pg/mL compared to 56.1 ± 26.8 pg/mL (P = 0.008), and SPARC concentrations were 31,401.1 ± 13,224.5 pg/mL compared to 40,341.1 ± 13,124.8 pg/mL (P = 0.05). All other cytokines did not differ in comparison to the control group at baseline. Vascular endothelial growth factor levels were 44.8 ± 38.5 pg/mL in comparison to 51.4 ± 43.3 pg/mL in the control group (P = 0.6).

Correlation of cytokine levels with different morphologic features seen on OCT did not show differences at baseline. No significant effect of cytokine baseline levels was detected on the probability of retreatment or the probability of response.

**Functional Outcome**

In all patients, functional variables could be maintained under either treatment with no significant differences between the groups over the 12-month follow-up period. Visual acuity changed from 62 ETDRS letters at baseline to 67 letters at month 12 in IVM and remained stable at 68 letters in IVC (P = 0.68). Central retinal thickness (CRT) significantly decreased over time with no difference between the groups (IVM: 486 μm at baseline to 453 μm at month 12; IVC: 439 to 368 μm; P = 0.38). Figure 2 shows the course of VA and CRT during the study period.

**Change of Cytokine Levels and Relation to Central Thickness or VA During Treatment**

In the IVM group, no inflammatory or angiogenic cytokines were found to be altered under treatment in a mixed model over time. In the IVC group, generalized linear mixed models identified VEGF, MIG, PDGF-AA, and TGF-β1 to be reduced by treatment over time (P = 0.005, P = 0.011, P = 0.008, P = 0.013).

Interleukin 6 and PDGF-AA showed positive correlation with CRT changes (P = 0.007; P = 0.022). In addition, IL-10 and lipocalin-2/NGAL levels were positively correlated with VA changes (P = 0.005; P = 0.002). Figures 3a and 3b show OCT images at time of treatment together with concentrations of cytokine levels of representative patient cases.

**DISCUSSION**

Persistent or recurrent manifestation is well known in the course of nAMD and has led to the search for alternative treatment strategies. Intravitreal triamcinolone (IVTA) has been investigated as an alternative option for the treatment of nAMD, either in monotherapy or combined with anti-VEGF drugs, but is associated with a number of side effects. In addition, in an animal model, high doses of IVTA have been
Corticosteroids in the vitreous. 19 Thus, dexamethasone is an attractant and activator of neutrophils, monocytes, and macrophages, altering inflammatory and angiogenic cytokine (VEGF, IL-1, TNF-α, MIG, PDGF-AA, and TGF-β1) concentrations during combined treatment with nAMD. In our analysis, we found a reduction in cytokine concentrations during treatment in the combined treatment group. However, regarding visual function no superiority for either the monotherapy group or the combined treatment group existed over the 12-month period. Thus, our results indicate that in persistent/recurrent lesions the morphologic and functional assessments differ in determining the treatment response. In clinical decision making, careful evaluation of both the morphologic and functional variables should be considered. The IVM group gained more letters after 12 months than the IVC group because cataract opacity progressed in patients receiving dexamethasone, thus limiting VA outcomes in the long run. Thirty-three percent of phakic patients treated with the combined therapy were referred to cataract surgery owing to considerable lens opacification impairing VA after repeated dexamethasone treatment. After cataract surgery, VA improved in all patients. In addition, a reason for the limited improvement in visual function in both groups could be the structural or degenerative changes following tissue remodeling in advanced disease. As this analysis focused on treatment-resistant or recurrent CNV lesions, advanced structural changes may limit visual improvements. It is possible that introducing combined treatment modalities earlier in the course of the disease may result in improvements of visual function and stronger effects on intraocular cytokine concentrations. Future studies are necessary to address this question.

Vascular endothelial growth factor is the main cytokine involved in ME development and is the target for anti-VEGF therapy. Previous reports have found aqueous VEGF concentrations to be more significant in treatment-naïve nAMD eyes than controls. One investigation concentrated on the differences in cytokine profiles between naïve and recurrent or regressed CNV types with previous bevacizumab treatment, reporting differences in their cytokine profile. In treatment-naïve eyes, VEGF concentrations were significantly higher (66.8 pg/mL) than in recurrent or regressed lesions (55.7 and 9.8 pg/mL). In addition, MCP-1 levels were highest in treatment-naïve CNV eyes and significantly higher in treatment-naïve lesions than in recurrent or regressed CNV eyes. These results are in accordance with our findings showing intraocular VEGF levels to be elevated (45 and 51 pg/mL nAMD/control patients) post-treatment with dexamethasone.

Platelet-derived growth factor AA is a potent chemo-attractant and activator of neutrophils, monocytes, and fibroblasts and is expressed during angiogenesis and endothelial cell activation in nAMD. VEGF is likely to participate together with PDGF in this process of endothelial cell regulation. Corticosteroids act to prevent or decrease inflammation by reducing the release of various mediators of inflammation and act on the vascular endothelium, decreasing vascular permeability and edema. In addition, an alternative anti-inflammatory effect of dexamethasone and other corticosteroids is the inhibition of PDGF-induced transcription of VEGF and VEGF release; via this mechanism, vascular permeability can be reduced.

In vivo, TGF-β is expressed by RPE cells during CNV formation and is known to modulate its process. This indirect angiogenic cytokine induces VEGF expression in multiple cell types including RPE cells. In animal models, inhibition of TGF-β reduces the progression of early CNV with downregulation of VEGF. Owing to the activation of other cytokines, TGF-β inhibition may not only decrease the angiogenic process, but also affect other pathways related with disease progression. In animal models, dexamethasone is able to modulate the TGF-β signaling pathway by downregulation of TGF-β expression. In contrast, MIG is a chemo-attractant and is thought to be angiostatic. In the aqueous humor of nAMD patients, significant higher expression of MIG has been demonstrated. Nevertheless, the exact role of these cytokines and mechanisms of the proposed effects, as well as their role in the eye, needs to be further elucidated.

In our analysis, we found a reduction in cytokine concentrations during treatment in the combined treatment group. However, regarding visual function no superiority for either the monotherapy group or the combined treatment group existed over the 12-month period. Thus, our results indicate that in persistent/recurrent lesions the morphologic and functional assessments differ in determining the treatment response. In clinical decision making, careful evaluation of both the morphologic and functional variables should be considered. The IVM group gained more letters after 12 months than the IVC group because cataract opacity progressed in patients receiving dexamethasone, thus limiting VA outcomes in the long run. Thirty-three percent of phakic patients treated with the combined therapy were referred to cataract surgery owing to considerable lens opacification impairing VA after repeated dexamethasone treatment. After cataract surgery, VA improved in all patients. In addition, a reason for the limited improvement in visual function in both groups could be the structural or degenerative changes following tissue remodeling in advanced disease. As this analysis focused on treatment-resistant or recurrent CNV lesions, advanced structural changes may limit visual improvements. It is possible that introducing combined treatment modalities earlier in the course of the disease may result in improvements of visual function and stronger effects on intraocular cytokine concentrations. Future studies are necessary to address this question.

Vascular endothelial growth factor is the main cytokine involved in ME development and is the target for anti-VEGF therapy. Previous reports have found aqueous VEGF concentrations to be more significant in treatment-naïve nAMD eyes than controls. One investigation concentrated on the differences in cytokine profiles between naïve and recurrent or regressed CNV types with previous bevacizumab treatment, reporting differences in their cytokine profile. In treatment-naïve eyes, VEGF concentrations were significantly higher (66.8 pg/mL) than in recurrent or regressed lesions (55.7 and 9.8 pg/mL). In addition, MCP-1 levels were highest in persistent nAMD patients, while TNF-α levels decreased in comparison to treatment-naïve patients. These results are in accordance with our findings showing intraocular VEGF levels to be elevated (45 and 51 pg/mL nAMD/control patients).
when compared to controls, while TNF-α levels were reduced. In our investigation, MIG and lipocalin-2/NGAL also were elevated in persistent/recurrent nAMD patients. These results suggest an altered cytokine profile with an inflammatory component in patients with recurrent or persistent ME. Higher levels of inflammatory cytokines beside VEGF may have an impact on the response to anti-VEGF therapy alone. Cytokine measurements will further challenge the individual patient profile and may help to classify treatment responses and to detect patients who are most likely to respond to anti-VEGF therapy. Further investigation is essential in order to define the differences between acute and chronic disease, as well as treatment-naïve and persistent cases, to explore the optimal time point when decision for combined treatments or switching to another agent should be considered.

Furthermore, we found inflammatory cytokines to be correlated with disease activity in nAMD patients, reflecting again the crucial inflammatory involvement in the pathophysiology of ME. Inflammatory cytokines (IL-6 and PDGF-AA) showed positive correlation with the amount of ME in a statistical model over time and may be linked to its severity and disease activity. In conclusion, we showed a distinct inflammatory involvement in persistent and recurrent nAMD patients, which could be linked to disease activity. Differences in the cytokine profile of treatment-naive eyes and lesions not adequately responding to monotherapy should be considered. Understanding of the pathomechanism of angiogenesis and the cytokines involved is necessary for the identification of new therapeutic targets in neovascular disease. Owing to the multifactorial nature of AMD, inhibition of angiogenesis alone may not be sufficient, and new approaches may target mediators involved at different levels of its pathogenesis. Combined treatment with anti-VEGF and corticosteroids reduced angiogenic as well as inflammatory cytokines under repeated treatment at an advanced disease stage; however, no superiority in functional outcome could be achieved. Thus, treatment decisions for chronic nAMD patients should be based on morphologic as well as functional measurements. However, more studies are required to evaluate combined approaches and the influence on the specific cytokine profile. Identification of the individual cytokine pattern and response may help to guide treatment decisions and identify additional treatment modalities.
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