Macular Structure and Function in Nonhuman Primate Experimental Glaucoma
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Purpose. To evaluate structure and function of macular retinal layers in nonhuman primate (NHP) experimental glaucoma (EG).

Methods. Twenty-one NHP had longitudinal imaging of macular structure by SDOCT, 16 also had recordings of function by multifocal ERG. The average thickness over 15° was derived for seven individual SDOCT layers: macular nerve fiber layer (m-NFL), retinal ganglion cell layer (RGCL), inner plexiform layer (IPL), inner nuclear layer (INL), outer plexiform layer (OPL), outer nuclear layer+inner segments combined (ONL+IS), and outer segments (OS). Peripapillary RNFL thickness was measured from a single circular B-scan with 12° diameter. Responses to a slow-sequence multifocal ERG (mfERG) stimulus (7F) were filtered (at 75 Hz) into low- and high-frequency components (LFC, HFC).

Results. At final follow-up, significant structural loss occurred only in EG eyes and only for ppRNFLT (−29 ± 23%), m-NFL (−17 ± 16%), RGCL (−22 ± 15%), and IPL (−19 ± 14%); though there was also a small increase in OPL (+6 ± 7%) and ONL+IS (±4 ± 4%) and a similar tendency for INL. Macular structural loss was correlated with ppRNFLT only for theNFL, RGCL and IPL (R = 0.95, 0.93 and 0.95, respectively, P < 0.0001). Significant functional loss occurred only for HFC and N2 in EG eyes. Significant longitudinal structure–function correlations (P < 0.01) were observed only in EG eyes and only for mfERG HFC and N2: HFC was correlated with ppRNFLT (R = 0.69), macular NFL (R = 0.67), RGCL (R = 0.74), and IPL (R = 0.72); N2 was correlated with RGCL (R = 0.54) and IPL (R = 0.48). High-frequency components amplitude change was inversely correlated with outer retinal thickness change (−0.66).

Conclusions. Macular structural and functional losses are correlated and specific to ganglion cells over a wide range of EG severity. Outer retinal changes are likely due to inner retinal loss. Keywords: glaucoma, macula, optical coherence tomography, electroretinography, ganglion cells

The extent to which outer retinal changes occur in human glaucoma and in experimental glaucoma (EG) models remains a controversial matter. One early anatomical study found approximately 23% reduction of nuclei in the photoreceptor (outer nuclear) layer within the central 20° of human eyes enucleated for relief from painful traumatic secondary angle-closure glaucoma.1 In contrast, Kendall et al.2 found no photoreceptor loss in human donor eyes with a history of POAG. Another anatomical study by Lei and colleagues3 found no difference for outer nuclear layer cell counts overall, but up to 7% loss in the mideripheral retina that was spatially related to retinal ganglion cell (RGC) loss in human donor eyes with a history of POAG compared with age-matched control eyes. Nork and colleagues4 reported “rare, patchy cone and rod losses” in glaucomatous human eyes and photoreceptor “swelling” based on subjective ratings by two of three observers. In a study of nonhuman primates (NHP) with end-stage EG in which RGC counts were reduced by greater than 80%, there was a reduction of parafolateral cones of only 3.5%.5 Similarly, Frishman and colleagues6 found little or no histologic evidence of abnormalities distal to the RGC layer in a study of NHP EG.

Function of the outer retina has been studied in human glaucoma using the electroretinogram (ERG, for a thorough review, see Holopigian et al.7). Velten and colleagues8 reported that the amplitudes of scotopic ERG A-waves were reduced by approximately 30% in human patients with advanced, long-standing glaucomatous damage (mixture of primary, secondary, and low-tension glaucoma) as compared with controls that were, on average, approximately 13 years younger. Mitigating the age difference between study groups, Velten et al.8 also showed that inter-ocular differences in A-wave amplitude were related to interocular differences in visual field damage. In contrast, Holopigian et al.7 applied more advanced analyses to scotopic and photopic ERG A-waves and found no evidence of abnormal photoreceptor function in POAG.

Despite the fact that optical coherence tomography (OCT) has existed for well over a decade, enabling cross-sectional views and quantitative analysis of retinal anatomy, very few studies have evaluated the outer retina in glaucoma.9 Using Fourier-domain OCT (FD-OCT), Wang and colleagues10 evaluated individual retinal layer thicknesses across the macula in human eyes with a range of glaucomatous visual
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field damage. They found an expected reduction in the thickness of the macular retinal nerve fiber layer (RNFL) and in the combined thickness of the RGC layer plus the inner plexiform layer (IPL), which were generally related to local visual field sensitivity, but no loss of outer retinal thickness.16 Interestingly, an earlier study by Ishikawa and colleagues11 using time domain OCT also found reduced thicknesses of macular inner retinal layers in glaucoma, but a 7% increase in the thickness of the “outer retinal complex” (combined thickness of the outer nuclear layer, inner and outer segments (IS/OS) of the photoreceptor layer). In a study using a combination of state-of-the-art adaptive-optics (AO) ultrahigh resolution FD-OCT and an AO-flood-illuminated fundus camera, Choi and colleagues12 found that in areas where visual sensitivity was reduced from long-standing glaucoma, there were patchy dark areas within the otherwise regular cone photoreceptor mosaic, suggesting local loss of cones. These areas of reduced cone reflectivity observed in images from the AO-fundus camera corresponded to regions where measurements made by AO-FD-OCT revealed that the average length of cone OS was shortened and the variability increased.12 Choi et al.12 thought that shortening of cone OS could explain the patchy loss of cone density observed in the en face mosaics imaged by the AO-fundus camera, but also that “swelling” of cone OS could disrupt their waveguide properties and produce the same phenomenon. However, these findings are not specific to glaucoma as the same group demonstrated in several other forms of optic neuropathy whenever longstanding visual field loss was present.13

Perhaps because reliable segmentation of the numerous individual retinal layers visible in OCT scans is labor intensive, even fewer studies have evaluated outer retinal structure in EG models. Guo and colleagues14 found a small decrease in RNFL thickness 3- and 8-weeks after chronic IOP elevation was induced unilaterally in rats, but they found no change in IPL thickness and a substantial progressive reduction of outer retinal thickness over that same period. In a study of NHP EG, Luo and colleagues15 showed that localized measurements of macular inner retinal thickness (combined RGC-IPL) were modestly correlated to multifocal ERG measurements of RGC function in correspondingly retinal locations but they did not evaluate outer retinal layers in that study. We have also recently reported that multifocal ERG measurements of RGC function averaged over wider spatial areas are correlated to both peripapillary RNFL thickness measurements made by OCT and to complete axon counts from the retrolubular optic nerve in NHP EG.16 In that study we found that other features of mfERG responses thought to reflect function of outer and middle retinal layers were not correlated to either peripapillary RNFL thickness or axon loss.16

Our purpose here was to measure macular retinal thickness layer-by-layer using longitudinal spectral-domain OCT (SDOCT) scans in NHP EG and compare those results to inner and outer retinal function measured in the macula by multifocal ERG.

METHODS

Subjects

The subjects of this study were 21 rhesus macaque monkeys (Macaca mulatta), 17 female and 4 male. At the start of study, their average age (± SD) was 11.5 ± 8.0 years, ranging 2.8 to 20.6 years and average weight was 6.0 ± 1.3 kg (range, 4.2–8.6 kg). This study was carried out in strict accordance with the recommendations in the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of the National Institutes of Health (Bethesda, MD, USA) and were approved and monitored by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) at Legacy Health (USDA license 92-R-0002 and OLAW assurance A3254-01). All experimental methods and animal care procedures also adhered to the ARVO Statement for the Use of Animals in Ophthalmic and Vision Research.

Anesthesia

All experimental procedures began with induction of general anesthesia using ketamine (10–25 mg/kg IM) in combination with either xylazine (0.8–1.5 mg/kg IM), or midazolam (0.2 mg/kg IM), along with a single injection of atropine sulphate (0.05 mg/kg IM). Animals were then intubated with an endotracheal tube to breathe a mixture of 100% oxygen and air in order to maintain oxyhemoglobin saturation as close to 100% as possible. During ERG retinal function testing anesthesia was maintained using a combination of ketamine (5 mg/kg/hr IV) and xylazine (0.8 mg/kg/hr IM). In some cases, a constant rate infusion (1.2–3.0 mg/ hr IM) was used for IV delivery. Anesthesia was maintained with isoflurane gas (1%–2%) mixed with 100% oxygen and delivered via endotracheal tube. For SDOCT imaging, a clear, rigid gas permeable contact lens filled with 0.5% carboxymethylcellulose solution was placed over the apex of each cornea. In all sessions, IV fluids (lactated Ringer's solution, 10–20 mL/kg/hr) were administered via the saphenous vein, vital signs were monitored throughout and recorded every 10 to 15 minutes, including, heart rate, blood pressure, arterial oxyhemoglobin saturation, endtidal CO₂, and body temperature; body temperature was maintained at 37°C, heart rate above 75 per minute and oxygen saturation above 95%.

SDOCT Measurements of Peripapillary RNFL Thickness

All SDOCT scans were acquired using a Spectralis instrument as previously described (Heidelberg Engineering GmbH, Heidelberg, Germany)16–20 30 minutes after IOP was manometrically stabilized to 10 mm Hg. Peripapillary RNFL thickness was measured from a single high-resolution circular B-scan with a diameter of 12°, consisting of 1536 A-scans (Fig. 1). Nine to 16 individual sweeps were averaged in real time to comprise the final stored B-scan at each session. The position of the scan was centered on the optic nerve head (ONH) at the first imaging session and all follow-up scans were acquired at this same location using the instrument’s automatic active eye tracking software. A trained technician masked to the purpose of this study manually corrected the accuracy of the instrument’s native automated layer segmentations when the algorithm had obviously erred from the inner and outer borders of the RNFL to an adjacent layer (such as a refractive element in the vitreous instead of the internal limiting membrane, or to the outer border of the IPL instead of the RNFL).

SDOCT Scans of the Macula

Macula scans consisted of high-resolution B-scans oriented in a radial pattern centered on the fovea (Fig. 2). In most of the animals (N = 14) the macula scan pattern spanned 30° and contained 80 radial B-scans; in the others (N = 7), the scan pattern contained 48 radials and spanned 15°. In this study, we report the global spatial average for each macular layer and consider only the data from the central 15° (7.5° radius) in order to combine these groups and broaden the cohort. As
with the peripapillary scans, the instrument’s automatic active eye tracking software was used to acquire all follow-up macula scans at the same location set during the first baseline.

The instrument’s native automated layer segmentations were corrected where errant and the average thickness over 15-year was derived for seven individual layers: macular nerve fiber layer (m-NFL), retinal ganglion cell layer (RGCL), IPL, inner nuclear layer (INL), outer plexiform layer (OPL), outer nuclear layer+inner segments combined (ONL+IS), and OS as shown in Figure 2. Note, Henle’s fibers were not distinguished from the ONL in this analysis.21

FIGURE 1. Peripapillary circular B-scans to measure peripapillary RNFLT. (A) Example for an individual EG eye at first baseline and (B) at the final imaging session. Results for the fellow control eye at the same time points (C, D). The B-scan location is indicated by the green line overlaid onto the infrared reflectance image inset in (A, C). Red lines show segmentations for anterior RNFL boundary (ILM); green lines show posterior boundary.

FIGURE 2. Spectral-domain OCT B-scan image segmentation to measure macular layer thicknesses. (A) The SD-OCT radial 15° B-scan pattern is shown by the 48 green lines overlaid onto the infrared reflectance image at baseline in an individual EG eye. (B) Example of a single B-scan without segmentation and (C) with segmentation from the location indicated by the bold green vertically oriented spoke in (A). (D) Infrared reflectance image in the same EG eye at its final follow-up. (E) Vertical B-scan obtained at the final follow-up from the same location without segmentation and (F) with segmentation. (G) Magnified portion of the baseline B-scan from the inset indicated by the yellow box in (B) without segmentation and (H) with segmentation. The features segmented in each B-scan included the ILM and the posterior boundary of the following: m-NFL, RGCL, IPL, INL, OPL, and retinal pigment epithelium (Bruch’s membrane). The center the reflectance bands associated with the IS/OS junction and the COST were also segmented, which served as the posterior boundaries of the combined outer nuclear layer + photoreceptor inner segments and the photoreceptor outer segments, respectively.21
Multifocal ERG Measurements of Retinal Function

Retinal function was evaluated by multifocal ERG (mfERG). Briefly, mfERGs were recorded using VERIS (Electro-Diagnostic Imaging, Inc., Redwood City, CA, USA). The mfERG stimulus consisted of 103 unscaled hexagonal elements subtending a total field size of approximately 55° (Fig. 3). The luminance of each hexagon was independently modulated between dark (1 cd/m²) and light (200 cd/m²) according to a pseudorandom, binary m-sequence. The temporal stimulation rate was slowed by insertion of seven dark frames into each m-sequence step ("7F"). The m-sequence exponent was set to 12, thus the total duration of each recording was 7 minutes 17 seconds. Signals were amplified (gain = 100,000), band-pass filtered (10–300 Hz; with an additional 60-Hz line filter), sampled at 1.2 kHz (i.e., sampling interval = 0.83 ms), and digitally stored for subsequent off-line analyses. Two such recordings were obtained for each eye at each time point and averaged.

From the average of the two recordings at each time point, a subset of local responses from the full array, limited to the central element and the three rings surrounding it (37 local responses in total), was processed to derive summary outcome parameters. A high-pass filter (5-pole, 75 Hz) was applied to each local mfERG response to extract the high frequency components (HFC). The low frequency component (LFC) of each response was represented as the raw response minus the HFC. The amplitude of the HFC was calculated as the root mean square (RMS) for the epoch between 0 and 80 ms of each filtered record. Peak amplitudes for LFC features were quantified as follows: the first negative feature (N1) was calculated as the maximum negative excursion from baseline in the epoch up to 30 ms; the amplitude of the first positivity (P1) was calculated as the voltage difference between the maximum peak and the N1 trough; and the second negativity (N2) was calculated as the difference between baseline and the minima from 30 to 80 ms. The global average (of 37 response locations) for each parameter represented the measurement for each eye at each ERG session.

The HFC of mfERG responses to slow-sequence stimulation represent RGC function specifically, while the LFC features N1 and P1 reflect function of cone photoreceptors and cone bipolar cells. Recent evidence indicates that the LFC feature N2 may also be affected by RGC loss in NHP EG, and clinical glaucoma, and that it may be the mfERG homologue of the full-field ERG photopic negative response (PhNR).
IOP Measurements

Intraocular pressure was measured in both eyes at the start of every session using a Tonopen XL (Reichert Technologies, Inc., Depew, NY, USA). The value recorded for each eye was the average of three successive measurements.

Experimental Design and Protocol

All 21 animals had longitudinal measurements of SD-OCT and so data from all 21 contributed to the analysis of structural loss and structure–structure correlations, but only 15/21 had longitudinal mERG measures of function, so analysis of functional loss and structure–function correlations was limited to data from those 15 animals. In all cases, each animal had a minimum of three weekly baseline recordings prior to laser treatment to induce chronic IOP elevation. This study includes data from the first two baseline sessions and from the final available session for each animal. After completion of the baseline series for each animal, argon laser photocoagulation was applied to the trabecular meshwork of one eye to induce chronic elevation of IOP.33,34 Initially, 180º of the trabecular meshwork was treated in one session, then the remaining 180º was treated in a second session approximately 2 weeks later. If necessary, laser treatments were repeated in subsequent weeks (limited to a 90º sector) until an IOP elevation was first noted or if the initial post-laser IOP had returned to normal levels. The average number of laser treatments (±SD) was 5.0 ± 2.2 (range, 2–8).

After initiation of laser photocoagulation, the type of testing alternated weekly between SD-OCT imaging one week and mERG recordings the next week. Testing continued for each animal until its predefined sacrifice target had been reached. Specific targets for the EG stage when each animal was sacrificed were based on the primary study to which each animal until its predefined sacrifice target had been reached. Specific targets for the EG stage when each animal was sacrificed were based on the primary study to which each animal until its predefined sacrifice target had been reached. Specific targets for the EG stage when each animal was sacrificed were based on the primary study to which each animal until its predefined sacrifice target had been reached.

Analysis and Statistics

All statistical analysis was performed using a commercial software package (Prism 5; GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA). Data from two baseline sessions was used to determine the repeatability coefficient35 for each parameter in order to calculate the number of EG and control eyes that changed significantly (P < 0.05) between their baseline and the final session. For each parameter the magnitude of longitudinal change at the final session was assessed by Wilcoxon test with a criterion of P less than or equal to 0.001 to adjust for multiple comparisons. Pearson correlation coefficients were used to evaluate structure–structure and structure–function relationships; a conservative criterion was adopted to define significance (P < 0.01).

RESULTS

Study Duration and IOP

The total study duration ranged from 7 to 28 months (average ± SD: 14.7 ± 6.6 months); the duration of post-laser follow-up ranged from 3 to 20 months (average ± SD: 10.0 ± 5.7). In the group of 21 EG eyes, mean IOP over the span of post-laser follow-up ranged from 10.4 to 35.8 mm Hg, with a group average of 21.1 ± 6.4 mm Hg. Mean IOP over the same period in the fellow control eyes was 11.4 ± 1.8 mm Hg. The peak IOP observed during post-laser follow-up period was 45.7 ± 11.9 in the EG eyes (range, 15.3–60.3 mm Hg) and 16.8 ± 2.8 mm Hg in control eyes.

Longitudinal Structural Changes and Structure–Structure Correlations

None of the structural parameters changed significantly between the first and second baseline time points in either EG or control eye groups (Table 1). There were also no significant differences between the average baseline values and the values at the final time point in control eyes (Fig. 4). In contrast, several structural parameters exhibited significant change between baseline and the final follow-up time point in the group of EG eyes as shown in Figure 4A. Longitudinal change for peripapillary RNFL thickness in EG eyes ranged from −2.5% to −78.3% (mean ± SD: −29.0 ± 23.4%, P < 0.0001). This represents a very wide range of EG severity, nearly the entire dynamic range of this parameter. For reference, the range of ONH neuroretinal rim thinning at the final time point in this group of 21 EG eyes measured using the OCT parameter minimum rim width (MRW)36,37 was −4% to −89% relative to the baseline values. In this study, RNFL thickness is used as the metric of EG severity since it is more strongly correlated with complete optic nerve axon counts,58 has lower measurement noise,58 and has higher longitudinal signal-to-noise ratio than MRW or minimum rim area (MRA).59

There was also significant loss of thickness for macular inner retinal layers in EG eyes, including the m-NFL (−16.5 ± 15.9%, P = 0.0001), RGCL (21.7 ± 15.1%, P < 0.0001), and IPL (−18.5 ± 13.9%, P < 0.0001). However, we also observed a small but significant increase from baseline in EG eyes for the thickness of the OPL (5.8 ± 6.6%, P = 0.001) and the ONL+IS (4.1 ± 3.8%, P = 0.0005), but no significant change for the INL (P = 0.05) or OS thickness (P = 0.15).

We used the pairwise (intrar eye) differences between the first and second baseline to calculate the repeatability coefficient for each parameter, and thus determine how many of the individual eyes exhibited significant change (P < 0.05) at the final time point. These results are listed in Table 2, which shows that 90% of the EG eyes had a significant reduction of peripapillary RNFL thickness, while a smaller proportion lost a significant amount of thickness of macular inner retinal layers. The data in Table 2 also show that nearly half of the EG eyes exhibited a significant increase in macular ONL+IS thickness.

### Table 1. Average Thickness Values (µm) for Each Parameter at Each Time Point (±SD)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Average ± SD</th>
<th>ppRNFL</th>
<th>m-NFL</th>
<th>GCL</th>
<th>IPL</th>
<th>INL</th>
<th>OPL</th>
<th>ONL+IS</th>
<th>OS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control baseline 1</td>
<td>106.2 ± 9.2</td>
<td>24.9 ± 2.1</td>
<td>32.5 ± 2.4</td>
<td>32.3 ± 2.0</td>
<td>34.1 ± 1.9</td>
<td>24.7 ± 1.7</td>
<td>101.2 ± 5.2</td>
<td>29.6 ± 2.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EG baseline 1</td>
<td>106.3 ± 8.3</td>
<td>25.5 ± 1.7</td>
<td>32.6 ± 2.4</td>
<td>32.6 ± 1.8</td>
<td>34.2 ± 1.5</td>
<td>24.8 ± 2.1</td>
<td>101.2 ± 4.0</td>
<td>29.9 ± 2.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control baseline 2</td>
<td>106.6 ± 9.7</td>
<td>24.6 ± 1.9</td>
<td>32.6 ± 3.0</td>
<td>32.0 ± 2.0</td>
<td>34.4 ± 2.0</td>
<td>24.3 ± 1.5</td>
<td>100.8 ± 4.8</td>
<td>28.4 ± 2.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EG baseline 2</td>
<td>105.4 ± 10.2</td>
<td>24.9 ± 1.8</td>
<td>33.0 ± 2.6</td>
<td>32.0 ± 2.1</td>
<td>34.5 ± 1.8</td>
<td>24.3 ± 1.5</td>
<td>101.3 ± 4.4</td>
<td>28.3 ± 2.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control final</td>
<td>105.9 ± 10.5</td>
<td>25.7 ± 2.0</td>
<td>32.2 ± 2.9</td>
<td>31.5 ± 1.9</td>
<td>33.9 ± 1.8</td>
<td>24.5 ± 1.8</td>
<td>100.1 ± 4.8</td>
<td>29.1 ± 1.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EG final</td>
<td>76.3 ± 27.7</td>
<td>21.0 ± 4.0</td>
<td>25.7 ± 5.2</td>
<td>26.3 ± 4.7</td>
<td>35.1 ± 2.2</td>
<td>25.9 ± 2.2</td>
<td>105.4 ± 6.5</td>
<td>29.7 ± 2.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Macular inner retinal layer losses were strongly correlated with loss of peripapillary RNFL thickness as shown in Table 3. However, there was a significant inverse correlation between longitudinal changes for three of the four middle and outer retinal layers measured in the macula (INL, OPL, and ONL+IS) and the magnitude of peripapillary RNFL thickness loss. These data show that the relative degree of thickening in the INL, OPL, and ONL+IS is related to the severity of glaucomatous damage as indicated by thinning of the peripapillary RNFL.

Given the moderately strong inverse correlations between individual outer retinal layer thickness changes and peripapillary RNFL thickness loss, it was not surprising to find a significant inverse correlation between changes in the combined outer retinal thickness (INL boundary to the COST line) and overall EG damage severity measured by peripapillary RNFL thickness ($R = -0.56$, $P = 0.0001$, Fig. 5A). There was also a significant inverse correlation between changes in the combined outer retinal thickness and combined inner retinal thickness (m-NFL, RGCL, and IPL).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>% of N = 21 Eyes Change, $P &lt; 0.05$</th>
<th>ppRNFLT</th>
<th>m-NFL</th>
<th>GCL</th>
<th>IPL</th>
<th>INL</th>
<th>OPL</th>
<th>ONL+IS</th>
<th>OS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EG decline</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EG increase</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTL decline</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTL increase</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Significant change at the final session was defined as exceeding the 95% range of baseline repeatability for that parameter. Values shown in bold font highlight the proportion of EG eyes exhibiting a significant decline, while values shown in bold italic font highlight the proportion of EG eyes exhibiting a significant increase of individual layer thickness.
and IPL; \( R = -0.57, P < 0.0001, \) Fig. 5B). For reference, the combined outer retinal thickness in EG eyes had increased by 4\% over their baseline average \( (P = 0.0001) \) and was 5\% thicker than the fellow control eyes at the final time point \( (P < 0.0001) \).

**Longitudinal Functional Changes and Structure–Function Correlations**

Significant functional loss occurred only in EG eyes and only for mfERG parameters HFC and N2 (Fig. 4B), though there was a tendency toward reduced N1 and P1 components as well, consistent with previous observations in a larger cohort.\(^{16} \) Significant longitudinal structure–function correlations were observed only for mfERG HFC and N2 (Table 4). The magnitude of longitudinal mfERG HFC change was correlated with loss of peripapillary RNFL thickness \( (R = 0.69), \) macular NFL \( (R = 0.67), \) RGCL \( (R = 0.74), \) and IPL \( (R = 0.72); \) while mfERG N2 change was correlated with RGCL \( (R = 0.56) \) and IPL \( (R = 0.52) \) loss. Interestingly, there was a significant inverse correlation between loss of inner retinal function \( (mfERG) \) and thickening of the combined outer retinal thickness \( (INL \) boundary to the cone outer segment tips, COST line, bottom row Table 4).

**DISCUSSION**

In this study we used SD OCT scans arranged in a dense radial pattern centered on the fovea to measure longitudinal changes in the thickness of individual retinal layers in a NHP model of EG. We observed predictable losses of macular inner retinal layers \( (m-NFL, GCL, \) and IPL) that were proportional to loss of peripapillary RNFL thickness \( (R = 0.69), \) macular NFL \( (R = 0.67), \) RGCL \( (R = 0.74), \) and IPL \( (R = 0.72); \) while mfERG N2 change was correlated with RGCL \( (R = 0.56) \) and IPL \( (R = 0.52) \) loss. Interestingly, there was a significant inverse correlation between loss of inner retinal function \( (mfERG) \) and thickening of the combined outer retinal thickness \( (INL \) boundary to the cone outer segment tips, COST line, bottom row Table 4).

### Table 3. Longitudinal Structure–Structure Correlations: Macular Layer Thickness Change From Baseline Versus Peripapillary RNFL Thickness Change From Baseline (Peripapillary RNFLT Serving as Measure of EG Severity)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>vs. ppRNFLT</th>
<th>m-NFL</th>
<th>GCL</th>
<th>IPL</th>
<th>INL</th>
<th>OPL</th>
<th>ONL+IS</th>
<th>OS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pearson R</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>-0.54</td>
<td>-0.47</td>
<td>-0.51</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( P ) value</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>0.0017</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td>0.5177</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values shown in bold font highlight strong, significant, direct correlations for inner retinal layers, while values shown in bold italic font highlight significant inverse correlations for outer and middle retinal layers.

Our finding of increased outer retinal thickness might also be consistent with results of Nork et al.,\(^4\) who reported photoreceptor “swelling” in human glaucoma and in NHP EG. However, it is important to note that the difference between glaucomatous and control eyes reported by Nork et al.\(^1\) was driven largely by 15 more glaucomatous eyes than control eyes in the group rated as “grade 5” \( (\)most swelling, see their Fig. 7\) but it was never specified whether those 15 eyes were also among the oldest of all eyes studied \( (\)see Fig. 5 in Nork et al.\(^4\)\) and/or if those were the eyes with the longest duration of autolysis \( (\)see Fig. 6 in Nork et al.\(^4\)\). Both factors could have contributed to the outcome and neither factor was well balanced between glaucomatous and control samples.

Choi et al.\(^12\) mentioned that while photoreceptor “swelling” could explain the patchy loss of cone density observed in the en face mosaics imaged by their AO-fundus camera, they also provided direct evidence from AO-ultrahigh resolution FD-OCT measurements to support the conclusion that shortened cone outer segments were contributing to the effect on the en

### Table 4. Longitudinal Structure–Function Correlations: mfERG Parameter Change From Baseline Versus Peripapillary RNFL Thickness Change From Baseline (Top Row) and mfERG Parameter Change Versus Macular Layer Thickness Change (Rows 2–5)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>mfERG vs. Structure</th>
<th>N1</th>
<th>P1</th>
<th>N2</th>
<th>HFC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pearson R ( R ) vs. ppRNFLT ( (P ) value)</td>
<td>0.35 ( (0.0527) )</td>
<td>0.04 ( (0.8362) )</td>
<td>0.40 ( (0.0232) )</td>
<td>( 0.69 ) ( (&lt;0.0001) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pearson R ( R ) vs. m-NFL ( (P ) value)</td>
<td>0.37 ( (0.0384) )</td>
<td>0.10 ( (0.5931) )</td>
<td>0.41 ( (0.0185) )</td>
<td>( 0.67 ) ( (&lt;0.0001) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pearson R ( R ) vs. GCL ( (P ) value)</td>
<td>0.37 ( (0.0366) )</td>
<td>0.17 ( (0.3577) )</td>
<td>( 0.54 ) ( (0.0015) )</td>
<td>( 0.74 ) ( (&lt;0.0001) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pearson R ( R ) vs. IPL ( (P ) value)</td>
<td>0.40 ( (0.0239) )</td>
<td>0.14 ( (0.4526) )</td>
<td>( 0.48 ) ( (0.0053) )</td>
<td>( 0.72 ) ( (&lt;0.0001) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pearson R ( R ) vs. INL-COST ( (P ) value)</td>
<td>-0.23 ( (0.2137) )</td>
<td>-0.02 ( (0.9052) )</td>
<td>-0.37 ( (0.0347) )</td>
<td>( -0.66 ) ( (&lt;0.0001) )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Significant direct correlations for inner retinal layers are shown in bold font. A significant inverse correlation between inner retinal function and outer retinal thickness is highlighted in bold italic font.
face mosaic. We found no evidence that outer segments were shortened, at least not on average, in NHP eyes with a wide range of glaucomatous damage. However, our segmentation process aimed to bisect the COST reflectivity band (i.e., to identify the center of that peak in the longitudinal reflectivity profile), rather than to identify its anterior boundary, so it is possible that we could not detect subtle localized OS shortening as reported by Choi and colleagues. The relatively thick and continuous COST band imaged in the macula by commercially available SD-OCT such as we used in this study is thought to be produced by lateral blurring of the otherwise discrete points associated with individual cone OS tips, which are resolvable only by AO-OCT. Thus, taken together, it appears there may be some subtle effects on the outer retina in glaucoma (and other optic neuropathies) that may best be explained by changes occurring after long-standing loss of inner retinal elements. It should also be noted that this conclusion is contrary to findings reported in a rodent model of EG.

There are several limitations to this study, which may be important to consider. First, there is a mismatch between the areas used to obtain spatially averaged parameters of macular structure (15°) and function (30°) as well as a mismatch between each of those and the measure of glaucomatous damage severity we used (total average peripapillary RNFL thickness). Thus, it is possible that structure–structure and/or structure–function correlations might be even stronger than what we found in this study. However, Luo and colleagues did analyze structure–function correlations based on more localized regions of inner retinal layers, but they reported generally lower correlation coefficients than what we found in similar comparisons. This might mean that the variability of parameters increases as measurement areas become more localized, and thus detracts from the apparent correlation strength. Since we had access to 30° of macular structural data in the majority of animals (14/21), we compared results based on 30° scans to those reported here for 15° scans and found no differences at all (N = 14, data not shown). This may reflect the fact that damage in this NHP model of EG is generally more diffuse than localized. Nevertheless, we intend in future studies to use dense raster-based scans of the macula to carefully determine individual structure–function maps for each eye based on axon bundle paths and use those to outline sectors for more localized comparisons.

Another limitation of this study is that it was based on data from only the baseline and final time points. It would be interesting to know when the outer retinal changes manifest during the longitudinal course of well-documented progression of inner retinal damage in each eye. Because the manual correction of image segmentations is extremely time consuming at present, detailed analysis of the longitudinal time course in each eye will require extensive additional work.

In summary, we found that macular retinal structural and functional losses are correlated and specific to RGCs over a wide range of EG severity. We also found that there was a small but significant increase of outer retinal layer thicknesses, which may represent “spreading” to fill the void of inner retinal loss. However, we did not find evidence of OS shortening (i.e., there was no change in the distance between the BS/OS junction and the COST line).
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