Predictors of Sensitivity to Perceptual Learning in Children With Infantile Nystagmus

Bianca Huurneman,¹,² F Nienke Boonstra,¹,² and Jeroen Goossens¹

¹Radboud University Medical Centre, Donders Institute for Brain, Cognition and Behaviour, Cognitive Neuroscience Department, Nijmegen, The Netherlands
²Bartiméus, Institute for the Visually Impaired, Zeist, The Netherlands

Purpose. To identify predictors of sensitivity to perceptual learning on a computerized, near-threshold letter discrimination task in children with infantile nystagmus (idiopathic IN: n = 18; ocularcutaneous albinism accompanied by IN: n = 18).

Methods. Children were divided into two age-, acuity-, and diagnosis-matched training groups: a crowded (n = 18) and an uncrowded training group (n = 18). Training consisted of 10 sessions spread out over 5 weeks (grand total of 3500 trials). Baseline performance, age, diagnosis, training condition, and perceived pleasantness of training (training joy) were entered as linear regression predictors of training-induced changes on a single- and a crowded-letter task.

Results. An impressive 57% of the variability in improvements of single-letter visual acuity was explained by age, training condition, and training joy. Being older and training with uncrowded letters was associated with larger single-letter visual acuity improvements. More training joy was associated with a larger gain from the uncrowded training and a smaller gain from the crowded training. Fifty-six percent of the variability in crowded-letter task improvements was explained by baseline performance, age, diagnosis, and training condition. After regressing out the variability induced by training condition, baseline performance, and age, perceptual learning proved more effective for children with idiopathic IN than for children with albinism accompanied by IN. Training gains increased with poorer baseline performance in idiopaths, but not in children with albinism accompanied by IN.

Conclusions. Age and baseline performance, but not training joy, are important prognostic factors for the effect of perceptual learning in children with IN. However, their predictive value for achieving improvements in single-letter acuity and crowded letter acuity, respectively, differs between diagnostic subgroups and training condition. These findings may help with personalized treatment of individuals likely to benefit from perceptual learning.
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identifying a letter at the acuity threshold and (2) execution of a goal-directed saccade toward the target letter under time
constraints. To enhance the children’s motivation we included trial-by-trial feedback about their performance by presenting happy- and neutral-face smileys for correct and incorrect
responses, respectively, and a “Get the picture” game that they could play between training blocks as a reward. Children performed the training under either crowded or uncrowded
conditions. Both training groups showed improved crowded and uncrowded visual acuity (VA) after ~3 1/2 hours of training.
Mean improvements on different VA tasks ranged between 0.085 and 0.15 logMAR, which is in line with studies
in other patient groups using the same number of training
hours.10,26 Moreover, the training benefits from both the
crowded and uncrowded training generalized to significant
improvements in stereopsis’ and spatial aspects of reading.27 In
fact, the improvements in reading acuity, critical print size, and
acuity reserve were tightly linked to the improvements in
crowded distance acuity and the reduction in crowding
extent.28 By contrast, fixation stability, saccade behavior, and
nystagmus properties were unaltered by the training, suggesting
that the vision improvements were primarily due to
improved sensory processing rather than improved oculomo-
tor behavior.6 The key question for the present study is
therefore which other variables might predict the training-
induced improvements in sensory processing in children with
IN.

Perceptual learning seems to be gated by reinforcement
processes,27,28 where internal motivation can work as an
important reinforcer and successful performance of a task
acts as an internal reward.29,30 The dopamine system is
thought to mediate the neuropharmacology of reward31, if we
succeed in accomplishing a task (“Yes, I got the correct
answer!”), this will trigger mesolimbic dopamine neurons to
release dopamine, resulting in a natural high.32 Selective
attention, that is, the process of selecting and prioritizing a
small subset of sensory information for processing,33 plays an
important role in perceptual learning.34,35 Perceptual learning
is more pronounced for features that are attended or cued
than for unattended features.34 The attention-gated reinforce-
ment learning (AGREL) model35 proposes that two factors
guide learning: (1) a global neuremodulatory signal that
informs all synapses whether the outcome of a trial is better
or worse than expected, and (2) an attentional feedback
signal from the response selection stage, which restricts
plasticity to those areas that were responsible for the
behavioral choice. This suggests that perceptual learning
might be more effective if subjects are enjoying the task more
and pay more attention to it.

In addition to assessing the impact of baseline performance,
age, diagnosis, and training condition on the magnitude of
learning, we therefore evaluated the notion that training joy (“I
like this”) might matter for perceptual learning performance.
Learning outcome was quantified as the before–after difference
in visual discrimination performance on the crowded- and
uncrowded-letter discrimination task. We hypothesized that
baseline performance, in line with previous findings in
adults,8–10 is a significant predictor of perceptual learning in children with IN and that more training joy predicts a better
training outcome.

METHODS

Participants

The present study was part of a larger project in which we
assessed the effects of visual perceptual learning in children
with IN on visual performance,7 reading performance,5 and
ocular motor behavior.6 We used the data from the same
36 children with IN that were included in those previous studies
(idiopathic IN [IN]; n = 18; oculocutaneous albinism
accompanied by nystagmus [ALB/IN]; n = 18). Inclusion
criteria were age 6 to 11 years old, normal birth weight, birth
at term, no perinatal complications, normal development, and
no motor or mental impairments. Children with visual
impairment and a distance VA poorer than 1.3 logMAR or
better than 0.3 logMAR were excluded. Participants in the
crowded and uncrowded training groups were age and acuity
matched (crowded training group: mean age ± SD: 111 ± 20
months, mean acuity ± SD: 0.54 ± 0.28 logMAR; uncrowded
training group: mean age ± SD: 109 ± 18 months, mean acuity ±
SD: 0.58 ± 0.25 logMAR). The parents of all children gave
informed consent after explanation of the purpose, procedure,
and possible consequences of the study. The study was
reviewed and approved by the local ethics committee (CMO
Arnhem-Nijmegen) before the measurements and training
started. The study adhered to the principles of the Declaration
of Helsinki.

Ophthalmologic Exam

A short ophthalmologic assessment was performed at pretest
and post test for all children (see Ref. 7 for methodological
details). Monocular and binocular distance VA was measured
with the Landolt C-test at 5 m. The C-test had an uncrowded
chart, with a interelement spacing of at least 30’, and a
crowded chart, with an interelement spacing of 2.6’.36
Binocular near VA was measured at 40 cm with the LI-version
of the C-test.37

Experimental Tasks: Single- and Crowded-Letter
Task

In addition to the ophthalmologic measures, every child
performed a computerized single-letter and crowded-letter
discrimination task. Stimuli in these tasks were high-contrast
black Landolt Cs (0.3 cd/m²) with four possible orientations on
a white background (193.8 cd/m²).

Single-letter VA was measured with the single-letter task
(Fig. 1A) in which the children had to indicate the orientation
of isolated Landolt C rings that were presented for 500 ms at
the center of the screen. The computer used the uncrowded
distance VA measured during the ophthalmologic examination
as a starting point and randomly showed Landolt Cs in six
different sizes ranging from 0.2 log units below to 0.3 log units
above the uncrowded distance VA. Each size was presented 15
times so there were a total of 90 (15 × 6) trials. From the
resulting scores, the 62.5% correct uncrowded-letter discrim-
nation threshold was determined (see Ref. 7 for details).

The crowding extent was measured with the crowded-letter
task (Fig. 1B). The crowding extent refers to the spacing
between target and flanker that a child needed to identify the
target in the middle of a group of seven Landolt C rings that
appeared at the left or right side of the screen (Fig. 1B). The
target was always presented in a different orientation than the
distractors (which had the same orientation). Letter size was
kept fixed at 0.15 log units above the subject’s uncrowded
acuity threshold. Center-to-center spacing of the target and
flankers was varied to determine the 62.5% correct discrimi-
nation threshold (see Ref. 7 for details).

During the single- and crowded-letter tasks, children were
seated at 130 cm from the screen if their uncrowded distance
VA was ≤0.70 logMAR, and the distance between the central
and target stimulus was 5° to prevent the second patch from
falling outside the screen. Children with uncrowded distance
VA > 0.70 logMAR were seated at 50 cm and the distance between the central and target stimulus was 15° to prevent the patches from overlapping.

**Training**

Children were randomly divided into two age-, acuity-, and diagnosis-matched training groups: a crowded training group and an uncrowded training group. Children in the crowded training group trained with the same crowded-letter task that was performed before and after training, but during training the target-to-flanker spacing and letter size were adjusted based on the child's performance (Fig. 1D). In the uncrowded training group, the training routine was very similar to the crowded training routine, except that in the uncrowded training condition, Landolt C rings were presented in isolation instead of grouped (Fig. 1C). In the uncrowded training group, letter size was the only variable that was adapted based on performance improvements. The rationale behind implementing the two-step routine of first presenting a central probe followed by the presentation of the target patch on the left or right was to train oculomotor and attention control and possibly enhance gener-
alized learning by also training these two skills. Average reaction times of the subjects’ saccadic orienting responses toward the target stimulus were around 400 ms.

After each block of 50 trials, the children could enjoy a mini-game called “Get the picture.” After the first block, the children were shown a picture masked by a 7 × 7 rectangle matrix covering the whole picture (see Figs. 1C, 1D). The child was allowed to click the mouse one to seven times after each block, revealing the picture piece by piece. The number of clicks depended on the performance: A better performance meant more clicks. After the last block, the child could click as often as needed to reveal the whole picture. Furthermore, trial-by-trial feedback was provided by means of a happy green smiley or a neutral red smiley for a correct or incorrect answer, respectively. One training session consisted of 350 trials. Children were trained twice a week for 5 weeks, leading to a total of 3500 trials per training. See Huurneman et al.7 for further details about the training protocol.

Training Joy
At the end of each training session, children rated how much they enjoyed or did not enjoy that particular session by pointing out a smiley on a 5-point smiley scale. The child was asked the question “How much fun was today’s training?” The 5-point score is based on the Smiley Scale, which has been developed especially for use with younger children by the Junior School Project team to measure children’s attitudes toward different aspects of school life and the curriculum.38 It is easily administered and enables attitude to be assessed even when children are not fluent readers. The scale uses happy to sad faces to represent each point on the scale, which ranges from very negative (score of 1) to very positive (score of 5).38 The Smiley Scale is a reliable instrument for the testing of young children’s attitude.39

Procedure
Training began within 2 weeks after the pretests. In total, all children trained twice a week for 5 consecutive weeks, for a total of 10 training sessions. All 20-minute training sessions were performed at the child’s school. Within 2 weeks after the last training session, posttests took place.

Apparatus
The experimental tasks were run on a Dell M4700 15.6-inch laptop (Round Rock, TX, USA) and written in a Matlab environment (MathWorks, Inc., Natick, MA, USA) using the Psychophysics Toolbox version 3.0.12 extensions.40 During pre- and posttest measurements, stimuli were displayed on a 32-inch Dell Ultra HD monitor (UP3214Q 32”; 3840 × 2160 pixels; pitch 0.18 mm²). The training was executed on a 15.6-inch Dell M3800 laptop (3200 × 1800 pixels; pitch 0.11 mm²).

Statistical Analysis
Statistical analysis was done in Matlab (version 2014b; MathWorks, Inc.) using the Statistical Toolbox. We focused our analyses on the computerized uncrowded distance VA and crowding extent measures rather than on the vision chart measures for three reasons: (1) The computerized measures were more objective as they could not be influenced by the experimenter; (2) they were more sensitive and robust because the step sizes were smaller and the number of trials to estimate the thresholds was larger; and (3) the single-letter and crowded-letter computer tasks were closest to the trained task. Our oculomotor study showed that training induced improvements in visual performance are decoupled from the subjects’ eye movement behaviour and therefore are not considered as predictors for perceptual learning in the present study. Our first step was to conduct a 3-way analysis of variance with training condition and diagnosis as independent variables to evaluate whether there were main differences and/or interactions between training groups and/or diagnostic groups with regard to our two outcome measures, training-induced changes in single-letter VA and training-induced changes in crowding extent.

The next step was to conduct multiple linear regression analyses to model the training effects as a function of baseline performance (VA or crowding extent in logMAR), age (in months), training joy (mean smiley score across all 10 training sessions), diagnosis (ALB/IN [coded as 0], idiopathic IN [coded as 1]), and training condition (uncrowded [coded as 0] and crowded training group [1]). Note that the latter two variables are dichotomous variables (diagnosis and training group) whereas the first three are continuous variables (baseline performance, age, and training joy). Baseline performance, age, and training joy were not significantly correlated with one another (Pearson’s correlations r < 0.41). Missing values for training joy (n = 4) were imputed by estimating their values from the average of two imputation methods: regression imputation and mean substitution.

We used the total sum of squared error (SSE) to select variables for the best regression model. This method applied an F-test to evaluate if adding or removing terms yielded a significantly better or worse model fit given the different number of free parameters it included. Our starting model contained an intercept, linear terms for each predictor and all products of pairs of those predictors (no higher-order interaction terms). If the P value for the F-test of the change in the SSE caused by adding a term was smaller than 0.01, then the term was added. If the P value of the F-test for adding a term was larger than 0.05, the term was removed from the model. We used these relatively strict variable selection criteria (more common values for variable inclusion and exclusion are P < 0.05 and P > 0.10, respectively) to prevent overfitting our limited datasets. Values of the continuous variables were centered on their respective means. In this way, the regression coefficients associated with the two dichotomous grouping variables reflect the effect of training condition and diagnosis on an average child (average in terms of baseline performance, age, and training joy across all included children). The intercept represents the improvement induced by the uncrowded training in an average child with ALB/IN.

The contribution of a variable to the overall variance was expressed by the partial correlation coefficient or the percentage of the SSE that was uniquely explained by this variable. Contributions were considered statistically significant if the P value of the corresponding F-test was <0.05. Note that the standard errors of the regression coefficients, and hence the t-statistics, also incorporate the inevitable within-subject test-retest variability because this variability adds to the overall variance of the (within-subject) before–after difference measures.

In case one of the continuous variables showed a significant interaction with a dichotomous variable, this was considered an indication that the continuous variable had a different effect in the two groups. To determine its influence in each group, analyses were stratified by group.

Results
On average, the crowded and uncrowded training paradigms resulted in very similar, positive training outcomes.10 training
sessions (equal to ~3.3 hours of training) resulted in a significant improvement in both single-letter VA (0.085 ± 0.014 logMAR) and crowding extent (0.25 ± 0.04 logMAR). As shown in Table 1, the average improvements in VA and crowding extent were statistically significant for all subgroups (single-letter VA: $F(1,28) = 31.68, P < 0.001$; crowding extent: $F(1,30) = 46.13, P < 0.001$), but this group-level analysis revealed no significant differences between the four groups, that is, there were no interactions between or main effects of training condition and diagnosis (all $P > 0.05$). There were four missing values for the changes in single-letter VA. Two of these children had ALB/IN and completed the crowded training (ID 1 and 2), and two of these children had ALB/IN and completed the uncrowded training (ID 5 and 7). There were also two missing values for changes in crowding extent (ID 5 and 7).

While progress at the group level was very similar, the magnitude of the improvement varied considerably between subjects. To illustrate this variability across subjects, Figures 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Albinism</th>
<th></th>
<th>IIN</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Crowded</td>
<td>Uncrowded</td>
<td>Crowded</td>
<td>Uncrowded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta$ VA, logMAR</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.057 ± 0.021</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.099 ± 0.027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta$ CE, logMAR</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.252 ± 0.086</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.144 ± 0.055</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CE, crowding extent; n.s., not significant.
and 3 show scatter plots of the change in VA and crowding extent as a function of the three continuous predictor variables that were considered in our analyses. Data are stratified by the two dichotomous variables, training condition and diagnosis. Our next step was to model this interindividual variability by assessing the contributions of physiological and psychological predictors on the training outcomes using multiple linear regression analyses.

Although single-letter acuity and crowding extent were significantly correlated (before training: \( r = 0.80, P < 0.001 \); after training: \( r = 0.75, P < 0.001 \)), the training-induced improvements in these two measures were not (\( r = 0.10, P = 0.581 \)). In line with this observation, we found that the intersubject variability in these two measures of training benefit was best described by two distinct regression models.

### Training Outcome: Predictors of Changes in Single-Letter Acuity

In the best-fitting regression model for improvements in single-letter acuity, 57% of the total variance could be explained by age, training joy, and training condition (\( F(4, 27) = 8.86, P < 0.001 \); Table 2; Fig. 4). Older age was associated with larger single-letter acuity improvements (\( \beta = 0.018 \pm 0.007 \) logMAR/year, \( t(27) = 2.60, P = 0.015 \), partial correlation \( r = 0.45, R^2 = 0.20 \)). Training joy, on the other hand, had a different effect in the two training conditions (training joy \( \times \) training condition interaction: \( t(27) = -4.96, P < 0.001 \)). A post hoc analysis was therefore conducted to further characterize the effect of training joy in the two training conditions.

In the uncrowded training group, children who enjoyed the training more showed larger single-letter acuity improvements (\( \beta = 0.09 \pm 0.02 \) logMAR/point, \( t(13) = 3.98, P = 0.002 \), partial correlation \( r = 0.74, R^2 = 0.55 \)). In the crowded training group, however, improvements in single-letter VA decreased with increasing training joy (\( \beta = -0.06 \pm 0.02 \) logMAR/point, \( t(13) = -2.98, P = 0.011 \), partial correlation \( r = -0.64, R^2 = 0.41 \)). Training condition itself explained 17% of the variability; after correcting for the effects of training joy and age, children in the uncrowded training group showed larger single-letter acuity improvements than children in the crowded training group (difference: \( \beta = -0.05 \pm 0.02 \) logMAR, \( t(27) = -2.33, P = 0.027 \)).
Training Outcome: Predictors of Changes in Crowding Extent

The best-fitting model for changes in crowded extent, which included baseline crowding extent, age, diagnosis, and training condition as predictors, accounted for 56% of the total variance (R² = 0.56; Table 3; Fig. 5). The effect of baseline performance and age was different in the two diagnostic groups (i.e., baseline crowding extent × diagnosis interaction: t(26) = 3.78, P < 0.001; age × diagnosis interaction: t(26) = 2.11, P = 0.044). In addition, age had a different effect in the two training conditions (age × training condition interaction: t(26) = -2.74, P = 0.011). To investigate these interaction effects, post hoc analyses were run.

We first split the data by diagnosis to evaluate the diagnosis-dependent effects of baseline crowding extent and age. In the group of children with ALB/IN, age affected the outcome of the two types of training in a different manner (age × training condition interaction: β = -0.18 ± 0.05 logMAR/year, t(11) = -3.30, P = 0.007, partial correlation r = -0.70). The uncrowded training improved the crowded extent of children with ALB/IN (intercept: 0.17 ± 0.06 logMAR), but none of the predictors could explain any interindividual differences in its outcome for this group (P > 0.1). The effect of the crowded training, on the other hand, was on average larger (β = 0.35 ± 0.05 logMAR, t(5) = 6.47, P = 0.001) and significantly correlated with age (β = -0.16 ± 0.04 logMAR/year, t(5) = -4.14, P = 0.009); younger children with ALB/IN in the crowded training group showed larger improvements. In the idiopathic IN group, crowding extent improved equally under the two training conditions (intercept: 0.34 ± 0.06 logMAR), and poorer baseline performance was associated with larger improvements (β = 0.71 ± 0.17, t(13) = 4.28, P < 0.001, partial correlation r = 0.76). The other terms did not correlate with the interindividual changes (P > 0.1).

In the second post hoc analysis we split the data by training condition to evaluate the different effects of age on the uncrowded and crowded training. Age did not predict the changes in crowded extent for children in the uncrowded training group (P > 0.3). By contrast, age did matter in the crowded training group: Younger children showed larger improvements (β = -0.16 ± 0.04 logMAR/year, t(13) = -4.22, P = 0.001, partial correlation r = -0.77). However, the analysis above (with data split up by diagnosis) demonstrates that age influenced the effect of the crowded training only in children with ALB/IN.

After eliminating the variability that could be explained by age and baseline performance, it appeared that idiopathic IN gave a better outcome prognosis compared with ALB/IN (β = 0.16 ± 0.07 logMAR, t(26) = 2.38, P = 0.025). Likewise, we found that the crowded training was more effective in improving the crowding extent than the uncrowded training (β = 0.14 ± 0.06 logMAR, t(26) = 2.45, P = 0.021).

**DISCUSSION**

In the current study we tested if baseline performance, age, diagnosis, training condition, and training joy can predict the sensitivity to perceptual learning in children with IN. In line with recent findings in patients with amblyopia,11,13 our results show that there are indeed measurable factors that can predict the amount of improvement that children with IN have from perceptual learning. We hypothesized that lower baseline performance and more training joy would predict better training outcomes. Our results provide partial support for these hypotheses, but the relationships proved to be more complex, showing different predictors for different outcome measures of the training and different effects of the predictors for different subsets of subjects and different types of training. The difference in predictor subsets for the two outcome measures might be explained by the longer maturational time window of crowded- compared to single-letter VA and the higher sensitivity of crowded- versus single-letter tasks to pick up inaccurate attentional and gaze control.57,41,42 Below we elaborate on the predictive value of the different factors that we studied.
Baseline Performance

A tentative explanation for the finding that a larger crowding extent at baseline is predictive of a better training outcome only in children with idiopathic IN might be that children with ALB/IN typically have significant associated afferent sensory defects. Subjects with oculeucutaneous albinism, the form of albinism that all children included in our study were diagnosed with, suffer in variable degrees of hypopigmentation and have ocular abnormalities such as iris transillumination, foveal hypoplasia, optic nerve head abnormalities, and abnormal, increased crossings of optic nerve fibers.43 In individuals with idiopathic IN, there is not such an extensive retinal defect that limits vision.44,45 Neuroimaging studies indicate that subjects with albinism also show reduced gray matter volume in the posterior occipital cortex (associated with the foveal representation), a shorter calcarine fissure, increased cortical thickness in the posterior part of V1 (negatively correlated to VA in albinism), and decreased gyrification in the left ventral occipital lobe compared to controls.47 Cortical thickness in V1 is also increased in early-blind individuals, suggesting reduced pruning of synapses in V1 during the critical period.48 A lot of these changes in brain morphology are considered to be the consequence of reduced visual input. In addition, functional magnetic resonance imaging studies suggest that the cerebellum is a possible site involved in the oculomotor dysfunction associated with idiopathic IN, but there are no findings of severely altered brain morphology in subjects with idiopathic IN. Taken together, this suggests that perceptual learning in poor-sighted subjects with albinism might be limited by fundamental abnormalities of the visual system, whereas there are no such abnormalities described for subjects with idiopathic IN.

The apparent absence of a relation between baseline single-letter VA and improvements on the single-letter task might be due to the simpler task demands of the single-letter task compared to the crowded-letter task. The presence of distracters and the oculomotor demand reduced performance by ~0.2 logMAR and made it a more challenging task where there was more room for improvement possible. However, it should be noted that a recent study also did not find a significant link between pretraining performance and training-induced improvements in 9- to 18-year-old subjects with low vision.

Diagnosis

Our analysis revealed that after factoring out the differential effects of age and baseline, children with idiopathic IN did show significantly larger improvements on the crowded-letter task than children with ALB/IN. An explanation for this difference might be that perceptual learning is limited in children with albinism due to the presence of profound retinal/sensory deficits and alterations in the retinocortical visual pathway.

Age

Reviews on the effect of age on perceptual learning often report that age does not systematically account for variance in training outcome.15,52 Here, the correlation between age and training effects were different for the two outcome measures: Age showed a strongly positive correlation with improvements in single-letter VA but a strongly negative correlation with improvements in crowding extent in the crowded training group.

A tentative explanation for the positive correlation between age and VA improvements in single-letter VA is that older children have stronger visual selective attention skills that could boost learning. Reweighting theories postulate that perceptual learning involves the reweighting of connections between early- and mid/high-level brain areas over the course of the training and that higher level visual cortical areas contribute to this process.54 Furthermore, evidence is rising...
that perceptual learning operates via a reduction of internal neural noise and/or through more efficient use of the stimulus information by retuning the weighting of the information in higher-level visual decision areas.32 These decision areas are not fully developed yet the age of 6 or 7 years.55 The negative correlation between age and improvements on the crowded-letter task might be explained by the difficulty of the crowded training task. At baseline, young children struggled more with the crowded-letter task than the single-letter task. It is unclear why we observed this age effect only in the group of children with ALB/IN but not in the idiopathic IN group.

Training Condition

After controlling for the influence of other variables to the overall variability in training outcome, training condition did affect training outcome. More specifically, the uncrowded training proved to be more effective in improving the single-letter VA, while the crowded training was more effective in reducing the crowding extent. This finding could be expected, since one of the hallmarks of perceptual learning is the task specificity of learning effects.96–99

Training Joy

Previous studies have suggested a positive impact of training joy on training outcome, but, to our knowledge, this relation has never been evaluated quantitatively.52,60 Our present results do not offer support for the idea that there is a general positive effect of training joy on training outcome. In fact, we found an opposite relation between self-reported training joy and single-letter VA improvements for the two training conditions: A positive relation was observed for the uncrowded training, while a negative relation was found for the crowded training. If experiencing more training joy reinforces the learning of specific, task-relevant features,24 one would expect a positive relation between training joy and performance improvements on that trained task, but not necessarily between training joy and performance improvements on a (slightly) different, untrained task. However, this does not explain the negative association between training joy during the crowded training and improvements on the untrained, single-letter task. Moreover, training joy had no significant relation with the training-induced changes in crowding extent. We are confident that these findings are not an artifact of our imputation method to estimate the values of the four missing training joy scores; very similar results were obtained when we eliminated the four subjects with missing scores from our regression analyses. We should note, however, that there was limited interindividual variability in perceived joy; children generally rated the training paradigms as very enjoyable (average rating 4.3 ± 0.1 [SEM] on a scale of 1 to 5). Future research should adopt a study design in which the causal relation between training joy and progress can be evaluated.

Limitations

Our results indicate that training outcome cannot be predicted in a reliable manner by one single predictor; the relation between predictors and training outcome appears to be more complex. It is possible that the true relations are even more intricate; and that factors other than the ones included in our models do contribute. We cannot exclude, for example, that nystagmus frequency is also a predictor for the success of perceptual learning in children with IN. However, given the correlation between nystagmus frequency and diagnosis (nystagmus frequency was systematically lower in children with albinism than in children with idiopathic IN), it is difficult to dissociate between these two factors on the basis of our current dataset. Indeed, a clear limitation of the present study is the relatively small number of subjects in each subgroup. Note, however, that we used stringent variable selection criteria to limit the complexity of our models and focus on the strongest predictors that could help the clinician to select patients for whom perceptual learning could be a successful treatment strategy. If we had used more liberal selection criteria, there would be a higher risk of overfitting our data. Overfitting can occur when a regression model is excessively complex relative to the amount of data available and threatens the validity of inferences and predictions made by the model.61

Conclusions

Our findings support the notion that poorer initial performance predicts larger improvements in children with idiopathic IN. Surprisingly, this effect of baseline performance on improvements in the crowded-letter task was not found in children with albinism accompanied by nystagmus. Furthermore, our results indicate that diagnosis matters: Children with idiopathic IN showed larger improvements than children with albinism accompanied by nystagmus on the crowded-letter task. Against our initial expectations, we found no support for the proposition that training joy systematically enhances training outcome. Although we used only a modest amount of training, our regression analyses did reveal the contributions of age, baseline performance, and diagnosis in explaining variability in perceptual learning outcome in children with IN. Our study is the first to identify diagnosis and baseline performance as possible prognostic factors for perceptual learning outcome in children with IN.
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