A ge-related macular degeneration (AMD) is the leading cause of irreparable loss of vision in developing and developed countries. It is a disease characterized by the deposition of extracellular material, collectively described as drusen, under the RPE, and in severe cases can lead to the loss of central vision. Reticular pseudodrusen (RPD) are an imaging marker in the fundi of some patients with AMD, and have been associated with choroidal thinning as well as the development of late-stage AMD in the forms of choroidal neovascularization and geographic atrophy. In AMD patients, choroidal thinning may reflect a common pathway for both RPD in AMD as well as peripapillary atrophy (PPA), which have been linked to normal-tension glaucoma (NTG). This analysis sought to determine whether RPD are independently associated with NTG.

METHODS. This prospective cross-sectional study examined 78 age- and sex-matched early AMD patients: 43 RPD patients (63 eyes) and 35 non-RPD patients (64 eyes). Exclusion criteria included advanced AMD, high myopia, and vitreoretinal conditions/surgery. RPD and non-RPD groups were identified by confocal scanning laser ophthalmoscopy. PPA as well as CDR were graded on digital, nonstereoscopic fundus photos. SFCT was measured on spectral-domain optical coherence tomography for 69 patients (35 RPD and 34 non-RPD). IOP and glaucoma diagnosis were extracted from charts.

RESULTS. β-PPA had a greater prevalence in RPD than non-RPD (44% vs. 19%, P = 0.002); however, this relationship was not significant when SFCT was added to the model (P = 0.150). A preexisting diagnosis of glaucoma (P = 0.156), CDR (P = 0.176), and IOP (P = 0.98) was not different between groups.

CONCLUSIONS. RPD in early AMD are associated with presence of β-PPA, but choroidal thickness is a confounder in this relationship. Because β-PPA is a common finding in NTG, focusing on a potential shared pathway between RPD and NTG could improve the understanding of pathophysiology and expand therapies for each condition.
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between RPD and glaucoma. Although RPD are traditionally considered a macular finding, studies have found that in approximately 50% of RPD eyes, RPD are located nasal to the optic nerve and are frequently found in the peripapillary region. The association between RPD and PPA has been seen in early work on late, atrophic AMD. However, to our knowledge, an association between RPD and PPA in patients with early AMD has not been studied.

This study aimed to evaluate the relationship between RPD in early AMD and the following variables: β-PPA, preexisting diagnosis of glaucoma, cup-to-disc ratio (CDR), subfoveal choroidal thickness (SFCT), and IOP. We hypothesized that all of these variables will be associated with the presence of RPD.

**METHODS**

This analysis used images collected by a study that had approval through the Columbia University Medical Center Institutional Review Board/Ethics Board, complied with the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act regulations, and adhered to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki. Informed consent was obtained from the subjects after explanation of the nature and possible consequences of the study.

This cross-sectional analysis consisted of an evaluation of 146 consecutive AMD patients who were enrolled in the study and imaged with confocal scanning laser ophthalmoscopy (cSLO) imaging (including infrared [IR] and autofluorescence [AF] imaging) between January 1, 2005, and September 1, 2012. Exclusion criteria included advanced AMD, which was defined as presence of geographic atrophy or choroidal neovascularization, as well as myopia greater than –6 dioptries, central serous chorioretinopathy, other vitreoretinal conditions, and vitreoretinal surgery. Presence of geographic atrophy was defined as ≥500 μm² area of RPE loss seen on IR or AF imaging, or if atrophy was documented on clinical examination.

All patients’ ophthalmic examinations, fundus photographs, and cSLO imaging were performed within 18 months. A comprehensive chart review was performed to document age, sex, race, lens status, best corrected visual acuity (BCVA), a preexisting diagnosis of glaucoma, and IOP.

**Image Acquisition and Analysis**

High-resolution digital color fundus photographs were taken with an FF 450plus with VISUPAC camera (Carl Zeiss Meditec, Dublin, CA, USA). AF, IR, and SD-OCT imaging were obtained by cSLO imaging (Heidelberg Spectralis HRA+OCT version 1.7.0.0; Heidelberg Engineering, Heidelberg, Germany). For AF images, the instrument uses blue laser light at 488 nm for illumination and a barrier filter at 500 nm. The IR images are obtained at 810 nm. The images were viewed with Heidelberg software (Spectralis Viewing Module 5.4.6.0; Heidelberg Engineering).

Horizontal SD-OCT sections centered on the fovea were used for SFCT calculations. SFCT was defined as the outer portion of the hyperreflective line corresponding to the RPE up to the inner surface of the sclera, and was measured using the manual caliper tool in Heidelberg Eye Explorer interactive software. Choroidal thickness measurements were performed in a masked fashion by a grader (AG) and reviewed by a retinal specialist (SB).

**Classification of Disease**

All eyes included in the study were classified as groups 1, 2, or 3 by the International Classification and Grading System for ARM (age-related maculopathy). This classification is summarized in Table 1 and denotes early-stage AMD as the presence of few hard, soft distinct, or soft indistinct drusen, and/or RPE hyperpigmentation and/or hypopigmentation. Eyes with geographic atrophy and/or choroidal neovascularization are classified as having advanced AMD.

Preexisting glaucoma diagnoses were counted by person, not eye. That is, if a patient’s chart listed only one eye as having glaucomatous nerve damage, the patient was considered to have glaucoma. IOPs recorded in charts were considered independently per eye. IOP values were categorized into three categories: low (<12 mm Hg), medium (12–17 mm Hg), and high (>17 mm Hg).

RPD were defined as networks of hypoautofluorescent lesions against a background of mildly elevated autofluorescence on AF imaging, and groupings of hyporeflectant lesions against a background of mildly elevated hyperfluorescence on IR imaging. A patient was defined as having RPD if either eye had evidence of RPD anywhere in the macula.

RPD status was agreed on by three retinal specialists (MO, SY, SB). All graders were masked to the glaucoma specialists’ grading of β-PPA and CDR, as well as chart-documented glaucoma and IOP.

Digital, nonstereoscopic color fundus photographs were graded for β-PPA and CDR by two glaucoma specialists (DMB, LA) who were masked to RPD status. The zone of β-PPA was defined by chorioretinal atrophy with large visible choroidal vessels and sclera. Of note, the peripapillary region’s
scleral ring, which was a thin white band encircling the disc boundary, was not considered to be PPA.28 When differences in CDR values evaluated by the two graders were $<0.2$, they were averaged between the graders. CDR values were stratified into two categories, low ($\leq0.4$) and high ($>0.4$). Each eye was independently considered for each variable, $\beta$-PPA, and CDR; the presence of $\beta$-PPA in one eye did not affect the evaluation of the fellow eye, and likewise the value of CDR did not affect evaluation in the fellow eye. The two graders collaboratively arbitrated diagnoses that were discrepant in the evaluation of $\beta$-PPA and CDR.

We first examined the association of RPD to these four “glaucoma-related” variables, $\beta$-PPA, previous diagnosis of glaucoma, IOP, and CDR. We assessed these variables individually using univariable logistic regression analyses with RPD as the outcome variable. The variables that reached statistical significance by $P < 0.20$ in the univariable analysis were included in the multivariable analyses.

A multivariable logistic regression was fitted by a generalized estimating equation approach that assumed an exchangeable correlation between outcomes in each eye, with RPD as the outcome variable. For the multivariable regression, person-specific covariates included in the models were age, sex, and race and eye-specific covariates included AMD status, pseudophakic status, and BCVA. SFCT measurements were added to the multivariable regression model for the 69 patients who had this imaging available. Analyses were conducted using Stata 13.1 (StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA).

**RESULTS**

**Patient Demographics and Clinical Characteristics**

A total of 78 patients were included in the analysis. Forty-three patients (63 eyes) were diagnosed as having RPD, whereas 35 patients (64 eyes) were classified as non-RPD. Tables 2 and 3 summarize demographics of the studied groups. As mentioned previously, presence of RPD in either or both eyes classified the patient in the RPD group. SD-OCT imaging was available for 69 patients from the analyzed group. Of these, 35 patients (52 eyes) were classified as RPD and 34 patients (59 eyes) were classified as non-RPD.

Of the original group of 146 AMD patients who consecutively enrolled in the study, 68 were excluded for the following reasons: diagnosis of advanced AMD in both eyes (31), incomplete charts and imaging (10), high myopia (1), and poor image quality of both eyes (16). Subjects older than 86 years were excluded (eight RPD, two non-RPD) to age-match the two groups. From the group of 78 included subjects, 23 eyes of 23 subjects were excluded due to advanced AMD, and 6 eyes of 6 subjects were excluded due to poor image quality. As a result, 63 RPD and 64 non-RPD eyes were part of the final analysis.

BCVA was converted to the logMAR scale. The RPD and non-RPD groups had similar distributions with regard to AMD classification by the International Classification and Grading System for ARM.26 No significant differences were found between the RPD and non-RPD groups in age ($P = 0.07$; Student's $t$-test for independence), sex ($P = 0.43$; $\chi^2$ test for independence), BCVA ($P = 0.06$; Student's $t$-test for independence), and pseudophakia ($P = 0.09$; $\chi^2$ test for independence).

**Findings on Image Analysis and Chart Review**

$\beta$-PPA had a greater prevalence in RPD than non-RPD (44% vs. 19%; $P = 0.002$). Table 4 summarizes results of the univariable logistic regression models. After adjusting for confounders described above, the $\beta$-PPA group demonstrated a significantly greater prevalence of RPD than the group without $\beta$-PPA (adjusted odds ratio [OR] 3.46). However, when choroidal thickness was taken into account, RPD was no longer an independent predictor of $\beta$-PPA ($P = 0.150$), while SFCT was ($P = 0.005$). RPD was found to be a predictor of SFCT in this study ($P = 0.010$) as other analyses have demonstrated. There was no difference in the presence of RPD based on IOP ($P = 0.98$) or CDR ($P = 0.176$), and no association was found between RPD and preexisting glaucoma diagnosis ($P = 0.156$).

Representative images of non-RPD and RPD are demonstrated in Figures 2 and 3.

**DISCUSSION**

Our analysis is the first large study that evaluated the relationship between RPD and the presence of $\beta$-PPA in an exclusively early AMD population. In this study, the adjusted odds of $\beta$-PPA occurring in RPD were 3.46; however, this significant relationship was confounded by SFCT. Thinned choroid appears to be associated with both glaucomatous atrophy and RPD, but we are not able to identify an independent association between the latter two variables. We propose that the connection between RPD and PPA may have a choroidal origin.

**Table 2. Demographics of Study Subjects**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>RPD, $n = 43$ Subjects</th>
<th>Non-RPD, $n = 35$ Subjects</th>
<th>$P$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>76.7 ± 6.1</td>
<td>73.9 ± 7.2</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. females</td>
<td>34 (79%)</td>
<td>25 (71%)</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Demographics of groups, RPD and non-RPD, for variables that characterized subjects, including age and sex.

**Table 3. Characteristics of Case and Control Eyes**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>RPD, $n = 63$ eyes</th>
<th>Non-RPD, $n = 64$ eyes</th>
<th>$P$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pseudophakic</td>
<td>29 (46%)</td>
<td>20 (31%)</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCVA</td>
<td>0.229 ± 0.20</td>
<td>0.171 ± 0.15</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Demographics of groups, RPD and non-RPD, for variables that characterized eyes, including lens status, BCVA in logMAR units, and AMD classification.

Results of comparison of RPD and non-RPD groups in the following variables: presence of $\beta$-PPA, preexisting diagnosis of glaucoma, CDR, and IOP. Of note, preexisting diagnosis of glaucoma is compared between patients, whereas the other variables are compared between eyes. SE: Standard Error; OR, Odds Ratio.
Early work has demonstrated an association between β-PPA and choroidal thinning in the setting of late AMD,25 but to our knowledge, no studies, including our own, have shown an independent relationship between β-PPA and RPD. Switzer and colleagues4 examined 90 early AMD eyes with enhanced-depth imaging SD-OCT and found that subfoveal choroidal thinning was significantly associated with β-PPA, RPD, and glaucoma. However, the relationships these variables had with one another were not examined. Our study similarly examined factors associated with glaucoma in an early AMD population; however, our analysis went further. We divided the early AMD population into those with RPD and without RPD and compared the prevalence of various factors associated with glaucoma between the two groups. Although an independent association between β-PPA and RPD was not demonstrated, we found that choroidal thinning may be the common pathway through which these variables are related.

Additionally, a report by Spaide11 on 17 patients with early and advanced AMD found an association between choroidal thinning and a diagnosis of glaucoma. However, this study did not take into consideration the presence or absence of RPD, which was the characteristic that differentiated our case and control groups. Additionally, Spaide’s analysis11 was potentially confounded by its mixed population of early and advanced AMD, whereas our study included only early AMD patients. Late dry AMD is characterized by geographic atrophy,26 which in turn is a known risk factor for choroidal29 and retinal thinning,27,28 due to degeneration of the RPE and neurosensory retina.32 Thinning of the retinal nerve fiber layer is known to predispose the patient to visual field damage and the development of glaucoma.33,34 Given the confounded relationship among RPD, β-PPA, and SFCT, we hypothesize that the pathogenesis of choroidal thinning may be the same as that of the development of glaucomatous β-PPA.14 Histologic comparison of POAG eyes with normal eyes demonstrated choroidal thinning in glaucomatous eyes, which was attributed to shrinkage of choroidal vessel caliber and decrease in vessel density.25 More recently, thinning of the peripapillary choroid of glaucoma patients has been seen on SD-OCT imaging.25,55 Additionally, peripapillary changes have been demonstrated in angiography of glaucoma patients. Fluorescein angiography of NTG eyes has demonstrated a decrease in blood flow in retinal vessels, peripapillary choroid, and optic disc that correlated with visual field loss.57 Studies using indocyanine green angiography have corroborated this by demonstrating late-phase hypofluorescent areas in the peripapillary region of two-thirds of glaucomatous eyes and only 20% of control eyes.38 One theory for this was the absence of choriocapillaris tissue in the peripapillary region,38 which aligns with our hypothesis that a common pathway of both RPD and β-PPA is choroidal thinning. This is also in agreement with studies using SD-OCT that have found an association between choroidal thinning and the presence of β-PPA,4 as well as choroidal thinning and NTG.22

Given the known association between β-PPA and NTG, we are proposing that future studies investigate the relationship between RPD and NTG. RPD have been associated with vascular abnormalities primarily in the choroid. In a study by Sohrab et al.,39 intravascular choroidal stroma identified on SD-OCT imaging colocalized to RPD seen in red-free, autofluorescence, and infrared images. Additionally, histopathologic examination of an eye with RPD showed loss of choroidal layers and fibrous replacement of stroma.7 More recent SD-OCT studies have found choroidal thinning associated with RPD.3,5 Likewise, NTG has been linked to conditions of vascular instability, such as disc hemorrhage, migraines, and Raynaud’s phenomenon.15,40–42 These studies and ours provide supportive evidence that a diagnosis of glaucoma, β-PPA, and RPD may be related by the common pathway of choroidal abnormalities.

**Figure 2.** Images from an 84-year-old female patient with stage 2 AMD and RPD. Color fundus photography (left) and red-free imaging (middle left) demonstrate ≥ and β-PPA. IR (middle right) and AF (right) imaging show hyporeflectant and hypofluorescent networks, respectively, which are consistent with RPD.

**Figure 3.** Images from a 68-year-old male patient with stage 2 AMD without RPD. Color fundus photography (left) and red-free imaging (middle left) demonstrate the lack of ≥ or β-PPA. IR (middle right) and AF (right) imaging depict the absence of RPD.
There is some conflicting evidence on the association between a thinned choroid and glaucoma. Peripapillary as well as macular choroidal thinning have been associated with glaucoma in several studies, but some analyses refute this theory. An explanation for these findings may be that choroidal thinning is not linked to all forms of glaucoma, but is specifically found in glaucoma associated with vascular factors, such as NTG. Two studies have found no significant choroidal thinning in NTG patients as compared with healthy controls, but these analyses examined macular as opposed to peripapillary choroidal thinning.

Because of the known association of β-PPA and NTG, we believe our results merit a further investigation of the risk of NTG in the setting of RPD. Although our study did not find an independent association between preexisting glaucoma and RPD, there may be some assessment bias regarding glaucoma detection, as we relied on a preexisting diagnosis and not prospective evaluation. Additionally, the patients in our study were primarily AMD patients, and thus may not have undergone glaucoma surveillance in their visit to the retina clinic. Furthermore, we were not powered to detect differences in glaucoma prevalence between the two groups. Future studies should consider a full glaucoma evaluation rather than relying on a preexisting diagnosis.

This study has some limitations. As mentioned above, our small sample size may have precluded us from reaching significance in the studied variables. Second, nonstereoscopic digital photographs were used to assess CDR and PPA. Last, we do not have axial length measurements on all of our patients. There remains a concern that a myopic disc could be incorrectly read as β-PPA; although some number of myopic discs may exist, we anticipate they would be evenly distributed between the RPD and non-RPD groups.

A link between RPD and NTG deserves further investigation. Focusing on a potential shared pathway could improve the sensitivity of diagnostic screenings and allow for the application of therapies that modulate choroidal structure and function to provide a viable intervention for both AMD and glaucoma.
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