Interspecies Variation of Outer Retina and Choriocapillaris Imaged With Optical Coherence Tomography

Petr Soukup,1,2 Peter Maloca,3–5 Bernd Altmann,1 Matthias Festag,1 Elke-Astrid Atzpodien,1 and Simon Pot2

1Roche Pharmaceutical Research and Early Development, Pharmaceutical Sciences, Roche Innovation Center, Basel, Switzerland
2Ophthalmology Section, Equine Department, Vetsuisse Faculty, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland
3Institute of Molecular and Clinical Ophthalmology Basel (IOB), Basel, Switzerland
4OCTlab Research Laboratory, Department of Ophthalmology, University of Basel, Basel, Switzerland
5Moorfields Eye Hospital, London, United Kingdom

Correspondence: Petr Soukup, Ophthalmology Section, Vetsuisse Faculty, University of Zurich, Winterthurerstrasse 260, Zurich 8057, Switzerland; petr.soukup@uzh.ch.

Petr Soukup, Peter Maloca, Bernd Altmann, Matthias Festag, Elke-Astrid Atzpodien, and Simon Pot contributed equally to the work presented here and should therefore be regarded as equivalent first authors.

Submitted: November 28, 2018
Accepted: June 29, 2019


Purpose. The purpose of this study is to assess with spectral-domain optical coherence tomography (OCT) the interspecies variation of outer retinal morphology and identification of choriocapillaris in four research animal species.

Methods. Spectralis HRA+OCT images acquired from locations dorsal, central, and ventral to the optic disc in healthy, anesthetized animals were evaluated by two independent readers. First, the number of OCT B-scans on which a choriocapillaris layer could clearly be identified was determined and quantified, and B-scans were correlated with histology. Second, B-scans demonstrating the highest number of discernable individual outer retinal bands (ORBs) were defined as ideal presentation and quantified. Interrater agreement was evaluated.

Results. Five-hundred seventy-four B-scans from 96 subjects were evaluated. The choriocapillaris layer was identified in 100.0% of minipigs, 70.8% of rabbit, 75.4% of pigmented rat, 77.7% of albino rat, 56.5% of pigmented mouse, and 50.8% of albino mouse OCT scans. The percentage of ideal ORB presentation in B-scans was 11.7% in minipigs, 73.8% in rabbits, and 80.0%, 91.0%, 28.5%, and 62.5% in pigmented rats and mice and albino rats and mice, respectively. The interrater evaluation for both attributes showed substantial to perfect agreement in all species.

Conclusions. The choriocapillaris is an easy and valid marker for identification of the outer retinal margin. ORB presentation likely varies due to differences in retinal anatomy and pigmentation between animal species and strains and between anatomic locations. Proper and consistent outer retinal margin and ORB identification are essential for research result reproducibility and translation.
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Optical coherence tomography (OCT) is a noninvasive in vivo imaging technique used extensively to visualize the posterior segment of the eye and has become the standard of retinal imaging in preclinical ophthalmic research involving research animals.2–4 The outer retina is the area occupied by the photoreceptor inner and outer segments (OS) and RPE and is defined by the external limiting membrane (ELM) internally and by the RPE/Bruch’s membrane complex (RPE/BM) externally. Four distinct hyperreflective outer retinal bands (ORBs) can be distinguished in humans using spectral domain OCT (SD-OCT).5 These hyperreflective bands have been under vigorous investigation to determine their correct origin and correlation to histologic layers.6–8 Although scientific unity has not been reached yet,9–11 a consensus statement regarding the nomenclature of the hyperreflective bands that can be distinguished on OCT in the outer retina in humans was developed.12 The nomenclature described in this consensus statement is listed in Table 1 and used throughout this paper.

Outer retinal band integrity and outer retinal thickness as they appear on OCT have been studied extensively due to their prognostic value, being predictive of visual outcome in many retinal diseases like AMD, diabetic retinopathy, retinal detachment, or retinal degeneration.13–16 Mice17–20 and rats21–24 are commonly used in preclinical ophthalmic research. Less frequently used species include nonhuman primates,25–27 rabbits,28–31 pigs,32,33 minipigs,34 guinea pigs,35 dogs, cats,36–38 tree shrews,39 gerbils,40 and ground squirrels.41 Frogs42–44 and zebrafish45,46 are the more commonly used nonmammalian species. Despite the common use of various animal species in preclinical ophthalmic research involving OCT, no consensus exists regarding the nomenclature of the outer retinal bands (ORBs) distinguishable on OCT in different species. On the contrary, the identification/nomenclature of ORB and the definition of the retinal/chorioidal junction on OCT in various species in the scientific literature is contradictory as illustrated by the following examples. The publications from Gloesmann et al.13 and Slijkerman et al.47 show figures with contradicting information regarding the
OCT images in the same anatomic locations across the same four animal species. The percentage of OCT B-scans with ideal ORB presentation was quantified.

**METHODS**

The experimental preclinical testing protocols were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the Cantonal Veterinary Office Basel, Basel, Switzerland. The animal facility is accredited by the Association for Assessment and Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care International. All animals were treated in accordance with the guidelines of the ARVO Statement for the Use of Animals in Ophthalmic and Vision Research and the applicable Swiss regulations.

**Animals**

OCT B-scans of untreated control animals enrolled in various preclinical drug trials were retrieved from the OCT database at the Roche Innovation Center Basel. All animals underwent complete ophthalmic examinations including slit-lamp biomicroscopy, indirect ophthalmoscopy, and IOP measurement at baseline and before imaging. Animals were excluded from the trials if optical axis opacities were present at these time points.

**Image Acquisition**

All images were acquired with a Spectralis HRA/OCT combined confocal scanning laser ophthalmoscopy (cSLO) and SD-OCT system (Heidelberg Engineering, Heidelberg, Germany) equipped with a widefield 55° noncontact lens (Heidelberg Engineering). All imaging was performed between 8 AM and 1 PM with the animals under general anesthesia, induced and maintained using routine and approved protocols (Supplementary Table S1). The eyes were not dark adapted and were dilated with 0.5% tropicamide eye drops (Mydriaticum Nissel, Brackley, UK) were applied to the cornea in rodents to protect the cornea from desiccation and to reduce noise in the OCT images.

Horizontal B-scans were acquired from three anatomic locations as depicted in Figure 1: central scans through the optic disc and dorsal and ventral scans located one optic disc diameter distance dorsal and ventral from the optic disc border, respectively. The B-scans were oriented parallel to the extension of the medullary rays as observed on cSLO images. All B-scans were acquired and evaluated in Spectralis software V6.9a (Heidelberg Engineering) and fulfilled the following criteria: 55° length, averaged over 40 B-scans or more, HR mode (high resolution), no enhanced depth imaging. The Spectralis system uses a signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) in decibels with an identifiable choriocapillaris band in the superior, central, and inferior retina in four common experimental animal species (minipig, rabbit, rat, mouse). OCT findings were correlated with histology. Second, we hypothesized that the presentation of ORB on OCT might vary across species on account of interspecies differences in retinal anatomy. We therefore defined the ideal presentation of ORB on best quality OCT images in the same anatomic locations across the same four animal species. The percentage of OCT B-scans with ideal ORB presentation was quantified.

**Table 1. Nomenclature of Outer Retinal Bands Visible on SD-OCT B-Scan Images in Humans (Adapted From Staurenghi et al.12)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reflectivity on SD-OCT</th>
<th>Name of Zone According to OCT Consensus12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hyperreflective</td>
<td>External limiting membrane (ELM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyporeflective</td>
<td>Myoid zone of the photoreceptors (MZ)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyporeflective</td>
<td>Ellipsoid zone of the photoreceptors (EZ)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyporeflective</td>
<td>Outer segments of the photoreceptors (OS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperreflective</td>
<td>Photoreceptor interdigitation with RPE (IZ)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperreflective</td>
<td>RPE/BM complex (RPE/BM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thin layer of moderate</td>
<td>Choriocapillaris (CC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reflectivity in inner</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>choroid</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

localization of the OS and RPE/BM zones in pigs. The publications from Muraoka et al.30 and Bartuma et al.48 disagree regarding labeling of the interdigitation zone (IZ), RPE zone, and BM zone in rabbits. In rats, the publications from Yamauchi et al.24 and Lozano and Twa22 show the RPE/BM zone in different localizations, whereas the publications from Hein et al.47 and Hariri et al.51 identify the same structure once as inner segment (IS) (combined myoid and ellipsoid zones [EZs]) and once as OS zone. In mice, the publication from Ferguson et al.15 identifies a hyperreflective structure as RPE/BM, whereas Zam et al.18 identify a hyperreflective structure as RPE/BM. Similar concerns regarding the completeness and consistency across research groups of layer and band designation and labeling nomenclature of mouse retinal OCTs were raised by DeRamus et al.49. We assume that these discrepancies are partially caused by the use of different OCT technologies, the rapid development of new OCT technologies with increased image resolution, and the changes in nomenclature during the years when the studies referenced earlier were published. Moreover, we believe that a direct, and possibly erroneous, translation of knowledge regarding ORB anatomy in humans to various animal species might have also facilitated such discrepancies. A direct application of human OCT layer definitions to animal OCTs might not be possible due to the fact that retinal anatomy varies across species as a result of differences in photoreceptor length and morphology, rod and cone ratios, or organelle distribution in the RPE.30,31 Correct identification of the outer retinal margin is vital for repeatable retinal thickness measurements and proper identification of ORB on OCT images across species. In humans, the outermost hyperreflective layer, later confirmed to be the RPE/BM,7 has been a reliable identifier of the outer retinal margin since the early days of OCT examinations.51 However, choroidal structures can have similar or higher reflectivity than the RPE/BM complex and can thus be used as a reliable marker to define the outer retinal margin. We therefore quantified the percentage of OCT B-scans...
as internal instrument B-scan quality value. B-scans with an SNR ratio lower than 24 dB were excluded from the analyses to insure the use of best-quality images, as specified by the cSLO and SD-OCT system and Heyex software manufacturer and in previous publications.53–56 A maximum number of 128 B-scans was evaluated per species/strain (minipigs, rabbits, pigmented and albino rats and pigmented and albino mice; details in Supplementary Table S2). Animals were included if both eyes could be examined, and at least four scans fulfilled the criteria specified above. Twelve additional B-scans from four cynomolgus monkeys were included to illustrate the nonhuman primate choriocapillaris for histology comparison purposes.

Image Evaluation and Statistics

B-scans were independently evaluated by two experienced OCT readers (PS, PM). First, the number of OCT B-scans on which a choriocapillaris layer could clearly be identified was determined per anatomic location and quantified as number of OCT B-scans with identifiable choriocapillaris layer per total number of OCT B-scans. The choriocapillaris was identified on OCT scans as the innermost narrow horizontal hyporeflective linear structure of the choroid with hyporeflective canals indicating vascular connections to the more externally located major choroidal vessels. Second, B-scans demonstrating the highest discernible number of individual ORB for a specific species were defined as ideal scan presentation for that particular species. The number of ideal presentation B-scans per total number of B-scans was determined, and different anatomic locations were compared per species. Differences in SNR quality of OCT B-scans between species were evaluated with a two-tailed Mann-Whitney U test. Influence of the pigmentation status of rats and mice on choriocapillaris layer identifiability and ORB discernibility was evaluated with a Pearson’s χ² test. P < 0.05 was considered to represent a statistically significant difference between compared samples. The interrater agreement and discrepancies between OCT readers regarding choriocapillaris layer identifiability and ORB discernibility were statistically evaluated through Cohen’sκ coefficient calculation with agreement indication according to Landis and Koch.57,58

Comparison of OCT B-Scan Findings to Histology

All the animals presented in this study were euthanized for reasons related to the original preclinical drug trials and were enrolled as healthy untreated or vehicle-treated controls. Clinical or imaging abnormalities were not observed in any of these animals. The enucleated eyes were immersed intact in Davidson solution (A3200; PanReac AppliChem, Darmstadt, Germany) for 48 hours and postfixed in 70% ethanol for 24 hours before dissection and standard automated dehydration and paraffin-embedding processing (Tissue-Tek VIP 5; Sakura, Alphen aan den Rijn, The Netherlands). The eyes were retrieved from the tissue archive at the Roche Innovation Center Basel. Four-micrometer-thick sections were cut at the locations of the OCT scan examinations and stained with hematoxylin-eosin. The slides were evaluated via bright-field microscopy and documented by CCD camera (Zeiss Axioscope A1 with C-Apochromat 63×/NA 1.20 W objective and Axioacam 305; Carl Zeiss, Feldbach, Switzerland). Histology served to verify the position of the choriocapillaris layer and the presence of vascular connections to the more externally located major choroidal vessel layer as identified on the OCT B-scans.

RESULTS

Overall, 574 B-scans from 96 subjects were evaluated. The SNR quality of the images from the minipigs (28.7), rabbits (29.6), and pigmented (29.5) and albino rats (29.4) was comparable (P > 0.19, Mann-Whitney U test). Compared with the other species examined, the SNR quality of the images from pigmented and albino mice was significantly higher (32.8, P < 1E⁻⁵) and lower (26.1, P < 1E⁻³), respectively. A narrow linear hyporeflective structure directly adjacent and external to and parallel with the hyperreflective RPE/BM complex was presumed to represent the choriocapillaris on OCT scans (Figs. 2A, 2B). Histology on the same minipig eye confirmed the presence of a choriocapillaris of similar thickness and identical anatomic localization (Figs. 2C, 2D). Vascular connections between the choriocapillaris and the more externally located major choroidal vessels could be localized on both OCT B-scans and histology sections in all species evaluated (Fig. 5). The choriocapillaris visibility and ideal ORB presentation results across species and strains are summarized in Figures 4 and 5, respectively. The ideal ORB presentation on SD-OCT B-scans is illustrated with longitudinal reflectivity profile for each species in Figure 6. A selection of SD-OCT B-scans including longitudinal reflectivity profiles with nonideal ORB presentation for each species is illustrated in Supplementary Figure S1. Interrater reliability κ statistics for the evaluation of choriocapillaris visibility and ORB presentation are summarized in Table 2.

Minipigs

One hundred twenty-eight B-scans from 20 animals (Göttingen Minipigs, Ellegaard, Denmark) were evaluated. The choriocapillaris was identifiable with perfect interrater reliability (κ = 1) on all B-scans. The ideal ORB presentation consisted of three hyperreflective bands (Fig. 6) and was identified on 11.7% of

FIGURE 1. Methodology of SD-OCT B-scan acquisition in dorsal (D) central (C) and ventral (V) fundus locations across species. OCT B-scan localization illustrated on overview images of the normal fundus of a minipig, rabbit, rat, and mouse acquired via cSLO. All OCT B-scans were acquired in a horizontal plane. Central (C) scans were centered through the optic disc. Dorsal (D) and ventral (V) scans were located one optic disc diameter distance dorsal and ventral from the optic disc border, respectively. In rabbits, the thick and optically reflective medullary rays preclude the visualization of any retinal details in central section scans; therefore, paracentral B-scans located directly ventral to the extension of the medullary rays were acquired instead (arrow).
the B-scans (all absolute values included in Supplementary Table S2). There was a large location difference with most of the ideal scans identified at the dorsal location (27.9%) compared with the central (2.3%) and ventral (4.9%) locations. Two hyperreflective bands could be identified on most B-scans. The interrater reliability demonstrated an almost perfect agreement ($\kappa = 0.85$). Interestingly, a faint separation line was identified within the second hyperreflective band on five B-scans with ideal ORB presentation (Fig. 6).

**Rabbits**

Sixty-five B-scans from 12 rabbits (Dutch-belted Pigmented Rabbits, Covance, Denver, CO, USA) were evaluated. The choriocapillaris layer was identifiable on 70.8% of B-scans. A large difference between dorsal, paracentral, and ventral locations was observed with the choriocapillaris layer identifiable on 17.4%, 100%, and 100% of the B-scans, respectively. The ideal ORB presentation consisted of three hyperreflective bands (Fig. 6) and was identified on 73.8% of the scans. Most of the ideal ORB presentation scans were in the paracentral (100%) and ventral locations (92.1%) compared with the dorsal location (32.6%). The hyporeflective band between the second and third hyperreflective bands appeared thickened in the paracentral and ventral locations compared with the dorsal location. The interrater reliability for both visibility of choriocapillaris ($\kappa = 0.93$) and ideal ORB presentation ($\kappa = 0.92$) indicated almost perfect agreement.

**FIGURE 2.** Correlation of SD-OCT and histology of minipig eye. (A) SD-OCT B-scan of the minipig retina passing through the optic nerve head (†) with details in a cut out (B) depicting two large choroidal vessels (*, *). A narrow linear hyporeflective structure directly adjacent and external to and parallel with the hyperreflective RPE/BM complex was presumed to be the choriocapillaris on this OCT scan (arrow in B). (C) Hematoxylin-eosin microphotograph of the corresponding area in the same eye. The cut out (D) depicts a single layer of erythrocytes representing the choriocapillaris (arrow) directly external to the RPE and Bruch’s membrane. The same two large choroidal vessels are marked (*, *) in the SD-OCT scan (B) and histology image (C). Axial scale bars: 100 μm.

**FIGURE 3.** Localization of the choriocapillaris and connecting vasculature across common laboratory animal species. The choriocapillaris is marked in all SD-OCT B-scans and histology images with asterisks and the connecting vasculature, precapillary arterioles and postcapillary venules, with arrows. On histology specimens, these vascular structures were more readily visible in eyes that were not completely bled out (NHP, nonhuman primate; minipig). No difference was observed between pigmented and albino rodent strains regarding the shape of the connecting vasculature. Pigmented strain/species marked with brown circles; albino strain/species with gray circles. Axial scale bars: 100 μm. Microscopy scale bar applies to all histology images.
Rats

One hundred ninety-three rat retina B-scans were evaluated, of which 65 were from pigmented rats \( (n=9) \) (Brown-Norway; Charles River, Sulzfeld, Germany) and 128 from albino rats \( (n=24) \) (Wistar [Han9]; Charles River). The choriocapillaris was identifiable on 75.4% of pigmented rat and 77.7% of albino rat B-scans with no significant difference between strains \( (P_{\text{Observer1}} = 0.94, P_{\text{Observer2}} = 0.52, \text{Pearson’s } \chi^2) \). There were some differences across the B-scan locations. The choriocapillaris was visible on 85.7% of dorsal, 74.1% of central, and 64.7% of ventral location B-scans from pigmented rats, whereas albino rats had a visible choriocapillaris on 73.5% of dorsal, 88.5% of central, and 58.6% of ventral B-scans. The ideal ORB presentation consisted of four hyperreflective bands (Fig. 6) and was observed on 80.0% of pigmented rat B-scans compared with 28.5% of albino rat B-scans, which was a highly significant difference for both observers \( (P_{\text{Observer1}} < 1 \times 10^{-5}, P_{\text{Observer2}} < 1 \times 10^{-5}, \text{Pearson’s } \chi^2) \).

Differences in ideal ORB presentation across locations were observed in pigmented (85.7% dorsal, 74.1% central, and 64.7% ventral) and in albino rats (32.4% dorsal, 32.3% central, and 15.5% ventral). The interrater reliability for choriocapillaris visibility \( (\kappa_{\text{pigmented}} = 0.75, \kappa_{\text{albino}} = 0.71) \) indicated substantial agreement between OCT scan readers, whereas the interrater reliability for ideal ORB presentation \( (\kappa_{\text{pigmented}} = 0.90, \kappa_{\text{albino}} = 0.87) \) indicated almost perfect agreement.

Mice

One hundred eighty-eight mouse retina B-scans were evaluated, of which 128 were from pigmented mice \( (n=21) \) (C57BL/6; Charles River) and 60 from albino mice \( (n=10) \) (NMRI [Han]; Charles River). The choriocapillaris was identifiable on 56.5% of pigmented mouse and 50.8% of albino mouse B-scans with no significant difference between the strains \( (P_{\text{Observer1}} = 0.37, P_{\text{Observer2}} = 0.56, \text{Pearson’s } \chi^2) \). Large differences in choriocapillaris visibility were observed across B-scan locations. The choriocapillaris was visible on 68.5% of dorsal, 57.0% of central, and 45.5% of ventral location B-scans from pigmented mice, whereas albino mice had a visible choriocapillaris on 11.4% of dorsal, 75.0% of central, and 72.2% of ventral location B-scans. The ideal ORB presentation consisted of four hyperreflective bands (Fig. 6) and was observed on 91.0% of pigmented mouse B-scans compared with 62.5% of albino mouse B-scans, which was a highly significant difference for both observers \( (P_{\text{Observer1}} < 1 \times 10^{-5}, P_{\text{Observer2}} < 1 \times 10^{-5}, \text{Pearson’s } \chi^2) \). Differences in ideal ORB presentation were observed across locations in pigmented (79.3% dorsal, 97.7% central, and 95.5% ventral) and albino mice (50.0% dorsal, 85.0% central, and 52.8% ventral). The interrater reliability for choriocapillaris visibility indicated substantial agreement \( (\kappa_{\text{pigmented}} = 0.77) \) and almost perfect agreement \( (\kappa_{\text{albino}} = 0.86) \) between OCT scan readers for pigmented and albino animals, respectively. The interrater reliability for ideal ORB presentation \( (\kappa_{\text{pigmented}} = 0.95, \kappa_{\text{albino}} = 0.82) \) indicated an almost perfect agreement between OCT scan readers for both strains.

**DISCUSSION**

Although OCT has become the standard in retinal imaging, the controversy regarding qualification of the ORB that can be distinguished on OCT scans in humans is ongoing. Likewise, many published OCT studies conducted with animals demonstrate that uniformity in ORB identification is not self-evident. In this context, our study shows...
that the choriocapillaris layer was a reliable marker of the outer retinal margin on most OCT scans across species. This study also documented the ideal presentation of ORB for the animal species evaluated. Also, the percentage of OCT B-scans with ideal ORB presentation was highest in areas of retinal specialization in minipigs (area centralis) and rabbits (visual streak), whereas no distinct pattern in ideal ORB presentation distribution was recognized in animals without areas of retinal specialization (rats and mice).

Our OCT–histology comparisons demonstrate that the choriocapillaris is likely best defined on OCT as a linear hyporeflective space directly external to the RPE/BM complex and not as a diffusely defined, hyperreflective band interior to the large choroidal vessel layer, as previously suggested. Bartuma et al. stated that the choriocapillaris is often difficult to separate from the RPE/BM complex on OCT B-scans in rabbits. In our study, this was true only for the OCT B-scans acquired from the scan location dorsal to the ONH. The choriocapillaris and connecting arterioles could be identified on every OCT B-scan acquired from the paracentral and ventral locations. The obvious difference in choriocapillaris and connecting vasculature visibility between dorsal and paracentral/ventral OCT B-scans in rabbits is probably the result of better detail resolution on the ventral OCT B-scans. These OCT B-scans originate from the rabbit visual streak, which is located ventral to the ONH.

Despite the absence of a statistical difference in choriocapillaris visibility between pigmented and albino rodents, both OCT observers had the distinct impression that the identification of the connecting vasculature was more difficult in albino than in pigmented strains, which is most likely caused by lack of pigment in choroidal structures. A similar conclusion was reached by Berger et al., who reported better visibility of the choriocapillaris in pigmented mice and less defined choroidal structures in albino mice. The evaluation of serial B-scans or true volume scans instead of single line scans might increase the likelihood of identifying the connecting vasculature and choriocapillaris, thus improving the value of the choriocapillaris as marker for identification of the outer retinal margin.

The ideal ORB presentation on OCT B-scans in minipigs and rabbits consisted of three hyperreflective bands, considered to be the ELM, EZ, and combined IZ with RPE/BM complex. In minipigs, the visibility of all three ORBs was best in the dorsal scan location. We hypothesize that this is most likely due to the fact that the porcine area centralis, where the photoreceptor cells are most tightly packed and the cone density is highest, is located dorsal to the ONH. The visibility of all three ORBs was best in the visual streak at the ventral scan location in rabbits. The rabbit visual streak has cone and total photoreceptor density features comparable with the minipig area centralis. The visual streak origin of the paracentral and ventral OCT B-scans in rabbits is further supported by the observed thickening of the photoreceptor OS layer (the hyporeflective band between the second and third hyperreflective bands) on these scans as confirmed via histology. Although many papers have been published on rabbit retinal topography, most of the publications are focused on ganglion cell or cone flat-mount densities. In the figures included in some publications, one can recognize that the photoreceptor outer segments are longest in the visual streak in wild-type rabbits (figure 4C in Ref. 68). A difference in photoreceptor anatomy between long and thin central foveal cones and shorter, thicker perifoveal cones in humans was
reviewed by Spaide and Curcio in 2011 and thought to explain differences in ORB presentation and thickness on OCT between the fovea and perifovea. We hypothesize that differences in ORB presentation and photoreceptor OS layer thickness on OCT between regions within and outside of the visual streak in rabbits and minipigs might be explained by similar differences in photoreceptor anatomy. However, to the best of our knowledge, this cannot be confirmed because no publications comparing photoreceptor anatomy on cross section in various regions of the retina exist for rabbits or minipigs.

Based on Figure 5, there does not seem to be a consistent pattern to the observed differences in ORB presentation that might be explained by general differences in photoreceptor density, type, and morphology between retinal regions in rodents. Moreover, mice and rats do not have macula or area centralis-like regions of retinal specialization with corresponding specialized photoreceptor anatomy as present in primates and certain other animals. Significant differences in photoreceptor density and anatomy between the central and peripheral retina do exist in mice but cannot explain the differences in ORB presentation in our study, which were observed between various central retinal regions.

The ELM was the ORB with the worst visibility of the three ORBs identified in minipigs, which supports the observation by Gloesmann et al. that the ELM was poorly visible and thought to coalesce with the myoid zone on OCT B-scans. Interestingly, a faint splitting of the second hyperreflective ORB (EZ) by a very fine band of moderate reflectivity was observed on five minipig B-scans in the present study, but not in any of the other species. The authors believe that this was not an artifact, but also do not believe that this should be considered as the expected fourth ORB, as recognized on OCT scans of humans. If considered to be an actual fourth ORB, it would likely be considered the band corresponding to the IZ band, which would leave almost no space for the photoreceptor outer segments between EZ and IZ and a very large space that cannot be anatomically explained between IZ and RPE/BM. The authors rather hypothesize that the ultrastructural anatomy of the porcine rod and cone IS might be the source of the observed EZ splitting. The EZ band was hypothesized to arise from the ellipsoid zone of the photoreceptor IS in humans. The ellipsoid portions of the rod and cone IS are located at the same level or largely overlap in humans, nonhuman primates, sheep, and mice. However, ultrastructural study in swine retinas have demonstrated that the ellipsoid portion of the cone IS lies at the level of the rod myoid, whereas the ellipsoid portion of the rod IS is located more externally, which could lead to the observed ORB splitting on OCT. Because all tissues of the animals included in this study were Davidson fixed and paraffin embedded, no ultrastructural investigations could be performed to test this hypothesis.

For all rodent strains, the ideal ORB presentation consisted of four hyperreflective bands, presumably of the same origin as the four hyperreflective bands of the outer retina in humans and is consistent with a proposed nomenclature.

**Table 2. Interrater Agreement κ Statistics**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Choriocapillaris Visibility κ</th>
<th>Agreement Indication</th>
<th>Outer Retinal Bands Presentation κ</th>
<th>Agreement Indication</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minipigs</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>Perfect</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>Almost perfect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbits</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>Almost perfect</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>Almost perfect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rats pigmented</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>Substantial</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>Almost perfect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rats albinos</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>Substantial</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>Almost perfect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mice pigmented</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>Substantial</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>Almost perfect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mice albinos</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>Almost perfect</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>Almost perfect</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
for murine OCTs.\textsuperscript{49} ORB presentation was significantly improved by the presence of melanin pigment in rodents in our study. Melanin granules (melanosomes) were found to be a primary source of reflectivity on OCT\textsuperscript{76} and presence of melanin affects ORB appearance and reflectivity.\textsuperscript{77}

The current study’s results and conclusions are thus valid only for data generated with a Spectralis HRA+OCT system, which is still useful on a broad scale because the Spectralis HRA+OCT system is one of the most widely used OCT units on the market. However, choriocapillaris and connecting vasculature visibility and ORB discernibility might be different on OCT B-scans generated with OCT systems from different manufacturers. For example, Tian et al. were able to separately identify the IZ and RPE/BM bands on OCT B-scans generated by a custom-built OCT system in rabbits.\textsuperscript{79} Moreover, the use of swept-source OCT technology offers reduced sensitivity roll-off with increased imaging depth. This results in a higher imaging range and better visualization of choroidal structures, which could improve choriocapillaris and connecting vasculature visibility.\textsuperscript{80} Recent advances and increased clinical use of OCT angiography (OCTA)\textsuperscript{81,82} brings the prospect of better choriocapillaris and connecting vasculature delineation. Although several papers on retinal OCT exist,\textsuperscript{83–86} to the best of our knowledge, no detailed literature focusing on OCTA of the choriocapillaris is available for the species covered in this paper.

Agreement for choriocapillaris visibility and ORB presentation between the two observers was substantial to high in all species, underlining the reproducibility and reliability of OCT B-scan scoring and serving as internal quality control. Longitudinal reflectivity profiles were not used as an objective end point evaluation tool for the whole dataset to reflect clinical reality of image display, grading, and interpretation under daily routine conditions. Furthermore, longitudinal reflectivity profiles also depend on image quality and threshold and can only be generated from single or several A-scans at a time, which introduces selection bias. Also, for some species, the thickness of different bands varies considerably within a single B-scan, and the tuning and averaging of the longitudinal reflectivity profiles over the whole scan range would theoretically be possible, but only with excessive effort.

All of the OCT B-scans evaluated in this study were acquired from animal species that lack a tapetum lucidum, a specialized inner choroidal structure that reflects light back toward and through the retina.\textsuperscript{50,86} The reflective nature of the tapetum lucidum might interfere with choriocapillaris and connecting vasculature visibility and ORB discernibility. Similar future studies in tapetal species including cats and dogs\textsuperscript{86} are needed to determine whether the results and conclusions of the current study also apply to tapetal species of interest to comparative vision scientists and veterinary ophthalmologists.

In conclusion, the choriocapillaris and its connecting vasculature are easy and valid markers for identification of the outer retinal border in minipigs, rabbits, rats, and mice. The value of these markers is proportionate to their visibility in individual B-scans (Fig. 4) and could potentially be increased via acquisition and evaluation of more consecutive serial or volume B-scans, especially in mice. Ideal ORB presentation in minipigs was low, with inconsistent visualization of the ELM, which makes identification of ORB from the choriocapillaris side inward a more valid approach than searching for the ELM in swine. Ideal ORB presentation was best in the area centralis and the visual streak area of minipigs and rabbits, respectively. Ideal ORB presentation was higher in pigmented mice and rats compared with albino mice and rats. Proper and consistent outer retinal margin and ORB identification are essential for reproducibility and translation of research results. As such, the observed differences in choriocapillaris visibility and ORB presentation between species, strains, and anatomic locations need to be taken into account when performing qualitative and quantitative OCT evaluations in comparative ophthalmic research.
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