Activation of αvβ3 Integrin Alters Fibronectin Fibril Formation in Human Trabecular Meshwork Cells in a ROCK-Independent Manner
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PURPOSE. Fibronectin fibrillogenesis is an integrin-mediated process that may contribute to the pathogenesis of primary open-angle glaucoma (POAG). Here, we examined the effects of αvβ3 integrins on fibrillogenesis in immortalized TM-1 cells and human trabecular meshwork (HTM) cells.

METHODS. TM-1 cells overexpressing wild-type β3 (WTβ3) or constitutively active β3 (CAβ3) integrin subunits were generated. Control cells were transduced with an empty vector (EV). Deoxycholic acid (DOC) extraction of monolayers, immunofluorescence microscopy, and One-cell western analyses were used to determine levels of fibronectin fibrillogenesis and fibronectin fibril composition (EDA+ and EDB+ fibronectins) and conformation. αvβ3 integrin levels were determined using fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS). Cilengitide and adenovirus vector expressing WTβ3 or CAβ3 integrin subunits were used to examine the role of αvβ3 integrin in HTM cells. The role of the canonical αvβ1 integrin-mediated pathway in fibrillogenesis was determined using the fibronectin-binding peptide FUD, the β1 integrin function-blocking antibody 13, and the Rho kinase (ROCK) inhibitor Y27632.

RESULTS. Activation of αvβ3 integrin enhanced the assembly of fibronectin into DOC-insoluble fibrils in both TM-1 and HTM cells. The formation of fibronectin fibrils was dependent on αvβ1 integrin and could be inhibited by FUD. However, fibrillogenesis was unaffected by Y27632. Fibrils assembled by CAβ3 cells also contained high levels of EDA+ and EDB+ fibronectin and fibronectin that was stretched.

CONCLUSIONS. αvβ3 Integrin signaling altered the deposition and structure of fibronectin fibrils using a β1 integrin/ROCK-independent mechanism. Thus, αvβ3 integrins could play a significant role in altering the function of fibronectin matrices in POAG.
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that involves integrin signaling and the contractile properties of the tissue. Fibronectin fibril formation is initiated when the secreted soluble protein dimer binds to cell surface integrins. Once bound, the fibronecin dimer is unfolded and stretched, resulting in a conformational change that exposes specific fibronectin–fibronectin binding sites within the molecule that promote the assembly of a larger, insoluble fibril. The unfolding and stretching of the soluble fibronectin dimers is believed to be mediated by the guanosine triphosphatase (GTPase) RhoA and the contractile properties of the actomyosin network.34

Integrins are a family of cell surface receptors consisting of a noncovalently bound heterodimer of α and β subunits. At least six fibronectin binding integrins have been identified in the TM, including α5β1, which is the major integrin that mediates fibronectin fibril assembly.35,36 Several other integrins, however, are capable of initiating fibril assembly including αvβ3 integrin. Expression of the α5, αv, and β3 integrin subunits in TM cells is affected by glucocorticoids.37 and studies from our lab have shown that the overall levels and activity of αvβ3 integrin in human TM (HTM) cells are increased in response to glucocorticoids such as dexamethasone.38–41 Although α5β1 and αvβ3 integrin expression is affected by TGFB1 in other cell types,42–44 to date only one study has reported that TM cells increased expression of αv and β3 integrin subunits in response to TGFB1.45

Given the important role that fibronectin appears to play in regulating the assembly of the ECM within the TM,12 we sought to examine the relationship between αvβ3 integrin signaling and fibronectin matrix assembly using an immortalized TM cell line, TM-1, previously engineered to stably overexpress either a wild-type (WT)β3 or constitutively active (CA)β3 αvβ3 integrin,46 and HTM cells expressing an activated αvβ3 integrin. We specifically looked to see if the activated conformation of αvβ3 integrin was involved. Our previous studies have suggested that this conformation caused HTM cells to exhibit phenotypes associated with POAG and SGB,40–46 including actin cytoskeletal rearrangements into cross-linked actin networks (CLANs) and inhibition of phagocytosis. We report here that this activated conformation of αvβ3 integrin was involved. Our previous studies have suggested that this conformation caused HTM cells to exhibit phenotypes associated with POAG and SGB, including actin cytoskeletal rearrangements into cross-linked actin networks (CLANs) and inhibition of phagocytosis. We report here that this activated conformation of αvβ3 integrin was involved. Our previous studies have suggested that this conformation caused HTM cells to exhibit phenotypes associated with POAG and SGB, including actin cytoskeletal rearrangements into cross-linked actin networks (CLANs) and inhibition of phagocytosis.

Materials and Methods

Materials

Rabbit polyclonal fibronectin antiserum was produced in our lab and validated by ELISA analysis46 and immunofluorescence microscopy.12 Normal rabbit serum was purchased from Vector Laboratories (cat. #S-5000; Burlingame, CA, USA). The mouse anti-EDA+ fibronectin clone IST-9 (cat. #ab63382), mouse anti-EBB1 fibronectin clone BC-1 (cat. #ab154210), mouse anti-β3 integrin clone CRC54 (cat. #ab34509), mouse anti-β5 integrin clone P1D6 (cat. #71684), mouse anti-β1 integrin clone 12G10 (cat. #ab30594), and rabbit polyclonal anti-β actin (cat. #ab8227) were purchased from Abcam (Cambridge, MA, USA). A mouse anti-fibronectin clone B87 was obtained from Deane Mosher (University of Wisconsin-Madison). Mouse anti-β-galactosidase clone GAL-13 (cat. #G8021) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Corp. (St. Louis, MO, USA). The mouse anti-αVβ3 clone LM609 (cat. #MABT201) were purchased from EMD Millipore (Burlington, MA, USA). Rat anti-B1 integrin (CD29) clone 13 (cat. #552828) and rat IgG (cat. #555841) were purchased from BD Biosciences (San Jose, CA, USA). Alexa Fluor 488-phalloidin was purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific (cat. #A12379; Waltham, MA, USA). The αvβ3 inhibitor peptide cilengitide (cat. #5870) was purchased from Tocris Biosciences (Bristol, UK). The ROCK inhibitor Y27632 (cat. #688000) was purchased from EMD Millipore. Recombinant FUD (functional upstream domain) peptide, derived from the Streptococcus pyogenes F1 adhesin protein, was expressed and prepared as previously described.12

Adenovirus 5 (Ad5) WTβ3-mCherry/CAβ3-mCherry Construction

The wild-type cDNA for the human β3 integrin subunit was obtained from Thermo Fisher Scientific and cloned into the pLX4-ires-Puro vector (Takara Bio USA, Mountain View, CA, USA) as previously described.40 A DNA fragment containing a Kozak sequence was then cloned onto the amino terminus of the β3 integrin cDNA along with an mCherry tag at the carboxyl terminus. This WTβ3 integrin-mCherry transgene was then cloned into the Xho1/Xba1 site of the pacAd5CMV/mcsSV40 shuttle vector (Ad5-WTβ3). Site-directed mutagenesis was used to create the pacAd5CMV-β3 integrin T562N-mCherry-SV40PA vector (Ad5-CAβ3). Cloning and site-directed mutagenesis of the Ad5-WTβ3-mCherry and Ad5-CAβ3-mCherry vectors were done by GenScript (Piscataway, NJ, USA) and validated by cDNA sequencing. The engineered vectors, along with the pacAd5CMV/mcsSV40PAAd5 empty vector (Ad5-EV), were each packaged at the University of Iowa Viral Vector Core.

Cell Culture

Immortalized TM-1 cells overexpressing either a wild-type β3 integrin subunit (WTβ3) or a constitutively active β3-T562N integrin subunit (CAβ3)56 were generated as previously described.40 A cell line transfected with an empty vector (EV) was used as a control. All TM-1-derived cell lines were cultured in routine growth medium consisting of low-glucose Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) (Sigma Aldrich Corp.), 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Atlanta Biologicals, Atlanta, GA, USA), 2 mM L-glutamine (Sigma Aldrich Corp.), 0.2% Promicin (InvivoGen, San Diego, CA, USA), and 0.05% gentamicin (Mediatech, Manassas, VA, USA). Cells were kept under selection in 2 μg/mL puromycin. The N27TM-6 strain of normal HTM cells was isolated from a 27-year-old female donor and characterized as previously described.51–53 HTM cells were routinely grown in the same growth medium used for TM-1 cell lines except for the use of 15% FBS and 1 ng/mL FGF-2 (PeproTech, Rocky Hill, NJ, USA).

In experiments in which HTM cells were treated with or without cilengitide (CGT) or dexamethasone (DEX) to activate the αvβ3 integrin,38,41,54 HTM cells were plated at a density of 5 × 10^4 cells/well in growth medium into 96-well plates. Upon reaching confluence, cells were fed daily with growth medium for 7 days. Cells were then switched to low serum (1% FBS) and treated for 12 to 14 days with control medium, medium plus 0.1% ethanol (vehicle), or 500 nM DEX or medium containing 50, 100, or 200 μM CGT plus either vehicle or DEX. At the end of the treatment period cells were processed for On-cell western (OCW) analysis as described below.

For experiments in which HTM cells were transduced with Ad5 viral vectors expressing mCherry-β3 integrin transgenes, cells were plated at 4 × 10^4 cells/well in normal growth medium in 24-well plates. Just prior to reaching confluence, cells were transduced with either Ad5-EV, Ad5-WTβ3 integrin-mCherry, or Ad5-β3-T562N integrin-mCherry for 4 hours at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 100. Twenty-four hours post
transduction, cells were refed with normal growth medium. Upon reaching confluence, cells were refed daily with normal growth medium for 7 days. Cells were then refed with 10% FBS-containing medium for 48 hours followed by 1% FBS-containing medium for another 48 hours prior to processing for OCW analysis as described below or immunofluorescence microscopy.

**Fluorescence-Activated Cell Sorting (FACS) Analysis**

Cells in growth medium were detached from plates using Cell Dissociation Buffer (Sigma Aldrich Corp.) and blocked in PBS plus 5% BSA on ice. They were then incubated with IgG only, mAb LM609, mAb PID6, or mAb 12G10 at 5 μg/mL for 1 hour on ice. Cells were washed and labeled with Alexa Fluor 488 goat anti-mouse IgG (cat. #A11029; Thermo Fisher Scientific) diluted 1:4000 for 30 minutes on ice. The cells were then fixed with PBS + 1% paraformaldehyde (PFA). Data were collected using either a FACSCalibur (Becton Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA) or an Attune NxT (Thermo Fisher Scientific) flow cytometer and analyzed using FlowJo software (FlowJo, LLC, Ashland, OR, USA). The FlowJo software was used to calculate the normal geometric mean fluorescence (NGMF).

**On-Cell Western Analysis (OCW)**

TM-1–derived cell lines were plated at a density of 5 × 10^4 cells/well in low-serum (1% FBS) medium into 96-well plates for 3 to 4 hours to allow the cells to attach and spread. The cells were then treated with or without 500 nM FUD, 10 μM Y27632 for 24 hours. To determine the level of deoxycylic acid (DOC)-insoluble fibronectin fibrils, cell layers were extracted with 1% DOC-containing buffer and processed for OCW analysis as previously described. To determine the level of fibronectin in either the unextracted or DOC-extracted wells, cell layers or DOC-extracted matrices in the wells were fixed with 4% PFA and labeled with anti-fibronectin antibodies followed by an IRDye 800CW-conjugated secondary antibody. The fibronectin-labeled wells were blanked against wells labeled with normal rabbit serum or an irrelevant mouse mAb. The level of fibronectin labeling was normalized to the total protein content/well as determined by labeling with IRDye 680RD NHS ester. Quantification of the signals at each wavelength was performed using LI-COR Image Studio v. 5.0.21 software (Li-Cor Biosciences, Lincoln, NE, USA). Except where noted in the legend, the results represent the mean ± SE of data pooled from two to six experiments depending upon the exact assay.

**MTT Cell Viability Assay**

Cells were plated into 96-well plates and allowed to attach for 3 to 4 hours. Cells were then refed with media containing 1% FBS with or without 500 nM FUD or 2 μM FUD for 24 hours. As a control, some cells were treated for 24 hours with 0.1% saponin. Viability was determined using a CellQuanti-MTT assay kit (BioAssay Systems, Hayward, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, cell lysates were incubated for 30 minutes at 4°C in microtiter wells that had been precoated with the Rho binding domain from a Rho effector protein, rhoetokin, that specifically binds active RhoA. Wells were washed and incubated for 45 minutes at room temperature with a mouse anti-RhoA antibody. Wells were washed again and incubated with horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG secondary antibody. The plates were then washed and the level of label in each well was detected using a HRP detection reagent provided by the manufacturer for 10 to 15 minutes at 37°C. The reaction was stopped with HRP stop buffer. Wells were read at 490 nm to determine the content of GTP-bound RhoA present in each well.

**Quantitative PCR**

RNA from confluent cultures was isolated using the QIAshredder and RNeasy Plus Mini Kits (Qiagen, Inc., Valencia, CA, USA) and cDNA was generated using the High Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) according to the manufacturers’ instructions. Quantitative (q)PCR was performed using a QuantStudio 7 Flex Real-Time PCR system and SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (both Thermo Fisher Scientific). Results were normalized to the housekeeping gene succinate dehydrogenase (SDHA). The primers (Integrated DNA Technologies, Coralville, IA, USA) used for the qPCR reactions are listed in the Table.

**G-LISA RhoA Activation Assay**

Confluent cultures of EV or CAβ3 cells were serum starved for ~16 hours prior to being left untreated or stimulated with 10% FBS for 15 or 30 minutes, respectively. Cells were then processed for analysis using the RhoA G-LISA activation assay kit (cat. #BK124; Cytoskeleton, Denver, CO, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, cell lysates were incubated for 30 minutes at 4°C in microtiter wells that had been precoated with the Rho binding domain from a Rho effector protein, rhoetokin, that specifically binds active RhoA. Wells were washed and incubated for 45 minutes at room temperature with a mouse anti-RhoA antibody. Wells were washed again and incubated with horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG secondary antibody. The plates were then washed and the level of label in each well was detected using a HRP detection reagent provided by the manufacturer for 10 to 15 minutes at 37°C. The reaction was stopped with HRP stop buffer. Wells were read at 490 nm to determine the content of GTP-bound RhoA present in each well.
Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using ANOVA. Where pairs of treatment groups were compared, ANOVA analysis was used in conjunction with Tukey’s honestly significant difference test.

RESULTS

Effect of Activation of β3 Integrin Signaling on Fibronectin Matrix Assembly in Immortalized TM Cells

To determine if αvβ3 integrin signaling influenced fibronectin fibrillogenesis, confluent monolayers of the EV cell line or cell lines overexpressing the WTβ3 or the CAβ3 integrin subunits were fixed and labeled for fibronectin (Fig. 1A). By immunofluorescence microscopy, no obvious differences in labeling patterns or intensity were observed in the two cell lines overexpressing the β3 integrin subunits compared to control EV cells. Quantification of the cell surface–labeled fibronectin using OCW analysis, however, showed a 1.7-fold increase ($P < 0.002$) in fibronectin in cells overexpressing a CAβ3 integrin subunit compared to EV cells (Fig. 1B). CAβ3 cultures also expressed a 1.4-fold increase ($P < 0.02$) in fibronectin compared to WTβ3 cultures, suggesting that the activation state of the integrin, rather than just overexpression, was affecting levels of fibronectin in the intact cell layer.

In order to differentiate between cell surface–bound soluble fibronectin and fibronectin assembled into an insoluble fibril, cell cultures were extracted with DOC. The DOC extraction
procedure removes soluble, cell surface-bound and intracellular fibronectin but not fibronectin assembled into insoluble fibrils. It is these insoluble fibrils that are the functional form of fibronectin that serve to support a variety of cell behaviors under both normal and pathological conditions in vivo. The assembly of receptor-ligand complexes regulates integrin activation, which is required for adhesion and migration, as shown in Figure 1C. DOC-extracted monolayers immunolabeled for fibronectin showed a clear increase in fibronectin matrixes formed by CAβ3 cultures compared to both EV and WTβ3 cultures (Fig. 1C). OCW analysis of the DOC-extracted monolayers (Fig. 1D) confirmed the immunofluorescence microscopy results and showed a ~2-fold increase (P < 0.01) in DOC-insoluble fibrils in CAβ3 cultures compared to EV cultures. When compared to WTβ3 cultures, the CAβ3 cultures showed a ~3-fold increase in DOC-insoluble fibrils (P < 0.0002). Thus the increase in insoluble fibrils was not due to the mere overexpression of the β3 integrin subunit as a similar increase was not observed in the levels of insoluble fibrils expressed in the WTβ3 cultures compared to the EV cultures.

The increase in fibronectin fibrils was also not due to changes in the level of fibronectin expression, since Western blot analysis of whole cell lysates (Fig. 1E) did not show any consistent differences in fibronectin levels between the three cell lines. Interestingly, both WTβ3 and CAβ3 cells demonstrated a 1.5-fold increase in fibronectin mRNA levels (P < 0.01) compared to EV cells (Supplementary Fig. S1). However, there was no difference between fibronectin mRNA levels in WTβ3 and CAβ3 cells, indicating that differences in mRNA levels were not a factor in the observed differences in fibronectin fibril levels. In order to determine if differences in fibronectin isoform expression were a factor in this phenomenon, we also analyzed the mRNA levels of the EDA- and EDB+ isoforms of fibronectin. As shown in Supplementary Figure S1, although the EDA+ fibronectin isoform appeared to be expressed at higher levels in both WTβ3 and CAβ3 cultures compared to EV cultures, the differences were not statistically significant. EDB+ fibronectin mRNA levels were comparable between the three cell lines.

We then wanted to verify that the WTβ3 and CAβ3 cells expressed similar levels of αvβ3 integrins on their cell surface given the differences in the levels of their assembled fibronectin matrices. FACS analysis using the mAb LM609 to αvβ3 integrin (Supplementary Fig. S2) confirmed our earlier study, and showed that EV cells express very low levels of αvβ3 integrin on the cell surface while WTβ3 and CAβ3 cells showed significantly higher levels of αvβ3 integrin. The cell surface levels of αvβ3 integrin in WTβ3 and CAβ3 cells, however, were comparable.

**Effect of β3 Integrin Activation on Fibronectin Matrix Assembly in Normal HTM Cells**

We next sought to confirm that activation of αvβ3 integrin signaling increased fibronectin matrix assembly in primary HTM cells. For this, HTM cells were cultured in the presence or absence of DEX, which we previously demonstrated led to the activation of αvβ3 integrin and increased fibronectin matrix assembly, with or without increasing concentrations of the cyclic RGD peptide CGT, which has been reported to activate αvβ3 signaling when used at high concentrations. As shown in Figure 2A, HTM cells treated with vehicle together with 100 μM CGT demonstrated 2.7-fold more DOC-insoluble fibronectin matrix (P < 0.005) compared to cells treated with vehicle alone. Higher levels of DOC-insoluble matrix were also observed in the presence of 50 and 200 μM CGT; however, these levels were not significantly greater than with vehicle alone. In contrast, cells treated with DEX and all three CGT concentrations demonstrated significantly more DOC-insoluble fibronectin matrix (P < 0.01) compared to cells treated with DEX alone. Consistent with our earlier study, DEX treatment by itself significantly increased DOC-insoluble fibronectin matrix compared to vehicle-treated cells (P < 0.002).

In a second set of experiments, HTM cells were transduced with Ad5 viral vectors expressing either WTβ3 or CAβ3 integrin-nCherry transgenes (Figs. 2B, 2C). An empty Ad5 viral vector (EV) was used as a control. Twelve days post transduction, OCW analysis showed that HTM cells transduced with Ad5-CAβ3 assembled 1.35-fold more DOC-insoluble fibronectin matrix compared to control cells transduced with Ad5-EV (P < 0.003). Ad5-CAβ3–transduced cells also assembled 1.2-fold more DOC-insoluble fibronectin matrix (P < 0.03) than cells transduced with Ad5-WTβ3. Together these data confirmed our findings using immortalized TM-1–derived cell lines that overexpressing an activated αvβ3 integrin enhances fibronectin fibril formation.

**Effect of Overexpressing αvβ3 Integrins in TM Cells on αvβ1 Integrin-Mediated Fibronectin Fibril Assembly**

Because αvβ1 integrin plays a significant role in fibronectin fibrillogenesis in most cells, we also wanted to determine if αvβ1 integrin levels were different in the three cell lines. FACS analysis using mAb P1D6 against the αvβ1 integrin subunit (Fig. 3A) or mAb 12G10 against the β1 subunit (Fig. 3B) indicated that there were minor (≤2-fold) but statistically significant differences (P < 0.001) in αvβ1 and β1 integrin subunit levels between the three cell lines. These differences did not, however, correlate with the observed differences in levels of fibronectin matrices formed by the three cell lines.

We next wanted to determine if the insoluble fibronectin fibrils formed in CAβ3 cultures involved the canonical αvβ1 integrin–mediated processes commonly thought to regulate fibril formation (Fig. 4A). In particular, we wanted to determine if antibodies to αvβ1 integrin disrupted binding of soluble fibronectin to the cell surface and whether these fibrils were sensitive to disruption by the peptide FUD, which was previously found to disrupt fibronectin fibrillogenesis in HTM cultures. For this, we treated EV, WTβ3, and CAβ3 cultures for 24 hours with either the β1 integrin function-blocking mAb 13, previously shown to block the early cell surface binding step in fibronectin matrix assembly, or FUD. All three cell lines were then processed for OCW analysis and immunofluorescence microscopy.

In intact, unextracted cell layers (Fig. 4B), mAb 13 behaved as expected and treatment significantly decreased cell surface binding of fibronectin in all three cell lines. Decreases of 54%, 53%, and 52% (all P < 0.01) were seen in EV, WTβ3, and CAβ3 cell lines, respectively. Immunofluorescence microscopy verified the OCW analysis. As shown in Figure 4C, confluent monolayers of untreated EV, WTβ3, and CAβ3 cells form a well-developed fibronectin matrix. However, a 24-hour exposure to mAb 13 caused a marked reduction in fibronectin levels in all three cell lines, consistent with previous studies. Thus, all three cell lines were using the αvβ1 integrin to bind fibronectin and mediate the early cell surface steps in fibril formation. With respect to DOC-insoluble fibronectin fibrils (Fig. 4E), mAb 13 had little or no effect on the incorporation of the remaining cell surface–bound fibronectin into the insoluble ECM in all three cell lines.

Responses to FUD treatment in intact monolayers were similar. In both WTβ3 and CAβ3 cultures, FUD caused significant decreases of 39% and 42% in the level of fibronectin in intact cell layers (both P < 0.01), respectively, compared to untreated WTβ3 and CAβ3 cultures (Fig. 4B). In FUD-treated EV cultures the 25% reduction in fibrils was not quite...
statistically significant ($P = 0.08$) compared to untreated EV cultures. These results were confirmed by immunofluorescence microscopy (Fig. 4D), which showed that treatment with FUD for 24 hours clearly reduced fibril formation in confluent monolayers of EV, WT$\beta_3$, and CA$\beta_3$. These results are consistent with our earlier study. That earlier study also confirmed that the effects of the FUD were specific, as a mutated version of the peptide had little or no effect on fibronectin fibril assembly by TM-1 cells.

FUD also appeared to have an inhibitory effect on insoluble fibronectin fibril formation in EV and WT$\beta_3$ cultures (34% and 23%, respectively), but neither of these decreases was statistically significant ($P < 0.005$). Although fibronectin levels were higher in cells treated with EtOH plus 50 or 200 $\mu$M CGT, the increases were not statistically significant. DEX treatment alone also significantly increased DOC-insoluble fibronectin levels relative to cells treated with EtOH alone ($P < 0.002$). In the presence of all three CGT concentrations, DOC-insoluble fibronectin fibril levels were significantly increased further in DEX-treated cells relative to DEX treatment alone (50 $\mu$M CGT, $P < 0.01$; 100 and 200 $\mu$M CGT, $P < 0.002$). The data reported are from one experiment that was performed twice with similar results.

**FIGURE 2.** Activation of $\alpha_v\beta_3$ integrin signaling in normal HTM cells increases fibronectin matrix assembly. (A) Confluent monolayers of HTM cells were left untreated or treated for 12 days with vehicle (0.1% EtOH) or 500 nM DEX alone or in the presence of increasing concentrations of the CGT peptide. Cell layers were then extracted with 1% DOC and processed for OCW analysis. There was no difference in the levels of fibronectin fibrils between untreated cells and cells treated with EtOH alone. Cells treated with EtOH and 100 $\mu$M CGT showed significant increases in DOC-insoluble fibronectin levels compared to control cells treated with EtOH alone ($P < 0.005$). Although fibronectin levels were higher in cells treated with EtOH plus 50 or 200 $\mu$M CGT, the increases were not statistically significant. DEX treatment alone also significantly increased DOC-insoluble fibronectin levels relative to cells treated with EtOH alone ($P < 0.002$). In the presence of all three CGT concentrations, DOC-insoluble fibronectin fibril levels were significantly increased further in DEX-treated cells relative to DEX treatment alone (50 $\mu$M CGT, $P < 0.01$; 100 and 200 $\mu$M CGT, $P < 0.002$). The data reported are from one experiment that was performed twice with similar results. (B) Immunofluorescence microscopy images of HTM cells transduced with Ad5-EV or Ad5-WT$\beta_3$-mCherry or Ad5-CA$\beta_3$-mCherry viral vectors. Subconfluent cells were transduced at an MOI of 100 and processed for immunofluorescence 12 days post transduction. Scale bar: 500 $\mu$m. (C) OCW analysis of 1% DOC-extracted HTM cell monolayers transduced with Ad5 vectors described in (B). Twelve days post transduction, Ad5-CA$\beta_3$-transduced cells significantly increased DOC-insoluble fibronectin fibrils relative to both Ad5-EV-transduced cells ($P < 0.003$) and Ad5-WT$\beta_3$-transduced cells ($P < 0.03$). The data reported are from one experiment that was performed twice with similar results.
adhesions (Fig. 4A).\textsuperscript{57–59} As shown in Figure 5, all three cell lines exhibited extensive colocalization of activated \( \alpha \)5 integrins using the mAb CRC54,\textsuperscript{60} which we previously used to detect activated \( \alpha \)5 integrins in TM cells.\textsuperscript{41} Consistent with our earlier studies,\textsuperscript{40} little or no activated \( \alpha \)5 integrin was detected in EV cells. Neither focal adhesions (sites of cell attachment) nor fibrillar adhesions in EV cells contained activated \( \alpha \)5 integrin, although there were abundant fibronecin fibrils present (Figs. 6A–C). We also did not see any activated \( \alpha \)5 integrins in fibrillar adhesions in either WT\( \beta \)3 or CA\( \beta \)3 cells. However, activated \( \alpha \)5 integrins were found in focal adhesion complexes in both WT\( \beta \)3 and CA\( \beta \)3 cultures (Figs. 6D, 6G). Not surprisingly, these \( \alpha \)5 integrin-positive focal adhesion complexes were more prominent in CA\( \beta \)3 cells compared to WT\( \beta \)3 cells. In both cell lines, however, few if any of the \( \alpha \)5 integrin–positive focal adhesion complexes colocalized with fibronecin fibrils (Figs. 6E–6F). Thus, activated \( \alpha \)5 integrins were not involved in the sites of fibronecin fibril assembly. CRC54-positive structures were confirmed to be focal adhesions by double-labeling cultures of all three cell lines with phalloidin, to visualize actin stress fibers and either mAb LM609 (Supplementary Fig. S4) or mAb CRC54 (Supplementary Fig. S5).

**Localization of \( \alpha \)5 and \( \alpha \)\( \beta \)3 Integrins in Fibrillar Adhesions and Focal Adhesions in TM Cells Overexpressing \( \alpha \)\( \beta \)3 Integrins**

We sought to further confirm that this canonical \( \alpha \)5 integrin–mediated mechanism was present in TM-1 cells and was being used in CA\( \beta \)3 cultures. To that end, we double-labeled subconfluent cultures of EV, WT\( \beta \)3, and CA\( \beta \)3 cells for nascent fibronecin fibrils and activated \( \alpha \)5 integrins using the SNAKA51 antibody (Fig. 5). SNAKA51 is an antibody that specifically recognizes activated \( \alpha \)5 integrins within the main sites of fibronecin matrix assembly called fibrillar adhesions (Fig. 4A).\textsuperscript{57–59} As shown in Figure 5, all three cell lines exhibited extensive colocalization of activated \( \alpha \)5 integrins (Figs. 5D, 5G, 5J) and fibronecin (Figs. 5E, 5H, 5K) in fibrillar adhesions.

We next sought to determine if activated \( \alpha \)\( \beta \)3 integrins also localized to fibrillar adhesions in CA\( \beta \)3 cultures where fibronecin fibrillogenesis was occurring and whether this could explain the enhanced fibronecin fibril assembly observed in these cells. For this we utilized the mAb CRC54,\textsuperscript{60} which we previously used to detect activated \( \alpha \)5 integrins in TM cells.\textsuperscript{41} Consistent with our earlier studies,\textsuperscript{40} little or no activated \( \alpha \)\( \beta \)3 integrin was detected in EV cells. Neither focal adhesions (sites of cell attachment) nor fibrillar adhesions in EV cells contained activated \( \alpha \)\( \beta \)3 integrin, although there were abundant fibronecin fibrils present (Figs. 6A–C). We also did not see any activated \( \alpha \)\( \beta \)3 integrins in fibrillar adhesions in either WT\( \beta \)3 or CA\( \beta \)3 cells. However, activated \( \alpha \)\( \beta \)3 integrins were found in focal adhesion complexes in both WT\( \beta \)3 and CA\( \beta \)3 cultures (Figs. 6D, 6G). Not surprisingly, these \( \alpha \)\( \beta \)3 integrin-positive focal adhesion complexes were more prominent in CA\( \beta \)3 cells compared to WT\( \beta \)3 cells. In both cell lines, however, few if any of the \( \alpha \)\( \beta \)3 integrin–positive focal adhesion complexes colocalized with fibronecin fibrils (Figs. 6E–6F). Thus, activated \( \alpha \)\( \beta \)3 integrins were not involved in the sites of fibronecin fibril assembly. CRC54-positive structures were confirmed to be focal adhesions by double-labeling cultures of all three cell lines with phalloidin, to visualize actin stress fibers and either mAb LM609 (Supplementary Fig. S4) or mAb CRC54 (Supplementary Fig. S5).

**Effect of Inhibiting ROCK Activity on the Incorporation of Fibronecin Fibrils Into the DOC-Insoluble Matrix**

We next sought to determine whether or not RhoA was involved in the enhanced incorporation of fibronecin into the DOC-insoluble fibrils exhibited by CA\( \beta \)3 cells, since it is well established that Rho GTPase signaling plays a role in regulating fibronecin fibrillogenesis in TM cells and other cell types.\textsuperscript{54–56} We first compared the level of RhoA activity in EV cells and CA\( \beta \)3 cells in response to serum stimulation (Fig. 7). Since EV and WT\( \beta \)3 cells behaved the same in earlier
Both mAb 13 and FUD inhibit fibronectin fibril formation. (A) Schematic showing the canonical αvβ1 integrin–mediated pathway involved in fibronectin fibrillogenesis. As previously reported, both αvβ1 and αvβ3 integrins can colocalize to focal adhesions in TM cells. During the initial stages of fibril formation, soluble fibronectin dimers bound to αvβ1 integrins are unfolded within focal adhesions together with αvβ3 integrins. The fibronectin–αvβ1 integrin complex, but not αvβ3 integrin, is then translocated to regions of fibril formation called fibrillar adhesions. While in fibrillar adhesions, fibronectin–fibronectin binding interactions promote the assembly of DOC-insoluble fibrils. Both the β1 integrin function-blocking mAb 13 and FUD inhibit this process as indicated in the schematic. (B) OCW analysis of unextracted confluent monolayers of EV, WTβ3, and CAβ3 cells. Cells were left untreated (NT) or treated for 24 hours with 500 nM FUD, 10 μg/mL mAb 13, or control IgG. Monoclonal Ab 13 significantly reduced fibronectin fibril formation in all three cell lines (P < 0.01) while FUD significantly reduced fibril formation in the WTβ3 and CAβ3 cells, respectively (P < 0.01). The ~25% reduction in response to FUD seen in the EV cultures was not statistically significant (P = 0.08). Data are pooled from two separate assays with triplicate determinations (n = 6) and represent the mean ± SE. (C) Immunofluorescence images of intact, unextracted monolayers of EV, WTβ3, and CAβ3 cells that were left untreated or treated with 10 μg/mL mAb 13 for 24 hours prior to labeling with rabbit fibronectin antiserum. Monoclonal Ab 13 disrupted fibronectin fibril formation in all three cell lines. The labeling is a combination of both soluble and insoluble fibronectin fibrils. Scale bar: 50 μm. (D) Immunofluorescence images of intact, unextracted monolayers of EV, WTβ3, and CAβ3 cells that were left untreated or treated with 500 nM FUD for 24 hours prior to labeling with rabbit fibronectin antiserum. FUD disrupted fibronectin fibril formation in all three cell lines. The labeling is a combination of both soluble and insoluble fibronectin fibrils. Scale bar: 50 μm. (E) OCW analysis of DOC-extracted monolayers of EV, WTβ3, and CAβ3 cells. Cells were treated as in (B) prior to DOC extraction; mAb 13 did not have an effect on DOC-insoluble fibronectin levels in any of the three cell lines. FUD only statistically significantly decreased DOC-insoluble fibronectin levels in the CAβ3 cell cultures relative to both untreated cells and cells treated with mAb 13 (both P < 0.01). The minor decreases in the insoluble fibronectin matrices seen in both EV and WTβ3 cells were not statistically significant. Comparing untreated groups, CAβ3 cells exhibited significantly more DOC-insoluble fibronectin matrix than either EV or WTβ3 cells, respectively (P < 0.01). Data are pooled from two separate assays with triplicate determinations (n = 6) and represent the mean ± SE.
experiments, we used only EV cells in this set of experiments. EV cells exhibited a weak, transient, and statistically insignificant ($P = 0.28$) 23% increase in RhoA activity in response to serum that peaked at 15 minutes post stimulation. CA$\beta$3 cells also exhibited a weak increase in RhoA activity 15 minutes post serum stimulation; however, by 30 minutes, RhoA activity had significantly increased 37% over control levels ($P < 0.02$) and 30% over the levels seen at 15 minutes ($P < 0.045$).

Given that CA$\beta$3 cells appeared to exhibit a higher level of RhoA activity compared to EV cells, we then examined whether or not the enhanced fibronectin fibrillogenesis in CA$\beta$3 cells was mediated by RhoA signaling. For this study, we treated confluent monolayers of EV, WT$\beta$3, and CA$\beta$3 cells with or without the Y27632 inhibitor, which targets the downstream effector of RhoA called ROCK. Phase microscopy of inhibitor-treated cells (Supplementary Fig. S6) showed that all three cell lines responded to Y27632 and exhibited retraction and/or cell rounding compared to untreated cells. The enhanced level of fibronectin in the insoluble matrix of CA$\beta$3 cultures, however, was unaffected by the presence of the ROCK inhibitor (Fig. 8A). In fact, Y27632 had no effect on the levels of fibronectin insoluble matrices in all three cell lines. In addition to there being no significant loss of DOC-insoluble fibronectin fibrils, immunofluorescence microscopy analysis of the three cell lines treated with or without Y27632 showed that the only obvious change in the inhibitor-treated cells was an increase in punctate labeling for fibronectin (Fig. 8B). Z-stack and three-dimensional reconstruction analysis of images acquired from control and inhibitor-treated EV, WT$\beta$3, and CA$\beta$3 cultures showed that the punctate labeling was predominantly intracellular (Supplementary Fig. S7)

**Effect of Overexpressing $\alpha v\beta 3$ Integrins on the Incorporation of Structurally Different Fibronectin Fibrils Into the Insoluble ECM of TM Cells**

We then considered the possibility that constitutively active $\alpha v\beta 3$ integrin signaling changed the structure of the fibronectin in fibrils assembled by CA$\beta$3 cells. Thus, we first used the mAb L8, which recognizes a conformation-sensitive epitope
that is exposed within fibronectin fibrils that have had their quaternary structure unfolded and their tertiary and/or secondary structure stretched in response to cell-derived mechanical forces (Fig. 9A). Analysis of intact monolayers by immunofluorescence microscopy (Fig. 9B) indicated no obvious differences in L8 labeling between the three cell lines. OCW analysis of intact monolayers (Fig. 9C), however, found that WTβ3 cultures demonstrated L8 labeling that was 1.3- to 1.45-fold lower than in EV (P < 0.02) or CAβ3 (P < 0.005) cultures, respectively. In contrast, CAβ3 cultures had significantly higher levels of L8 labeling in DOC-insoluble fibronectin fibrils than the other two cell lines (Fig. 9D). The difference in L8 labeling was 5.7-fold (P < 0.005) comparing CAβ3 and EV cultures while the difference between CAβ3 and WTβ3 cultures was 2.6-fold (P < 0.02). This indicates that fibronectin in the fibrils assembled by CAβ3 cells was more unfolded or stretched compared to the fibronectin in fibrils assembled by the other cell lines.

We then used mAbs IST-9 and BC-1 that detect the alternatively spliced EDA+ and EDB+ domains in fibronectin (Fig. 10A), respectively, to determine if fibrils assembled by CAβ3 cells contained different fibronectin isoforms. As shown in Figure 10B, immunofluorescence microscopy of intact monolayers labeled with mAb IST-9 suggested that CAβ3 cell layers contained slightly more EDA+ fibronectin than the other two cell lines. OCW analysis of intact monolayers (Fig. 10C) confirmed this, although the increase in labeling was not statistically significant. However, there was at least 4-fold more DOC-insoluble EDA+ fibronectin (Fig. 10D) in CAβ3 cultures compared to the other two cell lines (both P < 0.002). Together these data suggest that although the three cell lines may be expressing the same total levels of EDA+ fibronectin, CAβ3 cell are assembling more EDA+ fibronectin into DOC-insoluble fibrils.

Using mAb BC-1 to detect EDB+ fibronectin in fibrils, both immunofluorescence microscopy (Fig. 10B) and OCW analysis (Fig. 10C) showed that intact monolayers of EV cells labeled significantly stronger for this isoform than WTβ3 cells (P < 0.002) and CAβ3 cells (P < 0.02). Labeling for EDB+ fibronectin in fibrils in intact cultures of EV cells was 2.5- and 1.5-fold greater than that seen in WTβ3 and CAβ3 cultures, respectively. In contrast, when we examined the levels of

---

**Figure 6.** Constitutively active αβ3 integrin localizes in focal adhesions but fails to localize in fibrillar adhesions. Cells were plated as in Figure 5. Cells were double-labeled with mAb CRC54 that detects activated αβ3 integrin (A, D, G) and rabbit anti-fibronectin antiserum (FN) (B, E, H). Activated αβ3 integrin was not detectable in EV cells (A). Arrows indicate sites of αβ3 integrin localization that fail to colocalize with fibronectin fibrils as shown when the red and green channels are merged (C, F, I). Control cells were double-labeled with mAb GAL-13 and R-NIS (not shown) with identical results as observed in Figure 5. This labeling was performed twice with identical results. Scale bar: 50 μm.
EDB+ fibronectin fibrils in cultures extracted with DOC (Fig. 1D), we found that CAβ3 cells incorporated at least 17-fold more DOC-insoluble EDB+ fibronectin fibrils compared to both EV and WTβ3 cultures (both P < 0.03). Thus, both the composition (EDA+/EDB+ isofoms) and stretched conformation of fibronectin in fibrils made by CAβ3 cells appear to be different compared to the fibrils assembled by EV and WTβ3 cells.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study we have shown that activation of αvβ3 integrins in TM cells enhances fibronectin fibrillogenesis. This is consistent with our earlier studies that found that HTM cells treated with glucocorticoids expressed higher levels of activated αvβ3 integrins38,40,41 and these cells assembled higher levels of DOC-insoluble fibronectin matrices.12 These fibrils are formed using an alternative ROCK-independent pathway and appear to have different structural properties and composition. Such differences are likely to alter the signaling properties of the ECM and behavior of TM cells.66 Theoretically these differences could contribute to the development of pathological changes observed in glaucoma, especially SIG, where the αvβ3 integrin is likely to be activated.38,67

This is not the first time an integrin other than αvβ1, the main integrin responsible for mediating fibronectin fibril assembly,35,36 has been found to be involved in fibronectin fibril formation. There are a limited number of other integrin heterodimers that can promote fibronectin fibrillogenesis, including αvβ3 integrins,68–70 which in some instances appear to play a more significant role in matrix assembly than αvβ1 integrins.71 These earlier studies are consistent with the results presented here demonstrating a pronounced enhancement in fibronectin fibrillogenesis in TM cells overexpressing constitutively active αvβ3 integrins.

The increase in fibril formation was not due to a disruption in the canonical αvβ1 integrin-mediated fibronectin fibril assembly mechanism that appeared to be functioning in TM cells, including those overexpressing a constitutively active β3 integrin. These studies show that mAb 13, which blocks binding of fibronectin to αvβ1 integrins in the early stages of fibril formation, was able to block binding of fibronectin in CAβ3 cultures, suggesting that this early integrin-mediated step was still involved. In addition, fibrillar adhesions, which are the sites where fibronectin fibrils are assembled, only contained αvβ1 integrins, further suggesting that an αvβ1 integrin step was involved. In contrast, αvβ3 integrins were not found in fibrillar adhesions, implying that αvβ3 integrins were not involved in the initial binding of fibronectin during fibril formation. This suggests that the enhanced deposition of fibronectin fibrils in CAβ3 cells may be due to αvβ3 integrin playing a role in the later stages of fibril formation when the transition of cell surface–soluble fibronectin into insoluble fibrils occurs72 and/or it is altering the signaling pathways that govern fibronectin fibril formation.

How αvβ3 integrin signaling is affecting fibril formation is still unclear. The increased assembly of these fibronectin fibrils could be due to the enhanced RhoA activity of the CAβ3 cells in response to serum (see Fig. 7). Early studies demonstrated that fibronectin fibrillogenesis is a RhoA-dependent process,34,64 and RhoA signaling has also been suggested to play a role in regulating fibronectin matrix formation in TM cell cultures.52 RhoA activity has also been reported to be upregulated when the β3 integrin subunit is overexpressed.73 The changes in RhoA activity would influence the contractile state and traction force generated by a cell through sites of focal adhesions, which in turn can significantly impact a cell's ability to assemble a fibronectin matrix.34,59,74 The implication here is that traction and contractile forces on fibronectin promote unfolding and stretching of the fibronectin dimer, which in turn initiates a cascade of intermolecular interactions between multiple fibronectin dimers that propagate the formation of a DOC-insoluble fibril. Such an enhanced unfolding and stretching of fibronectin's conformation was seen in CAβ3 cells when we labeled the matrices with the L8 antibody that recognizes a conformation-sensitive epitope.

Interestingly, when we tried to use the ROCK inhibitor Y27632 to correlate the increased incorporation of fibronectin into the DOC-insoluble matrix with RhoA signaling, we failed to see a decrease in fibronectin fibril formation. Earlier studies...
have suggested that other pathways, independent of RhoA/ROCK, can play a role in fibronectin fibrillogenesis. Studies by Hill et al. showed that when living rat eyes were treated with TGFβ1 there was an increase in fibronectin that was only modestly decreased by inhibiting RhoA activity using siRNA. A similar result has also been reported in endothelial cells that appear to be capable of assembling a fibronectin matrix in the absence of a classical RhoA-dependent pathway. Additional studies in Xenopus embryos and human foreskin fibroblasts also suggested that RhoA/ROCK-independent pathways could play a significant role in promoting fibronectin fibrillogenesis.

Together these observations support our data that a RhoA/ROCK signaling pathway does not appear to play a significant role in the enhanced fibronectin matrix deposition by CAβ3 cells. Instead, there appears to be a ROCK-independent pathway that is mediating enhanced fibril formation in these cells. Studies by Shiller et al. have recently proposed that an alternative RhoA-mediated pathway can control contractility and hence may be involved in fibril formation. In this alternative pathway (Fig. 11), the RhoA/ROCK pathway is mediated to a large extent by α5β1 integrin signaling while signaling via αv-class integrins such as αvβ3 mediates RhoA signaling via the guanine nucleotide exchange factor (GEF) GEF-H1 and the formin mDia. Other studies support this idea. Zamir et al. found that fibrillar adhesion formation (hence fibrillogenesis) occurred via a RhoA/ROCK-independent mechanism while another study found that inhibiting mDia blocked fibrillar adhesion formation and impaired fibronectin remodeling. Other alternative pathways have also been proposed. Fernandez-Sauze et al. have proposed an alternative Rho-independent mechanism involving ILK and Rac1 that could be responsible for the phosphorylation of myosin light chain and the generation of the contractile forces needed for matrix assembly. Thus, it is possible that the CAβ3 cells are utilizing one or both of these pathways to control the contractile forces that enhance fibronectin matrix assembly.

These studies also showed that the composition and stretched state of fibronectin in fibrils appeared to be altered in CAβ3 cells. Our studies indicate that the DOC-insoluble fibronectin in cultures of CAβ3 cells contain substantially more EDA+ and/or EDB+ fibronectin than those assembled by EV or WTβ3 cells. This was despite the fact that intact EV and CAβ3 monolayers demonstrated comparable levels of EDA+ fibronectin and EV monolayers exhibited significantly more EDB+ fibronectin labeling than either WTβ3 or CAβ3 cultures. Yet
very little EDA+ and/or EDB+ fibronectin could be detected in the insoluble matrix of EV cells. This suggests that activation of αvβ3 integrin is involved in promoting the assembly of fibronectin fibrils that include one or both of these domains.

Interestingly, cultured HTM cells treated with either glucocorticoids, which can cause αvβ3 integrin activity to be upregulated, or TGFβ2 can both upregulate the EDA+ and EDB+ fibronectin isoforms.11,12 In addition, EDA+ fibronectin has been reported to be elevated in glaucomatous TM tissues.11 This suggests, especially in SIG, that αvβ3 integrin activity may be involved in ECM deposition in these circumstances.

In addition to this change in fibril composition, our studies indicate that there is a higher percentage of L8 labeling in the DOC-insoluble fibrils found in CAβ3 cultures. Since the L8 antibody detects an epitope that is exposed when fibronectin is stretched or unfolded in response to cell-derived mechanical forces,34,65,78,79 this suggests that fibronectin in fibrils in CAβ3 cultures may be under more tension or mechanical forces.

This enhanced unfolding and/or stretching of fibronectin fibrils is associated with more rigid fibrils in aging matrices and would be expected to have different biochemical properties that would affect cell behavior.78 The observation that EDB+ fibronectin is more prevalent in fibrils assembled by CAβ3 cells further substantiates this idea that fibrils assembled when αvβ3 integrin is activated have different biological properties.

Together these studies show that activation of αvβ3 integrins,
especially by glucocorticoids, may result in the assembly of a fibronectin matrix with biological properties that differs from a matrix assembled under conditions where $\alpha v \beta 3$ integrin is either expressed at very low levels such as in EV cells or not active such as in WT $\beta 3$ cells. Whether these changes could contribute to the enhanced rigidity of the ECM associated with glaucoma is unknown.

In summary, in addition to the $\beta 3$ integrin–induced alteration in fibronectin fibrillogenesis shown here, earlier work from our lab also found that $\beta 3$ integrin signaling played a role in altering the TM cytoskeleton to form CLANs and served as a negative regulator of TM phagocytosis. Collectively, alterations in these biological processes are associated with the pathogenesis of certain forms of glaucoma such as POAG and SIG. This suggests that dysregulation of $\beta 3$ integrin signaling may play a significant role in the pathogenesis of these glaucomas and possibly other forms of the disease. Future studies using the tamoxifen-inducible Cre$^{+/\beta 3 \text{integrin}^{\text{floxed/fox}}}$ mouse model we recently developed to knock down expression of $\alpha v \beta 3$ integrin in the trabecular meshwork should help determine the role of this integrin in POAG.

**FIGURE 10.** Constitutively active $\alpha v \beta 3$ integrin increases deposition of specific fibronectin isoforms into the DOC-insoluble matrix. (A) Fibronectin schematic showing the locations of the EDA and EDB alternatively spliced domains. The mAb BC-1 recognizes a conformation-specific epitope formed in the seventh type III repeat when the EDB domain is present. The IST-9 mAb detects a sequence found within the EDA domain. (B) Intact, confluent monolayers of EV, WT$\beta 3$, and CA$\beta 3$ cells were immunolabeled with mAbs IST-9 and BC-1, respectively. No clear differences in labeling intensity were observed between the three cell lines for mAb IST-9. EV cells, however, demonstrated more intense labeling with mAb BC-1 than both WT$\beta 3$ and CA$\beta 3$ cells. Negative control cells were labeled with mAb GAL-13 against $\beta$-galactosidase and showed no significant labeling (not shown). This experiment was performed twice with identical results. Scale bar: 50 $\mu$m. (C) OCW analysis of intact, unextracted monolayers of EV, WT$\beta 3$, and CA$\beta 3$ cells plated for 24 hours. No significant difference was found between any of the three cell lines with respect to EDA$^+$ fibronectin. Both WT$\beta 3$ cells ($P < 0.002$) and CA$\beta 3$ cells ($P < 0.02$) demonstrated less EDB$^+$ fibronectin in intact monolayers relative to EV cells. Results represent the mean $\pm$ SE and consist of data pooled from two assays using quadruplicate and triplicate determinations, respectively ($n = 7$). (D) OCW analysis of DOC-insoluble fibronectin fibrils in EV, WT$\beta 3$, and CA$\beta 3$ cultures. CA$\beta 3$ cells demonstrated significantly higher levels of DOC-insoluble EDA$^+$ fibronectin fibrils than EV and WT$\beta 3$ cells ($P < 0.002$). CA$\beta 3$ cells demonstrated significantly higher levels of DOC-insoluble EDB$^+$ fibronectin fibrils than EV and WT$\beta 3$ cells ($P < 0.03$). Results represent the mean $\pm$ SE and consist of data pooled from two assays using triplicate determinations ($n = 6$).
Together these two processes lead to stronger contractile forces that could ultimately lead to the enhanced fibronectin matrix of stress fibers. In addition, these actin filaments are necessary for the formation of fibrillar adhesions that are the sites of fibronectin assembly demonstrated by CA\textalphaβ3 integrins. Recent studies by Schiller et al.\textsuperscript{76} suggest that there are alternative ways in which stress fibers can be assembled by integrin signaling. Signaling from \textalphaβ1 integrins can activate a RhoA/ROCK-dependent signaling pathway that results in myosin phosphorylation. In contrast, \textalphaβ3 integrin signaling can use a separate ROCK-independent pathway that utilizes RhoA/mDia, which promotes enhanced F-actin polymerization that is required for the formation of stress fibers. In addition, these actin filaments are necessary for the formation of fibrillar adhesions that are the sites of fibronectin fibrillogenesis.\textsuperscript{77} Together these two processes lead to stronger contractile forces that could ultimately lead to the enhanced fibronectin matrix assembly demonstrated by CA\textalphaβ3 cells.
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