Descemet’s Membrane Modulation of Posterior Corneal Fibrosis

Carla S. Medeiros,1,2 Paramananda Saikia,1 Rodrigo Carlos de Oliveira,1 Luciana Lassance,1 Marcony R. Santhiago,2–4 and Steven E. Wilson1

1Cole Eye Institute, Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, Ohio, United States
2Department of Ophthalmology, University of Sao Paulo, Sao Paulo, Brazil
3Department of Ophthalmology, Federal University of Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
4Department of Ophthalmology, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, California, United States

Correspondence: Steven E. Wilson, Cole Eye Institute, I-32, Cleveland Clinic, 9500 Euclid Avenue, Cleveland, OH 44195, USA; wilsons4@ccf.org.

Submitted: December 14, 2018
Accepted: February 7, 2019

PURPOSE. The purpose of this study was to evaluate the effect of removal of Descemet’s basement membrane and endothelium compared with removal of the endothelium alone on posterior corneal fibrosis.

METHODS. Twelve New Zealand White rabbits were included in the study. Six eyes had removal of the Descemet’s membrane–endothelial complex over the central 8 mm of the cornea. Six eyes had endothelial removal with an olive-tipped cannula over the central 8 mm of the cornea. All corneas developed stromal edema. Corneas in both groups were cryofixed in optimum cutting temperature (OCT) formula at 1 month after surgery. Immunohistochemistry (IHC) was performed for α-smooth muscle actin (SMA), keratocan, CD45, nidogen-1, vimentin, and Ki-67, and a TUNEL assay was performed to detect apoptosis.

RESULTS. Six of six corneas that had Descemet’s membrane–endothelial removal developed posterior stromal fibrosis populated with SMA+ myofibroblasts, whereas zero of six corneas that had endothelial removal alone developed fibrosis or SMA+ myofibroblasts (P < 0.01). Myofibroblasts in the fibrotic zone of corneas that had Descemet’s membrane–endothelial removal were undergoing both mitosis and apoptosis at 1 month after surgery. A zone between keratocan+ keratocytes and SMA+ myofibroblasts contained keratocan-SMA-vimentin+ cells that were likely CD45− corneal fibroblasts and CD45+ fibrocytes.

CONCLUSIONS. Descemet’s basement membrane has an important role in modulating posterior corneal fibrosis after injury that is analogous to the role of the epithelial basement membrane in modulating anterior corneal fibrosis after injury. Fibrotic areas had myofibroblasts undergoing mitosis and apoptosis, indicating that fibrosis is in dynamic flux.
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INJURY TO THE EPITHELIAL BASEMENT MEMBRANE (EBM) AND SUBSEQUENT DEFECTIVE REGENERATION OF THE EBM HAS BEEN SHOWN TO UNDERLIE THE DEVELOPMENT OF ANTERIOR CORNEAL FIBROSIS.1–4 EVENTUAL REGENERATION OF THE EBM LEADS TO THE RESOLUTION OF FIBROSIS IN THE ANTERIOR STROMA OF MANY CORNEAS.5 IN A STUDY OF THE EFFECT OF PSEUDOMONAS AERUGINOSA INFECTION ON FIBROSIS IN THE CORNEA,6 IT WAS NOTED THAT DAMAGE TO THE DESCEMET’S BASEMENT MEMBRANE-ENDOTHELIAL COMPLEX LED TO POSTERIOR CORNEAL FIBROSIS. IN THAT STUDY, THE EXTENT OF INJURY TO DESCEMET’S BASEMENT MEMBRANE AND THE ENDOTHELium was difficult to control, and the effects on these two layers could not be separated. The present study was designed to examine the effects of removal to the central corneal endothelium alone compared with combined removal of the central Descemet’s basement membrane–endothelial complex on the development of corneal stromal fibrosis and to study the effects of these injuries on the normal gradient of basement membrane component nidogen-1 in the corneal stroma.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals

All animals were treated in accordance with the tenets of the ARVO Statement for the Use of Animals in Ophthalmic and Vision Research, and the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committees (IACUC) at the Cleveland Clinic (Cleveland, OH, USA), approved the study.

Twelve 12- to 15-week-old female New Zealand White rabbits weighing 2.5 to 3.0 kg each were included in this study. The animals were placed under general anesthesia with 30 mg/kg ketamine hydrochloride and xylazine 5 mg/kg by intramuscular (IM) injection. In addition, topical proparacaine hydrochloride 1% (Alcon, Fort Worth, TX, USA) was applied to each eye prior to the surgery.
Excision of the Central Descemet’s Membrane–Endothelial Complex

In six corneas of six rabbits, after general and topical anesthesia, a lid speculum was placed into one eye, and an 8-mm-diameter circle was marked on the epithelial surface with gentian violet. A limbal entry incision was created with a 1.6-mm blade (Bausch & Lomb, Rochester, NY, USA), and 0.3 mL Healon ophthalmic viscoelastic device (OVD) (Abbott Medical Optics, Inc., Santa Ana, CA, USA) was injected to maintain the anterior chamber. A reversed Sinskey hook (Katena, Denville, NJ, USA) was placed into the anterior chamber, and an 8-mm-diameter disc of Descemet’s membrane and endothelium was excised underlying the 8-mm area previously marked on the anterior corneal surface without a subsequent endothelial corneal transplant. The remaining Healon OVD was removed with the Simcoe irrigation and aspiration cannula and replaced with balanced salt solution (BSS). A single 10-0 nylon suture was placed at the incision site to prevent leakage from the anterior chamber. The opposite eye was included as an unwounded control and had the same treatment except Descemet’s membrane or the endothelium was not injured or removed.

Mechanical Central Endothelial Removal

In six corneas of six rabbits, after general and topical anesthesia, a lid speculum was placed into one eye, and an 8-mm-diameter circle was marked on the epithelial surface with gentian violet. A limbal entry incision was created with a 1.6-mm blade (Bausch & Lomb), and 0.3 mL Healon OVD (Abbott Medical Optics, Inc.) was injected to maintain the anterior chamber. A smooth olive-tipped cannula (Beaver-Visitec, Becton-Dickinson, Franklin Lake, NJ, USA) was inserted at the anterior chamber and moved methodically back and forth across the 8-mm circle of endothelium previously demarcated by gentian violet without damaging the underlying Descemet’s membrane (Fig. 1A). The remaining Healon OVD was removed with the Simcoe irrigation and aspiration cannula and replaced with BSS. This method was previously shown to effectively destroy 100% of corneal endothelial cells within the intended area.7 The same procedure was performed in the opposite control eye, except the endothelium was not injured with the olive-tipped cannula.

Immunohistochemistry and TUNEL Assay

All six rabbit corneas in each injury and control group were examined at with a slit lamp at 1 day, 3 days, 14 days, and 4 weeks after the injury. Euthanasia was performed using an intravenous injection of 100 mg/kg Beuthanasia (Shering-Plough, Kenilworth, NJ, USA) while the animals were under general anesthesia. Subsequently, the corneo-scleral rims of the wounded and unwounded eyes were removed with 0.12 forceps and sharp Westcott scissors (Fairfield, CT, USA) without touching the cornea. The corneas were placed in the center of a 24 × 24 × 5-mm mold (Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA, USA) and immersed in liquid optimum cutting temperature (OCT) compound (Sakura Finetek, Torrance, CA, USA). The cornea-scleral rim within the mold was rapidly frozen on dry ice, and the blocks were stored at −80°C until sectioned. The OCT blocks were bisected, and transverse sections were cut from the central cornea within the previous Descemet’s membrane–endothelial injury, endothelial removal
alone, or the corresponding area in control corneas. Central corneal sections (7 µm) were cut from each specimen with a cryostat (HM 505M; Micron GmbH, Walldorf, Germany) and placed on 25 × 75 × 1-mm Superfrost Plus microscope slides (Fisher Scientific). Slides were maintained at −80°C until the TUNEL method was performed. Immunohistochemistry (IHC) for basement membrane component nidogen-1, IHC for myofibroblast marker α-smooth muscle actin (SMA), IHC for keratocyte marker keratocan, or IHC for the mitosis marker Ki-67 were performed on experimental and control corneas as detailed below. In some experiments, the TUNEL assay and/or IHC analyses were combined in duplex assays.

Double IHC for SMA and keratocan was performed after the thawed slides were immersed in PBS for 5 minutes and then in 4% paraformaldehyde (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA) for 5 minutes. The slides were then rinsed three times in PBS/0.1% Tween-20 (PBST) solution (Sigma). The slides were blocked with 5% normal donkey serum (#017000121; Jackson Laboratories, West Grove, PA, USA) in PBS containing 0.05% NP-40 (Sigma) for 1 hour at room temperature. Slides were incubated with mouse anti-human SMA clone 1A4 (Cat. # M-0851; DAKO, Carpinteria, CA, USA) at 1:50 dilution in 1× PBST with 5% donkey serum for 90 minutes at 4°C. Slides were washed three times in PBST and incubated overnight with a goat anti-keratocan antibody (a gift from Winston Kao) raised against the peptide H2N-LRLDGNEIKPPIPIDLVAC-OH using a goat anti-human nidogen-1, IHC for myofibroblast marker α-smooth muscle actin (SMA), IHC for keratocyte marker keratocan, or IHC for the mitosis marker Ki-67 were performed on experimental and control corneas as detailed below. In some experiments, the TUNEL assay and/or IHC analyses were combined in duplex assays.

Double IHC for SMA and keratocan was performed after the thawed slides were immersed in PBS for 5 minutes and then in 4% paraformaldehyde (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA) for 5 minutes. The slides were then rinsed three times in PBS/0.1% Tween-20 (PBST) solution (Sigma). The slides were blocked with 5% normal donkey serum (#017000121; Jackson Laboratories, West Grove, PA, USA) in PBS containing 0.05% NP-40 (Sigma) for 1 hour at room temperature. Slides were incubated with mouse anti-human SMA clone 1A4 (Cat. # M-0851; DAKO, Carpinteria, CA, USA) at 1:50 dilution in 1× PBST with 5% donkey serum for 90 minutes at 4°C. Slides were washed three times in PBST and incubated overnight with a goat anti-keratocan antibody (a gift from Winston Kao) raised against the peptide H2N-LRLDGNEIKPPIPIDLVAC-OH using a goat anti-human nidogen-1, IHC for myofibroblast marker α-smooth muscle actin (SMA), IHC for keratocyte marker keratocan, or IHC for the mitosis marker Ki-67 were performed on experimental and control corneas as detailed below. In some experiments, the TUNEL assay and/or IHC analyses were combined in duplex assays.

Negative controls of wounded and unwounded corneas were included in each assay without primary antibody and for the TUNEL assay without terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase. For controls, we evaluated the following: (1) −9 diopter (D) photorefractive keratectomy (PRK) cornea at 1 day after surgery in each TUNEL assay where apoptotic cells were present in the anterior stroma, (2) −9 D PRK corneas at 30 days after surgery where there is a peak of SMA+ myofibroblasts, and (3) unwounded control corneas where the uninjured Descemet's membrane–endothelium complex can be visualized.

Slides were analyzed and imaged with a Leica DMS5000 microscope (Leica, Buffalo Grove, IL, USA) equipped with Q-imaging Retiga 4000R (Surrey, BC, Canada) camera and Image-Pro software (Media Cybernetics, Inc., Bethesda, MD, USA).

Quantitation of Apoptosis and Mitosis in Myofibroblasts

To quantify the number of myofibroblasts that were undergoing apoptosis or mitosis at 4 weeks after posterior injury, the number of TUNEL+ SMA+ cells or Ki-67+ SMA+ cells per 400× field were counted in three independent fields tangent to the posterior surface of each cornea, and the average was calculated for all corneas in each group. Also, in each cornea with fibrosis, the fibrotic zone was divided into thirds from anterior to posterior and counts of TUNEL+ SMA+ cells per 400× field or Ki-67+ SMA+ cells per 400× field were made in each third.

To quantify the number of CD45+ or CD45+ myofibroblasts undergoing apoptosis (TUNEL+) within (1) the fibrotic posterior cornea or (2) the 50-µm-thick band just anterior to the fibrosis, 100 of the corresponding cells were counted in each region in each of the six corneas in the Descemet's membrane–endothelial injury group, and the means and SDs were determined. We could not evaluate mitosis in CD45+ versus CD45+ stromal cells because only mouse antibodies that could be used in IHC for both Ki-67 and CD45 were found.

Statistical Analysis

A sample size of six was used in all groups: control unwounded, endothelial removal alone, and Descemet's membrane–endothelium removal. All data are represented as the mean ± SD, and statistical significance was determined
Descemet’s Membrane and Corneal Scarring (Fibrosis)

using the Wilcoxon rank-sum test. \( P < 0.05 \) was considered a significant difference.

**RESULTS**

After endothelial removal alone (Fig. 1A), there was extensive stromal edema immediately after the excision that persisted for 1 to 4 weeks (Fig. 1B). All corneas in the Descemet’s membrane–endothelial excision group had extensive stromal edema immediately following surgery (data not shown). None of the control corneas in either group developed stromal edema. By 2 weeks after endothelial removal alone (Fig. 1C), there was a notable decrease in stromal edema, and no stromal scarring was observed. By 4 weeks after endothelial removal alone (Fig. 1D), stromal edema had resolved, and stromal transparency was identical to unwounded control corneas (data not shown). Conversely, extensive stromal edema and stromal fibrosis (scarring) (Fig. 1E) was present in all six corneas that had Descemet’s membrane–endothelial injury at 2 weeks after injury. At 4 weeks after Descemet’s membrane–endothelial removal (Fig. 1F), all six corneas continued to have stromal edema and developed severe stromal scarring (fibrosis).

No normal unwounded corneas from either group had evidence of SMA+ cells or fibrosis (Fig. 2A), and the stroma and surrounding stroma had high levels of keratocan. In contrast, six of six rabbit corneas that had an 8-mm-diameter Descemet’s membrane–endothelium excision developed extensive stromal fibrosis by 4 weeks after injury that was populated with SMA+ myofibroblasts (Figs. 2B, 2C). The fibrosis in this group occupied 50% to 50% of the posterior stroma beneath normal stroma populated with keratocan+ keratocytes (Fig. 2B). None of these corneas had regeneration of the endothelium overlying the fibrosis (data not shown). Figure 2D shows a Descemet’s membrane–endothelial injury cornea at 1 month after injury in which keratocan and SMA antibodies were excluded from the duplex staining as a control, and no nonspecific staining was observed.

None of the six corneas that had an 8-mm-diameter endothelial removal alone without Descemet’s membrane injury developed posterior fibrosis at 1 month after injury (Fig. 2E), despite there being marked stromal edema in these corneas that resolved in the first 2 to 4 weeks after the injury (Figs. 1B, 1C). In all these corneas, there was regeneration of the corneal endothelium by 4 weeks after the endothelial injury (Fig. 2E). The difference in the development of fibrosis between the Descemet’s membrane–endothelial removal group and the endothelium-only removal group was statistically significant (\( P < 0.01 \)).

Interestingly, there was a band containing keratocan+ SMA– cells between the keratocytes and the myofibroblasts in the corneas at 4 weeks after Descemet’s membrane–endothelial injury (Fig. 2B), although there were some areas where there appeared to be direct mingling of the keratocan+ keratocytes and the SMA– myofibroblasts (area within rectangles in Fig. 2B and entire panel of Fig. 2C at higher magnification). This type of band was not noted in corneas that had endothelial removal alone (Fig. 2E).

When double IHC for SMA and CD45 was performed on corneas that had Descemet’s membrane–endothelial injury (Fig. 2F), it was found that many of the cells in the band of stroma just anterior to the fibrosis were CD45+ at 1 month after injury. Many SMA+ cells within the fibrosis were also CD45+, which is best seen when only the CD45 staining is viewed in Figure 2G. Few cells in the anterior stroma of these corneas were CD45+. No CD45+ cells were detected in the stroma of corneas at 1 month after endothelial removal alone (data not shown) or in unwounded control corneas (data not shown). When primary antibodies for SMA and CD45 were excluded from duplex IHC performed on corneas in all three groups as controls, there was no nonspecific staining (data not shown). Apoptosis of CD45+ versus CD45– cells was found to be different in the fibrotic area compared with the band of stroma just anterior to the fibrosis in corneas at 1 month after Descemet’s membrane–endothelial injury. In the fibrotic posterior cornea in this group, 13.9 ± 2.2 TUNEL+ cells were CD45+ and 86.1 ± 2.2 TUNEL+ cells were CD45– (\( P < 0.001 \)). Conversely, in the 50-μm-thick zone just anterior to the fibrosis, 74.3 ± 7.6 TUNEL+ cells were CD45+ and 25.7 ± 9.0 cells were CD45– (\( P < 0.001 \)). Thus, a higher proportion of bone marrow–derived stromal cells compared with keratocyte–derived cells were undergoing apoptosis in the 50-μm stromal band just anterior to the fibrosis at 1 month after the injury, whereas within the fibrosis, more of the cells undergoing apoptosis were keratocyte–derived cells.

To evaluate stromal cell apoptosis compared with mitosis in the stroma at 4 weeks after Descemet’s membrane–endothelial injury–endothelial removal alone, or in control unwounded corneas, the TUNEL assay versus IHC for mitosis-related antigen Ki-67 was performed along with simultaneous SMA IHC, and DAPI staining (Fig. 3). TUNEL+ cells were only noted in the epithelium (Fig. 3A) as a part of the normal life cycle of epithelial cells of normal unwounded corneas. When the TUNEL assay was performed along with IHC for SMA at 1 month after Descemet’s membrane–endothelial injury (Fig. 3B), many myofibroblasts in the posterior fibrotic zone of all corneas were undergoing apoptosis. In addition, some SMA+ cells in the band of stroma anterior to the fibrotic zone noted in Figure 2C were also undergoing apoptosis. Few, if any, keratocan+ cells in the anterior stroma were TUNEL+ (data not shown). Conversely, at 1 month after endothelial removal alone (Fig. 3C), no TUNEL+ stromal cells were detected in any cornea in this group at this time point. No TUNEL+ stromal cells were detected in control unwounded corneas (data not shown). Figure 3D shows the control staining at 1 month after Descemet’s membrane–endothelial injury without terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase and without SMA primary antibody, and no nonspecific staining was noted.

Ki-67+ cells were only noted in the epithelium (Fig. 3E) as a part of the life cycle of epithelial cells in normal unwounded corneas. When Ki-67 IHC was performed along with IHC for SMA in duplex at 1 month after Descemet’s membrane–endothelial injury (Fig. 3F), many myofibroblasts in the posterior fibrotic zone of all corneas were undergoing mitosis, and a high proportion of these myofibroblasts undergoing mitosis were in the most posterior fibrotic stroma adjacent to the anterior chamber. In addition, some SMA+ cells in the band anterior to the fibrotic zone noted in Figure 2C were also undergoing mitosis in all corneas in this group. Only rare keratocan+ cells undergoing mitosis were detected in the anterior stroma of any cornea in any group (data not shown). In Figure 3G, only the green Ki-67 IHC is shown, in the same section as Figure 3F, so that the higher density of mitotic myofibroblasts within the fibrosis nearer the anterior chamber could be better observed. Conversely, at 1 month after endothelial removal alone, no Ki-67+ stromal cells were noted in any cornea in this group (data not shown). Figure 3H shows control staining at 1 month after Descemet’s membrane–endothelial injury without Ki-67 primary antibody and without SMA primary antibody, and no nonspecific staining was noted.

Table 1 shows whether fibrosis was present in the stroma of each surgery and control group. Posterior stromal fibrosis was detected in all corneas that had Descemet’s–endothelium removal but not in corneas that had endothelial removal.
alone or control corneas in either group. Table 2 shows the difference in the localization of TUNEL+ SMA+ or Ki-67+ SMA+ myofibroblasts per 400× field between the anterior, middle, and posterior thirds of the fibrotic zone in corneas that had Descemet’s membrane–endothelial injury at 1 month after the injury. Apoptosis of myofibroblasts was significantly higher in the anterior one-third of the fibrosis (furthest from the aqueous humor), whereas mitosis was significantly higher in the posterior one-third of the fibrosis (nearest to the aqueous humor).
FIGURE 3. SMA IHC, TUNEL assay, and Ki-67 IHC 4 weeks after posterior corneal injury. IHC for SMA and combined TUNEL assay was performed in A–D, and duplex IHC for SMA and Ki-67 was performed in E–H. (A) Combined IHC for SMA (importantly SMA is green in A–D) and TUNEL assay (red) on an unwounded control cornea. No SMA was detected. Some epithelial cells stained with the TUNEL assay (arrowhead) because apoptosis is a normal part of epithelial turnover. A single stromal cell that was TUNEL+ was detected in the stroma (arrow) in this section. Magnification, 100×. (B) IHC for SMA (green) and TUNEL assay (red) performed on the posterior cornea 1 month after Descemet’s membrane-endothelial
excision. Many SMA$^+$ myofibroblasts were undergoing apoptosis (arrows). In addition, some cells in the keratocan$^+$ SMA$^-$ band anterior to the fibrosis noted in Figure 2C were also TUNEL$^+$ (arrowbeads). Magnification, 100×. (C) IHC for SMA (green) and TUNEL assay (red) performed on the posterior cornea 1 month after endothelial removal alone. No SMA$^+$ or TUNEL$^+$ cells were detected in the stroma in any of the corneas in this group. Magnification, 100×. (D) No primary SMA antibody and no terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase TUNEL control staining performed on the posterior cornea 1 month after Descemet’s membrane–endothelial excision. No nonspecific staining was detected. Magnification, 100×. (E) Duplex IHC for SMA (red) and Ki-67 assay (green) on an unwounded control cornea. No SMA was detected. Two basal epithelial cells stained with IHC for Ki-67 (arrowbeads, and best seen in enlarged image online) because mitosis is a normal part of epithelial turnover. However, no Ki-67$^+$ staining was detected in the stroma of control corneas. Magnification, 100×. (F) Duplex IHC for SMA (red in this panel) and TUNEL assay (green) performed on the posterior cornea 1 month after Descemet’s membrane–endothelial excision. Many SMA$^+$ myofibroblasts were undergoing mitosis (arrows) in the posterior area of the fibrotic zone near the anterior chamber in all corneas in this group. Fewer SMA$^+$ myofibroblasts are undergoing mitosis in the more anterior area of the fibrosis. Magnification, 200×. (G) Same tissue section as F but only showing Ki-67$^+$ staining (green). Note how there is much greater density of Ki-67$^+$ cells (arrows) in the more posterior fibrosis near the anterior chamber than in the more anterior fibrosis. Magnification, 200×. (H) No primary SMA antibody and no primary Ki-67 antibody control staining performed on the posterior cornea 1 month after Descemet’s membrane–endothelial excision. No nonspecific staining was detected. Magnification, 100×.

In the unwounded rabbit corneas (Fig. 4A), nidogen-1 was present at high levels in the EBM and Descemet’s membrane. Within the stroma of the unwounded control corneas, there was the normal gradient of nidogen-1, with higher levels in the posterior stroma than the anterior stroma (Fig. 4A), as was noted in a prior study. At 4 weeks after Descemet’s membrane–endothelium injury, there were only a few cells expressing nidogen-1 protein within the fibrotic zone populated with SMA$^+$ cells (Figs. 4B, 4C) as appears to correspond to the stromal band where keratocan$^+$ SMA$^-$ cells were present in Figure 2B, in all corneas that had Descemet’s membrane–endothelial injury. Note that the endothelium had not regenerated posterior to the fibrotic zone in any of the corneas that had Descemet’s membrane–endothelial injury (Figs. 4B, 4C). Figure 4D shows a Descemet’s membrane–endothelial injury cornea at 1 month after injury with no primary antibodies for SMA and nidogen-1 as a control, and no nonspecific staining was noted. Conversely, in all corneas that had endothelial removal alone, there was no fibrosis or SMA$^+$ cells in the stroma at 1 month after injury (Fig. 4E), the corneal endothelium had regenerated (Fig. 4E), and the same distribution of nidogen-1 in the Descemet’s membrane and the posterior stroma as was noted in unwounded control corneas had been reestablished by 4 weeks after the injury (Fig. 4E).

**DISCUSSION**

This study conclusively demonstrates the critical role of Descemet’s basement membrane in modulating myofibroblast generation and fibrosis in the posterior cornea. Descemet’s membrane–endothelial excision triggered stromal scarring and posterior fibrosis in all rabbit corneas overlying the site of injury at 1 month after surgery (Figs. 1, 2; Table 1). Conversely, endothelial removal alone, produced with an olive-tipped cannula so that the underlying Descemet’s membrane was not injured, did not trigger stromal fibrosis (Figs. 1, 2; Table 1). These two observations point specifically to Descemet’s membrane as the critical structure in the modulation of posterior corneal fibrosis, as the epithelial basement membrane is for the anterior stroma. This role of Descemet’s basement membrane likely relates to specific components of this basement membrane that bind to and modulate growth factors such as TGF$\beta$-1 and platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF) that could stimulate the development of myofibroblasts from keratocytes via corneal fibroblasts, bone marrow–derived fibrocytes, and other precursors that are dependent on an ongoing and adequate source of TGF$\beta$ for development and persistence. Specific components of Descemet’s membrane that bind these profibrotic growth factors include perlecan (TGF$\beta$-1, TGF$\beta$-2, PDGF AA, and PDGF BB), collagen IV (TGF$\beta$-1 and TGF$\beta$-2), and nidogens (PDGF AA and PDGF BB). In addition, perlecan creates a high negative charge in basement membranes because it contains three heparan sulfate side chains. Therefore, perlecan also provides a nonspecific barrier to some growth factors such as TGF$\beta$.

**Table 1.** Presence (+) or Absence (−) of Corneal Stromal Fibrosis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cornea</th>
<th>Descentem-s-Endothelial-Removal</th>
<th>Endothelial-Removal-AIone</th>
<th>Control-Without-Descentem-s-Endothelial-Removal</th>
<th>Control-Without-Endothelial-Removal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fibrosis at 4 weeks after Descemet’s basement membrane-endothelial cell (DBMEC) removal, endothelial cell removal, or in unwounded control corneas. Stromal fibrosis was noted in all corneas that had DBMEC removal, but in no corneas that had endothelial cell removal alone or in unwounded control corneas from either group.

**Table 2.** TUNEL$^+$ or Ki-67$^+$ Myofibroblasts per 400× Field in the Anterior, Middle, or Posterior Thirds of Fibrosis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Corneas</th>
<th>TUNEL</th>
<th>Ki-67</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anterior versus mid third</td>
<td>15.5 (11.3)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior versus post third</td>
<td>18.0 (12.8)</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid third versus post third</td>
<td>2.5 (15.9)</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Wilcoxon rank-sum $P$ value. TUNEL$^+$ or Ki-67$^+$ myofibroblasts (SMA$^+$) within zones of the fibrotic posterior stroma at 4 weeks after DBMEC removal. Ant. 1/3 Fibrosis, Mid. 1/3 Fibrosis and Post. 1/3 Fibrosis represents, respectively, the zones of the fibrosis in the posterior stroma divided into thirds from anterior to posterior in corneas that had DBMEC removal. The mean number of TUNEL$^+$ SMA$^+$ myofibroblasts/400× field was significantly greater in the anterior one-third of the fibrotic zone (Wilcoxon rank-sum $P = 0.03$) than in the middle one-third of the fibrotic zone ($P = 0.03$). The mean number of Ki-67$^+$ mitotic myofibroblasts/400× field was significantly greater in the middle one-third of the fibrotic zone than it was in the anterior one-third of the fibrotic zone ($P = 0.03$).
The source of TGFβ that drives myofibroblast development from precursors and persistence is the aqueous humor, and likely residual peripheral corneal endothelial cells. In the endothelial removal-only group, it cannot be excluded that the regenerated endothelium could excrete a yet to be identified antifibrotic agent.

Despite the capacity rabbit corneal endothelial cells have for proliferation, these cells showed no tendency to grow over the posterior zone of fibrosis after Descemet’s membrane–endothelial injury. This lack of corneal endothelial cells was found to persist for at least 4 months in a Pseudomonas aeruginosa model of Descemet’s membrane–endothelial injury. Conversely, after endothelial removal alone, corneal endothelial cells regenerated over the posterior stroma in all six corneas by 1 month after surgery.

In a study of full-thickness incisional corneal wounds performed in mice, evidence was provided for regeneration of Descemet’s membrane, or at least a “Descemet’s-like” membrane anterior to corneal endothelial cells that had covered the site at 1 month after injury. In that study, the two ends of the cut endothelium–Descemet’s membrane complex were relatively close together, and this would have facilitated endothelial migration over the injury. That injury, however, was very different from the 9-mm-diameter endothelium–Descemet’s membrane complex removal in the current study. At 1 month after this injury, no movement of residual peripheral endothelial cells across this denuded area was noted. It is possible, if corneas at 1 year or longer after the injury were examined, that some migration, or even complete coverage, by endothelial cells could have been noted, along with regeneration of a Descemet’s-like membrane and subsequent resolution of fibrosis. Future experiments are planned to explore this possibility.

In Figure 2B it can be seen there was a band of keratocan− SMA− cells between keratocan+ keratocytes in the anterior stroma and SMA+ myofibroblasts in the posterior stroma at 1 month after Descemet’s membrane–endothelial injury, although within this band there were a few zones shown in the boxes in Figures 2B and 2C where the keratocytes and myofibroblasts directly intermingled. IHC for CD45 (Figs. 2E, 2G) showed that many of the cells in the intermediate keratocan− SMA− band were CD45+, suggesting that some of these cells were fibrocytes that infiltrated the cornea after injury, as was demonstrated in a prior study of corneal injury in...
Suspected that many of the CD45+/CD0 were vimentin, especially those immediately posterior to the epithelium—expression in keratocytes was spotty, with cells just posterior to the epithelium or just anterior the endothelium being more frequent vimentin+(Supplementary Figs. S1A, S1B).

Interestingly, many of the cells in the posterior stroma of all corneas at 1 month after Descemet’s membrane-endothelial injury, but not 1 month after endothelial removal alone, were undergoing mitosis or apoptosis, indicating active turnover of cells in this fibrotic area. Within the fibrotic zone populated by SMA+ myofibroblasts, there was mitosis that was significantly greater in cells in the posterior one-third of the fibrous zone (Figs. 3E, 3G; Table 2) and apoptosis that was significantly greater in cells in the anterior one-third of the fibrous zone (Fig. 3B; Table 2). It is hypothesized these gradients in mitosis and apoptosis relate to the concentration of TGFβ within the stromal fibrosis with greater proliferation occurring where the TGFβ levels were relatively higher near the aqueous humor source of TGFβ and greater apoptosis occurring where the TGFβ levels were relatively lower anterior in the corneal fibrosis. Thus, the fibrotic stroma is dynamic and persistence or disappearance of SMA+ myofibroblasts within this zone is likely dependent on the ratio of mitosis to apoptosis. When there is no Descemet’s membrane to modulate TGFβ+ penetration into the stroma from the aqueous humor, then this ratio remains sufficiently high so that the fibrotic zone persists.

Some cells within the intermediate keratocan+ SMA+ band anterior to the fibrotic zone after Descemet’s membrane-endothelial injury were also undergoing apoptosis (Fig. 3B) at 1 month after Descemet’s membrane-endothelial injury. A higher proportion of bone marrow- derived stromal cells compared with keratocyte-derived cells were undergoing apoptosis in this 50-μm stromal band just anterior to the fibrosis. Conversely, within the fibrosis, more of the cells undergoing apoptosis were keratocyte-derived cells. Thus, many of the bone marrow- derived and keratocyte-derived myofibroblast precursor cells aborted their development prior to becoming mature myofibroblasts, possibly because TGFβ1 levels at that location in the stroma were insufficient to support ongoing development of these particular cells at this point 1 month after the injury.

The normal stromal gradient of increased nidogen-1 production by keratocytes in the posterior stroma+ (Fig. 4A) is present at 1 month after endothelial removal alone (Fig. 4E). Conversely, after Descemet’s membrane-endothelial injury, the SMA+ myofibroblasts within the fibrous zone produce relatively little nidogen-1 at 1 month after injury (Figs. 4B, 4C). This difference is similar to production of nidogen-1 and other basement membrane components found in cultured stromal cells in vitro.26 Thus, if this hold true for other basement membrane components produced by keratocytes,26 there is little tendency for a Descemet’s-like basement membrane to regenerare over the posterior surface of the fibrotic zone without endothelial cells, and possibly keratocytes, to contribute basement membrane components. In contrast, cells within the keratocan+ SMA+ intermediate band anterior to the fibrosis at 1 month after Descemet’s membrane-endothelial injury produce relatively high levels of nidogen-1 (Figs. 4B, 4C).

The significance of this latter observation is unknown.

Figure 5 is a schematic diagram that illustrates the effect of removal of Descemet’s membrane on entry of TGFβ from the aqueous humor into the posterior stroma at sufficiently persistent levels to drive the development of myofibroblasts from keratocytes and bone marrow-derived fibrocytes. Myofibroblasts excrete disorganized extracellular matrix that is fibrosis associated with increased corneal opacity.

The results of this study have important clinical implications. This study indicates that there is a risk of development of posterior corneal fibrosis after descemetorexis without endothelial transplantation that has been investigated as a treatment for Fuchs’ dystrophy.27 Admittedly, rabbit corneas have more
tendency to develop fibrosis than human corneas. For example, after –9 D PK, 100% of rabbit corneas develop severe anterior fibrosis, but only 3% to 5% of human corneas that have –9 D PK without mitomycin C treatment develop anterior stromal fibrosis.2,5 However, this complication has been noted in human corneas after descemetorectomies without endothelial transplant.27 Similarly, there is likely to be a risk of posterior fibrosis in corneas that have complicated Descemet’s membrane endothelial keratoplasty (DMEK) and Descemet’s stripping automated endothelial keratoplasty (DSAEK) surgeries where a portion of the posterior surface of the recipient cornea is not covered by donor tissue containing the Descemet’s membrane endothelial transplant.27 Similarly, there is likely to be a risk of posterior stromal tissue after surgery, this tissue should be repositioned or replaced as soon as possible to decrease the risk of posterior corneal fibrosis.
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