Concentric Choriocapillaris Flow Deficits in Retinitis Pigmentosa Detected Using Wide-Angle Swept-Source Optical Coherence Tomography Angiography
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PURPOSE. We investigate whether choriocapillaris deficits can be visualized in patients with retinitis pigmentosa (RP) using wide-angle swept-source optical coherence tomography angiography (OCTA), and whether angiography or structure en face images depict a wider area of residual choriocapillaris.

METHODS. This cross-sectional study included 43 eyes of 43 consecutive patients with RP with a visual acuity ≥0.1, and 12 healthy eyes of 12 volunteers. Using an OCTA device (PLEX Elite 9000), we obtained angiography and structure en face images in the choriocapillaris. The residual choriocapillaris area in a 12 × 12 mm macular cube was measured manually.

RESULTS. In patients with RP, the residual choriocapillaris area was 113.1 ± 41.9 and 64.0 ± 47.8 mm² in angiography and structure images, respectively (P < 0.001). Concentric and vermicular choriocapillaris flow deficits were observed in 10 (23%) and 17 (40%) eyes of RP patients, respectively; no deficits were observed in 16 eyes (37%). Mean age was higher in eyes with concentric, vermicular, and nondeficit choriocapillaris. No healthy eye showed choriocapillaris deficits.

CONCLUSIONS. Using wide-angle swept-source OCTA, concentric and vermicular choriocapillaris flow deficits were observed in the eyes of RP patients. A comparison of angiography and structure en face images of the choriocapillaris in RP cases suggests that angiography images can evaluate a wider area of the choriocapillaris than structure images.
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Optical Coherence Tomography (OCT) is a fast, noninvasive, safe, cost-effective, and easily performed imaging method that provides depth-resolved information of retinal and choroidal blood flow in various diseases. OCT can acquire images that can show perifoveal choriocapillaris changes.

The performance of OCT devices is improving continuously; wide-angle OCTA is an example of such technological progress. While the angle of view was limited to 3 × 5 mm (10° × 10°) in previous OCTA models, a recent device enabled imaging of a 12 × 12 mm (40° × 40°) area at once. Considering that visual field deficits and photoreceptor cell death start in the midperipheral retina and progress in a concentric manner in RP pathology, the new wide-angle OCTA technology may facilitate acquisition of data that can show perifoveal choriocapillaris changes.

Furthermore, the latest model uses longer wavelengths for light sources (1050 nm in swept-source OCTA) compared to conventional spectral-domain OCTA (840 nm); therefore, it is superior for acquiring images beneath the RPE in detail. Some reports have confirmed the superiority of swept-source OCTA to demarcate the full extent of choroidal neovascularization compared to spectral-domain OCTA. Together, swept-source and wide-angle OCTA would be suitable techniques to estimate the choriocapillaris blood flow in RP cases. Nevertheless, the superiority of angiography or structure en face images for evaluating the choriocapillaris has not been investigated in RP to our knowledge. We investigated whether choriocapillaris deficits can be visualized in patients with RP using en face wide-angle and swept-source OCTA images, and whether angiography or structure en face images can more widely show the residual choriocapillaris area.
Concentric Choriocapillaris Flow Deficit in RP

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This cross-sectional study was part of an ongoing genotype-phenotype study that was approved by the ethics committee at the Kyoto University Graduate School of Medicine (Kyoto, Japan). Healthy eyes were selected by another study using OCTA approved by the same committee. Study protocols adhered to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki. After receiving an explanation of the possible risks and benefits of participation, participating individuals provided written informed consent.

Participants

We recruited consecutive patients with retinal degenerative disease who visited the Department of Ophthalmology and Visual Sciences at Kyoto University Graduate School of Medicine between July 2018 and August 2018. All patients underwent a comprehensive ophthalmologic examination, including autorefractometry; best-corrected visual acuity (VA) using a decimal VA chart (Landolt chart), axial length (AL) using an IOLMaster (Carl Zeiss Meditec, Inc., Dublin, CA, USA), as well as indirect ophthalmoscopy, slit-lamp biomicroscopy, color fundus photography, and fundus autofluorescence using a wide-field scanning laser ophthalmoscope (Optos, Optos PLC; Dunfermline, UK; Figs. 1K–N), and spectral-domain OCT (Spectralis HRA+OCT; Heidelberg Engineering, Heidelberg, Germany). A Humphrey field analyzer (Carl Zeiss Meditec) was used to calculate mean deviation (MD) values, using the 10-2 Swedish Interactive Threshold Algorithm standard program, to evaluate macular sensitivity. LS-C (Mayo Co., Nagoya, Japan) and Neuropack MEB-2204 (Nihon Kohden, Tokyo, Japan) systems were used to record electroretinograms. Retinal specialists used the data from these clinical examinations to diagnose RP.

Using an OCTA device (PLEX Elite 9000, Carl Zeiss Meditec, Dublin, CA, USA), angiography and structure en face images also were acquired from patients who met our criteria. Our inclusion criterion was an RP diagnosis with a VA ≥ 0.1, because, in our experience, it is difficult to obtain high-quality OCTA images in patients with RP and a low VA.1,4 Exclusion criteria were the presence of other ocular diseases except for refractive errors, cataracts, or pseudophakia; poor en face image quality resulting in ungradable data; and sectoral RP. When both eyes of a patient were eligible, the right eye was selected for analysis and the interocular difference also was analyzed. To interpret the angiography and structure en face images in patients with RP, data of age- and AL-matched healthy unilateral eyes from volunteers were reviewed. We obtained angiography and structure en face images and measured the AL for volunteers via the same methods used in this device.21 When a significant segmentation error occurred, we manually modified the segmentation.

We compared angiography (Figs. 1A–E) and structure (Figs. 1F–J) en face images in the same choriocapillaris slab. One investigator (MM) used Image J software (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA) to manually measure the residual choriocapillaris area in the en face images of a 12 × 12 mm macular square (144 mm²; Fig. 2). Based on the appearance of the angiography images, we divided eyes into concentric (Figs. 1A, 1B, concentric group), vermicular (Fig. 1C, vermicular group), and nondeficit (Fig. 1D, nondeficit group) categories.

Statistical Analysis

Data are presented as means ± SD when applicable. We converted decimal VA values to logMAR for statistical analyses. Paired and unpaired t-tests and χ² tests were used for comparisons between groups when applicable. The three groups were compared via 1-way ANOVA and post hoc analysis with Tukey’s or Games-Howell tests, in accordance with results of the variance equality assessment. Spearman’s rank correlation coefficients were used to analyze the associations among visual function (logMAR and MD value), age, AL, and the residual choriocapillaris area in angiography and structure en face images. Because we considered that evaluation of the residual choriocapillaris is underestimated in the vermicular and nondeficit groups due to the angle of view in the 12 × 12 mm macular square, we also performed a subanalysis, but only in the concentric group. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 21 software (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

We enrolled 43 patients (age, 47.4 ± 15.8 years; 25 women). For all eyes, en face images were suitable for analysis without the necessity for segmentation modification. Table 1 shows the ocular characteristics of the study population. There were no significant interocular differences in study parameters. The residual choriocapillaris was significantly larger in angiography than in structure images (113.1 ± 41.9 mm² and 64.0 ± 47.8 mm², respectively. P < 0.001). Concentric choriocapillaris flow deficits (concentric group) were observed in 10 (23%) of 43 eyes in angiography images within the 12 × 12 mm macular square. Vermicular or partial choriocapillaris deficits (vermicular group) were observed in 17 eyes (40%). No choriocapillaris flow deficits (nondeficit group) were observed in 16 eyes (37%). Also, none of the 12 age- and AL-matched healthy eyes of the 12 volunteers (age, 47.7 ± 22.5 years, P = 0.97; AL, 24.70 ± 1.26, P = 0.25) showed choriocapillaris deficits (Figs. 1E, 1J). When comparing concentric, vermicular, and nondeficit groups, age was higher when comparing the concentric to the vermicular group (P = 0.04) and the vermicular to the nondeficit group (P = 0.03; Table 2).

Table 3 shows the correlation between visual function and other study parameters. The residual choriocapillaris areas in angiography and structure images were not significantly correlated with logMAR and MD values. Subanalyses of the concentric group (n = 10), in which the border of the residual choriocapillaris area can be marked accurately, revealed that the residual choriocapillaris area of the angiography images was correlated with MD values (P = 0.03, r = 0.70); however, it was not correlated with logMAR (P = 0.81). Structure images of the residual choriocapillaris area showed the same trend, although the correlation was not statistically significant (with MD, P = 0.11 and with logMAR, P = 0.39).
FIGURE 1. Representative angiography and structure en face images of choriocapillaris layers and images acquired with a wide-field scanning laser ophthalmoscope in eyes with RP and a healthy eye. (A–E) Representative angiography en face images. (F–J) Representative structure en face images. (K–N) Representative autofluorescent images taken with a wide-field scanning laser ophthalmoscope as references. (A, F, K) Concentric choriocapillaris deficits were observed in the right eye of a 50-year-old male patient with a visual acuity of 0.3. The choriocapillaris areas of the angiography and structure en face images were 48.5 and 23.3 mm², respectively. (B, G, L) Concentric choriocapillaris deficits were observed in the right eye of a 64-year-old female patient with a visual acuity of 0.9. The choriocapillaris areas of the angiography and structure en face images were 24.4 and 18.5 mm², respectively. (C, H, M) Vermicular (partial) choriocapillaris deficits were observed in the right eye of a 46-year-old female patient with a visual acuity of 1.0. The choriocapillaris areas of the angiography and structure en face images were 126.5 and 39.2 mm², respectively. (D, I, N) No deficits were observed in the right eye of a 23-year-old male patient with a visual acuity of 0.3. The choriocapillaris areas of the angiography and structure en face images were 144.0 and 118.2 mm², respectively. (E, J) No choriocapillaris deficits were observed in the right eye of a 42-year-old normal volunteer without eye disease.
was more clearly demarcated in angiography images. Considering that OCTA represents a motion decorrelation signal that arises from the blood flow in the retina, we assert that the choriocapillaris area depicted in angiography en face images is more accurate than that depicted with structure en face images. RP was a good model to compare the appearance of the choriocapillaris area in angiography and structure images because of its pattern of concentric damage of the retina and choroid.

Previous cross-sectional studies using spectral-domain OCTA with a $3 \times 3$ mm image area showed that the choriocapillaris blood flow in patients with RP was not different from those of controls. A recent longitudinal study showed no changes in the choriocapillaris blood flow in a $3 \times 3$ mm area during 1.3 $\pm$ 0.5 years of follow-up. However, changes in choriocapillaris deficits would be difficult to detect using narrow-angle OCTA. Also, our results indicated that choriocapillaris damage occurs concentrically. Even in a $12 \times 12$ mm image area, concentric and vermicular choriocapillaris deficits were observed in only 23% and 40% of the cases, respectively. Hence, observations using narrow-angle OCTA may miss these changes entirely. OCTA with a wider angle than that used in our study is needed to detect the deficits in more cases. Central choriocapillaris blood flow remains until late-stage RP at least until visual acuity declines to $<0.4$. Meanwhile, in the early stages when choriocapillaris changes may occur only in the periphery, even the $12 \times 12$ mm OCTA detected no changes in 37% of our cases. Overall, the current wide-field OCTA technology would be suitable to evaluate the choriocapillaris status in moderate stages of RP.

Our results indicated that visual function was not correlated with the residual choriocapillaris area. This could be because we could not evaluate the residual choriocapillaris outside the $12 \times 12$ mm area, which corresponds to $40 \times 40^\circ$. Thus, measurements of the residual choriocapillaris area in our study would have a ceiling effect. If the whole residual choriocapillaris area could be evaluated, the results may be more informative. In fact, in the subanalysis of the concentric group in which the whole residual choriocapillaris area was evaluated, there was a significant correlation between the residual choriocapillaris area and MD values despite the small sample size ($n = 10$).

There were no interocular differences in the study parameters, including the residual choriocapillaris area. These findings indicated that damage to the choriocapillaris progresses with similar speed between both eyes in patients with RP. A previous study reported that interocular symmetry generally

### DISCUSSION

We demonstrated concentric and vermicular choriocapillaris flow deficits in patients with RP using wide-angle swept-source OCTA. These findings could not be detected by conventional angle OCTA with an image area of $3 \times 3$ mm because the maximum circle area detectable in a $3 \times 3$ mm square is 7.1 mm$^2$, based on a 1.5-mm diameter, and the minimum area of the residual choriocapillaris area was 22.7 mm$^2$ in our study population. Thus, in our study, angiography en face images (OCTA) revealed more vasculature data than structure en face images. Furthermore, the border of the choriocapillaris

### Table 1. Characteristics of the Patients with RP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical Values</th>
<th>Selected Eyes</th>
<th>Fellow Eyes</th>
<th>P Value (Interocular Difference)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>47.4 $\pm$ 15.8</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female sex, eyes (%)</td>
<td>25 (58)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right eye, N</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LogMAR</td>
<td>0.19 $\pm$ 0.27</td>
<td>0.23 $\pm$ 0.32</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean deviation value (dB)</td>
<td>$-16.40 \pm 9.79$</td>
<td>$-16.97 \pm 10.01$</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axial length (mm)</td>
<td>24.12 $\pm$ 1.58</td>
<td>24.07 $\pm$ 1.60</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual choriocapillaris area in angiography images within $12 \times 12$ mm (mm$^2$)</td>
<td>113.1 $\pm$ 41.9</td>
<td>112.3 $\pm$ 43.6</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual choriocapillaris area in structure images within $12 \times 12$ mm (mm$^2$)</td>
<td>64.0 $\pm$ 47.8</td>
<td>64.2 $\pm$ 49.4</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as mean $\pm$ SD where applicable. The interocular differences were analyzed using paired t-tests (statistical significance, $P < 0.05$).
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angiography images were assigned into concentric, vermicular, and nondeficit groups, respectively. One-way ANOVA was used for comparison.

Laris in RP cases suggested that angiography images can be observed in patients with RP. A comparison of angiography and structure en face images of the choriocapillaris area in eyes without flow deficits. Further improvements to OCTA modalities may resolve the aforementioned problems. Third, the sample size, particularly in the concentric group, was small. Further studies with larger sample sizes are required for a better understanding.

Our study had some limitations. First, we could not evaluate a wider area of the choriocapillaris than structure images. Thus, we could not assess the whole residual choriocapillaris area in eyes without flow deficits. Further improvements to OCTA modalities may resolve the aforementioned problems. Third, the sample size, particularly in the concentric group, was small. Further studies with larger sample sizes are required for a better understanding. Fourth, genetic effects could not be analyzed because of the small sample size with complete genetic diagnoses.

In conclusion, using wide-angle and swept-source OCTA, concentric and vermicular choriocapillaris blood flow deficits were observed in patients with RP. A comparison of angiography and structure en face images of the choriocapillaris in RP cases suggested that angiography images can be observed in patients with RP. A comparison of angiography and structure en face images of the choriocapillaris area in eyes without flow deficits. Further improvements to OCTA modalities may resolve the aforementioned problems. Third, the sample size, particularly in the concentric group, was small. Further studies with larger sample sizes are required for a better understanding.

The table below shows the clinical values for concentric, vermicular, and nondeficit groups. The statistical significance is indicated by * (P < 0.05).

Table 2: Comparison Among Concentric, Vermicular, and Nondeficit Groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical Values</th>
<th>Concentric Group (1)</th>
<th>Vermicular Group (2)</th>
<th>Nondeficit Group (3)</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td>10 (23)</td>
<td>17 (40)</td>
<td>16 (37)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>61.3 ± 10.1</td>
<td>49.2 ± 11.9</td>
<td>36.8 ± 15.5</td>
<td>0.04*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female sex, N</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LogMAR</td>
<td>0.31 ± 0.25</td>
<td>0.11 ± 0.27</td>
<td>0.20 ± 0.27</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean deviation value (dB)</td>
<td>−18.30 ± 7.85</td>
<td>−15.11 ± 10.92</td>
<td>−16.59 ± 10.00</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axial length (mm)</td>
<td>23.63 ± 1.55</td>
<td>24.31 ± 1.65</td>
<td>24.22 ± 1.57</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual choriocapillaris area in angiography images within 12 × 12 mm (mm²)</td>
<td>44.7 ± 20.2</td>
<td>124.1 ± 19.1</td>
<td>144.0 ± 0</td>
<td>0.002*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual choriocapillaris area in structure images within 12 × 12 mm (mm²)</td>
<td>23.0 ± 12.5</td>
<td>61.9 ± 42.8</td>
<td>91.9 ± 48.8</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Statistical significance (P < 0.05).

Data are presented as mean ± SD where applicable. Eyes with concentric, vermicular, and no choriocapillaris flow deficits observed in angiography images were assigned into concentric, vermicular, and nondeficit groups, respectively. One-way ANOVA was used for comparison.
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