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PURPOSE. Glucocorticoid (GC)–induced ocular hypertension (GC-OHT) is a serious side effect of prolonged GC therapy that can lead to glaucoma and permanent vision loss. GCs cause a plethora of changes in the trabecular meshwork (TM), an ocular tissue that regulates intraocular pressure (IOP). GCs act through the glucocorticoid receptor (GR), and the GR regulates transcription both through transactivation and transrepression. Many of the anti-inflammatory properties of GCs are mediated by GR transrepression, while GR transactivation largely accounts for GC metabolic effects and side effects of GC therapy. There is no evidence showing which of the two mechanisms plays a role in GC-OHT.

METHODS. GRdim transgenic mice (which have active transrepression and impaired transactivation) and wild-type (WT) C57BL/6J mice received weekly periorcular dexamethasone acetate (DEX-Ac) injections. IOP, outflow facilities, and biochemical changes to the TM were determined.

RESULTS. GRdim mice did not develop GC-OHT after continued DEX treatment, while WT mice had significantly increased IOP and decreased outflow facilities. Both TM tissue in eyes of DEX-treated GRdim mice and cultured TM cells isolated from GRdim mice had reduced or no change in the expression of fibronectin, myocilin, collagen type I, and α-smooth muscle actin (α-SMA). GRdim mouse TM (MTM) cells also had a significant reduction in DEX-induced cytoskeletal changes, which was clearly seen in WT TM cells.

CONCLUSIONS. We provide the first evidence for the role of GR transactivation in regulating GC-mediated gene expression in the TM and in the development of GC-OHT. This discovery suggests a novel therapeutic approach for treating ocular inflammation without causing GC-OHT and glaucoma.

Keywords: glucocorticoid receptor, intraocular pressure, mouse, transactivation, trabecular meshwork

Natural glucocorticoids (GCs) (cortisol in humans and corticosterone in rodents) have received increased attention since their discovery in the early 1950s as a class of steroid hormones released in response to stress. GCs regulate a plethora of functions influencing carbohydrate, lipid and protein metabolism, development, homeostasis, cognition, and inflammation.1 Exogenous chemically derived GCs (such as dexamethasone [DEX] and prednisolone) are the most widely prescribed medications worldwide because of their broad spectrum of anti-inflammatory and immunomodulatory activities.2,3 Therapeutic GCs are prescribed to approximately 1.2% of United States4 and 0.85% of United Kingdom5 populations, and the estimated worldwide use of GCs is more than $10 billion per year.4 GCs remain the mainstay of treatment for clinical management of inflammatory eye diseases including uveitis,6–8 macular degeneration,9 optic neuritis, conjunctivitis, and diabetic retinopathy.10,11 They are also widely used to treat and prevent corneal transplant rejection.12,13 Unfortunately, long-term use of GCs as therapeutics are limited by serious local and systemic side effects. Higher GC dosages and long-term GC therapy have many ocular side effects including the development of posterior subcapsular cataracts and the development of GC-induced ocular hypertension (GCOHT) that can cause iatrogenic open-angle glaucoma and irreversible vision loss.

Early studies have shown an important relationship between GCs and primary open angle glaucoma (POAG). Levels of cortisol have been reported to be elevated in the plasma and aqueous humor of POAG patients,14–18 and altered cortisol metabolism has been reported in trabecular meshwork (TM) cells obtained from POAG patients.19,20 There is a varying degree of individual responsiveness to GC therapy; 90% of glaucoma patients are steroid responders as compared to 40% of the general population.21–25 Patients responsive to GCs (“steroid responders”) develop ocular hypertension with open gonioscopic angles and increased outflow resistance in the TM outflow pathway, similar to that seen in POAG. If elevated IOP persists, it can lead to progressive optic nerve damage, optic disc cupping, and glaucomatous visual field defects. Although GC-OHT is a drug-induced secondary glaucoma, it shares many similar features to POAG. In fact GCOHT, like POAG, causes defects in the outflow pathway such as physical and mechanical changes in microstructure of TM, leading to increased outflow resistance and elevated IOP.26,27 These biochemical and morphologic changes that alter TM cellular
structure and function include increased TM cell and nucleus size,\textsuperscript{29} cytoskeleton reorganization (forming cross-linked actin networks [CLANs]),\textsuperscript{30–33} inhibition of phagocytosis,\textsuperscript{34} inhibition of cell proliferation and migration,\textsuperscript{35} alteration in cellular junctional complexes,\textsuperscript{27} and increased extracellular matrix deposition.\textsuperscript{35–39} All these changes further modulate myocilin\textsuperscript{40,41} and impair TM functions, clinically similar to what is observed in POAG patients. Thus, understanding molecular mechanisms of GC action and glucocorticoid receptor (GR) signaling will help us design GCs or similar anti-inflammatory agents with a better benefit-risk ratio, and more effective and safer treatment for patients.

The main actions of GCs are mediated by the glucocorticoid receptor (GR), which is a ligand-activated transcription factor belonging to the nuclear receptor superfamily.\textsuperscript{42–44} GR is encoded by the NR3C1 gene and is expressed in nearly all cells where it directly up- and downregulates thousands of genes distinct to each cell type, governing various key tissue and organismal processes. The GR is composed of three functional domains: an N-terminal transactivation domain (NTD), an organismal processes. The GR is composed of three functional domains: an N-terminal transactivation domain (NTD), a central zinc finger DNA-binding domain (DBD), and a C-terminal ligand-binding domain (LBD).\textsuperscript{44} Embedded within these domains are regions that confer regulatory activity. The transcription activation function domain (A1) in the NTD helps activate target genes in ligand-dependent fashion. The two zinc finger motifs in the DBD help in DNA binding to glucocorticoid response elements (GREs), nuclear localization, and GR dimerization. The second transactivation domain (AF2) in the LBD helps ligand-induced activation of GR. The two nuclear localization signals located in DBD-hinge and LBD regions help in nuclear localization of activated GR. In the absence of ligand (i.e., GCs), GR predominantly resides in the cytoplasm as part of a large multiprotein complex that includes chaperone proteins (hsp90, hsp70, and p23)\textsuperscript{45} and immunophilins (FKBP51 and FKBP52), maintaining the high-affinity ligand-binding GR conformation.\textsuperscript{46} Upon ligand binding, GR sheds its cytoplasmic chaperoning complex and translocates to the nucleus, where it acts as a ligand-dependent transcription factor, transactivating or transrepressing specific gene promoters. During transactivation (TA), the ligand-activated GR homodimerizes and acts as a transcription factor by binding to specific DNA sequences on genes carrying GREs to alter gene expression. In contrast, in transrepression (TR), activated GR monomers bind to other transcription factors (e.g., AP-1, NF-kB) independently of direct DNA contact to block them from activating expression of genes that these transcription factors regulate.\textsuperscript{1,28} It has been assumed that the undesirable side effects of GC therapy require GR TA activities, while the anti-inflammatory activities are due to TR mechanisms.\textsuperscript{47–49}

These two different GR mechanisms have been a driving force for discovery of novel selective glucocorticoid receptor agonists (SEGRAs) or modulators (SEGRMs). SEGRAs are the compounds that promote TR but do not mediate TA.\textsuperscript{47} Based on these observations, clinical enthusiasm for the development and use of SEGRAs has grown in recent years as SEGRAs show many of the anti-inflammatory activities of GCs by inhibiting proinflammatory TFs.\textsuperscript{50,51} In recent years, SEGRAs have been investigated for treating eye diseases. CpdA and ZK-216346 are among the first discovered and widely used experimental SEGRAs, which favor GR TR and fail to induce GR TA of reporter genes.\textsuperscript{12–16} Mapracorat (previously ZK-245186, and subsequently BOL-303242X) and ZK209614 have shown anti-inflammatory efficacy in suppressing inflammation and allergic conjunctivitis in rabbit models of dry eye and paraectomies-induced inflammation. They show both antiallergic and anti-inflammatory effects without unwanted IOP elevation (i.e., development of GC-OHT). While evaluating myocilin expression in cultured monkey TM cells by BOL-303242X compared with DEX and prednisolone acetate,\textsuperscript{57} investigators have found that BOL-303242X results in reduced myocilin expression (at both mRNA and protein levels) compared with DEX or prednisolone acetate. These results suggest that reduced GR TA may limit effects on the conventional outflow pathway. Stamen and colleagues\textsuperscript{48} have evaluated the effect of GW870086X on cultured human TM cells and showed that this SEGRA induces fibronectin (FN) production (although less than DEX and prednisolone acetate) but does not induce myocilin (similar to BOL-303242 study\textsuperscript{57}) or affect hydraulic conductivity. This compound is not entirely devoid of TA activity with respect to gene expression regulation. Thus, TM cells exhibit variable responses to these different SEGRAs, compared to traditional GCs. Moreover, which of the GR mechanisms (TA and/or TR) is regulating GC-OHT is also currently unknown. This lack of understanding of precise GR mechanisms responsible for pathophysiologic of GC-OHT further hinders development of better benefit-risk ratio of GCs, which is a current and ongoing challenge.

To study these two GR mechanisms, Reichardt and colleagues\textsuperscript{50} have successfully developed GR\textsuperscript{dim} transgenic mice carrying the point mutation A458T in the GR-DBD. GR TA requires binding of receptor homodimers to specific GREs. The D loop of the second zinc finger in DBD of GR is crucial for GR dimerization (i.e., formation of GR homodimers) and GR binding to its GREs in target genes.\textsuperscript{60–62} However, introducing a point mutation in the GR DNA binding domain (e.g., in D loop, A458T, replacing alanine with threonine) changes the GR protein structure and thereby impairs the ability of GR to form dimers and bind to specific GREs, thereby impairing and inhibiting GR TA. Interestingly, in GR\textsuperscript{dim} mice GRE-dependent gene transcription diminishes, while the TR function (cross-talk with AP-1 and NF-kB) of GR still remains intact.\textsuperscript{59,63–65} Following development of GR\textsuperscript{dim} transgenic mice, these mice became an important research tool to dissect different GR functions. GR\textsuperscript{dim} mice remain viable and appear normal\textsuperscript{59} after birth.

In our current study, we used GR\textsuperscript{dim} mice to better understand mechanisms responsible for GC-OHT and steroid responsiveness. This will help dissect mechanisms responsible for GC-OHT. We tested the hypothesis that GR TA mediates the GC-induced biochemical and morphologic changes in the TM and contributes to GC-OHT. We used our newly developed mouse model of DEX-induced OHT\textsuperscript{66,67} to compare DEX-induced responses between GR\textsuperscript{dim} mice and wild-type (WT) mice. This reproducible model is easy to run and captures many aspects of GC-OHT observed in humans, including elevated IOP reduction in the aqueous humor outflow facility, and biochemical changes in TM.\textsuperscript{66,67}

### Materials and Methods

#### Animals

GR\textsuperscript{dim} transgenic mice (on the C57BL/6 background) were acquired from Günther Schütz at Helmholtz Zentrum München, Germany. Animals were bred, and our colony was maintained at University of North Texas Health Science Center. Six- to 8-month-old male and female GR\textsuperscript{dim} and WT mice were used for all the experiments. All mouse studies and care were performed in compliance with the ARVO Statement for the Use of Animals in Ophthalmic and Vision Research and the University of North Texas Health Science Center Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee regulations. All experimental protocols were approved by University of North Texas Health Science Center Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee regulations. Mice were housed under controlled conditions of...
temperature (21°C–26°C), humidity (40%–70%), and a 12-hour light/12-hour dark cycle. Food and water were provided ad libitum. The number of animals used in each experiment is indicated in the corresponding figure legends and Results section.

**Genotyping of GRdim Mice**

All the animals were genotyped before experimental use as previously described. 66–69 Genotyping was performed on DNA isolated (catalog No. 740952.250, Nuclospin tissue kit; Manufacturer, City, State, Country) from ear punches by using specific PCR primers designed to recognize the point mutation on exon 4 of the mouse GR. The PCR reactions were carried out for 35 cycles (94°C, 1 minute; 58°C, 1 minute; 72°C, 1 minute) by using the Taq PCR Master Mix Kit (catalog No. 201143; Qiagen, Germantown, PA, USA). The 0.24-kb PCR amplicon was digested with restriction endonuclease BsrGI (Bsp1407I, catalog No. ER0932; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc., Rockford, IL, USA) at 37°C for 16 hours and the products were electrophoresed on 2% agarose gels. The GRdim allele will generate a 0.12-kb fragment owing to the introduction of a point mutation. The following primers were used for genotyping: forward primer (5'-GTGTCTTGATGATGCTGCTC-3') and reverse primer (5'-CCATTACCTTCCAGGTTCATTC-3').

**Dexamethasone Acetate Formulation and Periocular Conjunctival Fornix Injection**

Dexamethasone acetate (DEX-Ac) 10 mg/mL and vehicle suspension were formulated as previously described. 66,67 To develop DEX-Ac-induced OHT in mice, the DEX-Ac suspension was periocularly injected bilaterally by using our previously described procedure. 66,67 Before and during injections, mice were anesthetized with isoflurane (2.5%) containing oxygen (0.8 L/min). For topical anesthesia, both eyes received one to two drops of 0.5% proparacaine HCl (Akorn, Inc., Lake Forest, IL, USA). The lower eyelid was retracted, and the 32-gauge needle with a Hamilton glass microsyringe (25-µL volume) (Hamilton Company, Reno, NV, USA) was inserted through the conjunctival fornix (CF). DEX-Ac suspension or vehicle (20 µL) was injected immediately into the CF over the course of 10 to 15 seconds. The needle was then withdrawn. The procedure was performed on both eyes of each animal. Mice were treated with DEX-Ac or vehicle once per week until the end of the study.

**IOP Measurements**

For this study, IOPs of isoflurane-anesthetized mice were measured twice a week during night. Mice were kept in the dark starting at 4 PM on the day of IOP measurement. At 10 PM the IOPs of anesthetized mice were measured in both eyes by using a TonoLab rebound tonometer as previously described. 66,67 The entire procedure was performed in a darkroom using dim red light.

**Aqueous Humor Outflow Facility Measurements**

Aqueous humor outflow facility (C) was measured by using our constant flow infusion technique in live mice as previously described. 66,67 Mice were anesthetized by using a 100/10 mg/kg ketamine/xylazine cocktail. A quarter to half of this dose was administered for maintenance of anesthesia as necessary. One to two drops of proparacaine HCl (0.5%) (Akorn, Inc.) were applied topically to both eyes for corneal anesthesia. The anterior chambers of both eyes were cannulated by using a 30-gauge needle inserted through the cornea 1 to 2 mm anteriorly to the limbus and pushed across the anterior chamber to a point in the chamber angle opposite to the point of cannulation, taking care not to touch the iris, anterior lens capsule epithelium, or corneal endothelium. Each cannulating needle was connected to a previously calibrated (ophthalmopacimeter, Diagnostix 700; American Diagnostic Corporation, Hauppauge, NY, USA) flow-through BLPR-2 pressure transducer (World Precision Instruments [WPI], Sarasota, FL, USA) for continuous determination of pressure within the perfusion system. A drop of phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) was also administered to each eye to prevent corneal drying. The opposing ends of the pressure transducer were connected via further tubing to a 50-µL syringe loaded into a microdialysis infusion pump (SP1011 Syringe Pump; WPI). The tubing, transducer, and syringe were all filled with sterile PBS solution (filtered through a 0.2-µm HT Tuffryn Membrane Acrodisc Syringe Filter; PALL Gelman Laboratory, Port Washington, NY, USA). Signals from each pressure transducer were passed via a TB44M Bridge Amplifier (WPI) and a Lab-Trax Analog-to-Digital Converter (WPI) to a computer for display on a virtual chart recorder (LabScribe2 software; WPI). Eyes were initially infused at a flow rate of 0.1 µL/min. When pressures stabilized within 10 to 30 minutes, pressure measurements were recorded over a 10-minute period, and then flow rates were increased sequentially to 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, and 0.5 µL/min. Three stabilized pressures at 5-minute intervals at each flow rate were recorded. C in each eye of each animal was calculated as the reciprocal of the slope of a plot of mean stabilized pressure as ordinate against flow rate as abscissa.

**Intracameral Injection of Magnetic Beads**

For intracameral injection, a 33-gauge needle with a glass microsyringe (10-µL volume) (Hamilton Company) was used. Before and during injection, mice were anesthetized with isoflurane (2.5%) containing oxygen (0.8 L/min). For topical anesthesia, both eyes received one to two drops of 0.5% proparacaine HCl (Akorn, Inc.). Each eye was proptosed and the needle was inserted through the cornea just above the limbal region and inserted into the anterior chamber at an angle parallel to the cornea, taking care to avoid touching the iris, anterior lens capsule epithelium, or corneal endothelium. Up to 2 µL 1% (wt/vol) sterile magnet polyostyrene smooth surface beads (2.0–2.9 µm in diameter; Spherotech, Inc., Lake Forest, IL, USA) were injected slowly (over a 30-second period). The needle was then withdrawn. The procedure was performed on both eyes of each animal.

**Mouse TM (MTM) Cell Culture and DEX Treatment**

MTM cells were isolated from 2- to 3-month-old GRdim and WT mice previously injected with magnetic beads, and characterized by using previously developed methodology. 70 MTM cells were cultured and maintained in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium–high glucose (Invitrogen-Gibco Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY, USA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Atlas Biologicals, Fort Collins, CO, USA), penicillin (100 units/mL), streptomycin (0.1 mg/mL), and L-glutamine (0.292 mg/mL) (Thermo Fisher Scientific). MTM cells from GRdim and WT mice were cultured on six-well plates until confluent and then treated with vehicle control (0.1% ethanol) or DEX (100 nM) for another 72 hours.

**Immunocytochemistry**

Cells were cultured on glass coverslips in 24-well plates. At the end of the experiment, cells were fixed in 4% paraformalde-
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Antibodies used in this study have been validated and characterized previously.66,71

Immunohistochemistry

Eyes from DEX- and vehicle-treated mice were enucleated and fixed overnight in freshly prepared 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS. Afterwards, eyes were washed three times with PBS, dehydrated with ethanol, and embedded in paraffin. Samples were sectioned at 5 μm. For immunostaining, tissue sections were deparaffinized in xylene and rehydrated twice with 100%, 95%, 70%, and 50% ethanol for 5 minutes. Tissue sections were blocked (10% goat serum + 0.2% Triton X-100) for 2 hours in a dark and humid chamber. Tissue sections were then washed briefly with PBS and immunolabeled with either mouse monoclonal FN antibody (1:200, catalog No. sc18825; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Dallas, TX, USA), rabbit polyclonal collagen I antibody (1:200, catalog No. NB600-408; Novus Biologicals, Centennial, CO, USA) or rabbit polyclonal collagen I antibody (1:200, catalog No. AB1945; EMD Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA) and incubated at 4°C overnight. After PBS washes, coverslips were mounted on ProLong gold anti-fade reagent with DAPI (Invitrogen-Molecular Probes, Carlsbad, CA, USA). Images were taken with a Keyence all-in-one fluorescence microscope (Itasca, IL, USA). All antibodies used in this study have been validated and characterized previously.66,71

Statistics

Statistical analyses were performed by using GraphPad Prism Version 7.0 (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA, USA). Unpaired Student’s t-test (2-tailed) was used to compare data between two groups. A P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Characterization of Isolated GRdim and WT MTM Cells

TM cells are actively phagocytic in vitro and in vivo to clear debris and pigment granules in the aqueous humor.73–76 We took advantage of this activity of TM cells to isolate the MTM cells from GRdim and WT mice. We injected magnetic beads into the anterior chamber as described previously.70 The beads were phagocytized by MTM cells, and then MTM cells with engulfed magnetic beads from tissue digests were separated from non-TM cells by applying a magnetic field. Once in culture, a number of criteria were used to characterize these as TM cells, including the expression of collagen IV (Col IV), laminin, α-SMA (Figs. 1A, 1B), DEX-induced increase in FN, myocilin, and collagen I expression (Fig. 2), as well as DEX-induced formation of CLANs (Fig. 3).70 We also confirmed the genotypes of MTM cells isolated from GRdim and WT mice (Fig. 1C).

Biochemical Changes in WT and GRdim MTM Cells Upon DEX Treatment

DEX treatment leads to many biochemical changes in the TM cells, including increased production of FN,36 collagens,38 and...
FIGURE 1. Characterization of MTM cells from WT (A) and GR\textsuperscript{dim} (B) mice. Bright field image showing MTM cells in culture after 5 days of isolation (top left column). Insets are magnified images showing MTM cells with engulfed magnetic beads. The expression of collagen IV (Coll IV) (green (bottom left column)), α-SMA (green) (top right column), and laminin (green) (bottom right column) in MTM cells from GR\textsuperscript{dim} and WT mice is shown by immunocytochemistry. (C) Genotypes of MTM cells from GR\textsuperscript{dim} and WT mice. The WT MTM cells show 0.24-kb amplicon, whereas GR\textsuperscript{dim} MTM cells show only 0.12-kb fragments owing to the introduction of a new restriction site by the point mutation. Black arrows indicate MTM cells with magnetic beads. Blue color represents DAPI staining showing cell nuclei. Magnification: ×20. Representative data for three experimental triplicates (A, B). Scale bar: 50 μm.

FIGURE 2. Inhibition of DEX-induced biochemical changes in GR\textsuperscript{dim} MTM cells. (A) Representative Western blot images of FN and MYOC from WT (left) and GR\textsuperscript{dim} (right) MTM cells. DEX treatment did not induce expression of FN and MYOC in GR\textsuperscript{dim} MTM cells (right), compared to DEX induction in WT MTM cells (left). (B) Quantification of Western blot showing significant increase in FN and MYOC in WT MTM cells compared to GR\textsuperscript{dim} MTM cells, *P < 0.05. Unpaired Student’s t-test. Data are presented as mean ± SEM. Immunostaining of vehicle control and DEX-treated MTM cells showing little FN (green) (C) and collagen I (green) (D) induction upon DEX treatment in GR\textsuperscript{dim} MTM cells, while there was clear induction in WT MTM cells. Blue color represents DAPI staining showing cell nuclei. Magnifications: ×20 (B) and ×40 (C). Actin served as loading control in (A). Representative data for three experimental triplicates. Scale bars: 50 μm. Ctrl, control untreated; Etoh, vehicle (0.1% ethanol) control; MYOC, myocilin.
myocilin. To compare these DEX-induced biochemical changes between GRdim and WT MTM cells, we performed Western immunoblot analysis for FN and myocilin (Figs. 2A, 2B) and immunofluorescence for FN (Fig. 2C) and collagen I (Fig. 2D) on MTM cells treated with vehicle (0.1% ethanol) or DEX (300 nM). Western blot analysis revealed decreased expression of FN and myocilin in GRdim MTM cells compared to WT MTM cells upon DEX treatment. Consistent with the Western blot analysis, immunostaining showed decreased production of FN and collagen I in GRdim MTM cells compared to WT MTM cells (Figs. 2C, 2D). This suggests that biochemical changes occurring in WT MTM cells in response to DEX treatment require active GR TA. However, in GRdim mutants this function is impaired resulting in reduced or no effect of DEX in GRdim MTM cells.

**DEX-Induced CLAN Formation in GRdim MTM Cells**

GCs reorganize the actin cytoskeleton in confluent TM cells by forming CLANs, which are three-dimensional, geodesic dome-like structures and/or “tangles” of actin filaments. These morphologic changes increase TM stiffness and impair TM functions such as phagocytosis, contractility, and proliferation, adversely affecting the aqueous outflow pathway. To assess whether treatment with DEX will also induce CLAN formation in GRdim MTM cells, we compared CLAN formation with WT MTM cells (Fig. 3). After 1 week of DEX treatment, the percentage of CPCs increased significantly by 5.7-fold in WT MTM cells compared to a modest 1.8-fold increase in GRdim MTM cells. The percentage of CPCs was significantly higher in DEX-treated WT MTM cells than in DEX-treated GRdim, vehicle-treated (0.1% ethanol) control WT, and GRdim MTM cells (Fig. 3B). The absolute increase in percentage of CPCs in DEX-treated WT MTM cells (n = 3, experimental triplicates) was 38.49% ± 1.9% CPCs compared to 6.75% ± 0.7% CPCs in ethanol-treated (n = 2, experimental duplicates) GRdim MTM cells (mean ± standard error of the mean [SEM]). This suggests that the DEX-induced CLAN formation observed in the WT MTM cells required TA, and therefore GRdim MTM cells were significantly inhibited in generating DEX-induced CLANs.

**Effect of DEX-Ac Treatment on IOP in WT and GRdim Mice**

We have previously shown that weekly periorbital CF injections of a DEX-Ac suspension to both eyes of mice causes DEX-induced OHT with sustained and significantly elevated IOP in C57BL/6 mice. DEX-Ac elevates IOP within 1 week of treatment as compared to vehicle-treated mice, and IOP elevation is sustained throughout the weeks of treatment. Since it has not yet been determined whether DEX leads to IOP elevation in GRdim mice, we injected DEX-Ac and compared IOP elevation in both WT and GRdim mice. The baseline IOPs for both WT and GRdim mice were the same, and the DEX-Ac-induced IOP elevation was rapid in WT mice compared to vehicle-treated mice starting from 1 week of treatment (Fig. 4A). However, DEX-Ac treatment did not elevate IOP in GRdim mice at any time point, and IOPs remained at baseline throughout the study (i.e., after 5 weeks of DEX-Ac treatment) even though these mice continued to receive weekly DEX-Ac treatment (Fig. 4B). In WT mice, the absolute increase in IOP in DEX-Ac-treated (n = 15) versus vehicle-treated (n = 12) mice averaged 7.48 ± 0.7 mm Hg at day 7; 9.76 ± 0.8 mm Hg at day 14; 9.0 ± 0.9 mm Hg at day 28; and 10.27 ± 0.9 mm Hg at day 39 (mean ± SEM; P < 0.0001). However, in GRdim mice, the absolute increase in IOP in DEX-Ac-treated (n = 12) versus vehicle-treated (n = 8) mice was not significant and averaged 1.12 ± 0.9 mm Hg at day 7; 1.73 ± 1.2 mm Hg at day 14; 0.45 ± 0.8 mm Hg at day 28; and 2.2 ± 1.5 mm Hg at day 39 (mean ± SEM). These results suggest that DEX-Ac-induced IOP elevation requires fully functional GR TA, which was impaired in GRdim mice.
Effect of DEX-Ac Treatment on Conventional Outflow Facility in WT and GR<sup>dim</sup> Mice

Conventional outflow facility (C) was measured in live GR<sup>dim</sup> and WT mice after 5 weeks of DEX-Ac treatment. C was calculated according to the modified Goldman equation: IOP = [(Fin – Fu)/C] + Pe. In this equation, Fin represents aqueous humor production rate (µL/min), C represents trabecular outflow facility (µL/min/mm Hg), Fu represents uveoscleral outflow rate (µL/min), and Pe represents episcleral venous pressure (mm Hg). We have previously shown that DEX-Ac treatment significantly reduces C in mice compared to vehicle-treated mice.66,67 Similar results were observed in WT mice, and in our current study C was significantly reduced in DEX-Ac–treated mice compared to vehicle-treated mice (Fig. 5A). However, in GR<sup>dim</sup> mice, C remained unaffected in DEX-Ac–treated mice compared to vehicle-treated mice (Fig. 5B). In WT mice, C was 10.12 ± 0.9 nL/min/mm Hg in DEX-Ac–treated mice (n = 8) compared to 22.80 ± 3.2 nL/min/mm Hg in vehicle-treated mice (P = 0.0007; n = 5). In contrast, C remained unchanged in GR<sup>dim</sup> mice and C was 18.70 ± 2.5 nL/min/mm Hg in DEX-Ac–treated mice (n = 8) compared to 18.00 ± 2.7 nL/min/mm Hg in vehicle-treated mice (n = 7). No change in outflow facility corresponded well with the no change in IOP in GR<sup>dim</sup> mice upon DEX-Ac treatment. These results further confirm that DEX-Ac-induced reduction in outflow facility requires fully functional GR TA, which was impaired in GR<sup>dim</sup> mice.

Immuno-histologic Changes in the TM of WT and GR<sup>dim</sup> Mice After DEX-Ac Treatment

DEX treatment leads to many biochemical changes in the TM, including increased production of FN,36 collagen30 and actin.30 We have previously shown that these biochemical changes occur in our mouse model of DEX-Ac-induced OHT upon DEX-Ac treatment.36,60,61 To assess whether treatment with DEX-Ac will also induce these biochemical changes in GR<sup>dim</sup> mice, we performed immuno-histochemical analysis for FN, collagen 1, and α-SMA in anterior segment tissues from 5-week DEX-Ac–treated and vehicle-treated mice (Fig. 6). We also compared them with WT DEX-Ac–treated and vehicle-treated mice. In WT mice, immunohistochemical analysis revealed increased FN, collagen 1, and α-SMA expression in the TM of DEX-Ac–treated mice compared to vehicle-treated mice (DEX-Ac, n = 6; vehicle, n = 4) (Figs. 6A, 6B). However, in GR<sup>dim</sup> mice, the expression of FN, collagen 1, and α-SMA remained unchanged in the TM of DEX-Ac–treated mice compared to vehicle-treated mice (DEX-Ac, n = 4; vehicle, n = 2) (Figs. 6C, 6D). These results are consistent with in vitro biochemical changes observed in MTM cells, suggesting that these biochemical changes occurring in the TM in response to DEX treatment require active GR TA. However, in GR<sup>dim</sup> mutants this function is impaired, resulting in reduced or no effect of DEX in the TM of GR<sup>dim</sup> mice.

DISCUSSION

Higher GC doses and prolonged GC therapy cause a plethora of deleterious side effects including effects on eyes. Certain patients receiving prolonged GC therapy develop GC-OHT with open gonioscopic angles and increased resistance in the TM outflow pathway, similar to that seen in POAG. Currently, clinical management of GC-OHT in patients requires monitoring and lowering IOP with glaucoma drugs and/or surgery.21,22 This elevated IOP, if left untreated, can lead to progressive optic nerve damage, optic disc cupping, and glaucomatous visual field defects. However, the molecular mechanisms responsible for GC-OHT are not entirely clear. The main physiological and pharmacologic actions of GCs are through the GR, a ligand-activated transcription factor. Biological changes after binding of GCs to the GR are mediated via TA or TR. It is assumed that the undesirable side effects of GC therapy require DNA-binding–mediated TA of GR, while the anti-inflammatory activities are due to TR in absence of GR DNA binding.47–49 However, we did not know which of these GR mechanisms regulates GC-OHT, so we need better understanding of molecular mechanisms of GC action and GR signaling in the pathophysiology of GC-OHT in order to design GR agonists with a better benefit-risk ratio and more effective and safer treatment for patients. In our study, we used GR<sup>dim</sup> mice, which allowed us to determine which of these GR
FIGURE 5. Comparison of conventional outflow facility (C) between DEX-Ac–treated and vehicle-treated WT and GR<sup>dim</sup> mice. (A) In WT mice, after 5 weeks of DEX-Ac treatment, C was significantly reduced in DEX-Ac–treated (n = 8) mice compared to vehicle-treated (n = 5) mice; P = 0.0007. (B) In GR<sup>dim</sup> mice, C remained unaffected in DEX-Ac–treated (n = 8) mice compared to vehicle-treated (n = 7) mice. Unpaired Student’s t-test. Data are presented as mean ± SEM.

FIGURE 6. DEX-Ac treatment does not alter expression of fibronectin, collagen I, and α-smooth muscle actin in the TM of GR<sup>dim</sup> mouse eyes. (A, B) Immunohistochemical analysis showing increased fibronectin (green) and α-SMA (red) (A) as well as collagen I (green) (B) expression in the TM of DEX-Ac–treated mice compared to vehicle-treated WT mice (DEX-Ac, n = 6; vehicle, n = 4). (C, D) The expression of these proteins remains unchanged in the TM of DEX-Ac–treated GR<sup>dim</sup> mice compared to vehicle-treated GR<sup>dim</sup> mice (DEX-Ac, n = 4; vehicle, n = 2). DAPI staining (blue) counterstains cell nuclei. Bright field image showing structural orientation of TM with respect to other ocular structures. White and red rectangular box shows TM. Scale bar: 50 μm.
mechanisms is responsible for GC-OHT. We showed that in GRdim mice there is reduced or no effects of GCs on MTM cells in vitro and GC-OHT in mouse eyes in vivo. There were no measured biochemical and morphologic changes observed in GRdim MTM cells upon GC treatment, as shown by no DEX-induced expression of FN, myocilin, and collagen I, and a very modest induction in GC-induced CLAN formation. Furthermore, GRdim mice did not develop GC-OHT or decreased conventional aqueous humor outflow facility upon DEX-Ac treatment. GRdim mice did not exhibit immunohistologic changes observed in the TM of GC-OHT eyes, including no apparent changes in the expression of FN, collagen type I, and α-SMA in the TM region. These results suggest that GC-induced changes occurring in TM (including development of GC-OHT) require active GR TA. Therefore, these functions in GRdim mutants are impaired, resulting in reduced or no measured effects of GCs in the TM of GRdim mice.

We did not use full GR-/GR-knockout mice to study GR mechanisms regulating GC-OHT because they develop anomalies and die shortly after birth, demonstrating that both TA and TR functions of GR are essential for survival.40 In contrast, GRdim mice remain viable and appear normal after birth.59 GRdim mice have become an important research tool to dissect different GR functions because the point mutation A458T in the GR-DBD impairs GR dimerization. Therefore, altering the DNA-binding domain separates TA from TR activities because TA requires DNA binding of receptor homodimers to specific GREs to activate transcription of genes.86 Although Presman and others82 have questioned the exact molecular characteristics of the GRdim mutation,83,84 this mouse strain has become an important tool to study the mode of GC actions in different diseases. Presman and colleagues82 have used eGFP-tagged GRs and a new “number and brightness” microscopy technique to show that A458T mutation is not sufficient to prevent homodimerization. However, Beck et al.62 mention various concerns when interpreting results from GR mutant-based experiments. They suggest that in cell lines expressing varying levels (low to high) of endogenous GR, transient transfection with GR constructs to overexpress excessive and nonphysiologic levels of GR could possibly cause artefactual results. This could be one of the reasons Presman and colleagues82 have not seen sufficient effects of the A458T mutation. In addition, Beck and colleagues62 also mention that to study a GR mutant, it is best to express the GR mutant of interest into a genome placed under the control of its endogenous promoter in an in vivo system. Thus, we have used GRdim transgenic mice to study the role of GR functions in regulating GC-OHT.

Interestingly, many of the immunosuppressive and anti-inflammatory properties of GCs are mediated by GR-TR mechanisms in absence of DNA binding, while GR-TA largely account for GC treatment side effects.85,86 Considerable efforts are being made to improve benefit-risk ratio of GCs by designing compounds classified as SEGRAs or SEGRMs, which promote TR but do not mediate TA.47 However, some side effects such as GC-induced osteoporosis are mediated by both TA and TR, so SEGRAs do not eliminate all undesirable GC side effects. In addition, some of the GC-mediated immunosuppressive activities also involve both GR-mediated TA and TR pathways.47 Our studies using GRdim mice will serve as a genetic model for a novel class of potential selective GR modulators37,87 and also will tease out mechanisms by which GR mediates its desirable anti-inflammatory/immunomodulatory effects on the eye and undesirable effects on the TM. Several studies evaluating effects of SEGRAs on cultured monkey57 and human TM cells58 have reported variable responses to gene expression (i.e., myocilin and FN) as compared to conventional GCs. It appeared that SEGRAs did not induce myocilin and FN expression as compared to GCs. These studies suggest that reduced GR-TA may limit effects on the conventional outflow pathway and on IOP. Our studies with GRdim mice (which have active TR and impaired TA) showed that blocking GR-TA inhibits many GC effects on the TM in vitro and GC-OHT in vivo as compared to WT mice (which have both TA and TR mediated effects). WT mice developed GC-OHT similar to what we have previously described.66,67

GCs have a wide variety of effects on TM cells and tissues,25,28 only some of which were tested in our current study. It also will be important to use GRdim mice and MTM cells to determine whether GR TA is responsible for altered growth factor responsiveness,25 alterations in cell junctions,88,89 inhibition of the Wnt canonical pathway,40 induction of TGFβ2,90 enlarged cellular and nuclear size,35 changes in the glycosaminoglycan profile,91 activation of endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress,92 and inhibition of migration and proliferation,93 any or all of which may be responsible for GC-OHT. In summary, our current work provides the first evidence of the role of GR TA in regulating GC-mediated gene expression in the TM and GC-OHT in mice. Upon GC treatment, GRdim mice did not develop elevated IOP, reduced conventional aqueous humor outflow facility, or GC-mediated biochemical and morphologic changes in the TM.
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