Intravitreal Fluocinolone Acetonide May Decelerate Diabetic Retinal Neurodegeneration
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Purpose. There is no prevention or treatment for diabetic retinal neurodegeneration (DRN), which is a complication of diabetes that can occur independently of diabetic retinopathy (DR). We hypothesized that an intravitreal fluocinolone acetonide (FAc) implant may affect the rate of DRN when used in patients with diabetic macular edema (DME).

Methods. In this retrospective analysis, optical coherence tomography with neuroretinal analysis was obtained at 3-month intervals from 150 patients in the USER study both before (mean duration 903 days, range 354–4005 days) and after administration of FAc (mean 408 days, range 7 to 756 days). The rate of DRN was defined as the change over time on inner neuroretinal thickness using logistic regression. A DRN rate was calculated independently for two areas: region 1 located within 1.5 mm of the fovea, and region 2 from 1.5 mm to 3.0 mm from the fovea.

Results. In regions of the macula more than 1.5 mm from the central fovea, there was a statistically significant decrease in the rate of DRN in the post-FAc period. The pre-FAc neuroretinal loss in this area occurred at 4.0 μm/y, compared with a post-FAc loss rate of 1.1 μm/y (P = 0.001).

Conclusions. This retrospective study suggests that FAc may decelerate the rate of inner retinal thinning in patients with persistent DME. Further prospective studies are necessary to determine the effects of FAc on the rate of DRN in patients with DME.
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Increasing evidence suggests that diabetic retinal neurodegeneration (DRN) precedes the vascular changes that clinically define nonproliferative diabetic retinopathy (NPDR). Neuronal thinning has been documented in eyes with no or minimal NPDR in patients with type 1 and type 2 diabetes mellitus (DM).1–6 and correlates with visual function deficits.7 In a study of 45 patients with no to minimal NPDR, high-resolution optical coherence tomography (OCT) demonstrated thinning of the retinal nerve fiber layer (NFL) and ganglion cell/inner plexiform layer (GCL/IPL) occurring at rates of 0.25 μm/y and 0.29 μm/y, respectively.8 The rate of NFL thinning from aging alone has been estimated at 0.13 to 0.24 μm/y in healthy eyes.9,10 In donor eyes from humans with DM presenting an in vitro capillary density identical to control donor eyes, the NFL is statistically significantly thinner (Garmager A, et al. IOVS 2015;56:ARVO E-Abstract 4707). These findings have been supported by in vivo studies of type 1 and type 2 DM mouse models, which showed NFL and GCL thinning in the absence of pericyte loss (Jiao C, et al. IOVS 2015;56:ARVO E-Abstract 4708). In humans with type 1 DM and no to minimal NPDR, the defects on Humphrey 24-2 visual fields and diminished multifocal electroretinogram implicit times correlated with future development of microvascularopathy at corresponding retinal loci.11,12 Additionally, diabetic patients without retinopathy have decreased visual function within the central 4 degrees of the macula compared with nondiabetic patients.13

It is unclear whether neurodegeneration and microvascularopathy are causally linked or are independent manifestations of DM. Regardless, the ideal treatment for diabetic retinal disease may be intervention at the earliest detectable stage of neurovascular compromise. In rats with streptozotocin-induced DM, topical administration of somatostatin for 3 weeks resulted in relative preservation of retinal structure and function.14 In a large placebo-controlled trial of humans with type 2 DM, both topical brimonidine and somatostatin demonstrated benefit in a subset of patients affected by DRN. Unfortunately, no current therapies approved by the Food and Drug Administration are available to prevent DRN in human eyes.

Intravitreal steroid delivery has been shown to decrease or eliminate diabetic macular edema.15,16 Steroids are thought to decrease inflammatory cytokines, which have been implicated in diabetic macular edema (DME). These cytokines include
interleukin (IL)-1β, IL-6, IL-8, monocyte chemoattractant protein-1, interferon gamma-induced protein-10, and VEGF.\textsuperscript{17,18} Additionally, natural and synthetic steroids may have neuroprotective effects. In certain neurodegenerative disorders, such as Alzheimer's disease and Parkinson's disease, cell death occurs due to a multifactorial process involving oxidative stress, mitochondrial dysfunction, excitotoxicity, and neuroinflammation. In rats, levels of advanced glycation end-products (diabetic byproducts associated with neuropathy) correlated with elevated systemic TNF-α and IL-6.\textsuperscript{19} In studies of spinal cord contusion, rats treated with estradiol, dihydrotestosterone, or a combination of both, have shown a reduction of motor neuron dendritic damage compared with placebo.\textsuperscript{20} They have also demonstrated prevention of membrane cell damage and apoptosis when injured spinal cord tissue is exposed to progesterone or allopregnanolone.\textsuperscript{21}

In two separate rat models of retinal neurodegeneration, the corticosteroid fluocinolone acetonide demonstrated neuroprotective effects as measured by histologic analysis and electoretinograms.\textsuperscript{22,23} The mechanisms by which steroids interact with neuronal cell lines are complex and remain to be elucidated in full. Nevertheless, given the potential of steroids for modulating neurodegeneration, we hypothesized that steroid treatment with fluocinolone acetonide (FAc) for DME might also alter the rate of progression of DRN, if, like DME, neurodegeneration also has an inflammatory component. Among the steroids approved to treat DME, FAc is unique in its ability to penetrate the retina extremely well. The FAc implant (ILLUVIEN; Alimera Sciences, Inc., Alpharetta, GA, USA) contains 0.19 mg of embedded active drug, and its small size and design allow for easy injection.\textsuperscript{24} FAc is difluorinated and penetrates the retina extremely well. It is unique among the steroids approved to treat DME in that it is a term adjunctive treatment to reduce injection burden for patients with DME.

The purpose of this retrospective analysis was to determine if there was a difference in the rate of DRN before and after treatment with FAc among 120 eyes (N = 130 patients) enrolled in the USER study. DRN was defined as thinning of the neuroretinal layers as determined by automated OCT with neuroretinal analysis, that is, automated segmentation to measure the combined thickness of the NFL and GCL/IPL. Furthermore, the aim was to investigate the rate of DRN before and after treatment with FAc at different distances from the foveal center.

**Methods**

**Patients**

The USER study was a multicenter, retrospective chart review conducted in the United States at four clinical sites: Cincinnati Eye Institute in Ohio, Georgia Retina in Georgia, Retina Health Institute in Florida, and Southern Eye in Mississippi. The study adhered to the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki, and the protocol and consent form were approved by an institutional review board. Each subject provided written informed consent. Patients must have received FAc before January 1, 2016, for the treatment of DME in at least one eye. USER collected all available data up to 3 years before and after FAc treatment. These data included macular images obtained with spectral-domain OCT (SD-OCT), performed with either Cirrus (Carl Zeiss Meditec, Inc., Jena, Germany) or Heidelberg (Heidelberg Engineering, Heidelberg, Germany) machines. At each visit, we collected all available data regarding measurement of VA, IOP, adverse events, and any administered treatments for DME, whether FAc or another treatment (i.e., focal laser, anti-VEGF injection, and all forms of sub-Tenon or intravitreal steroid administration). Eyes with better baseline VA scores were treated less frequently post-0.2 μg/d FAc administration than eyes with poorer baseline VA, and this reduction of treatment burden post-FAc occurred in a “dose-response type” fashion with regard to baseline VA.\textsuperscript{24}

**OCT Imaging and Segmentation**

The Iowa Reference Algorithm (Retinal Image Analysis Lab, Iowa Institute for Biomedical Imaging, Iowa City, IA, USA) is a validated method for calculating GCL/IPL and NFL thickness in patients with type 1 diabetes and minimal diabetic retinopathy (DR).\textsuperscript{25} For all available SD-OCT images, the central subfield thickness (CST) was recorded. OCT images were segmented using the Iowa Reference Algorithm. Inner neuroretinal thickness was defined as the combined thickness of the NFL, GCL, and IPL, and was determined for all eyes from approximately 3 years before FAc up to 16 months afterward. The macula was divided into two regions of interest depending on distance from the foveal center (Fig 1): region 1 being within 1.5 mm of the fovea, and region 2 from 1.5 mm to 3.0 mm from the fovea. At each visit, OCT data were acquired using either a Zeiss or Heidelberg OCT imaging platform. We corrected for differences in thickness measurements between
platforms. For images obtained using the Zeiss Cirrus machine, we multiplied the raw thickness values by 99.5%; for images obtained using the Heidelberg Spectralis machine, we multiplied by 97.2%.27

Iowa Reference Algorithm: Validation of Inner Neuroretinal Segmentation in DME

We modified the standard Iowa Reference Algorithm to follow the correct boundaries in the case of disorganization of the inner retinal layers and macular edema.28 The standard Iowa Reference Algorithm analyzes macular OCT scans at low resolution. Although this permits a fast, memory-efficient approach, it limits the ability to segment disrupted neuroretinal layers. Although the new method requires more processing time and memory, given the higher resolution at which the OCT scans are analyzed, more accurate layer segmentation is possible. Additionally, the modified algorithm uses wider smoothness constraints, allowing the boundary transitions to be detected even when the neuroretinal layers have large variation between two adjacent A-scans. In a pilot study, the original as well as modified Iowa Reference Algorithm was compared with manual OCT segmentation of 100 scans with macular edema due to retinal vascular disease by certified graders of the Vienna Reading Center. Compared with manual gradings, the mean unsigned and signed errors of automated retinal NFL thickness decreased from 6.88 ± 8.94 μm and 6.82 ± 8.99 μm to 3.12 ± 4.21 μm and 1.54 ± 5.02 μm. Those of GCL/IPL thickness decreased from 2.94 ± 4.14 μm and −2.27 ± 4.54 μm to 2.45 ± 3.47 μm and −0.59 ± 4.21 μm.29,30

Statistical Analysis

For each macular region of interest, absolute change in inner neuroretinal thickness over time was modeled as a mixed effect logistic regression. The patient was treated as a grouping factor to correct the clustering effect of repeated measurements per patient, and age and sex were additional fixed effects. The model equation was as follows:

\[
\text{Thickness} = a \times \text{Age} + b \times \text{Sex} + c \times \text{Day} \times \text{Patient} + e \times \text{(Imaging Platform)} + (1 \times \text{Day} \times \text{Patient})
\]

Multivariate regression was used to plot thickness in microns as a function of days after FAc injection at each of the two macular regions. Using ANOVA, we tested the difference between the pre- and posttreatment slope (i.e., the rate of neuroretinal thinning) in the two regions. The null hypothesis was that the slopes, or rates, were constant over the pretreatment and posttreatment periods and at each macular region. To address the potential confounder of lens status, which could affect OCT segmentation by change in magnification, we adjusted for subjects' lens status on study day 0 according to methods described by Darma et al.29

RESULTS

Of the 130 patients (Table) from which data were collected, 160 eyes had received treatment with FAc. The mean age of the population was 69.6 years; 46% were females; 89% were Caucasian; 12.3% had type 1 DM; 68.8% were pseudophakic; and for the entire group, the mean hemoglobin A1C (HbA1C) was 7.07%. The mean follow-up time after FAc administration was 13.2 months, with 102 eyes (63.8%) followed for at least 6 months, 92 eyes (57.5%) for at least 12 months, and 50 eyes (31.2%) for at least 18 months. The mean pretreatment period for which data were available was 903 days, or approximately 30 months. Study subjects had received a mean of 1 DME treatment every 2.9 months (or 0.3 treatments per month) before receiving FAc. After treatment with FAc, the frequency of ancillary treatments decreased to a mean of one treatment every 14.3 months (P < 0.001).

The total number of OCT scans analyzed was 1813 in pretreatment eyes and 903 in posttreatment eyes. Significant longitudinal change in macular CST was detected among the 120 eyes treated with FAc for which OCT data were available. The proportion of eyes with CST < 300 μm (i.e., with a normal range) increased over the course of follow-up, which ranged from 3 to 24 months. OCT segmentation demonstrated that the age- and sex-adjusted mean central neuroretinal (NFL + GCL/IPL) thickness was 115.6 ± 35.5 μm at the study visit before treatment with FAc. As expected, the FAc treatment caused a decrease in central mean thickness to 106.4 ± 38.9 μm (P = 0.003, Wilcoxon signed rank test) when considering the data from the last follow-up visit after the date of implantation for each treated eye.

The difference in the “pre” and “post” rate of inner neuroretinal loss was statistically significant in region 2, the ring of macula >1.5 mm and <3.0 mm from the fovea (P = 0.001) (Fig. 2). The rate of thinning before FAc was 0.011 μm/day, or 0.4 μm/y. After treatment with FAc, the rate of thinning declined to 0.003 μm/day, or 0.1 μm/y.

DISCUSSION

In this retrospective pilot study, the rate of DRN decreased in eyes with DME following treatment with FAc. Notably, the effect was seen only in macular region 2, greater than 1.5 mm from the foveal center. DRN may represent the first sign of
neuroretinal thickness measurements; it is not clear what proportion of the change in neuroretinal thinning is attributable to the NFL versus the GCL/IPL.

The Iowa Reference Algorithm shows greater variability in segmentation accuracy within several hundred microns of the foveal center compared with regions farther away. This is because of the severe distortion from macular edema, which can also cause disruption of the inner retinal layers (DRIL), making the retinal layer boundaries hard to distinguish. It is currently unknown whether FAc could cause therapeutic repair of DRIL. The presence of DRIL in eyes with DME corresponds to VA. Although our analysis cannot exclude that rates of neuroretinal loss suggested by OCT analysis are confounded by changes in DRIL, it is possible that DRIL is a less significant variable in region 2, given that it represents an area greater than 1.5 mm from the foveal center; there is little to no data about DRIL in the parafoveal and perifoveal macula.

Barring potential adverse effects from steroid-responsive ocular hypertension, FAc offers significant benefits to patients because of its depot design. If, in fact, prospective studies confirm that FAc slows inner retinal thinning, studies for a neuroprotective indication may be warranted. These investigations would need to assess whether the maintenance of structural neural thickness correlates with maintenance of visual function. Contraindications to the FAc implant include active or suspected ocular/periorcular infections, glaucoma with a cup-to-disc ratio of >0.8, and/or known hypersensitivity to any of the implant’s components. Additionally, to mitigate the risk of IOP spikes, the FAc implant is contraindicated in patients who have had a clinically significant IOP elevation with exposure to prior ocular steroid. Serious adverse events include endophthalmitis, retinal detachment, and migration of the implant into the anterior chamber. In the USER study, 4 of the 21 phakic subjects required cataract extraction within 1 year of receiving the FAc implant due to steroid-associated cataract progression.

A major limitation of this study is that data were analyzed retrospectively and were not available for all eyes at all points. The collected data were obtained from nonstandardized real-world data tracking in clinical practices. Thus, undertreatment of DME may be a confounder, such that the preservation of neuroretinal thickness in reality is due to residual subclinical edema rather than to neuroprotection. Such subclinical DME, if persistent, may have long-term negative effects on visual function.

Additionally, patients may have received treatment for DME (including anti-VEGF, focal laser, or other steroids) during the pre-Fac period and/or post-Fac period. The number of adjunctive treatments varied based on baseline VA; patients with better baseline VA received fewer adjunctive post-Fac treatments. Therefore, it is conceivable that other treatments may have contributed to the trend in the rate of DRN; their direct effects on inner neuroretinal thickness have not been studied in a prospective, controlled fashion. Additionally, the study population was predominantly Caucasian with a relatively low mean HbA1C (7.07%). This limits generalizability of the study in ethnically diverse populations or those with poorly controlled diabetes. Additionally, because the patients in the study received FAc due to the presence of DME, it could be argued that patients without DME, who have fewer circulating cytokines, may not show a significant change in the rate of DRN after FAc injection.

This study suggests that neuroretinal thinning slowed after treatment with FAc. There may be a potential role for FAc in patients who have DM and progressive thinning of the DRN. The population prevalence of DM has increased dramatically over the past several decades, and along with it, the incidence of new cases of DME and DR. Although it is currently unknown if there is a causative link between DRN and subsequent DME/DR, treatment at the earliest stages of DRN may reduce the development and progression of DR.

Although the magnitude of the change appears small, it must be interpreted in the context of neurodegenerative diseases. Normal senescence, on average, leads to NFL thinning determined by 0.13 to 0.24 μm/y. In a large study of patients with glaucoma, the decline in neuroretinal thickness between early (≤6 dB perimetric loss) and severe glaucoma (>12 dB loss) ranged from 6 to 16 μm. In this study, inner neuroretinal thinning in region 2 declined from a rate of -0.011 μm/d (4.0 μm/y) to -0.003 μm/d (1.1 μm/y). Assuming the best-fit line could be extrapolated over the 36-month duration of Fac therapy, FAc could hypothetically prevent approximately 8.7 μm in absolute inner neuroretinal loss. This degree of neuropreservation is similar in magnitude to the loss in severe glaucoma and therefore may be visually significant. It should be noted that our study included GCL and IPL layers in inner neuroretinal thickness measurements; it is not clear what region 1 (0 ≤ r < 1.5 mm), p-value = 0.489

Region 2 (1.5 ≤ r ≤ 3.0 mm), p-value = 0.001

Figure 2. For all subjects at all time points, the inner neuroretinal thickness (defined as the sum of the NFL, GCL, and IPL thicknesses) is shown on the y-axis and time is shown on the x-axis, where t = 0 days at the time of Fac treatment. Results for region 1 are shown in the top half of the figure and for region 2 in the bottom half of the figure. Calculation of slope is corrected for age, sex, imaging platform (i.e., Zeiss versus Heidelberg), and lens status. The value of the slope represents the average adjusted inner neuroretinal loss per day.
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