Corneal diseases are one of the leading causes of blindness, affecting millions of individuals worldwide.1,2 The routine treatment for corneal blindness is corneal transplantation or keratoplasty. Despite the high number of transplantations, keratoplasty is invasive and associated with intraoperative and postoperative complications, including bleeding, immune rejection, wound dehiscence, and infection.3 In addition, there is a severe shortage of corneal tissues.4 As a result, there is a pressing need to develop alternative treatments for corneal blindness. Alternative treatments for corneal opacity that are currently being explored include keratoprosthesis,5 bioengineering of corneal tissue,6 and cell-based therapy.7,8 Recent studies have shown that cell-based therapy using mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) may be effective for corneal stromal repair that avoids corneal transplantation.9,10

MSCs are multipotent, self-renewing adult stem cells. They are characterized by the expression of stem cell/progenitor cell lineage markers and are able to differentiate into cells of various lineages. MSCs have been isolated from diverse tissues such as bone marrow,11 adipose,12 umbilical cord,13 and dental tissues,14 as well as from the corneal stroma.15 MSCs are of particular interest in recent years because they exhibit regenerative potential and anti-inflammatory properties through their paracrine activity.16 MSCs can migrate to the site of injury and secrete anti-inflammatory factors to suppress inflammation and promote wound healing.17 As such, TNF-α-stimulated gene-6 (TSG-6), an anti-inflammatory protein, was found to have an important role in the reduction of inflammation and scarring of corneal wounds.8,18 The mechanisms by which TSG-6 mediates its effects is through the reduction of neutrophil migration to the corneal wound. TSG-6 was also described as a biomarker for human MSCs in vivo: the expression of TSG-6 was predictive of the inflammation-modulating effect when the cells were applied in a model of corneal injury.19 Production of TSG-6 by MSCs posits a parameter to identify the MSC type with the greatest anti-inflammatory and scar-minimizing properties.

Previous studies demonstrated that MSCs have the plasticity to differentiate into keratocytes, corneal stromal cells in vivo20–22 and in vitro.21,25–29 Keratocytes are mesenchymal-derived cells20 that contribute to the homeostasis and transparency31,32 of the cornea with the expression of crystalline proteins, such as aldehyde dehydrogenase 3A1,33 proteoglycans, such as lumican and keratan, as well as a carbohydrate sulfotransferase required for keratan sulfate biosynthesis.26 In the healthy cornea, keratocytes are quiescent cells.34 Upon corneal injury, keratocytes reenter the cell cycle31 and play an important role in the wound-healing progression of corneal tissue by either undergoing cell death or transitioning to a corneal fibroblast or myofibroblast phenotype.31 Corneal fibroblasts secrete metalloproteinases that may be involved in extracellular matrix remodeling,35 whereas myofibroblasts contribute to the wound closure and production of fibrotic extracellular matrix in the injured cornea.35 The wound-healing
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**Purpose.** Mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) have been extensively studied for their capacity to enhance wound healing and represent a promising research field for generating cell therapies for corneal scars. In the present study, we investigated MSCs from different tissues and their potential to differentiate toward corneal keratocytes.

**Methods.** Adipose-derived stem cells, bone marrow MSCs, umbilical cord stem cells, and corneal stromal stem cells (CSSCs) were characterized by their expression of surface markers CD105, CD90, and CD73, and their multilineage differentiation capacity into adipocytes, osteoblasts, and chondrocytes. MSCs were also evaluated for their potential to differentiate toward keratocytes, and for upregulation of the anti-inflammatory protein TNFα-stimulated gene-6 (TSG-6) after simulation by IFN-γ and TNF-α.

**Results.** Keratocyte lineage induction was achieved in all MSCs as indicated by the upregulated expression of keratocyte markers, including keratocan, lumican, and carbohydrate sulfotransferase. TSG-6 response to inflammatory stimulation was observed only in CSSCs, increasing by 3-fold compared with the control (P < 0.05).

**Conclusions.** Based on our findings, CSSCs appeared to have the greatest differentiation potential toward the keratocyte lineage and the greatest anti-inflammatory properties in vitro.
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process by default leads to corneal stromal fibrosis and scar formation resulting in corneal opacity. Understanding the capacity of MSCs to differentiate into corneal keratocytes could provide additional information about the potential of MSCs in cell therapy for corneal repair.

In this study, we investigated four different types of MSCs: adipose-derived stem cells (ASCs), bone marrow MSCs (BM-MSCs), umbilical cord stem cells (UCSCs), and corneal stromal stem cells (CSSCs). The aim of this study was to provide insights about the plasticity of MSCs toward corneal keratocyte lineage, their anti-inflammatory properties, and their putative application for corneal stromal repair.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell Culture

Experimentation on human tissue adhered to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki. The experimental protocol was evaluated and exempted by the University of California, Los Angeles Institutional Review Boards. Consent was obtained for the tissues to be used for research. CSSCs were isolated and propagated as previously described. BM-MSCs from two donors (STEMCELL Technologies, Inc., Vancouver, Canada) were used in this study. UCSCs were isolated from umbilical cords from two donors and were propagated according to the method of Reinisch and Strunk. ASCs from a single donor at passages 2 to 5 were a gift from J. Peter Rubin’s laboratory (University of Pittsburgh Medical Center).

CSSCs were cultured on fibronectin-coated cell culture plasticware (FNC coating solution; AthenaES, Baltimore, MD, USA), with MCDB201/Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) low glucose-based medium supplemented with 2% human serum (Innovative Research, Inc., Perary Court Novi, MI, USA), with MCDB201/Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium supplemented with 2% human serum (Innovative Research, Inc., Perary Court Novi, MI, USA) as previously described. ASCs, BM-MSCs, and UCSCs were maintained in culture in minimum essential medium-alpha supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum and penicillin/streptomycin (Gibco, Waltham, MA, USA). Cells were passaged (TrypLE, Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) when 80% to 90% confluence was reached. Cells from passages 2 to 5 were used in the study.

Flow Cytometry

MSCs were characterized by the expression of the MSC-specific surface antigens CD105, CD90, and CD73 (>90% of the cells expressed each marker) and the low or absent expression of hematopoietic markers CD14, CD20, CD34, and CD45 (<10% for the cells expressed each markers); a phenotyping kit (Miltenyi Biotec, Bergisch Gladbach, Germany) was used for analysis of antigen expression. The analysis was performed with a FACSaria flow cytometer (BD Bioscience, San Jose, CA, USA) at the flow cytometry core facility at the Eye and Ear Institute of Pittsburgh. Fluorescence compensation settings were adjusted according to the kit’s instructions. The LIVE/DEAD Fixable Aqua Dead Cell Stain Kit (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) was used to exclude dead cells. Data were analyzed by using FlowJo, LLC (v10.0.7; Ashland, OR, USA).

Multilineage Differentiation

Multilineage induction toward adipogenic, osteogenic, and chondrogenic fates was performed by using the MesenCult stimulatory and differentiation kits (Human; STEMCELL Technologies, Inc.). MSCs were plated at a cell density of 10^4/cm^2 for adipogenic and osteogenic induction. When cells reached 70% to 80% confluence in culture, osteogenic differentiation was started by using the MesenCult Osteogenic Stimulatory Kit (Human; STEMCELL Technologies, Inc.). Once multilayering of the cells was observed in culture, β-glycerophosphate (3.5 mM) was added to the stimulatory medium; the day of this occurrence was defined as day 0 of differentiation. The medium was changed every 3 days. At days 7 and 21 of differentiation, RNA was collected for gene expression analysis. MSC-derived osteoblasts were fixed at day 21 of differentiation by using 2.5% glutaraldehyde solution for 20 minutes at room temperature (RT), washing twice with PBS, and staining with Alizarin red solution for 20 minutes (EMD Millipore, Burlington, MA, USA). Adipogenic differentiation began when cells reached 100% confluence. The medium was changed to MesenCult Adipogenic Differentiation Medium (Human; STEMCELL Technologies, Inc.); the day of this occurrence marked day 0 of differentiation. The differentiation medium was renewed every 3 days. Cells were collected for RNA analysis at days 7 and 21 of differentiation.

Chondrogenic differentiation was assessed in the form of a 3-dimensional (3-D) pellet culture system (5 x 10^4 cells per pellet) as recommended by the manufacturer, using MesenCult-ACF Chondrogenic Differentiation Medium (STEMCELL Technologies, Inc.). Chondrogenic pellets were collected on day 7 of differentiation for transcript analysis. On day 21, chondrogenic pellets were fixed with 0.1% glutaraldehyde for 20 minutes at RT, then washed twice with PBS. Pellets were embedded in Tissue-Tek O.C.T. Compound (Sakura Finetek USA Inc., Torrance, CA, USA), cut into 7-μm sections, and stained with Alcian blue solution for 1 hour at RT (Sigma-Aldrich, Saint Louis, MO, USA).

Keratocyte differentiation was induced as follows. Briefly, MSCs were plated at a cell density of 10^4/cm^2. When cells reached confluence, the medium was substituted with keratocyte differentiation medium that consisted of advanced DMEM (Gibco), antibiotics (penicillin, streptomycin, gentamicin), and L-ascorbic acid 2-phosphate (1 mM; Sigma-Aldrich), and was supplemented with recombinant human TGF-β3 (1 ng/mL; R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN, USA) and recombinant human FGF2 (10 ng/mL; Abcam, Cambridge, UK). The differentiation medium was changed every 3 days, and samples were collected for RNA analysis at day 7 of differentiation.

TNFAIP6 Expression

MSCs were plated at a cell density of 10^4/cm^2. The next day, cells were treated with recombinant human TNF-α (10 ng/mL; PeproTech, Rocky Hill, NJ, USA) and recombinant human IFN-γ (25 ng/mL; PeproTech) for 16 hours. Samples were then collected for gene expression analysis of TSG-6 transcript.

RNA Extraction, cDNA Transcription, and Real-Time PCR

RNA was isolated by using a RNA Mini isolation kit (Qiagen Inc., Germantown, MD, USA). After RNA elution from the column, RNA was precipitated overnight with 450 mM ammonium acetate (Life Technologies), 200 ng/mL GlycoBlue coprecipitant (Life Technologies), and 100% ethanol (Sigma-Aldrich). RNA concentration was measured by the NanoDrop 2000 spectrophotometer (v. 1.6.198; ThermoFisher, Waltham, MA, USA), and 1 μg of RNA was used per transcription reaction using Superscript III Reverse Transcriptase (100 U/μg of RNA; Life Technologies). Primers for real-time PCR (RT-PCR) are listed in Supplementary Table S1. RT-PCR was performed with SYBR Green Master Mix (Applied Biosystems, Carlsbad, CA, USA) in an Eppendorf Mastercycler ep realexp (v2.2; Eppendorf, Hauppauge, NY, USA). Two housekeeping genes were used per sample for normalization of the data. Crossing
threshold (Ct) values were obtained, and the relative expression level was calculated by the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ formula using the expression in undifferentiated MSCs as the control.\(^{39}\)

Immunofluorescence

Cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (Electron Microscopy Sciences, Hatfield, PA, USA) in PBS for 15 minutes at 4°C and washed with PBS. Cells were permeabilized with 0.2% Triton (Sigma-Aldrich), and nonspecific antigens were blocked with 1% normal donkey serum (NDS) in PBS at RT for 30 minutes. Primary antibody incubation was performed overnight at 4°C in a solution that consisted of 0.2% Triton and 0.5% NDS. Antibodies used were specific to Ki67 (mouse; clone MIB-1; dilution ratio, 1:100; DAKO Omnis, Glostrup, Denmark), adiponectin (mouse; dilution ratio, 1:400; Abcam, Cambridge, UK), and BMI1 F6 (mouse; dilution ratio, 1:100; EMD Millipore). Donkey anti-mouse immunoglobulin conjugated to Alexa-Fluor 488 (dilution ratio, 1:400; Life Technologies) was used as the secondary antibody. Hoechst 33342 (Thermo-Fisher) was used for nuclear staining. Samples were mounted and analyzed.

Imaging

Imaging was performed with the fluorescence microscope BZ-X710 from Keyence Corporation (BZ-X Viewer version 01.05.00.05; Osaka, Japan). Pictures were taken with ×4, ×10, and ×20 objectives.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed by using JMP Pro software (version 14.0.0). For two-pair comparisons, ANOVA followed by Student’s t-test was performed. For multiple comparisons, ANOVA followed by Tukey’s post hoc comparison of the means test was assessed.

RESULTS

Characterization of MSCs

All MSCs that we investigated exhibited a spindle-shaped morphology with a small cell body and short processes (Fig. 1a). The quantitation of the proliferation marker Ki67 indicated that more than 75% of the cell population of each MSC type were actively proliferating in early passages (passages 2 to 5) (Fig. 1b). The criteria used to identify MSCs as established by the International Society for Cellular Therapy (ISCT) are the expression of surface antigens CD73, CD90, CD105 (positive surface markers) and CD34, CD14, CD20, CD45 (negative surface markers, in red). Results are expressed as mean ± SD ($n=3$ independent experiments).\(^{39}\)

Characterization of MSCs

All MSCs that we investigated exhibited a spindle-shaped morphology with a small cell body and short processes (Fig. 1a). The quantitation of the proliferation marker Ki67 indicated that more than 75% of the cell population of each MSC type were actively proliferating in early passages (passages 2 to 5) (Fig. 1b). The criteria used to identify MSCs as established by the International Society for Cellular Therapy (ISCT) are the expression of surface antigens CD105, CD73, and CD90 and the lack of expression of CD14, CD20, CD34, and CD45.\(^{40}\) Using flow cytometry, we observed that more than 95% of the MSC populations expressed the surface antigens CD105 and CD73 (Fig. 1c). The surface antigen marker CD90 was present in more than 95% of the ASCs, BMMSCs, and UCSCs; only 75% of CSSCs expressed CD90. Fewer than 10% of the MSC populations expressed the negative surface markers CD14, CD20, CD34, and CD45.

The expression of B lymphoma Mo-MLV insertion region 1 homolog (BMI1) in MSCs was investigated. This protein is associated with maintenance of self-renewal and proliferation of stem cells and progenitor cells.\(^{41}\) BMI1, at the protein level, was detected by immunofluorescence staining in all of the MSC types (Fig. 2a).

As described previously, CSSCs express adult and pluripotent stem cell-associated genes such as ATP-binding cassette subfamily G member 2 ($ABCG2$), $NANOG$, and sex-determining region Y box 2 ($SOX2$).\(^{15,37,42}\) Because these markers serve important roles such as chemoprotection ($ABCG2$) and maintenance of pluripotency ($SOX2$ and $NANOG$), their expression was investigated in ASCs, BMMSCs, and UCSCs (Figs. 2b–d).\(^{43}\) $ABCG2$ expression was observed in all types of MSCs. CSSCs had the highest level of $ABCG2$ expression ($P<0.05$). The $ABCG2$ transcript level was 4-fold lower in ASCs and BMMSCs and 2-fold lower in UCSCs than in CSSCs. For $NANOG$ transcripts, expression in UCSCs was 4.6-fold higher than in CSSCs and 2-fold higher than in ASCs and BMMSCs ($P<0.05$ for each
FIGURE 2. Expression of stem cell/progenitor cell markers in MSCs. (a) The stem cell marker BMI1 (green) expression is observed in all MSCs. Nuclei are counterstained with Hoechst (blue). Scale Bar: 100 μm. (b–d) Relative mRNA expression of stem cell/progenitor markers ABCG2 (b), NANOG (c), and SOX2 (d) in MSCs was measured by RT-PCR. Gene expression was normalized to CSSC (red bars). N = 2 cell lines for BMMSCs and UCSCs. Results are expressed as mean ± SEM. Statistical analysis: ANOVA comparison of the means, followed by Tukey’s test. *P < 0.05.

FIGURE 3. Adipogenic differentiation potential of the MSCs. (a) Lipid aggregations were visualized by immunofluorescence staining of adiponectin (green) in MSCs after 21 days of differentiation. Hoechst was used as nuclear counterstaining (blue). White arrows indicate examples of the observed lipid vacuoles. Scale Bar: 50 μm. (b, c) Gene expression analysis of the adipose-related markers PLIN (b) and FABP4 (c) was performed by RT-PCR for the MSCs at day 7 (D7) and day 21 (D21) of adipogenic differentiation. Gene expression was normalized to the undifferentiated parental cells (MSC, red dotted line set at 1). Results are expressed as mean ± SEM. N = 2 cell lines for BMMSC and UCSC. Statistical analysis: ANOVA followed by Tukey’s test comparison of means. *P < 0.05; †P < 0.05 comparison to the respective undifferentiated MSCs.
CSSCs showed 30-fold higher expression of SOX2 \( (P < 0.05) \) than did the other MSCs. These findings suggest that the MSCs under investigation are proliferating and expressing stem/progenitor markers and the appropriate MSC markers.

### Multilineage Differentiation

MSCs have the potential to differentiate into a variety of cell lineages in vitro.\(^{40}\) Therefore, we investigated the MSCs for their differentiation potential toward adipocytes, osteoblasts, and chondrocytes. The differentiation was confirmed with immunohistochemistry and expression of lineage-specific transcripts before and after induction of differentiation.

After adipogenic differentiation for 21 days, fat droplets were observed with bright-field microscopy among cultures of CSSCs, ASCs, and BMMSCs. We confirmed these observations by immunofluorescence staining of adiponectin, an adipocyte-specific protein,\(^{44}\) after 21 days of differentiation in all MSCs (white arrows, Fig. 3a) as well as gene expression analysis of perilipin \( (PLIN) \) and fatty acid binding protein 4 \( (FABP4) \)

![Comparison of MSCs](image_url)
transcripts as differentiation markers. After 7 and 21 days of differentiation toward the adipogenic lineage, the expression level of FABP4 increased by more than 24-fold (P < 0.05) and the expression of PLIN increased by 74-fold (P < 0.05) in all MSCs (Figs. 3b, 3c). The greatest increase in expression of both markers and the greatest adiponectin staining were detected in BMMSCs and ASCs; this finding demonstrates a greater differentiation potential toward an adipogenic lineage after 21 days of differentiation.

Osteogenic differentiation was evaluated by detecting calcium depositions with Alizarin red staining after 21 days of differentiation (Fig. 4a). Staining of MSCs with Alizarin red solution did not indicate any calcium depositions before differentiation (Fig. 4a). Strong Alizarin red staining was observed in the differentiated ASCs and, to a lesser extent, in BMMSCs, UCSCs, and CSSCs after 21 days of differentiation (Fig. 4a). To confirm these observations, the expression of four osteoblast-associated genes was analyzed at days 7 and 21 of differentiation (Fig. 4a). ALP expression was upregulated by 3.5-fold (P < 0.05) in all differentiated MSCs (Fig. 4b). RUNX2 mRNA levels were elevated (P < 0.05) more than 2.1-fold after 7 and 21 days of differentiation of all MSCs (Fig. 4c). BGLAP expression was not increased in any of the MSC types after differentiation for 7 or 21 days (Fig. 4d). SPARC expression was upregulated 6.5-fold in UCSCs and 1.5-fold in CSSCs (P < 0.05) on day 7, and SPARC expression was upregulated 2.7-fold in BMMSCs on day 21 of differentiation (Fig. 4e). SPARC expression was downregulated in ASCs after 7 and 21 days of differentiation. These results can be explained by the later expression of SPARC and BGLAP during osteogenic differentiation. Twenty-one days of differentiation was not long enough to stimulate the increased expression of those genes in the investigated MSCs; this finding has been observed previously in another study of BMMSCs. Overall, ASCs and BMMSCs displayed the most Alizarin red staining, and this staining was correlated with increased levels of ALP and RUNX2 after differentiation.

Chondrogenic differentiation was observed in sections of 21-day pellets by Alcian blue staining, which detected chondrocyte-associated extracellular proteoglycans (Fig. 5a). AGGRECAN (ACAN) and cartilage oligomeric matrix protein (COMP) were used to confirm chondrogenic differentiation after 7 days of differentiation. ACAN had a higher expression level in BMMSCs (5.4-fold higher, P < 0.05) and UCSCs (3.7-fold higher, P < 0.05) after differentiation (Fig. 5b). Decreased expression of ACAN was
observed in ASCs after 7 days of differentiation. Undifferentiated CSSCs did not express ACAN (not detected, Fig. 5b); therefore, the expression level of ACAN in differentiated CSSCs was arbitrarily normalized to 1 (Fig. 5b). COMP had a minimal increase in expression (7.2-fold increase; \( P < 0.05 \)) in all MSC types at 7 days of chondrogenic differentiation (Fig. 5c). In summary, strong Alcian blue staining was observed in BMMSCs and UCSCs that also showed high levels of ACAN and COMP expression; thus, BMMSCs and UCSCs demonstrated a higher degree of differentiation toward the chondrogenic lineage than did ASCs and CSSCs after 21 days.

### Differentiation into Keratocyte Lineage

After 7 days of keratocyte induction, MSCs demonstrated morphologic changes that involved long cell processes that are characteristic of quiescent keratocytes (Fig. 6a). Keratocytes are highly enriched in specific proteoglycans, such as keratan and lumican, 52,53 corneal crystallins, such as aldehyde dehydrogenase 3A1, 54 and the eicosanoid metabolic enzyme PGD2 synthase. 55 Keratocytes also express a large amount of CHST6, which encodes a carbohydrate sulfotransferase involved in keratan sulfate biosynthesis. 56

After 7 days of differentiation, the expression of the keratocyte markers KERA, CHST6, LUM, and PTGDS was upregulated at least 24-fold in differentiated CSSCs (\( P < 0.05 \)) (Figs. 6b–e). After differentiation, ASCs showed at least a 23-fold increase (\( P < 0.05 \)) in expression of KERA, CHST6, and PTGDS markers (Figs. 6b–d; Supplementary Fig. S1). A 13-fold increase in expression of CHST6 was observed in differentiated BMSCs (\( P < 0.05 \), Fig. 6c). The expression of CHST6 and LUM was upregulated at least 11-fold in differentiated UCSCs (\( P < 0.05 \), Figs. 6c, 6e). A 15-fold upregulation of ALDH3A1 expression was detected in differentiated UCSCs only (\( P < 0.05 \), Fig. 6f). The expression of PAX6, which serves an important role in ocular development 31 and for corneal keratocyte progenitors, 57 was also investigated. ASCs and BMSCs showed a 4.5-fold increase in expression of PAX6 after keratocyte differentiation (Fig. 6g).

In summary, CSSCs and ASCs demonstrated the highest level of differentiation capacity toward keratocyte lineage after 7 days of differentiation, as indicated by the increased expression of the markers we investigated.

### Anti-inflammatory Response of MSCs

We analyzed the expression of TNEAIP6 by the MSCs after stimulation with the proinflammatory cytokines IFN-\( \gamma \) and TNF-\( \alpha \). TNEAIP6 encodes the TSG-6 protein, which through its anti-inflammatory properties promotes corneal wound healing with reduced scar formation. 58 We observed a 3-fold increase (\( P < 0.05 \)) in the production of TNEAIP6 by stimulated CSSCs, whereas ASCs, BMSCs, and UCSCs did not show any significant increase in TNEAIP6 production after stimulation (Fig. 7; Supplementary Fig. S2).

### DISCUSSION

The therapeutic potential of MSCs has been explored in corneal stromal wound healing. 20–22 ASCs, UCSCs, and
BMSCs have been shown to improve corneal opacity in vivo with differentiation of the MSCs into keratocytes after they have been applied to the injured or scarred cornea.20–22 Studies have shown that human MSCs derived from different tissues can differentiate toward the keratocyte lineage in vitro, and these MSC types include ASCs,52–58–60 BMMSCs,21 periodontal ligament stem cells,27,29 dental pulp stem cells,26 UCSCs,28 turbinate-derived MSCs,25 and CSSCs.8,25,61 However, there is no direct comparative study of keratocyte differentiation of MSCs derived from different tissue types. Therefore, we investigated the potential of four different types of MSCs (i.e., ASCs, BMMSCs, UCSCs, and CSSCs) to differentiate into the corneal keratocyte lineage.

Characterization of the four sources of MSCs used in our study shows that they are adult stem cells as defined by the ISCT,40 but only 75% of the CSSCs were CD90+. Other studies have shown the stable expression of CD90, which meets the ISCT criterion of more than 95% of positive cells62–64; in one study, approximately 90% of cells (89.87% ± 8.8%) expressed CD90.65 Possible explanations for this discrepancy of CD90 expression are the heterogeneity of the cell population at the time of analysis and the use of a CSSC culture medium that was different from the media used for the other types of MSCs. The role of CD90 in MSCs is currently unknown; therefore, we are unaware of the consequences that the lower expression of CD90 would have on CSSCs. The multilineage differentiation of MSCs toward adipocytes, osteocytes, and chondrocytes was achieved among all types of MSCs that we investigated. Adipogenic differentiation was observed to be the greatest among BMMSCs and ASCs. Consistent with our results, Han and colleagues46 demonstrated that UCSCs had lower adipogenic differentiation capacity than do BMMSCs and ASCs. We also demonstrated that all four types of MSCs were able to differentiate into chondrocytes and the greatest potential for this differentiation was attributed to BMMSCs.

Our results indicated that ASCs had high plasticity for the osteogenic lineage with a strong Alizarin red staining. In previous studies, osteogenic differentiation was lower in ASCs compared with BMMSCs.67,68 In CSSCs, the lack of Alizarin red staining and lower increase in ALP and RUNX2 expression suggested that the degree of osteogenic differentiation was the lowest in CSSCs than in the other types of MSCs. Our results suggest that the differentiation of MSCs occurs with lineage-specific capacities as a varying degree of differentiation was observed for each MSC type at the time points investigated in this study.

Lee and colleagues19 first demonstrated that the potential of human MSCs for osteogenic differentiation correlated negatively with the efficacy of the human MSCs to decrease corneal inflammation in vivo. In addition to this observation, the authors showed that the efficacy of the MSCs was attributed to the production of TSG-6 protein. Similarly, a negative correlation between osteogenic differentiation potential and TNAIP6 levels was observed.19 TSG-6, which is produced by MSCs, is directly involved in the reduction of corneal inflammation by decreasing neutrophil migration to the wound site; this reduced migration promotes wound healing without scar formation.8,69 Our results indicated that of all types of MSCs tested, only CSSCs were induced to express TNAIP6 (3-fold increase compared with the control), paralleling its lessened osteogenic differentiation capacity.

During corneal repair, keratocytes play a key role in the wound-healing process that by default leads to corneal fibrosis and corneal opacity.36–70 The differentiation into a keratocyte phenotype has been demonstrated in ASCs,52–59–60 BMMSCs,24 and bioengineered cornea with Wharton’s jelly-derived MSCs.28 The current study again confirms that MSCs can differentiate into cells of the keratocyte lineage. Among the four types of MSCs investigated, CSSCs and ASCs appear to have the greatest potential to differentiate into cells of the keratocyte lineage. CSSCs derive from a neural crest origin,71 as do some of the cells in the ASC population.72 Dental pulp stem cells, which also have neural crest origin, were also observed to differentiate into keratocyte-like cells.66 These results suggest that embryonic lineage may have some influence in the keratocyte differentiation potential of stem cells. The potential of the cells to differentiate into cells of the corneal stromal lineage might be important for selection of suitable sources of MSCs for corneal wound-healing therapy (e.g., CSSCs and ASCs).

Studies have shown that CSSC application to a mouse model of corneal wounding stimulates regeneration of corneal transparency without scar formation.74–76 Preliminary clinical results have appeared to be promising, as patients treated with autologous and allogeneic CSSCs have recovered some visual acuity (Funderburgh JL, et al. IOVS 2018;58:ARVO E-Abstract 3371). In view of that, MSC-based therapies may permit the use of autologous treatment, thereby eliminating the need for donor tissue and corneal transplantation. In addition, autologous use of MSCs lowers the risk of graft-versus-host disease.74

Cell culture models allowed us to explore the potential of MSCs to differentiate into keratocytes, produce corneal extracellular components, and exert anti-inflammatory properties. For purposes of autologous treatment, we need to consider the accessibility of MSCs from patients. ASCs, being easily accessible,66 may serve as a suitable MSC source to generate effective and robust cell therapy. CSSCs are a great candidate as well, given their putative anti-inflammatory properties. Although CSSC isolation could be considered more invasive, they were identified in limbal biopsies for
ocular surface reconstruction.\textsuperscript{5,7} and can be propagated in vitro.\textsuperscript{3,7} Limbal biopsies are well-established and heal with few complications.\textsuperscript{7,8} UCSC isolation is circumstantially challenging. Banking UCSCs is currently more common,\textsuperscript{7,2} but the source is still scarce. To identify the most effective, practical, and accessible MSC types, we need to evaluate the potential of each of the four investigated MSC types to reduce scar formation and inflammation in the living eye. Therefore, further investigation of these types of MSCs in in vivo corneal wound models would offer essential information about the potential of MSCs to repair corneal tissue.
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