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Purpose. To evaluate associations between eye-related quality of life (ER-QOL) assessed by the Child Pediatric Eye Questionnaire (Child PedEyeQ) and functional measures (vision, visuomotor function, self-perception) in children with strabismus, anisometropia, or both. Our hypothesis was that children with functional deficits would have lower ER-QOL, and if so, these associations would support the convergent construct validity of the Child PedEyeQ.

Methods. We evaluated 114 children (ages 5–11 years) with strabismus, anisometropia, or both. Each child completed the Child PedEyeQ to assess four Rasch-scored domains of ER-QOL: Functional Vision, Bothered by Eyes/Vision, Social, and Frustration/Worry. In addition, children completed one or more functional tests: visual acuity (n = 114), Randot Preschool Stereoacuity (n = 92), contrast balance index (suppression; n = 91), Readalyzer reading (n = 44), vergence instability (n = 50), Movement Assessment Battery for Children–2 manual dexterity (n = 57), and Pictorial Scale of Perceived Competence and Social Acceptance for Young Children (n = 44).

Results. Child PedEyeQ Functional Vision domain scores were correlated with self-perception of physical competence (rs = 0.65; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.35–0.96) and reading speed (rs = 0.47; 95% CI, 0.16–0.77). Bothered by Eyes/Vision domain scores were correlated with self-perception of physical competence (rs = 0.52; 95% CI, 0.21–0.83). Moderate correlations were observed between Social domain scores and vergence instability (rs = −0.46; 95% CI, −0.76 to −0.15) and self-perception of physical competence (rs = 0.43; 95% CI, 0.12–0.73) and peer acceptance (rs = 0.49; 95% CI, 0.18–0.80). Frustration/Worry domain scores were moderately correlated with self-perception of physical competence (rs = 0.41; 95% CI, 0.10–0.71) and peer acceptance (rs = 0.47; 95% CI, 0.16–0.77).

Conclusions. Strong and moderate correlations were observed between functional measures and Child PedEyeQ domain scores. These associations provide supporting evidence that the Child PedEyeQ has convergent construct validity.
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Strabismus, anisometropia, and amblyopia are common pediatric eye conditions, affecting approximately 5% of children.1 A wide range of visual function deficits have been reported in children with strabismus, anisometropia, or both, even under binocular viewing conditions. Deficits include slower reading speed,2,3 impaired motor skills,3–9 reduced stereovision,10,11 interocular suppression,12–14 abnormal motion perception,15–17 and vergence instability.18 Some deficits appear to be uniquely associated with amblyopia,2,3,12–14 but others appear to be a result of discordant binocular experience4–9,15–17. Standard clinical tests of visual acuity provide only a limited measure of visual performance and may not capture the full impact of strabismus, anisometropia, and amblyopia on the daily life of the child.

The Child Pediatric Eye Questionnaire (PedEyeQ) allows assessment of the impact of both amblyopia and discordant binocular experience on day-to-day functioning and well-being from the child’s perspective. The Child PedEyeQ is a patient-reported outcome measure designed to assess the influence of pediatric eye conditions on vision-related function and multiple dimensions of eye-related quality of life (ER-QOL).19 This instrument has evidence of good psychometric properties, assessed in a large cohort of children with a spectrum of eye conditions19 and was found to have expected group validity with reduced domain scores among children with visual impairment.20 We have previously reported that Child PedEyeQ scores were significantly lower (worse) for children with amblyopia21 and for children...
with strabismus than for visually normal controls on each of the four Child PedEyeQ domains. However, the association between Child PedEyeQ domain scores and specific visual function deficits in children with strabismus and anisometropia remains unexplored.

In the present study, we evaluated associations between Child PedEyeQ domain scores and functional measures (vision, visuomotor function, self-perception) in children with strabismus, anisometropia, or both. Our hypothesis was that functional measures and self-perception should be correlated with ER-QOL as assessed by specific domains of the Child PedEyeQ. Such correlations would support the construct validity of the Child PedEyeQ and its use as a surrogate for functional measures.

**METHODS**

**Participants**

Participants were a convenience sample drawn from a larger cohort participating in ongoing research studies and clinical trials for strabismus, anisometropia, or amblyopia in one vision research laboratory. Each consented to complete the ER-QOL questionnaire in addition to completing the tests required by the study protocol in which they were enrolled. In total, 114 children aged 5 to 11 years, with a current clinical diagnosis of strabismus (n = 32; 10 amblyopic), anisometropia (n = 40; 19 amblyopic), or both (n = 42; 26 amblyopic), who had ≤0.2 logMAR better-eye visual acuity, were enrolled between February 2018 and September 2019. Diagnosis of amblyopia required an interocular best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA) difference of two or more logMAR lines and amblyopic-eye visual acuity worse than published age-referenced normal values. Exclusion criteria were significant coexistent eye disease and development delay. Children wore their habitual refractive correction for all tests.

Informed consent was obtained from a parent and assent from children age 10 years or older after explanation of the nature and possible consequences of the study. This research was conducted in compliance with the University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center Institutional Review Board. All procedures and data collection were conducted in a manner compliant with the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act, and all research procedures adhered to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki.

**Child PedEyeQ**


**Vision, Visuomotor Function, and Self-Perception**

Data from the following measures were collected at the same study time point as the Child PedEyeQ:

- Monocular visual acuity was assessed by the Amblyopia Treatment Study (ATS) HOTV protocol (ages 5–6.9 years; n = 39; 18 amblyopic) or the Electronic Early Treatment for Diabetic Retinopathy Study (E-ETDRS) protocol (ages 7–11 years; n = 75; 37 amblyopic) as logMAR.
- Stereoaucuity was assessed using the Randot Preschool Stereoaucuity (n = 92; 42 amblyopic) and converted to log arcsec.
- Contrast Balance Index (depth of suppression; n = 91; 46 amblyopic) was obtained using a dichoptic eyechart to determine the nonpreferred eye/preferred eye contrast ratio (balance point) at which the child was able to overcome interocular suppression and report letters presented to each eye with equal likelihood.
- Vergence instability (variability of eye alignment; n = 50; 22 amblyopic) was determined by recording eye positions with the EyeLink1000 (SR Research, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada) while the child fixated on a small dot (0.3-degree diameter for 20 seconds). Eye alignment variability was summarized by calculating the 68% bivariate contour ellipse area in deg for the interocular difference in fixation location.
- Reading rate in words per minute (n = 49; 19 amblyopic) was measured during silent, binocular reading of age-appropriate paragraphs using the Readalyzer (Compevo AB, Stockholm, Sweden) for school-age children who had completed at least first grade in school (age 7–11 years). The Readalyzer consists of infrared eye movement trackers mounted in goggles worn over the child’s habitual correction and a booklet with 10 standardized paragraphs of grade-level appropriate material for each grade, first through sixth. Each paragraph has 10 to 12 lines of text and approximately 100 words. The paragraphs vary in difficulty and font size depending on the grade level of the material. A normative database including more than 12,000 students in the United States is available. Children with dyslexia and those enrolled in reading intervention programs were not eligible for this test. Comprehension was verified by requiring the child to answer 10 questions after completing the paragraph, with a minimum score of 80% correct answers.
- Manual dexterity (n = 57; 25 amblyopic) was assessed using the Movement Assessment Battery for Children–2 (MABC-2; Pearson Clinical Assessment, San Antonio, TX): unimanual dexterity, bimanual dexterity, and a drawing trail. Raw scores were converted to standard scores. Standard scores were converted to percentile scores. The MABC-2 is one of the most widely used assessment tools by occupational therapists, physiotherapists, psychologists, and educational professionals to identify and describe impairments in the motor performance of children. Test-retest reliability for the manual dexterity tasks in children as young as 3 years is excellent, with Pearson r ranging from 0.86 to 0.91 for the three tasks. Raw scores were converted to standard scores. Standard scores were converted to percentile scores.
- Self-perception was assessed by the Pictorial Scale of Perceived Competence and Social Acceptance for Young Children (self-perception of cognitive competence, physical competence, peer acceptance, and maternal acceptance; n = 44; 19 amblyopic) for children in pre-K through grade 2 (age 4–7 years). The Pictorial Scale of Competence and Acceptance for Young Children assesses self-perception in four specific domains: cognitive competence (e.g., counting, alphabet, early reading and writing skills), physical competence (e.g., climbing, running, bouncing a ball), peer acceptance (e.g., activities with friends, sharing), and maternal acceptance (activities and social interactions with mother). Young children comprehend the pictures and response options, the psychometric properties are sound, and the item scores have moderate to high internal consistency (Cronbach’s α = 0.53–0.83 for the individual scales and 0.87 for
Table 1. Characteristics of the 114 Children Who Completed the Child PedEyeQ

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, mean (SD); range, yr</td>
<td>7.5 (1.7); 5 to 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>52 (46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race/ethnicity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Hispanic white</td>
<td>70 (61.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic white</td>
<td>16 (14.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black/African American</td>
<td>7 (6.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>8 (7.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Indian/Alaskan Native</td>
<td>1 (0.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than one</td>
<td>12 (10.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnosis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anisometropia</td>
<td>40 (35.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strabismus</td>
<td>32 (28.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anisometropia and strabismus</td>
<td>42 (36.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amblyopic</td>
<td>55 (48.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Better-eye BCVA logMAR, mean (SD); range</td>
<td>-0.01 (0.09); -0.1 to 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worse-eye BCVA logMAR, mean (SD); range</td>
<td>0.21 (0.30); -0.1 to 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stereoacuity, log arcsec, mean (SD); range</td>
<td>3.22 (0.92); 1.30 to 4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contrast balance index, mean (SD); range</td>
<td>7.44 (12.7); 0.86 to 61.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vergence instability, deg², mean (SD); range</td>
<td>1.55 (1.43); 0.09 to 6.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reading rate, wpm, mean (SD); range</td>
<td>149 (46); 75 to 261</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manual dexterity, percentile, mean (SD); range</td>
<td>30.6 (26.9); 1 to 95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive competence domain score, mean (SD); range</td>
<td>3.64 (0.34); 2.83 to 4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical competence domain score, mean (SD); range</td>
<td>3.16 (0.51); 2.00 to 4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peer acceptance domain score, mean (SD); range</td>
<td>3.05 (0.64); 1.83 to 4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal acceptance domain score, mean (SD); range</td>
<td>2.78 (0.65); 1.50 to 4.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are presented as number (%) unless otherwise indicated. wpm indicates words per minute.
* Measured with the four-book version of the Randot Preschool Stereoacuity; n nil stereoacuity was arbitrarily assigned a value of 4.00 log arcsec.

The standard deviations are consistent with scale sensitivity to individual differences in perceived competence and acceptance among young children. This instrument is widely used for research with preschool children, including the measurement of the effects of intellectual disability, stuttering, and obesity on self-concept and the effects of physical activity programs on self-concept.

Statistical Analysis

Associations between ER-QOL domains and vision, visuomotor function, and self-perception were assessed by Spearman rank correlations of domain scores with monocular visual acuity, stereoacuity, contrast balance index, vergence instability, reading speed, manual dexterity, and self-perception. A 95% confidence interval (CI) also was calculated for each Spearman rank correlation coefficient. Correlation coefficients were interpreted as follows: $r = 0.40–0.59$, moderate correlation; $r = 0.60–0.79$, strong correlation; and $r = 0.80–1$, very strong correlation.

Construct validity of the Child PedEyeQ (i.e., the degree to which it measures what it purports to be measuring) can be evaluated via convergent validity and discriminant validity. Because our three recent studies have already examined the discriminant validity of the Child PedEyeQ, the focus of the current study was to examine the relationship between the ER-QOL domains and vision, visuomotor function, and self-perception to address convergent validity (whether measures that should theoretically be related are in fact related). Our explicit hypothesis was that functional measures of vision and self-perception should be correlated with ER-QOL as assessed by specific domains of the Child PedEyeQ. Such correlations would support the convergent validity of the Child PedEyeQ and its use as a surrogate for functional measures.

RESULTS

Table 1 shows that children in the cohort were 61% non-Hispanic white and 46% were female, with a mean age of 7.5 years. Diagnoses included anisometropia (35%), strabismus (28%), or both (37%), and 48% had amblyopia. Participants had a wide range of worse-eye BCVA, stereoacuity, contrast balance index, vergence instability, reading speed, manual dexterity, and self-perception domain scores. Characteristics of the children who participated in each visual function and self-perception test are provided in the e-Table.

Correlations Between ER-QOL Domains and the Visual Function and Self-Perception Tests

All correlations are shown in Table 2. Data for functional measures that had moderate or strong correlations with Child PedEyeQ domains are shown in Figures 1 and 2. A strong correlation was observed between Functional Vision domain scores and the child's self-perception of physical competence ($r = 0.65; 95\% \text{ CI}, 0.41–0.78; P < 0.001$), as well as a moderate correlation with reading speed ($r = 0.50; 95\% \text{ CI}, 0.23–0.69; P < 0.001$).

For the Bothered by Eyes/Vision domain scores, a moderate correlation was observed with the child's self-perception of physical competence ($r = 0.56; 95\% \text{ CI}, 0.32–0.72; P < 0.001$).
TABLE 2. Spearman Rank Correlation Coefficients, With 95% Confidence Intervals, for Associations Between Child PedEyeQ Domain Scores and Functional Measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Functional Vision</th>
<th>Bothered by Eyes/Vision</th>
<th>Social</th>
<th>Frustration/Worry</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Better-eye BCVA (logMAR)</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>-0.14 (-0.33 to 0.04)</td>
<td>-0.18 (-0.37 to 0.00)</td>
<td>-0.12 (-0.30 to 0.07)</td>
<td>-0.15 (-0.33 to 0.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worse-eye BCVA (logMAR)</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>-0.13 (-0.32 to 0.06)</td>
<td>-0.19 (-0.38 to 0.00)</td>
<td>-0.19 (-0.38 to 0.00)</td>
<td>-0.25 (-0.44 to -0.06)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stereoeacity (log arcsec)</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>-0.05 (-0.24 to 0.18)</td>
<td>-0.02 (-0.23 to 0.19)</td>
<td>-0.07 (-0.28 to 0.14)</td>
<td>-0.19 (-0.40 to 0.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contrast balance index</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>-0.32 (-0.53 to -0.12)</td>
<td>-0.29 (-0.50 to -0.09)</td>
<td>-0.24 (-0.45 to -0.05)</td>
<td>-0.22 (-0.43 to -0.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vergegence instability (deg²)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-0.07 (-0.38 to 0.24)</td>
<td>-0.26 (-0.55 to 0.05)</td>
<td>-0.46 (-0.76 to -0.15)</td>
<td>-0.28 (-0.59 to 0.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reading rate (wpm)</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>0.47 (0.16 to 0.77)</td>
<td>0.23 (-0.08 to 0.53)</td>
<td>0.19 (-0.11 to 0.50)</td>
<td>0.20 (-0.11 to 0.51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manual dexterity (%tile)</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>0.27 (0.00 to 0.53)</td>
<td>0.11 (-0.16 to 0.38)</td>
<td>-0.05 (-0.30 to 0.24)</td>
<td>-0.04 (-0.31 to 0.23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-perception</td>
<td>44</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive competence</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.24 (-0.07 to 0.54)</td>
<td>0.01 (-0.30 to 0.31)</td>
<td>0.07 (-0.24 to 0.38)</td>
<td>0.16 (-0.15 to 0.47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical competence</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.65 (0.35 to 0.96)</td>
<td>0.52 (0.21 to 0.83)</td>
<td>0.43 (0.12 to 0.73)</td>
<td>0.41 (0.10 to 0.71)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peer acceptance</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.39 (0.08 to 0.70)</td>
<td>0.32 (0.01 to 0.63)</td>
<td>0.49 (0.18 to 0.80)</td>
<td>0.47 (0.16 to 0.77)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal acceptance</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.10 (-0.40 to 0.21)</td>
<td>-0.04 (-0.34 to 0.27)</td>
<td>0.06 (-0.25 to 0.36)</td>
<td>-0.08 (-0.39 to 0.22)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIGURE 1. Moderate associations were between vergence instability and Child PedEyeQ Social domain scores and between reading speed and Child PedEyeQ Functional Vision domain scores. Dotted lines illustrate the linear trend; correlations were computed using Spearman rank correlation coefficients ($r_{s} = -0.46$ and $+0.47$, respectively).

Moderate correlations were observed between Social domain scores and vergence instability ($r = -0.41; 95\% CI, -0.15$ to $-0.62, P < 0.001$) and with the child’s self-perception of physical competence ($r = 0.46; 95\% CI, 0.19–0.67, P < 0.001$) and peer acceptance ($r = 0.52; 95\% CI, 0.26–0.71, P < 0.001$).

Frustration/Worry domain scores were moderately correlated with the child’s self-perception of physical competence ($r = 0.45; 95\% CI, 0.18–0.66, P < 0.001$) and peer acceptance ($r = 0.43; 95\% CI, 0.15–0.64, P = 0.003$).

FIGURE 2. Moderate and strong associations were between self-perception of physical competence and Functional Vision, Bothered by Eyes, Social, and Frustration/Worry Child PedEyeQ domain scores ($r_{s} = +0.65, +0.52, +0.43$, and $+0.41$, respectively). Moderate associations were observed between self-perception of peer acceptance and Social and Frustration/Worry Child PedEyeQ domain scores ($r_{s} = +0.49$ and $+0.47$, respectively).

DISCUSSION

Strong and moderate correlations were observed between functional measures and Child PedEyeQ domain scores. The focus of the Functional Vision domain on school-related tasks and daily activities was evident in both a moderate correlation with reading and a strong correlation with self-perception of physical competence. Similarly, the Bothered by Eyes/Vision domain, which evaluates the extent to which
or not the Child PedEyeQ is responsive to expected changes following surgical or nonsurgical treatment.
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