LncRNA NEAT1 Recruits SFPQ to Regulate MITF Splicing and Control RPE Cell Proliferation
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PURPOSE. Retinal pigment epithelium (RPE) cell proliferation is precisely regulated to maintain retinal homeostasis. Microphthalmia-associated transcription factor (MITF), a critical transcription factor in RPE cells, has two alternatively spliced isoforms: (+)-MITF and (−)-MITF. Previous work has shown that (−)-MITF but not (+)-MITF inhibits RPE cell proliferation. This study aims to investigate the role of long non-coding RNA (lncRNA) nuclear-enriched abundant transcript 1 (NEAT1) in regulating MITF splicing and hence proliferation of RPE cells.

METHODS. Mouse RPE, primary cultured mouse RPE cells, and different proliferative human embryonic stem cell (hESC)–RPE cells were used to evaluate the expression of (+)-MITF, (−)-MITF, and NEAT1 by reverse transcription PCR (RT-PCR) or quantitative RT-PCR. NEAT1 was knocked down using specific small interfering RNAs (siRNAs). Splicing factor proline- and glutamine-rich (SFPQ) was overexpressed with the use of lentivirus infection. Cell proliferation was analyzed by cell number counting and Ki67 immunostaining. RNA immunoprecipitation (RIP) was used to analyze the co-binding between the SFPQ and MITF or NEAT1.

RESULTS. NEAT1 was highly expressed in proliferative RPE cells, which had low expression of (−)-MITF. Knockdown of NEAT1 in RPE cells switched the MITF splicing pattern to produce higher levels of (−)-MITF and inhibited cell proliferation. Mechanistically, NEAT1 recruited SFPQ to bind directly with MITF mRNA to regulate its alternative splicing. Overexpression of SFPQ in ARPE-19 cells enhanced the binding enrichment of SFPQ to MITF and increased the splicing efficiency of (+)-MITF. The binding affinity between SFPQ and MITF was decreased after NEAT1 knockdown.

CONCLUSIONS. NEAT1 acts as a scaffold to recruit SFPQ to MITF mRNA and promote its binding affinity, which plays an important role in regulating the alternative splicing of MITF and RPE cell proliferation.
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The retinal pigment epithelium (RPE) cell is important for retinal homeostasis, as it supports a number of critical retina functions including secreting growth factors and antioxidants, maintaining the blood–retinal barrier, and phagocytizing detached photoreceptor outer segments, among others. In vertebrates, RPE cells are derived from the dorsal portion of the optic vesicle and undergo a phase of rapid cell division early in development, whereas mature pigmented quiescent RPE cells reside in a monolayer between the neural retina and choroid. Normally, mature RPE cells remain in G0, out of the cell cycle. In some pathological states such as proliferative vitreoretinopathy (PVR), RPE cells will detach from the retina to enter the vitreous humor, undergo the epithelial–mesenchymal transition (EMT), and re-enter the cell cycle in a proliferative state. RPE cell hyperproliferative diseases are highly prevalent in patients with retinal surgery; they can affect visual function and may lead to blindness.

Cell proliferation is an important cellular event that is complex and precisely regulated, including by non-coding RNAs and alternative splicing of pre-mRNAs. RNA splicing, in which introns are removed from eukaryotic gene transcripts, is an essential step for mRNA maturation. It is precisely regulated by coordinated interactions between cis-regulatory elements on the pre-mRNA and binding of splicing factors. Alternative splicing of pre-mRNA increases protein diversity from a rather limited number of genes, contributing to cell proliferation, tissue development, and organ physiology. Dysregulation of pre-mRNA alternative splicing has been implicated in a variety of different diseases.
genetic diseases and cancers. Mutations in genes that affect splicing have been implicated in both retinitis pigmentosa and cataract.14–16

Microphthalmia-associated transcription factor (MITF) plays an irreplaceable role in controlling RPE cell proliferation, development, and function.17 Mitf mutant mice display microphthalmia and retinal degeneration secondary to structural abnormalities and dysfunction of the RPE.18–20 The dorsal retina of Mitf mutant mice shows hyperproliferation and formation of multiple layers of RPE cells.21 Overexpression of dominant-negative mutant MITF increases the proliferation of chick RPE cells, although expression of wild-type MITF does not affect their proliferation.22 Under normal conditions, MITF produces two alternative splicing isoforms in exon 6, (+)MITF and (−)MITF; (+)MITF contains exon 6a of the sequence ACIFPT upstream of the basic domain, but (−)MITF lacks this sequence.23 Both (+)MITF and (−)MITF were reported to be expressed at similar levels in melanocytes and some melanoma cell lines,24,25 but they have different transcriptional activities and diverse functions in cell proliferative regulation.24,26 Mice with the mutation resulting in the production of only (−)Mitf (Mitf<sup>−/−</sup>) have hypopigmentation, retinal dystrophy and reduced electroretinography amplitude in a compound heterozygous state with Mitf<sup>+/−</sup>.27,28 Our previous work demonstrated that (−)MITF inhibits the proliferation of RPE cells by regulating the axis of MS12/miR-7/DAPL1, but (+)MITF did not affect the proliferation of RPE cells.29 However, the expression states of (+)MITF and (−)MITF and their regulation in RPE cells are unclear. Nuclear-enriched abundant transcript (NEAT1) is a long non-coding RNA (lncRNA) that is essential for formation of nuclear body paraspeckles. It has been shown to regulate cell proliferation by sponging miRNAs, but the functional roles of NEAT1 in RPE cells are largely unknown.30–32 In addition, NEAT1 also interacts with other regulatory proteins to regulate gene expression or RNA processing, including splicing factor proline- and glutamine-rich (SFPQ, or PSF) and SWI/SNF-related, matrix-associated, actin-dependent regulator of chromatin, subfamily A, member 4 (SMARCA4, or BRG1).33–35 SFPQ was first shown to be required for pre-mRNA splicing and was later implicated in transcriptional regulation, 3′-end processing of mRNAs, and RNA and DNA repair.36 Although the functional role of SFPQ in RNA splicing is well documented,37 its role in regulating MITF mRNA alternative splicing is currently unknown.

In this paper, we show that NEAT1 is highly expressed in proliferative RPE cells and that these cells express only low levels of (−)MITF. Knockdown of NEAT1 in RPE cells changes the MITF splicing pattern to produce higher levels of (−)MITF and inhibit cell proliferation. We demonstrate that NEAT1 recruits SFPQ to bind to MITF mRNA and thus regulates its splicing. Overexpression of SFPQ in ARPE-19 cells enriches the binding of SFPQ to MITF mRNA and increases the splicing efficiency to produce (+)MITF. Knockdown of NEAT1 in RPE cells decreases the binding affinity of SFPQ to MITF mRNA, which switches the splicing pattern to produce higher (−)MITF levels and consequently decreases splicing to produce (+)MITF. Hence, our findings suggest that NEAT1 acts as a scaffold to recruit SFPQ to MITF mRNA, increasing its binding affinity and switching alternative splicing isoforms of MITF to reduce the levels of (−)MITF and promote the proliferation of RPE cells.

**Materials and Methods**

**Mouse RPE Isolation and Primary Culture**

Mouse RPE cells were isolated from 2-month-old C57BL/6J mice immediately after euthanasia. The RPE layer was separated from the neural retina layer by digestion with 2% dispase for 30 minutes and scraped off of the choroid using an iris separator. RPE sheets were gently collected by pipetting and were cultured in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium/Nutrient Mixture F-12 (DMEM/F-12; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), supplemented with 15% fetal bovine serum (FBS). Alternatively, some RPE sheets were collected similarly for gene expression analysis. All animal experiments were carried out in accordance with the approved guidelines of the Wenzhou Medical University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.

**Cell Culture and NEAT1 Knockdown**

ARPE-19 and D407 cells were cultured in the DMEM/F-12 and DMEM medium separately, supplemented with 10% FBS and antibiotics under 5% CO<sub>2</sub> at 37°C. The human embryonic stem cell (hESC) cell line (H9) was cultured using xenofree Gibco Essential 8 Medium (A1517001; Thermo Fisher Scientific) to induce differentiation in RPE cells as described in our previous work. For small interfering RNA (siRNA) studies, cells were cultured in 12-well plates to reach about 50% confluence prior to transfection, then 40 pmol of siRNA was transfected using Lipofect Reagent (SignaGen Labs, Frederick, MD, USA). The siRNA sequences were designed and synthesized by Gene Pharma Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China) as follows:

- si-NC: 5′UUUCUGGAACGUGACUGUTT
- si-NEAT1-1: 5′GCCAAGAGUACAUAAAAUAUTT
- si-NEAT1-2: 5′GGCAUCAGCUUUGAAUAATT

**SFPQ Overexpression**

SFPQ (NM_005066.3) overexpressing lentivirus was purchased from GeneCopoeia Co., Ltd. (Guzhongzhou, China). ARPE-19 cells were cultured in 12-well plates at about 50% confluency 1 day before the infection. Then, 10 μL of the SFPQ overexpression lentivirus (2 × 10<sup>6</sup> transducing units/mL) was added to the FBS-free DMEM/F-12 for about 6 hours, and the cells were cultured in the complete culture medium. Seventy-two hours after the infection, SFPQ mRNA was analyzed to test the overexpression efficiency.

**MITF mRNA and Splicing Isoform Analysis**

Total RNA was isolated using Invitrogen TRIzol (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and reverse-transcribed into cDNA using a reverse transcription kit and random primers (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. cDNA was used for examining gene expression using reverse-transcription PCR (RT-PCR) or quantitative PCR (qRT-PCR). The primers were designed to test the total MITF mRNA or MITF splicing isoform as shown in Supplementary Figure S1, and the primer sequences used in this study are listed in Supplementary Table S1. As for the RT-PCR, primers were designed flanking exon 6a resulting in a PCR product of 206 bp for (+)MITF but 188 bp for (−)MITF. The PCR mixes include 2× Taq Master Mix (Dye Plus; Vazyme Biotech, Nanjing, China), 10-pM primer 2 + 2 μL, cDNA...
sample (1 µL), and H₂O (up to 50 µL). PCR was performed at 94°C for 40 seconds, 58°C for 30 seconds, and 72°C for 30 seconds. This procedure was repeated for 34 cycles, followed by a prolonged elongation step at 72°C for 5 minutes. PCR production was separated in the 4% agarose gel electrophoresis.

For qRT-PCR, primers to test the total MITF mRNA were designed in exons 2 and 3, which can test both (+)MITF and (−)MITF. The forward primer to test (+)MITF was designed in exon 6a, and the reverse primer was in exon 7, which can only test the expression of (+)MITF (Supplementary Fig. S1). Primers to test total mouse Mitf mRNA were designed in exons 5 and 7. The cDNA was processed for real-time PCR using SYBR Green (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The amplification efficiency of the primers was greater than 0.98, and single products were confirmed by melting profiles.

Immunostaining
Cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 25 minutes at room temperature and permeabilized with 0.4% Triton X-100 for 10 minutes. Immunostaining was carried out using rabbit Anti-Ki67 Antibody (1:200; ab9260; MilliporeSigma, Burlington, MA, USA) at 37°C for 2 hours. For bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU) analysis, cells were cultured in complete medium containing 20 µM BrdU for 2 hours. Anti-BrdU (1:200; b8434; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) was used for immunostaining. Staining was indicated by appropriate secondary antibodies. The results were photographed with a Zeiss fluorescence microscope (Carl Zeiss Microscopy, White Plains, NY, USA), and photographs were processed digitally.

Western Blotting
Cells were washed with PBS and then lysed in radioimmunoprecipitation assay buffer. Cell debris was pelleted by centrifugation at 6000g for 5 minutes. Equal amounts of protein from the parallel samples were loaded onto the SDS-PAGE gel, separated by electrophoresis, and transferred to nitrocellulose membrane. The membrane was blocked with 5% fat-free milk and incubated with the primary specific antibody at 4°C (MET, 8198; E2F1, 3742S; P-Rb, 3590 and P-AKT, 4060; AKT, 4691; Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA, USA). After washing with PBS containing 0.01% Tween 20, the membranes were incubated with fluorescent-conjugated secondary antibodies (LI-COR Biosciences, Lincoln, NE, USA) at room temperature for 2 hours, and the blots were analyzed using the Odyssey CLx system (LI-COR Biosciences). Glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) was used as a loading control.

RNA Binding Protein Immunoprecipitation Assay
ARPE-19 or ARPE-19 + SFPQ cells were cultured for 24 hours in 10-cm dishes. RNA immunoprecipitation (RIP) experiments were performed using the Magna RIP RNA-Binding Protein Immunoprecipitation Kit (17-700; MilliporeSigma) and antibody to SFPQ (P2860; Sigma-Aldrich) following the manufacturer’s protocol. Co-precipitated RNAs were used for RT-PCR or qRT-PCR analysis.

Statistical Analysis
Each experiment was repeated three times, and results are presented as mean ± SD. Statistical significance between experimental and control groups was assessed with Student’s t-test, and P < 0.05 was considered to be a significant difference.

RESULTS
Switched Expression Pattern of MITF Alternative Splicing Isoforms in Different Proliferative State RPE Cells
Our previous work demonstrated that alternative splicing isoforms of MITF play different roles in regulating RPE cell proliferation, as (−)MITF but not (+)MITF inhibits the proliferation of ARPE-19 cells. However, control of MITF expression and regulation of its splicing are poorly understood in RPE cells. In order to address this question, we first analyzed the expression of (−)MITF and (+)MITF in RPE cells in different proliferative states. Mature mouse RPE cells are able to reinitiate proliferation after being cultured in vitro. When RPE cells were isolated from 2-month-old C57BL/6J mice and cultured in vitro for 7 days (passage 1), BrdU incorporation was detected in cultured primary RPE cells but not isolated RPE tissue (Fig. 1A), indicating that quiescent isolated RPE cells could re-enter the proliferative state after being cultured in vitro. Next, expression levels of (−)MITF and (+)MITF in quiescent and proliferative mouse RPE cells were estimated by RT-PCR. Although both (−)MITF and (+)MITF were equally expressed in quiescent RPE tissue, after the RPE cells re-entered the cell-cycle expression of (−)MITF decreased markedly (Fig. 1B). qRT-PCR results indicated that the percentage of (+)MITF was about 50% in the quiescent mouse RPE cells, which was increased to more than 80% when the primary cultured mouse RPE cells were in the proliferative state (Fig. 1C). This pattern of expression was also seen in hESC-derived RPE cells, which can be divided into low-proliferative (highly pigmented cells about 20% positive for Ki67) and highly proliferative (low pigmented cells about 75% positive for Ki67) groups (Figs. 1D, 1E). When the expression of (−)MITF and (+)MITF in these groups was analyzed by RT-PCR and qRT-PCR, (−)MITF and (+)MITF were expressed equally in the highly proliferative hESC–RPE cells, but the low-proliferative group of hESC–RPE cells expressed higher levels of (−)MITF than (+)MITF (Fig. 1F). qRT-PCR results showed that more than 75% of the highly proliferative hESC–RPE cells expressed (+)MITF in contrast to only about 45% of the low-proliferative hESC–RPE cells (Fig. 1G). These results are consistent with the expression pattern of MITF splicing isoforms seen in different proliferative states of isolated RPE cells. Similar expression levels of (+)MITF and (−)MITF are associated with low-proliferative activity, and higher expression of (+)MITF relative to (−)MITF is seen in highly proliferative RPE cells, although the mechanisms controlling the alternative splicing are unclear.

LncRNA NEAT1 is Highly Expressed in Proliferative RPE Cells
Although NEAT1 has been demonstrated to mediate alternative splicing of pre-mRNA and regulate cell proliferation, its role in RPE cells is currently unclear. To investigate this,
NEAT1 Regulates MITF Splicing and RPE Proliferation

**FIGURE 1.** (-)MITF was expressed at low levels relative to (+)MITF in proliferative RPE cells. (A) RPE cells from 2-month-old mice were isolated and cultured in vitro for 7 days. BrdU-positive signals (red) could be detected in primary cultured RPE cells but not in isolated RPE tissue. (B) Expression of (-)Mitf and (+)Mitf analyzed by RT-PCR. (C) qRT-PCR analysis of the percentages of (-)Mitf and (+)Mitf in the isolated RPE tissue and primary cultured RPE cells. (D) Ki67 immunostaining in hESC–RPE cells. Highly pigmented RPE cells had lower Ki67-positive signals and lower pigmented RPE cells had higher Ki67-positive signals. (E) Ki67-positive percentages based on (D). (F) The expression of (-)MITF and (+)MITF analyzed by RT-PCR in the low-proliferative and highly proliferative hESC–RPE cells. (G) qRT-PCR analysis of the percentage of (-)MITF and (+)MITF in low-proliferative and highly proliferative hESC–RPE cells. Scale bar: 50 μm. **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001; n = 3.

its expression was measured in RPE cells in different proliferative states. Both RT-PCR and qRT-PCR results showed that the expression of NEAT1 was higher in proliferating primary RPE cell cultures than quiescent mouse RPE cells in isolated tissue (Figs. 2A, 2B). Similarly, expression of NEAT1 was found to be higher in the highly proliferative than lower proliferative hESC–RPE cells (Fig. 2C). NEAT1 was also expressed at high levels in subconfluent ARPE-19 and D407 cell lines, which are highly proliferative in vitro (Fig. 2D). In addition, when the ARPE-19 and D407 cells were maintained in cultures for 1 week after confluence, the postconfluent cells showed a decrease in proliferative activity, as indicated by a lower level Ki67 positivity when compared with the subconfluent cells (at about 30% or 70% confluence) (Supplementary Figs. S2A, S2C, S2E–S2H). The qRT-PCR results indicate that the expression of NEAT1 is higher in the subconfluent cells (highly proliferative) than the postconfluent cells (low-proliferative) (Supplementary Figs. S2B, S2D). These results show that NEAT1 is highly expressed in proliferative RPE cells, suggesting that it might be involved in regulation of RPE cell proliferation.

**Knockdown of NEAT1 Inhibits RPE Cell Proliferation**

NEAT1 was knocked down by siRNAs in ARPE-19 and D407 cells in order to investigate its regulation of RPE cell proliferation. As shown in Figure 3A, both si-NEAT1-1 and si-NEAT1-2 knocked down the expression of NEAT1 efficiently in
ARPE-19 cells. Forty-eight hours after knockdown of NEAT1, the cell numbers were lower relative to the negative control (NC) group (Figs. 3B, 3C). Cell growth curves show that NEAT1 knockdown ARPE-19 cells have lower proliferative activity compared with the control groups (Fig. 3D). In addition, the percentage of cells staining positive for Ki67, which marks proliferating cells, was found to decrease relative to the NC cells (Figs. 3E, 3F). Analysis of other markers of cell proliferation after knockdown of NEAT1 by western blotting has shown that the expressions of MET, E2F1, and P-RB proteins decreased in the NEAT1 knockdown ARPE-19 cells, although no obvious change was seen in P-AKT and P-ERK (Figs. 3G, 3H). In order to confirm these results, NEAT1 was also knocked down in D407 cells. Compared with the NC group, cell proliferative activity was also decreased in NEAT1 knockdown D407 cells (Supplementary Figs. S3A–S3E). Taken together, these results indicate that knockdown of NEAT1 inhibits RPE cell proliferation.

**Knockdown of NEAT1 Changes the Splicing Pattern of MITF**

The above results show that (−)MITF is expressed at low levels in proliferative RPE cells, which express high levels of NEAT1, and we have previously demonstrated that (−)MITF inhibits RPE cell proliferation, but it is unclear whether NEAT1 regulates alternative splicing of MITF mRNA. In order to clarify this, we knocked down NEAT1 in ARPE-19 cells and analyzed its effects on the expression of (+)MITF and (−)MITF. The qRT-PCR analysis showed that knockdown of NEAT1 did not affect the expression of total MITF mRNA in ARPE-19 cells (Fig. 4A). However, knockdown of NEAT1 in ARPE-19 cells decreased the percentage of (−)MITF relative to (−)MITF, which increased (Fig. 4B). The qRT-PCR results showed that the percentage of (−)MITF decreased from near 50% to about 32% in the NEAT1 knockdown ARPE-19 cells, but the percentage of (−)MITF increased to about 68% (Fig. 4C). These results were also confirmed by knockdown of NEAT1 in D407 cells, which did not alter expression of total MITF mRNA (Figs. 4D, 4E) but increased the ratios of (−)MITF while decreasing the ratios of (+)MITF mRNA (Figs. 4F, 4G). These results indicate that knockdown of NEAT1 changes MITF splicing patterns by increasing the ratios of (−)MITF and decreasing the ratios of (+)MITF.

**NEAT1 Recruits SFPQ to Increase Binding to MITF mRNA and Regulate Its Splicing**

The above results show that NEAT1 regulates MITF splicing and inhibits RPE cell proliferation, but how NEAT1 regulates MITF splicing is unclear. mRNA splicing is regulated by specific splicing factors, and it has been reported that NEAT1 can bind the RNA splicing factor SFPQ. In order to verify the hypothesis that NEAT1 might regulate MITF splicing by recruiting SFPQ to bind it, we used RIP to detect binding of SFPQ to NEAT1 and MITF mRNA directly in ARPE-19 cells. As shown in Figures 5A and 5B, SFPQ binds directly with NEAT1, as well as both (+)MITF and (−)MITF mRNA, suggesting that SFPQ might potentially have a role in the regulation of MITF splicing. As a negative control, an ampiclon of lncRNA MIR497HG showed no positive signal in the anti-SFPQ pull-down lane (Fig. 5C). When lentivirus-mediated SFPQ was overexpressed in ARPE-19 cells by approximately fivefold, there was no effect on total MITF mRNA levels (Figs. 5D, 5E), but binding of SFPQ to MITF was approximately doubled in ARPE-19 + SFPQ cells relative to control ARPE-19 cells (Figs. 5F–5H). Finally, analysis of the percentages of (−)MITF and (−)MITF by qRT-PCR showed that the expression of (−)MITF increased from 51% to 72% in ARPE-19 + SFPQ cells, whereas expression of (−)MITF decreased to about 38% (Figs. 5I, 5J). In combination, these results demonstrate that SFPQ not only directly binds to MITF mRNA but also regulates its splicing to increase (+)MITF relative to (−)MITF mRNA.
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**Figure 3.** Knockdown of NEAT1 inhibited RPE cell proliferation. (A) qRT-PCR showing the knockdown efficiency of NEAT1 in ARPE-19 cells. (B, C) ARPE-19 cells were transfected with si-NEAT1 or negative control (NC); cells were counted 48 hours after transfection, and the cell number in the NC group was normalized as 100. (D) Cell growth curves of ARPE-19 cells after NEAT1 knockdown. (E, F) Ki67 immunostaining of ARPE-19 cells showed a decrease in the percentage of cells staining positive after knockdown of NEAT1. (G, H) Western blotting showing protein levels of MET, E2F1, P-Rb, P-AKT, and P-ERK in ARPE-19 cells 48 hours after knockdown of NEAT1. Scale bar: 50 μm. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001; n = 3. ns, no significant difference.

SFPQ Regulates MITF Splicing in a NEAT1-Dependent Manner

In order to demonstrate the requirement for NEAT1 for SFPQ-mediated MITF mRNA splicing, we used siRNA to knock down NEAT1 in both ARPE-19 and ARPE-19 + SFPQ cells and analyzed the binding of SFPQ to MITF mRNA using SFPQ RIP in each. MITF mRNA binding to SFPQ decreased after siRNA knockdown of NEAT1 in both ARPE-19 cells (Figs. 6A, 6B) and ARPE-19 + SFPQ cells (Figs. 6C, 6D), although it was more marked in the non-overexpressing cells. In addition, the increased expression of (+)MITF relative to (−)MITF in ARPE-19 + SFPQ cells was reversed after knockdown of NEAT1 (Figs. 6E, 6F). These results show that
**Figure 4.** Knockdown of *NEAT1* changed the splicing pattern of *MITF*. (A) qRT-PCR was used to analyze the expression of total *MITF* mRNA in ARPE-19 cells after knockdown of *NEAT1*. (B) After knockdown of *NEAT1* in ARPE-19 cells, the expression of *MITF* splicing isoforms was analyzed by RT-PCR. (C) qRT-PCR analysis of the percentages of (−)*MITF* and (+)*MITF* in the *NEAT1* knockdown ARPE-19 cells. (D, E) qRT-PCR was used to analyze the expression levels of *NEAT1* and total *MITF* mRNA after the si-NEAT1 transfection. (F) After knockdown of *NEAT1* in D407 cells, the expression of *MITF* splicing isoforms was analyzed by RT-PCR. (G) qRT-PCR analysis of the percentages of (−)*MITF* and (+)*MITF* in the *NEAT1* knockdown D407 cells. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001; n = 3.

**Figure 5.** SFPQ bound directly to *MITF* and regulated its splicing. (A, B) RIP showing binding of SFPQ to *MITF* mRNA and *NEAT1*. (C) An amplicon of lncRNA *MIR497HG* was used as a negative control for RIP. (D) qRT-PCR quantitation of SFPQ in ARPE-19 cells before and after infection with lentivirus-expressing SFPQ. (E) qRT-PCR showing no change in total *MITF* mRNA levels in SFPQ-overexpressing ARPE-19 cells. (F, G) RIP demonstrating binding of SFPQ to *NEAT1* (F) and *MITF* (G) mRNA in ARPE-19 cells overexpressing SFPQ. (H) SFPQ RIP showing enrichment of *MITF* mRNA in ARPE-19 + SFPQ compared with control ARPE-19 cells. (I) RT-PCR showing decreased (−)*MITF* and increased (+)*MITF* expression in ARPE-19 + SFPQ relative to ARPE-19 cells. (J) qRT-PCR analysis of the percentages of (−)*MITF* and (+)*MITF* in ARPE-19 + SFPQ cells. *P < 0.05, ***P < 0.001; n = 3.

*NEAT1* increases binding of SFPQ to *MITF* mRNA and regulates its splicing to increase the ratio of (+)*MITF* to (−)*MITF* mRNA.

Taken as a whole, our data suggest that the long non-coding RNA *NEAT1* works as a scaffold to recruit SFPQ binding to *MITF* mRNA, which plays an important role in
FIGURE 6. SFPQ regulated MITF splicing in a NEAT1-dependent manner. (A–D) RIP showed the direct binding ability of SFPQ to MITF after siRNA knockdown of NEAT1 in ARPE-19 cells (A, B) and ARPE-19 + SFPQ cells (C, D), with decreased binding in NEAT1 knockdown cells. (E) RT-PCR showing expression of (+)MITF and (−)MITF in ARPE-19 + SFPQ cells after siRNA knockdown of NEAT1. (F) qRT-PCR analysis of the percentages of (−)MITF and (+)MITF in the NEAT1 knockdown ARPE-19 + SFPQ cells. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.001; n = 3.

FIGURE 7. Graphical summary of NEAT1 recruitment of SFPQ binding to MITF mRNA and regulation of its splicing. (A) NEAT1 works as a scaffold to recruit SFPQ binding to MITF mRNA, which produces both (+)MITF and (−)MITF isoforms. (B) Knockdown of NEAT1 decreases the binding of SFPQ to MITF mRNA, which increases the splicing of (−)MITF and decreases that of (+)MITF.

regulating the alternative splicing of MITF (Fig. 7A). Knockdown of NEAT1 decreases the binding of SFPQ to MITF mRNA, which increases MITF splicing to produce (−)MITF and decreases splicing to produce (+)MITF (Fig. 7B).

DISCUSSION

Previously, we have shown that (−)MITF, the short MITF isoform, inhibits RPE cell proliferation.29 Here, we show that the variations in MITF splicing isoforms with different proliferative states of RPE cells are partially regulated by the IncRNA NEAT1 by recruiting RNA splicing factor SFPQ.

MITF plays multiple roles in regulating RPE cell development and differentiation, including its effects on antioxidant systems, growth factor expression, visual cycle activities, proliferation, and melanogenesis.29,41–45 In RPE cells, transcriptional control of MITF expression has been shown to be regulated by signaling by bone morphogenetic protein (BMP), Wnt/β-catenin, and fibroblast growth factor (FGF), as well as transcription factors VSX2, PAX6, PAX2, OTX2, and ZEB1,17 but the posttranscriptional regulation of MITF splicing in RPE cells is still poorly understood. Alternative splicing plays a critical role in providing protein diversity and functional activity. In this work, we showed that NEAT1 recruits SFPQ to MITF mRNA to regulate its splicing in RPE cells, which provides new insight into the posttranscriptional regulation of MITF.

RPE hyperproliferation is one of the risk factors in multiple eye diseases, including PVR, malignant congenital hypertrophy of the RPE, RPE rips, and Vogt–Koyanagi–Harada disease.46–48 The molecular mechanisms of regulating RPE cell proliferation remain incompletely understood. We previously demonstrated that (−)MITF inhibits RPE cell proliferation by regulating death-associated protein-like 1 (DAPL1).29,38 In this work, we reveal that NEAT1 regulates MITF splicing and RPE cell proliferation, suggesting that NEAT1 might provide a new target for investigation and treatment of eye diseases related to RPE hyperproliferation. In addition, NEAT1 was also reported to sponge various miRNAs, including miR-34a, which can inhibit RPE cell proliferation.49,50 Hence, it is possible that NEAT1 also regulates RPE cell proliferation through other pathways besides MITF splicing.

Long non-coding RNAs have a number of significant physiological functions. NEAT1 has been reported to regulate tumor proliferation, neurodegeneration, viral infection, and immune response.40 However, to our knowledge, the...
of RPE cell proliferation, and the precise mechanisms of splicing axis that we have established is likely only
MITF in ARPE-19 cells. In addition, we also noticed that knock-
that knockdown of NEAT1 in ARPE-19 cells only increased the splicing efficiency to produce (+)MITF by about 20%. These results suggest that NEAT1 and SFPQ are not the only regulators of MITF splicing in vivo and what its physiological significance is require further investigation in the future.

In the current paper we have shown that knockdown of NEAT1 in RPE cells only partially affected the splicing of MITF. In addition, fivesfold overexpression of SFPQ in ARPE-19 cells only increased the splicing efficiency to produce (+)MITF by about 20%. These results suggest that NEAT1 and SFPQ are not the only regulators of MITF splicing. It is possible that multiple additional factors and/or signaling pathways might also participate in regulating MITF splicing. Consistent with this hypothesis, extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK) signaling was reported to regulate MITF splicing in melanoma, although our data showed that knockdown of NEAT1 did not affect ERK signaling in ARPE-19 cells. In addition, we also noticed that knock-
down of NEAT1 in ARPE-19 + SFPQ cells only partially decreased the binding affinity of SFPQ to MITF mRNA, suggesting that SFPQ might also bind with MITF mRNA in a NEAT1-independent manner. Hence, the NEAT1–SFPQ–MITF splicing axis that we have established is likely only one among many pathways involved in the regulation of RPE cell proliferation, and the precise mechanisms of MITF splicing regulation still must be investigated in the future.

In summary, our work provides evidence that the IncRNA NEAT1 plays a critical role in regulating RPE cell proliferation, acting through facilitation of the interaction between RNA splicing factor SFPQ and MITF mRNA to regulate its alternative splicing. The results provide molecular insights into the regulation of RPE cell proliferation, laying the groundwork for future investigations to explore and possibly modulate the underlying mechanisms of proliferative RPE pathologies and the lack of proliferative regeneration in a number of eye diseases.
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