EphA2 and Ephrin-A5 Guide Eye Lens Suture Alignment and Influence Whole Lens Resilience
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PURPOSE. Fine focusing of light by the eye lens onto the retina relies on the ability of the lens to change shape during the process of accommodation. Little is known about the cellular structures that regulate elasticity and resilience. We tested whether Eph–ephrin signaling is involved in lens biomechanical properties.

METHODS. We used confocal microscopy and tissue mechanical testing to examine mouse lenses with genetic disruption of EphA2 or ephrin-A5.

RESULTS. Confocal imaging revealed misalignment of the suture between each shell of newly added fiber cells in knockout lenses. Despite having disordered sutures, loss of EphA2 or ephrin-A5 did not affect lens stiffness. Surprisingly, knockout lenses were more resilient and recovered almost completely after load removal. Confocal microscopy and quantitative image analysis from live lenses before, during, and after compression revealed that knockout lenses had misaligned Y-sutures, leading to a change in force distribution during compression. Knockout lenses displayed decreased separation of fiber cell tips at the anterior suture at high loads and had more complete recovery after load removal, which leads to improved whole-lens resiliency.

CONCLUSIONS. EphA2 and ephrin-A5 are needed for normal patterning of fiber cell tips and the formation of a well-aligned Y-suture with fiber tips stacked on top of previous generations of fiber cells. The misalignment of lens sutures leads to increased resilience after compression. The data suggest that alignment of the Y-suture may constrain the overall elasticity and resilience of the lens.
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The eye lens is an avascular, transparent, highly refractive, and biconvex structure that focuses light from objects onto the retina, and the function of the lens is intimately tied to its shape, biomechanical properties, transparency, and refractive index. The lens changes shape in a process known as accommodation to focus light from near objects, and the transition between distance and near focusing is seamless and instantaneous in young people. Accommodation requires the lens to be pliable and elastic to flatten for distance vision and to return to resting spherical shape for near vision. With age, presbyopia is caused by a reduction in the ability of the lens to change shape during focusing (accommodation) and, by extension, the need for reading glasses.1-5 Although it has long been hypothesized that age-related increases in lens stiffness are linked to presbyopia,1-6 little is known about the cellular and molecular mechanisms that determine overall lens tissue stiffness and resilience.

The lens consists of a monolayer of anterior epithelial cells and elongated fiber cells enclosed by the lens capsule. Lifelong lens growth relies on epithelial cell proliferation, differentiation, and elongation into secondary fibers at the lens equator to surround previous generations of fibers.7 Fiber cell tips stretch from the anterior to posterior poles and form Y-shaped sutures directly below the anterior epithelial cells and above the posterior capsule.8,9 In contrast to Y-shaped sutures in rodent lenses, human lenses develop highly branched sutures as the lens grows with age.10,11 Fiber cells, hexagon-shaped in cross-section, are packed tightly to minimize intercellular space and light scattering.9

Our recent work has demonstrated that abnormal actin networks can lead to changes in lens stiffness.12,13 Loss of actin-binding proteins can lead to changes in fiber cell interdigitation or rearrangement of the actin filament networks to cause decreased lens stiffness at low or high compressive loads, respectively. Other reports have shown that loss of cytoskeletal components, such as specialized beaded intermediate filament proteins, filensin and CP49,14,15 membrane skeleton proteins periaxin and ankyrin-B,16 and water channel aquaporin 017 from the lens membrane can lead to abnormal fiber cells, lens growth defects, and decreased lens stiffness. Our results from compression of wild-type (WT) mouse lenses revealed that the resilience, or recovery of the lens after removal of load, depends on the closure of the Y-suture that opens under compression.18

Bidirectional signaling mediated by Eph receptor tyrosine kinases and membrane-anchored ephrins is a major form of cell–cell contact-dependent communication that plays a part in the important functions in a broad range of cell–cell recognition events, including axon pathfinding, early segmentation and organ morphogenesis, and
cytoskeletal dynamics. Recent studies, including our work, have reported that the loss of EphA2 or ephrin-A5 in the lens can result in abnormal actin cytoskeleton and fiber cell morphologies. In mice, loss of EphA2 is associated with disruption of the actin cytoskeleton, cell shape, and organization of equatorial epithelial cells, as well as with misaligned and disorganized fiber cells. Our work showed that EphA2 signals through Src and cofilin to recruit actin to the vertices of hexagonal equatorial epithelial cells, controlling organization of meridional rows and fiber cells. The ephrin-A5 knockout (KO) lenses develop cataracts of varying severity depending on strain background. In the C57BL6 background, ephrin-A5 KO lenses often develop anterior cataracts caused by abnormal localization of E-cadherin and β-catenin along with epithelial—mesenchymal transition and aberrant expression of α-smooth muscle actin in KO anterior epithelial cells. In contrast, mixed background (129/SV/C57BL6) ephrin-A5 KO mice displayed severe fiber cell degeneration and lens rupture.

We have examined fiber cells in live ephrin-A5+/− and EphA2−/− lenses using confocal microscopy, and the data reveal that KO lenses have a misaligned lens suture apex between different shells of fiber cells. We have found that the biomechanical properties of ephrin-A5−/− and EphA2−/− lenses were affected by changes in the lens suture patterning. There is no difference in the overall stiffness of ephrin-A5−/− and EphA2−/− lenses compared with controls, but there is an unexpected increase in resilience of KO lenses. Misalignment of KO lens sutures leads to a change in force transmission and more complete recovery of the KO lenses after compressive load removal. These data indicate that EphA2 and ephrin-A5 may interact at the tips of lens fiber cells to enable precise lens suture patterning. This is the first work to demonstrate that lens resilience can be affected by suture geometry and that Eph—ephrin signaling plays a role in suture alignment and patterning.

**Material and Methods**

**Mice**

Mice were maintained in accordance with an approved animal protocol (Indiana University Bloomington Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee) and the ARVO Statement for the Use of Animals in Ophthalmic and Vision Research. All mice were maintained in the C57BL/6J background with wild-type Bfsp2 (CP49) genes. Genotyping was performed by automated quantitative PCR on toe or tail snips (Transnetyx, Cordova, TN, USA). Male and female littermates were used for experiments.

The ephrin-A5−/− and EphA2−/− mice were generated and maintained as previously described. Rosa26 tdTomato tandem dimer-Tomato (B6.129Cg-Gt(ROSA) tdTomato) (The Jackson Laboratory, Bar Harbor, ME, USA) mice express tdTomato protein fused in frame to connexin 43. We previously showed that tdTomato expression was present at the plasma membranes of lens epithelial and fiber cells. WT and KO knockout mice with one copy of the tdTomato transgene were generated by intercrossing tdTomato+ WT mice with ephrin-A5−/− or EphA2−/− mice and then intercrossing tdTomato+ heterozygous offspring with non-tdTomato+ heterozygous offspring. Because ephrin-A5−/− lenses sometimes develop obvious anterior cataracts that interfere with the suture region, we excluded those lenses from the present study.

**Lens Biomechanical Testing**

We tested the stiffness of lenses from 8-week-old ephrin-A5+/−, ephrin-A5−/−, EphA2+/−, and EphA2−/− mice using sequential application of glass coverslips as previously described. Briefly, freshly dissected lenses were imaged and compressed in a custom chamber filled with 1× PBS (14190; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). We did not observe any obvious changes in lens transparency during the duration of the experiments. Glass coverslips were loaded onto the lens one at a time, and images of the lens before, during, and after were captured by an Olympus SZ-11 dissecting microscope with digital camera (Olympus Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) or a Zeiss SteREO Discovery V8 microscope with Axiocam 305 Color camera (Carl Zeiss Microscopy, Jena, Germany). ImageJ (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA) was used to perform measurements to calculate strain: 

\[
\varepsilon = (d - d_0)/d_0, \text{where } \varepsilon \text{ is strain, } d \text{ is the axial or equatorial diameter at a given load, and } d_0 \text{ is the corresponding axial or equatorial diameter at zero load.}
\]

Images before and after compression were used to calculate resilience as the ratio between the pre-compression axial diameter over the post-compression axial diameter. Strain curves and resilience were calculated in Excel (Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, WA, USA) and plotted in Prism 9.2 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA). Plots represent mean ± SD. Student’s t-test (two-tailed) was used to determine statistical significance.

**Compression of Live Lenses Under a Confocal Microscope**

Confocal examination of lens anterior regions under compression was performed on live, partially dissected lenses from 8-week-old tdTomato+ ephrin-A5+/−, ephrin-A5−/−, EphA2+/−, and EphA2−/− lenses. Partial dissection of lenses was performed as previously described to minimize damage that can occur in the anterior region due to complete lens dissection from the globe. Briefly, a sharp scalpel was used to make a small incision at the corneoscleral junction, and the cornea and iris were carefully removed by microdissection scissors and tweezers. Next, the optic nerve and half of the posterior eyecup were cut away circumferentially around the eye equator, exposing the posterior regions of the lens. The lens was left with a band of eyecup tissue around the equator.

Imaging and staining of live lenses were performed as previously described. Briefly, to visualize the epithelial cell nuclei, partially dissected lenses were stained with Hoechst 33342 (1:500; Biotium, Fremont, CA, USA) in PBS (137-mM NaCl, 2.7-mM KCl, 8.1-mM Na2HPO4, and 1.5-mM KH2PO4, pH 8.1) for 15 minutes at room temperature with gentle shaking. Live lenses were then imaged in a glass-bottomed tissue culture dish (FluoroDish; World Precision Instruments, Sarasota, FL, USA) in 3 mL of PBS supplemented with 1.8 units of Oxyrase (Oxyrase Inc., Mansfield, OH, USA). Coverslips were applied to lenses, and z-stacks of the lens anterior were collected before, during, and after compression using a Zeiss LSM 800 confocal microscope with a 10× objective (0.6× zoom with Z step of 2 μm and 65 steps).
Confocal Image Analysis

Similar to our previous study, suture gap measurements were made using Zeiss ZEN software by manually outlining suture maximum-intensity projection images of z-stacks through the anterior 130 μm of tdTomato+ lenses. We compared the suture in lenses prior to, under maximum compression (~29% strain), and after load removal. Because the sutures are quite variable in shape and branches, even between control lenses from the same mouse, we calculated and plotted the suture gap area under compression and after compression as a percentage of the pre-compression suture gap area. We also used pre-compression z-stacks to determine the pattern of suture branches in different layers of WT and KO lenses.

RESULTS

Suture Tips Were Misaligned Between Shells of Fibers in EphA2−/− and Ephrin-A5−/− Lenses

We conducted a detailed examination of fiber cells by confocal imaging of live tdTomato+ control and KO lenses. We observed that KO lenses often displayed irregular anterior Y-sutures. In control lenses, the Y-suture had a fixed apex that was in the same location through the many shells of elongating lens fibers (Figs. 1A, 1B; top rows, red asterisks). The steady localization of the apex of the anterior suture can be viewed in Supplementary Videos S1 and S2, which show movies of the confocal z-stacks through the anterior of control lenses. In contrast, KO lenses often displayed changes in the location of the suture apex between different shells of fiber cells (Figs. 1A, 1B; middle rows; red, yellow, and green asterisks). The apex of the KO sutures traveled in all directions between different layers of lens fibers (Fig. 2, asterisks and arrows; Supplementary Videos S3 and S4). This defect was observed in 11 of 13 EphA2−/− lenses and 11 of 14 ephrin-A5−/− lenses; it was seen in just one of 15 EphA2+/+ lenses and in none of the 12 ephrin-A5+/+ lenses that were imaged. Magnified images of the suture region revealed distinct divisions between fiber cell bundles resulting in distinct boundaries between the branches of the suture in the control lenses (Figs. 2A, 2B; top rows). Although the suture apex drifted in the EphA2−/− lens, the branches of the suture had a distinct boundary (Fig. 2B, bottom row). However, in the ephrin-A5−/− lens, the fiber cell tips did not form clear boundaries between neighboring cells, resulting in indistinct boundaries (Fig. 2A, arrowheads).

In addition to the suture apex changes, we also commonly observed additional branches in sutures of KO lenses (Figs. 1A, 1B; bottom rows, arrows). The extra branches were often present in the outer layers of newly added lens fibers (Supplementary Videos S5 and S6). We found additional suture branches in 7 of 13 EphA2−/− lenses and 11 of 14 ephrin-A5−/− lenses. In agreement with our previous data in WT lenses, we also observed these branching defects in four of 15 EphA2+/+ lenses and in five of 12 ephrin-A5+/+ lenses. Although KO lenses have more variable suture branches, the WT lenses also had this defect, albeit in fewer lenses. We observed that EphA2+/+ and ephrin-A5+/+ lenses had normal Y-sutures, and a few lenses had four-pronged sutures in the outer layers that returned to a normal Y-suture in inner layers. In EphA2−/− lenses, we found a variety of suture branching changes with four-pronged sutures, Y-sutures, and line (two-pronged) sutures. Most EphA2−/− lenses had a normal Y-suture in deeper fiber cell layers. The ephrin-A5−/− lenses had the most complex suture branches with up to six prongs and as few as two prongs. About half of ephrin-A5−/− lenses had a normal Y-suture in deeper fiber cell layers.

EphA2−/− and Ephrin-A5−/− Lenses Displayed Increased Resilience After Biomechanical Testing

To determine whether disruption of suture alignment affects biomechanical properties, we used our simple coverslip application assay to determine lens stiffness under compression. We calculated axial and equatorial compressive strain, a dimensionless measurement of percent change in the lens diameter and recovery after load removal (resilience), by comparing the pre- and post-loading axial diameter of the lens. We did not find any change in stiffness among the 8-week-old control, ephrin-A5−/−, and EphA2−/− lenses (Fig. 3, left and middle columns), but, surprisingly, the loss of either EphA2 or ephrin-A5 led to increased resilience (Fig. 3, right column), suggesting that Eph–ephrin signaling affects lens elasticity.

Although the resilience of lenses was increased after the maximum compressive load (29% strain) in KO lenses, we further analyzed whether resilience differences between control and KO lenses were present after lower compressive loads (14% or 23% strain). After 14% strain, the resilience of control and KO lenses was over 99%, and there was no difference between control and KO lenses (Supplementary Fig. S1, left column). Similarly, after 23% strain, control and KO lenses recovered to ~98% without significant difference between control and KO samples (Supplementary Fig. S1, right column). These resilience numbers are consistent with our previous work on WT lenses.

Under Compression, the Anterior Suture of EphA2−/− and Ephrin-A5−/− Lenses Recovered More Fully After Load Removal

Our previous work demonstrated that mouse lens resilience is affected by suture recovery after load removal and that incomplete closure of the suture gap after compression led to decreased lens resilience. The unexpected increase in the resilience of KO lenses after max compressive load led us to examine the anterior suture more carefully in live lenses. Z-stacks through the anterior region of tdTomato+ control and KO lenses were analyzed to determine the suture gap area before, during maximum compression at 29% strain, and after load removal. Representative images of the suture gap area revealed that both control and KO lenses had increased suture gap area under compression and recovered after load removal (Figs. 4A, 4C). Quantification of the suture gap area as a percent of the pre-compression suture gap area revealed that the suture gap area was smaller in KO versus control lenses under compression and after compression after load removal (Figs. 4B, 4D). The decreased enlargement of the suture gap area under compression and the increased closure of the suture gap area after load removal in KO lenses led to increased resilience.
FIGURE 1. Single optical images through the anterior of the lens revealed abnormal suture apex localization and increased suture branching in *ephrin-A5*/*−* and *EphA2*/*−* lenses. (A) Images of the lens suture at various depths (50–110 μm) from the anterior pole from representative lenses showed that the control lens had a normal Y-shaped suture and that the suture apex (marked with a red asterisk) remained in the same location between shells of fiber cells. In contrast, in the *ephrin-A5*/*−* lens (middle row), the suture apex changed location between shells of lens fibers (red, yellow, and green asterisks). The KO lens also showed extra branches in the Y-suture in the outer layers of the lens (arrows) that disappeared in deeper fiber layers. (B) Similar to *ephrin-A5*/*−* lenses, *EphA2*/*−* lenses also displayed wandering suture apex locations between shells of fiber cells (red, yellow, and green asterisks) and often displayed extra branches in newly differentiating layers of lens fibers (arrows).
FIGURE 2. Magnified single optical images of the anterior suture region showed the misalignment of the suture apex in ephrin-A5−/− and EphA2−/− lenses. (A) Images of the center of the lens suture at various depths (60–130 μm) from the anterior pole. Asterisks mark the apex of the lens suture, and arrows highlight the movement of the apex in the ephrin-A5−/− lens compared with the control lens. The suture branches of the ephrin-A5−/− lens were often indistinct (arrowheads), indicating that fiber cells tips were also misaligned. (B) In the EphA2−/− lens, the suture apex was misaligned and moved significantly between layers. The suture branches in the EphA2−/− lens were distinct, like the control lens. Scale bars: 100 μm.

FIGURE 3. EphA2−/− and ephrin-A5−/− lenses displayed increased resilience after compression. (A) Compression testing of 8-week-old ephrin-A5+/+ and ephrin-A5−/− lenses revealed no differences in lens stiffness (axial compression and equatorial expansion strains). The ephrin-A5−/− lenses had increased resilience, calculated as the ratio of the post-compression over pre-compression axial diameter. KO lenses recovered to 96.64% ± 1.18% of the pre-compression axial diameter, whereas control lenses recovered to 93.39% ± 0.87% of the pre-loading axial diameter. (B) Similarly, although there was no change in lens stiffness between EphA2+/+ and EphA2−/− lenses, the KO lenses recovered to 95.55% ± 1.30% of the pre-compression axial diameter compared with 93.53% ± 1.35% of the pre-loading axial diameter in littermate controls (n = 8 lenses for each genotype). **P < 0.01; ****P < 0.0001.
FIGURE 4. Under maximum compressive load and post-compression, the suture gap area was smaller in ephrin-A5−/− and EphA2−/− lenses. (A) Maximum-intensity projections of the z-stack through the anterior 130 μm of representative lenses before, during, and after compression. Yellow overlays outline the suture gap area. In ephrin-A5−/− lenses, the suture gap appeared smaller at 29% strain (maximum compression) and post-compression after load removal. (B) Quantification of the suture gap area revealed a statistically significant decrease in suture gap area of the ephrin-A5−/− lenses under 29% strain and after compression. (C, D) Similar decreases in suture gap area of EphA2−/− lenses can be observed in representative images and through area quantification (n = 8 or 9 lenses for each genotype). *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ****P < 0.0001. Scale bars: 200 μm.

**DISCUSSION**

Our data show that, although EphA2 and ephrin-A5 did not play a role in lens stiffness, the loss of either protein led to changes in suture alignment between shells of the lens fibers, and the change in the lens suture apex positioning led to increased resilience of KO lenses after compressive load removal (Fig. 5). Under compression, the suture gap in KO lenses did not expand as much as that in control lenses, and after load removal the suture gap recovered more completely in KO lenses. These results are the first to demonstrate that lens suture patterning and alignment directly influence lens resilience. This work further confirms our hypothesis that hexagonal cell shape and ordered packing of lens fibers cells are not required for lens biomechanical properties. We had previously observed that, even though lenses from very old mice had misaligned and misshapen lens fibers, those lenses continued to increase in stiffness. EphA2−/− lenses display obvious fiber cell packing defects due to misalignment and lack of the normal hexagonal shape of equatorial lens epithelial cells before fiber cell differentiation. But, this misalignment of the fibers and change in cell shape do not affect lens stiffness.

Little is known about lens resilience and the cell structures that affect lens elasticity. Consistent with previous observations in WT lenses, we find that control and KO lenses under low compressive force (14% strain) recovered completely after load removal. However, under high compressive loads (29% strain), the suture gap in WT lenses opened and did not recover completely, leading to ∼94% recovery of the pre-loading state. Our work on actin-binding proteins revealed that changes in the F-actin network due to disruption of an actin-stabilizing protein, tropomyosin 3.5, led to decreased lens stiffness and resilience. In that mouse model, the decrease in lens resilience is most likely a result of the significantly decreased lens stiffness. There are conflicting reports about the role of CP49, a component of lens beaded intermediate filaments, in lens resilience. One study showed that loss of CP49 leads to decreased lens stiffness but increased lens resilience. However, another report found no change in resilience in lenses without CP49. These two studies used
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Different instruments and compression protocols to measure lens resilience, which may be the reason for the conflicting results. Based on re-analysis of the coverslip compression data for CP49 control and KO lenses from Gokhin et al.,\textsuperscript{15} no impact of CP49 deletion on lens resilience was observed (data not shown). Our data from EphA2\textsuperscript{−/−} and ephrin-A5\textsuperscript{−/−} lenses showed that KO lenses had normal lens stiffness but increased resilience, likely due to misalignment of the suture apex between different layers of lens fiber cells. This change in apex location affects force transmission through the tissue during compression. The suture opens under compression, but the gap is smaller in KO lenses than in control lenses. After load removal, the smaller suture gap in KO lenses closes more completely, leading to increased resilience. Our results indicate that Eph–ephrin signaling may not directly affect lens biomechanical properties, but the change in KO lens resilience suggests that the alignment of the Y-suture constrains lens elasticity and resilience.

The suture branching data from the control lenses matches our previous observations that some control lenses have branched sutures with more than the standard three prongs,\textsuperscript{18,19} and the branching defect in KO lenses was similar to that of a prior report.\textsuperscript{18} Non-accommodating WT mouse lenses generally have Y-shaped sutures with three branches, and some lenses display four branches based on data in this work and our previous results.\textsuperscript{18} In WT mouse lenses, we observe that the Y-suture was continuous between the shells of secondary fiber cells. In contrast, in accommodating human lenses, the suture is Y-shaped in embryonic lenses and quickly adds more branches to become star-shaped in infancy. With age, adult human lenses have complex sutures with 2°, 3°, and 4° branches. In primate lenses, the suture is offset between successive growth shells of fiber cells, forming a discontinuous suture.\textsuperscript{10,11} The EphA2\textsuperscript{−/−} and ephrin-A5\textsuperscript{−/−} mouse lenses mimic human lens branching patterns, with the outermost layers of the lens adding additional branches, sometimes beyond four prongs, and the offset suture apex between shells of fibers, leading to increased lens resilience. More work should be done to determine how the geometric patterning of fiber cells and sutures could also play a significant role in determining lens resilience in non-accommodating and accommodating lenses.

Due to the suture branching changes being present in a significant number of control lenses and similar changes being seen in the EphA2\textsuperscript{−/−} lenses, it is unlikely that branching patterns heavily influence mouse lens resilience or that the branching pattern of the suture is only controlled by EphA2 or ephrin-A5. Rather, it is more likely that EphA2 and ephrin-A5 influence branching patterns by affecting the suture apex location. We hypothesize that the loss of ephrin-A5 affects suture branching more than the loss of EphA2 due to changes that are present in the ephrin-A5\textsuperscript{−/−} anterior epithelial cells.\textsuperscript{25} The ephrin-A5\textsuperscript{−/−} anterior epithelial cells have abnormal cell–cell adhesions,\textsuperscript{25} and these changes likely affect the connection between fiber cell tips and the apical surface of anterior epithelial cells during fiber cell migration, possibly leading to changes in suture branching patterns. Based on this and previous works, there may be multiple factors that affect resilience after compression, including the arrangement of lens fibers at the suture, as well as cell–cell adhesion and cytoskeletal components of lens epithelial and fiber cells.

Our previous work in young 3-week-old lenses did not reveal these suture defects for several reasons.\textsuperscript{25,30} We utilized a ubiquitously expressed green fluorescent protein (GFP) transgene as the way to visualize live lenses. Although we could see the apex of the suture in most cases, it was not a feature that could be easily distinguished in the fiber cell layers because the GFP signal was uniformly distributed between fibers. Thus, in most cases we could see the Y-suture in the KO lenses, but the branches and misalignment of the suture apex were not apparent. From the data in this work, we noticed that the branching defect was more obvious in the newly formed layers of lens fibers closer to the outermost regions of the lens. This indicates that these layers were added as the animals aged, and we have utilized 8-week-old animals in this study compared to 3-week-old animals in the previous study. It is important to keep these

**Figure 5.** (A) Our data show that, under compressive load, ephrin-A5\textsuperscript{−/−} and EphA2\textsuperscript{−/−} lenses had smaller suture gap areas, and after loading these KO lenses had better recovery and closure of the lens suture gap, leading to increased lens resilience. (B) Compared with control lenses, the ephrin-A5\textsuperscript{−/−} and EphA2\textsuperscript{−/−} lenses had misaligned suture apex locations between fiber shells, and ephrin-A5\textsuperscript{−/−} and EphA2\textsuperscript{−/−} lenses often had extra branches of the lens suture present in the surface (outer) layers of lens fibers. These extra branches often disappeared in deeper (inner) fiber layers. The branching defect was also present in some control lenses, but the control lenses did not display the suture apex misalignment defect. Illustration not drawn to scale.
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**SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL**

**SUPPLEMENTARY VIDEO S1.** Lenses from 8-week-old tdTomato+ ephrin-A5+/+ and EphA2+/+ mice. Videos show the z-stack from the anterior epithelial cells into the anterior fibers (≈130 μm deep). The cell membranes were fluorescent due to the tdTomato transgene. The lens sutures and apex were well aligned between each shell of fiber cells.

**SUPPLEMENTARY VIDEO S2.** Lenses from 8-week-old tdTomato+ ephrin-A5+/+ and EphA2+/+ mice. Videos show the z-stack from the anterior epithelial cells into the anterior fibers (≈130 μm deep). The cell membranes were fluorescent due to the tdTomato transgene. The lens sutures and apex were well aligned between each shell of fiber cells.

**SUPPLEMENTARY VIDEO S3.** Lenses from 8-week-old tdTomato+ ephrin-A5−/− and EphA2−/− mice. Videos show the z-stack from the anterior epithelial cells into the anterior fibers (≈130 μm deep). The red asterisk marks the apex of the suture in the outer layers of lens fibers, and the green asterisk marks the apex of the suture in the inner layers of fiber cells. Note that the suture apex moved between the different shells of lens fibers.

**SUPPLEMENTARY VIDEO S4.** Lenses from 8-week-old tdTomato+ ephrin-A5−/− and EphA2−/− mice. Videos show the z-stack from the anterior epithelial cells into the anterior fibers (≈130 μm deep). The red asterisk marks the apex of the suture in the outer layers of lens fibers, and the green asterisk marks the apex of the suture in the inner layers of fiber cells. Note that the suture apex moved between the different shells of lens fibers.

**SUPPLEMENTARY VIDEO S5.** Lenses from 8-week-old tdTomato+ ephrin-A5−/− and EphA2−/− mice. Videos show the z-stack from the anterior epithelial cells into the anterior fibers (≈130 μm deep). The < symbols point to extra branches of the Y-suture. Note that the branches often appeared in the outer...
shells of lens fibers and disappeared in the inner layers of fiber cells.

**Supplementary Video S6.** Lenses from 8-week-old tdTomato+ ephrin-A5−/− and EphA2−/− mice. Videos show the z-stack from the anterior epithelial cells into the anterior fibers (≈130 μm deep). The < symbols point to extra branches of the Y-suture. Note that the branches often appeared in the outer shells of lens fibers and disappeared in the inner layers of fiber cells.