Association of Near-Infrared and Short-Wavelength Autofluorescence With the Retinal Sensitivity in Eyes With Resolved Central Serous Chorioretinopathy
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PURPOSE. The purpose of this study was to compare the results of near-infrared autofluorescence (NIRAF) and short-wavelength autofluorescence (SWAF) imaging of eyes with resolved central serous chorioretinopathy (CSC) and to assess the retinal sensitivity (RS) in areas with abnormal autofluorescence (AF) using white-on-white (WW) and blue-on-yellow (BY) perimetries.

METHODS. We examined 20 consecutive eyes with resolved CSC. We calculated the areas of abnormal AF detected by SWAF and NIRAF imaging as SWAF_area and NIRAF_area, respectively, and the number of measurement points within and outside abnormal SWAF and NIRAF regions were counted. The results of WW and BY perimetries were superimposed on the AF images, and the mean overall RS within and outside abnormal SWAF and NIRAF regions were calculated using both WW and BY perimetries (W-RSin_SWAF, W-RSout_SWAF, W-RSin_NIRAF, W-RSout_NIRAF, B-RSin_SWAF, B-RSout_SWAF, B-RSin_NIRAF, and B-RSout_NIRAF, respectively).

RESULTS. The mean age of the participants was 54.1 years. The SWAF_area was significantly smaller than the NIRAF_area (P < 0.0001, Wilcoxon signed rank test). A χ2 test suggested a significant relationship between the number of measurement points within/outside abnormal SWAF and NIRAF regions (P < 0.0001). In the results of measurement by WW perimetry, there was a significant difference between W-RSin_NIRAF and W-RSout_NIRAF (P < 0.0001), but not between W-RSin_SWAF and W-RSout_SWAF (P = 0.060, Wilcoxon rank sum test). In contrast, on BY perimetry, there were significant differences between both B-RSin_SWAF and B-RSout_SWAF and between B-RSin_NIRAF and B-RSout_NIRAF (P < 0.0001).

CONCLUSIONS. NIRAF was useful for predicting impaired RS in eyes with resolved CSC.
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from melanin in the RPE and choroid. Indeed, melanin and its related compounds (melanolipofuscin, melanolysosomes, and oxidized melanin) have been ascertained using NIRAF imaging in eyes with geographic atrophy. Other studies have reported that diagnosis and evaluation of visual functions by NIRAF imaging in eyes with retinitis pigmentsosa with an AF ring are comparable to those by SWAF imaging. In the current study, we attempted to compare the results of NIRAF and SWAF imaging in eyes with resolved CSC.

In addition to the assessment by white-on-white (WW) perimetry, we assessed the RS in the affected areas using blue-on-yellow (BY) perimetry. BY perimetry, also known as short-wavelength automated perimetry, uses a short-wavelength stimulus on a high-luminance yellow background. BY perimetry can be used to measure the blue cone function separately from that of other cones and has been useful in detecting damage to visual function in various diseases, such as glaucoma and diabetic retinopathy. Early results of NIRAF and SWAF imaging in eyes with resolved CSC. We, therefore, compared the RS measured using WW and BY perimetry in eyes with resolved CSC, and investigated the relationships between the RS assessed using the two types of perimetry and AF imaging.

**METHODS**

This was a retrospective observational case series study conducted at the University of Tokyo, School of Medicine, Tokyo, Japan, with the approval of the Institutional Review Board of the University of Tokyo Hospital (Approval ID: 3770). Informed consent was obtained from all the patients. All data were anonymized. The study protocol adhered to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki.

All patients underwent comprehensive ophthalmological examinations, including measurements of the best-corrected VA and intraocular pressure as well as anterior segment and funduscopic examinations under pupillary dilation. The diagnosis of CSC was made based on optical coherence tomography (OCT), fluorescein angiography, and indocyanine green angiography findings. All patients also underwent BY and WW perimetry on the same day. We excluded patients with (1) a history of ocular surgery (other than uncomplicated cataract surgery); (2) other retinal disorders, including cataract, that can cause visual function deterioration; and (3) choroidal neovascularization and polypoidal choroidal vasculopathy.

**Optical Coherence Tomography Measurement**

OCT images were obtained using a spectral-domain OCT device (Heidelberg Engineering, Heidelberg, Germany). All OCT images consisted of line scans (horizontal and vertical B-scans) and raster scans (25 horizontal B-scans). The central retinal thickness (CRT) and central choroidal thickness (CCT) were measured in the enhanced depth imaging (EDI) mode. In addition, the area of ellipsoid zone (EZ) disruption was identified and calculated as EZ_area for each eye, as per the protocol followed in our previous study on retinitis pigmentsosa.

**Fundus Autofluorescence Measurement**

AF images were obtained using a confocal laser scanning ophthalmoscope (HRA2; Heidelberg Engineering, Heidelberg, Germany) within 30 degrees at a resolution of 768 × 768 pixels. For the SWAF imaging, a wavelength of 488 nm was used for excitation, and the emitted light was above 500 nm and detected through a barrier filter. For the NIRAF images, a wavelength of 787 nm was used for excitation, and the emitted light was above 810 nm. In all the examined eyes, the areas of abnormal AF were measured in both the SWAF and NIRAF images as SWAF_area and NIRAF_area, respectively.

**Retinal Sensitivity Measurement**

Both WW and BY perimetric tests were performed using an AP-7000 automatic perimeter (KOWA Company Ltd., Tokyo, Japan), as in our previously reported study. Before the measurement of RS with AP-7000, the fixation point was checked using MP-3 micropertimeter (Nidek Co. Ltd., Aichi, Japan) for all patients. The RS thresholds were measured at 68 test points corresponding to the Humphrey Field Analyzer (Carl-Zeiss Meditec, Dublin, CA, USA) 10-2 program. BY perimetry was conducted using a short-wavelength (450 nm) stimulus that was presented for 200 ms and by projection of the target on a yellow background (600 nm with a brightness of 100 cd/m²). The BY and WW perimetric tests were performed in random order. The results of the BY and WW perimetric tests were registered on the SWAF and NIRAF images using the built-in software in the AP-7000 automatic perimeter (Fig. 1).

The mean overall RS was calculated using WW (W-RS) and BY (B-RS) perimetry. Furthermore, the mean RS within (W-RS_W, B-RS_B) and outside (W-RS_W, B-RS_B), the abnormal SWAF and NIRAF regions were calculated (W-RS_W, W-RS_W, B-RS_B, B-RS_B, W-RS_W, W-RS_W, B-RS_B, B-RS_W, respectively).

**Statistical Analysis**

The SWAF_area and NIRAF_area were compared using an exact Wilcoxon signed-rank test. To investigate the relationship between the SWAF and NIRAF measurements, we counted the number of measurement points inside and outside abnormal SWAF and NIRAF regions, and the relationship between the number of points within/outside abnormal SWAF and NIRAF regions was analyzed using a χ² test. Comparisons were also conducted (1) between W-RS_W and W-RS_W, (2) W-RS_B, W-RS_B, and W-RS_W, (3) B-RS_W, B-RS_W, and B-RS_W, (4) B-RS_W, B-RS_W, and (5) B-RS_B, B-RS_B, and B-RS_W, using a Wilcoxon rank sum test.

To investigate the relationship between the duration of time after the resolution of SRD and each of the baseline parameters, a multivariate linear regression analysis was conducted. We counted the number of measurement points inside and outside EZ disruption areas, and the relationships (1) between points within/outside abnormal SWAF region and within/outside EZ disruption areas, and (2) between points within/outside abnormal NIRAF region and within/outside EZ disruption areas were analyzed using a χ² test. We further analyzed the relationship between the RS measured using WW or BY perimetry.
FIGURE 1. Representative areas of abnormal signals on NIRAF (A) and SWAF (B) imaging superimposed by the perimetry results using the built-in software in the AP-7000 perimeter. The damaged area is inside the red curved line. NIRAF, near-infrared autofluorescence; SWAF, short-wavelength autofluorescence.

at all measurement points and age, SWAF classification (within/outside abnormal SWAF region), NIRAF classification (within/outside abnormal NIRAF region), and EZ classification (within/outside EZ disruption area) were analyzed using a linear mixed model.

All statistical analyses were performed using the statistical programming language “R” (R version 3.5.1; The R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria).

RESULTS

The baseline characteristics of the 20 patients with resolved CSC enrolled in the present study are shown in Table 1. The mean age of the patients was 54.1 ± 10.0 (mean ± standard deviation) years and the mean logMAR best-corrected VA (logMAR VA) was 0.000066 ± 0.14. The CRT and CCT were 201.8 ± 36.8 μm and 369.2 ± 107.4 μm, respectively. The duration of time after SRD resolution was 15.2 ± 12.1 months. EZ_area was 3.07 ± 4.6 mm², and no disruption area was observed in 9 eyes with resolved CSC. W-RS and B-RS were 30.7 ± 2.3 dB and 26.6 ± 3.9 dB, respectively, and the difference between W-RS and B-RS was statistically significant (P < 0.0001, Wilcoxon signed rank test).

SWAF_area (3.11 ± 5.0 mm²) was significantly smaller than NIRAF_area (5.64 ± 5.9 mm², P < 0.0001, Wilcoxon signed rank test). Among all the 1360 (68 × 20) measurement points, the number of measurement points within and outside abnormal SWAF region were 200 and 1160, respectively, and those within and outside abnormal NIRAF region were 363 and 997, respectively. We found a significant relationship between the number of points within/outside abnormal SWAF and NIRAF regions (P < 0.0001, Table 2).

There was a significant difference between W-RS_in_NIRAF and W-RS_out_NIRAF (P < 0.0001), whereas no significant difference was observed between W-RS_in_SWAF and W-RS_out_SWAF (P = 0.60, Wilcoxon rank sum test; Figs. 2A, 2B). In contrast, the measurement by BY perimetry revealed significant differences between (i) B-RS_in_SWAF and B-RS_out_SWAF and (ii) B-RS_in_NIRAF and B-RS_out_NIRAF (P < 0.0001, respectively, Wilcoxon rank sum test, Figs. 3A, 3B).

Multivariate linear regression analysis suggested that the duration of time after the resolution of SRD was not related to most of the baseline parameters (age, logMAR VA, W-RS, B-RS, CRT, CCT, SWAF_area, NIRAF_area, and the difference between W-RS_in_SWAF and W-RS_out_SWAF,

![Table 1. Subject Demographics](image)
There was no significant difference between W-RSin_SWAf and W-RSout_SWAf ($P = 0.060$, Wilcoxon rank sum test). There was a significant difference between W-RSin_NIRAF and W-RSout_NIRAF ($P < 0.0001$, Wilcoxon rank sum test). W-RSin_SWAf, RS within the area of abnormal autofluorescence in SWAF images measured by WW perimetry; W-RSout_SWAf, RS outside the area of abnormal autofluorescence in SWAF images measured by WW perimetry; W-RSin_NIRAF, RS within the area of abnormal autofluorescence in NIRAF images measured by WW perimetry; W-RSout_NIRAF, RS outside the area of abnormal autofluorescence in NIRAF images measured by WW perimetry.

There was a significant difference between B-RSin_SWAf and B-RSout_SWAf ($P < 0.001$, Wilcoxon rank sum test). There was a significant difference between B-RSin_NIRAF and B-RSout_NIRAF ($P < 0.0001$, Wilcoxon rank sum test). B-RSin_SWAf, RS within the area of abnormal autofluorescence in SWAF images measured by blue-on-yellow perimetry; B-RSout_SWAf, RS outside the area of abnormal autofluorescence in SWAF images measured by blue-on-yellow perimetry; B-RSin_NIRAF, RS within the area of abnormal autofluorescence in NIRAF images measured by blue-on-yellow perimetry; B-RSout_NIRAF, RS outside the area of abnormal autofluorescence in NIRAF images measured by blue-on-yellow perimetry.

Among the age, SWAF classification (within/outside abnormal SWAF region), NIRAF classification (within/outside abnormal NIRAF region), EZ classification (within/outside EZ disruption area), age and EZ classification were significantly associated with the RS measured using WW perimetry ($P = 0.0025$ and $P < 0.0001$, respectively, linear mixed model). On the other hand, all four variables were significantly correlated with the RS.
They also reported that the NIRAF signals correlated with the location of the window defect in fluorescein angiography. Moreover, NIRAF imaging can detect the dysfunction of RPE cells even before the impairment of the photoreceptor cells occurs in eyes with CSC. Our current study indicated that the NIRAF area was significantly larger than the SWAF area, and, as a result, significantly more test points were located within the abnormal NIRAF region than within the abnormal SWAF region, which may suggest that changes in NIRAF imaging precede those on SWAF imaging. Consistent with a previous report by Kim et al., our present results suggested that SWAF was significantly related to EZ disruption, whereas NIRAF was also associated with EZ disruption. However, in the present study, the EZ area was relatively small in all examined eyes (9 eyes had no EZ disruption); therefore, future studies are needed to precisely clarify the correlation between EZ disruption and AF signal in eyes with CSC. To further verify that changes in NIRAF imaging precede those in SWAF imaging, we conducted VF measurements using WW and BY perimetry.

Recently, we reported that the RS measured with BY perimetry more precisely reflects the presence of subretinal fluid than that measured by WW perimetry in CSC eyes with SRD. Point-wise analysis in the current study suggested that the RS, as measured by WW perimetry, was reduced within the abnormal NIRAF region as compared with that outside the abnormal NIRAF region, whereas there was no significant difference in the RS within and outside the abnormal SWAF region. In contrast, a significant reduction in RS was observed within both the abnormal SWAF and NIRAF regions when BY perimetry was used. These findings may suggest that both changes in the abnormal NIRAF and SWAF regions may be associated with deterioration of the RS (as suggested by the results with BY perimetry); however, it may be more sensitively reflected by changes in abnormal NIRAF region than by those in abnormal SWAF region (as suggested by the results of WW perimetry). In addition, we found that the duration of time after the resolution of SRD significantly correlated with the difference between W-RSin_NIRAF and W-RsOut_NIRAF. This result suggested that NIRAF imaging was not only useful for predicting RS but also for predicting the duration after the resolution of the fluid in eyes with CSC.

This study had some limitations. First, this study was retrospective and cross-sectional in nature; therefore, we could not evaluate the changes in the AF areas over time. Ayata et al. reported that granular AF, corresponding to the previously detached area, appears earlier in SWAF images, but disappears later in the NIRAF images after CSC resolution. Another work suggested that the increased SWAF signals in CSC appear to return to baseline values about 4 months after subretinal fluid resolution. Further studies are needed to clarify the relationship between AF images and visual function; moreover, it would be interesting to investigate the temporal changes in fundus AF and RS. Second, TD values could not be obtained from BW perimetry measured with AP-7000. An accurate evaluation could be achieved by considering the eccentricity within the investigated area.

In conclusion, the area of the abnormal signals on NIRAF imaging (abnormal NIRAF region) was significantly larger than that on SWAF imaging (abnormal SWAF region), and the abnormal areas revealed in the two images overlapped in eyes with CSC. The RS measured by WW perimetry was reduced within the abnormal NIRAF region and that
measured by BY perimetry was reduced in both abnormal SWAF and NIRAF regions, suggesting the usefulness of NIRAF imaging for predicting impaired RS in eyes with resolved CSC.
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