Macular Microvasculature and Associated Retinal Layer Thickness in Pediatric Amblyopia: Magnification-Corrected Analyses
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PURPOSE. The purpose of this study was to characterize macular microvasculature and structural retinal layers using magnification-corrected optical coherence tomography and angiography (OCTA) images in children with amblyopia.

METHODS. This prospective cross-sectional study included 22 children with unilateral amblyopia (4–11 years of age) receiving spectral-domain OCTA. Vessel densities in foveal and parafoveal regions of the superficial capillary plexus (SCP) and deep capillary plexus (DCP) were measured in amblyopic and fellow eyes using a customized image analysis program correcting the scale of retinal image with axial length. Iowa Reference Algorithms (Iowa Institute for Biomedical Imaging) were used to measure mean thickness values of 10 intra-retinal layers rescaled for image size correction.

RESULTS. Foveal and parafoveal vessel densities in amblyopic eyes were lower than that of the fellow eyes in the SCP (fovea: P = 0.006 and parafovea: P = 0.003) and the DCP (P = 0.024 and P = 0.025, respectively). Amblyopic eyes had significantly smaller foveal avascular zone (FAZ) area than fellow eyes (P < 0.001). There were significant differences in retinal layer thickness between paired eyes, particularly in the inner retina in both foveal and parafoveal regions; retinal nerve fiber layer (RNFL) (P = 0.024 and P = 0.095, respectively), ganglion cell layer (P < 0.001 and P = 0.008), inner plexiform layer (IPL; P = 0.12 and P = 0.037), inner nuclear layer (P = 0.005 and P = 0.005), and outer plexiform layer (OPL; P = 0.02 and P = 0.057), except in the foveal IPL, the parafoveal RNFL, and OPL.

CONCLUSIONS. Unilateral amblyopic eyes demonstrate reduced macular vessel density and thicker inner retinal layers compared with fellow eyes even after correcting for image magnification. Changes in macular microvasculature and structural layers may offer valuable insights in the development of amblyopia.
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Amblyopia is a common disease in which vision in one or both eyes does not develop properly during childhood due to refractive error differences, strabismus, visual form deprivation, or bilateral high refractive errors. Amblyopia was once considered a disorder of the visual cortex and lateral geniculate nucleus without structural abnormalities in the retina; however, since the advent of optical coherence tomography (OCT) and OCT angiography (OCTA), several studies have reported possible structural changes compared with normal eyes, including differences in macular thickness,1–4 retinal nerve fiber layer (RNFL),1–3 and individual retinal layers,5,6 and macular capillary vascular structure, including the foveal avascular zone (FAZ) area.7–12 Although these findings may provide novel insights to our understanding of amblyopia, they are now called into question. Specifically, measurements performed with OCT/OCTA have inherent errors when the scale of retinal image is not corrected for axial length (AL) of each eye.13–17 Of note, a recent systematic review17 reported that only 41 of 989 peer-reviewed articles (8%) in PubMed that use quantitative OCTA values used AL to correct the scale of OCTA images. This is particularly problematic in pediatric patients with
amblyopia, who may have significant differences in AL between the affected and unaffected eyes (especially those with anisometric amblyopia) and considering the fact that measurement of the FAZ area may deviate up to 51% if AL is not factored into the analysis. These findings prompted us to explore the argument regarding the structural abnormalities in eyes with amblyopia. For the current analysis, we accurately corrected for the magnifying effects on OCT/OCTA and clarified the abnormalities in the retinal structures and microvasculature in children with amblyopia.

METHODS

This study was conducted in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Institutional Review Board of Asahikawa Medical University. A written informed consent from the parents and an oral consent from the patients were obtained after providing a detailed explanation of the study objectives and protocol. This study was designed as a cross-sectional study and was conducted from January to November 2020.

Inclusion criteria were ages 4 to 15 years and a diagnosis of unilateral amblyopia due to anisometropia and anisometropia combined with strabismus (mixed-type). Patients were enrolled either at their initial visit, during treatment, or after successful treatment (obtaining visual acuity improvement). Only patients with best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA) of the fellow eye ≥20/20 were included. Exclusion criteria were the presence of other ocular diseases, systemic conditions known to influence vision (including diabetes, renal disease, and albinism), a history of ocular surgery, and premature birth. In addition, patients with foveal hypoplasia or fragmented FAZ detected via OCT/OCTA examination were excluded. All patients received a comprehensive ophthalmological examination, including BCVA, refractive error, slit lamp, fundoscopy, and orthoptic evaluations. AL was examined using noncontact partial coherence interferometry (IOL Master 700; Carl Zeiss Meditec AG, Germany).

OCTA Data Acquisition and Image Analysis

Macular images (nominal scan area = 3 × 3 mm) were acquired using a spectral-domain OCT system with
AngioVue software (RTVue XR Avanti; Optovue, Inc., Fremont, CA, USA). The Avanti OCT provides 70,000 A-scans/second to acquire OCT angiograms consisting of 304 × 304 A-scans. Each OCT angiogram was created using orthogonal registration and merging two consecutive scan volumes. Each scan was then segmented into en face images of the superficial capillary plexus (SCP) and deep capillary plexus (DCP) using the autosegmentation feature of AngioVue (Fig. 1). SCP images were segmented with an inner boundary at the internal limiting membrane (ILM) and an outer boundary at 9 μm above the inner plexiform layer (IPL). DCP images were segmented with an inner boundary 9 μm above the IPL and an outer boundary 9 μm below the outer plexiform layer (OPL).

The Littman and modified Bennett formulas were used to calculate true image size, as described previously. Briefly, the relationship between the measured OCTA image diameter (Dm) and the true diameter of the fundus (Dt) could be expressed as $Dt = p \times q \times Dm$, where $p$ is the magnification factor of the imaging system and $q$ is a factor related to the eye ($q = 0.01306 \times (AL - 1.82)$). For the Avanti systems used, the value of the magnification factor ($p$) was 3.46, given a nominal AL of 23.95 mm. Using this equation, $Dt$ can be calculated based on scan size ($Dm = 3$ mm) area as $Dt = 3.46 \times 0.01306 \times (AL - 1.82) \times 3$.

Vessel Density Analysis

Vessel density and FAZ area were calculated using the Imagej/Fiji software (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA). The Imagej macro was developed to automatically correct for ocular magnification. In brief, the enface raw images (304 × 304 pixels) were imported and calculated the ocular magnification by substituting the AL as the above-mentioned equation using the code as shown below.

\[
q = 0.01306 \times (AL - 1.82);
\]

\[
\ggg \text{ where } AL = \text{axial length}
\]

\[
p = 3.46;
\]

\[
w = 304 / (p \times q);
\]

\[
\text{run } (\text{"Set Scale..."}, \text{"distance } = w \text{ known } = 3
\]

\[
\text{unit } = \text{mm});
\]

The superficial and deep images were merged into one image and rescaled to 800 × 800 pixels using Bilinear interpolation. After binarization and skeletonization, we processed the image dilating and eroding repeatedly. The images were resized to originals and then the FAZ area and circularity index were measured similar to previous studies. Regarding the vessel density analysis, the following processing was performed, with the foveal region being defined as a circular area with a radius of 0.5 mm and the parafoveal region as an annulus with a radius of 0.5 to 1.1 mm from the foveal center (Fig. 2). An actual 2.2-mm circle was cropped and the parafoveal region was subdivided into 4 quadrants (superior, inferior, temporal, and nasal). The SCP and DCP vessel density of each region was calculated from the binarized image, which used the Otsu method as the percentage of the area defined as perfusion area over the total area, excluding FAZ in the foveal region. To remove projection artifacts at the level of the DCP, a “mask” image of large superficial retinal vessels was created.
from the corresponding SCP image, and the masked area was excluded from the analysis, as described in a previous study.25

**Individual Retinal Layer Thickness Analysis**

Macular OCT images were imported into the Iowa Reference Algorithm (Retinal Image Analysis Lab, Iowa Institute for Biomedical Imaging, Iowa City, IA, USA), which is an automated OCT layer segmentation algorithm used for individual retinal layer thickness analysis. All analyses were corrected for the magnification effect. Measurement methods for mean retinal thickness within the circular fovea region and annular parafoveal region were similar to those used for vessel density analysis. Individual thickness values were measured for (1) RNFL; (2) ganglion cell layer (GCL); (3) IPL; (4) inner nuclear layer (INL); (5) OPL; (6) outer nuclear layer (ONL); (7) photoreceptor inner/outer segments (IS/OS); (8) outer segment of photoreceptors; (9) outer segment photoreceptor/retinal pigment epithelium complex (OPR); and (10) retinal pigment epithelium (RPE). Total macular thickness was also calculated as the distance from the most anterior hyper-reflective line (corresponding to ILM) to the inner boundary of the RPE. For correlation analyses between vessel density and retinal layer thickness, the retina was stratified as a superficial layer (RNFL + GCL + IPL) and a deep layer (INL + OPL).

**Statistical Analysis**

Statistical analyses were performed using EZR (Saitama Medical Center, Jichi Medical University, Saitama, Japan), a graphical user interface for R (The R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria). Visual acuity was converted to LogMAR for statistical calculations and analyses. Refraction data were converted into spherical equivalents. Vascular densities, FAZ parameters, and retinal layer thickness values were compared between amblyopic and fellow eyes using the paired sample t-test after confirming distribution normality using the Shapiro-Wilk test. Visual acuity (LogMAR) was compared between amblyopic and fellow eyes using the Wilcoxon signed-rank test. Associations between OCT and OCTA parameters as well as OCT/OCTA parameters and other clinical factors were evaluated using Pearson’s correlation test or Spearman’s correlation test, as applicable. Continuous variables are expressed as mean (standard deviation) for normally distributed data and median (interquartile range) for non-normally distributed data. Because the current study was exploratory, we performed the analysis without adjustment for multiple comparisons. All tests were two tailed and \( P < 0.05 \) was considered statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

**Demographic Data**

This study examined 22 Japanese children with unilateral amblyopia (mean age = 8.1 [1.8] years, 9 boys); of these, 17 were diagnosed with anisometropic amblyopia and 5 with anisometropia combined with strabismus (mixed-type) amblyopia. Demographic and clinical parameters are summarized in Table 1. Amblyopic eyes had significantly poorer LogMAR visual acuity (\( P < 0.001 \)), were more hyperopic (\( P < 0.001 \)), and had shorter ALs (\( P = 0.015 \)) than fellow eyes.

**OCTA Parameters**

Table 2 compares vessel density and FAZ parameters between amblyopic and fellow eyes. Amblyopic eyes had lower SCP vessel density (% areas) in foveal, global parafoveal, and all parafoveal quadrants compared with fellow eyes (\( P \leq 0.01 \) for all), except in the temporal quadrant (\( P = 0.056 \)). Compared with fellow eyes, DCP vessel density was significantly lower in the fovea (\( P = 0.024 \)), the global parafovea (\( P = 0.025 \)), and the temporal quadrant (\( P = 0.018 \)) in the amblyopic eyes. In terms of FAZ, amblyopic eyes had a significantly smaller FAZ area than fellow eyes (\( P < 0.001 \)), but there was no difference in FAZ circularity index (\( P = 0.76 \)) between amblyopic and fellow eyes.

**OCT Parameters**

The distribution of individual retinal layer thickness is shown in Table 3. Foveal and parafoveal macular thickness values were significantly greater in amblyopic eyes than in fellow eyes (foveal = 261.6 [19.30] μm vs. 253.8 [21.89] μm, \( P < 0.001 \); and parafoveal = 320.4 [13.56] μm vs. 316.0 [13.62] μm, \( P = 0.007 \)). There were also significant differences in foveal and parafoveal GCL, INL, foveal RNFL, OPL, and parafoveal IPL thickness values between amblyopic and fellow eyes. There were no significant differences in outer retinal layer thickness values between amblyopic and fellow eyes, except that the parafoveal OPR thickness was significantly greater in the fellow eyes (\( P = 0.039 \)).

**Correlation Between OCT and OCTA Parameters**

There were no significant correlations between foveal and global parafoveal SCP vessel densities and corresponding retinal thickness values (RNFL + GCL + IPL) or DCP vessel densities and corresponding retinal thickness values (INL + OPL) in both amblyopic and fellow eyes (all \( P \) values > 0.05; data not shown).

**Table 1. Demographic Characteristics of Patients**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Amblyopic Eye</th>
<th>Fellow Eye</th>
<th>( P ) Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Axial length, mm</td>
<td>21.68 (1.18)</td>
<td>22.57 (1.15)</td>
<td>0.015†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refraction, diopeter</td>
<td>4.94 (3.12 to 5.96)</td>
<td>1.31 (0.50 to 2.50)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCVA, LogMAR</td>
<td>0.05 (−0.06 to 0.14)</td>
<td>−0.08 (−0.08 to −0.08)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scan quality index</td>
<td>8.00 (8.00 to 8.75)</td>
<td>8.00 (7.00 to 8.00)</td>
<td>0.22†</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BCVA, best-corrected visual acuity; LogMAR, logarithm of minimal angle resolution.
1 Paired t-test.
1 Wilcoxon signed-rank test. Data were expressed as median (interquartile range).
out image correction data, foveal RFNL, parafoveal ONL, and amblyopic and fellow eyes (all GCL thickness was negatively correlated with AL in both eyes, foveal OPL and foveal and parafoveal RPE thickness (all \( P \leq 0.014 \)).

The FAZ area was positively correlated with AL in fellow eyes (all \( P < 0.014 \)). Among the OCTA parameters, foveal and parafoveal DCP densities in both amblyopic and fellow eyes were positively correlated with AL (all \( P \leq 0.014 \)).

**Table 3.** Comparison of Individual Retinal Layer Thickness in Amblyopic and Fellow Eyes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Thickness, ( \mu m )</th>
<th>Amblyopic Eye</th>
<th>Fellow Eye</th>
<th>( P ) Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RNFL</td>
<td>12.8 (3.07)</td>
<td>11.7 (3.12)</td>
<td>0.024*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCL</td>
<td>23.1 (6.31)</td>
<td>20.4 (6.26)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPL</td>
<td>25.5 (4.25)</td>
<td>24.5 (5.32)</td>
<td>0.120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFNL + GCL + IPL</td>
<td>61.3 (12.21)</td>
<td>56.6 (13.37)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INL</td>
<td>18.8 (3.02)</td>
<td>17.5 (2.87)</td>
<td>0.005*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPL</td>
<td>27.0 (4.75)</td>
<td>24.6 (5.04)</td>
<td>0.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ONL</td>
<td>107.6 (6.32)</td>
<td>107.6 (6.31)</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IS OS</td>
<td>13.0 (0.62)</td>
<td>12.9 (0.64)</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outer segment of photoreceptors</td>
<td>15.8 (1.51)</td>
<td>16.0 (1.49)</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPR</td>
<td>18.1 (2.06)</td>
<td>18.6 (2.05)</td>
<td>0.122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPE</td>
<td>18.9 (1.69)</td>
<td>18.5 (1.59)</td>
<td>0.142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macular thickness</td>
<td>261.6 (19.30)</td>
<td>253.8 (21.89)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2.** Comparison of Microvascular Parameters in Amblyopic and Fellow Eyes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SCP (%)</th>
<th>Amblyopic Eye</th>
<th>Fellow Eye</th>
<th>( P ) Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fovea</td>
<td>53.0 (3.92)</td>
<td>55.71 (2.68)</td>
<td>0.024*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parafovea (global)</td>
<td>45.1 (3.45)</td>
<td>56.31 (2.52)</td>
<td>0.025*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior</td>
<td>43.65 (3.05)</td>
<td>45.93 (3.49)</td>
<td>0.056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inferior</td>
<td>43.87 (3.87)</td>
<td>46.31 (4.10)</td>
<td>0.01*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nasal</td>
<td>42.90 (5.34)</td>
<td>45.39 (5.49)</td>
<td>0.056</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Correlation Between AL and OCT/OCTA Parameters, With or Without Image Magnification Correction

The results of the correlation analyses between AL and OCT/OCTA parameters, with and without image magnification correction, are shown in Supplementary Tables S1 and S2. Under image size correction, the thickness values of foveal and parafoveal RNFL (all \( P \leq 0.003 \)), IPL (all \( P \leq 0.014 \)), and macular thickness (all \( P \leq 0.014 \)) were negatively correlated with AL in both amblyopic and fellow eyes. Foveal GCL thickness was negatively correlated with AL in both amblyopic and fellow eyes (all \( P \leq 0.001 \)). In fellow eyes, a negative correlation was observed between AL and foveal OPL and foveal and parafoveal RPE thickness (all \( P \leq 0.014 \)). Among the OCTA parameters, foveal and parafoveal DCP densities in both amblyopic and fellow eyes were positively correlated with AL (all \( P \leq 0.014 \)).

The FAZ area was positively correlated with AL in fellow eyes (\( P = 0.03 \)). When performing correlation analyses without image correction data, foveal RNFL, parafoveal ONL, and OPR were negatively correlated with AL in amblyopic eyes (all \( P \leq 0.03 \)), whereas foveal IPL, RPE, and macular thickness were negatively correlated with AL in fellow eyes (all \( P \leq 0.04 \)). However, there was no correlation between AL and any of the OCTA parameters analyzed without image correction.

**Correlation Between OCT/OCTA Parameters and Other Clinical Factors**

Supplementary Table S3 provides the results of correlation analysis between age and OCT parameters. Foveal and parafoveal outer segment of photoreceptor thickness in amblyopic eyes were positively correlated with age (\( r = 0.45 \), \( P = 0.038 \) and \( r = 0.52 \), \( P = 0.013 \), respectively). In fellow eyes, foveal RNFL and OPL thickness were negatively correlated with age, whereas foveal ONL thickness was positively correlated with age. There were no correlations between age and any of the OCTA parameters in both amblyopic and fellow eyes (\( P > 0.05 \) for all; data not shown). Visual acuity...
in amblyopic eyes was not correlated with any OCTA parameter \( (P > 0.05 \text{ for all; data not shown}). \)

**DISCUSSION**

OCT/OCTA enables noninvasive detailed visualization of retinal morphology and quantification of microvasculature metrics and retinal layer thickness. It has been known for a long time that AL of each eye affects the magnification of the retinal images and can affect the accuracy of the measurements. With the increased use of OCT/OCTA for the diagnosis and monitoring of various diseases, evaluating data from accurately corrected images is crucial to their interpretation. Odell et al.\(^9\) found that the summed error of the nine Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study segments without magnification correction exceeded 20 μm in 32% of the subjects. Sampson et al.\(^4\) reported that image size correction in measurements of foveal superficial vessel density and FAZ area was > 5% in 51% and 74% eyes, respectively. Some recent studies on amblyopia paid attention to magnification effects;\(^10–12,30–32\) however, most of them merely used statistical adjustment to adjust the effects of AL along with other clinical factors.\(^4,10–12,30,32\) In the current study, AL was significantly shorter in amblyopic eyes compared with the nonamblyopic fellow eyes, emphasizing the importance of AL adjustment in identifying disease-specific abnormalities in the retinal structure. Therefore, we corrected the image magnification of scans using ImageJ when analyzing FAZ parameters and vessel densities. Similarly, we analyzed retinal layer thickness values from the corresponding areas of magnification-corrected images using the automated Iowa Reference Algorithm.\(^16\) To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report analyzing retinal microvasculature and corresponding retinal layer thickness in patients with amblyopia using OCT/OCTA images corrected for lateral magnification.

Several previous reports have found reduced SCP and DCP vessel densities in amblyopic eyes,\(^7–9,32,33\) but another found only reduced SCP vessel density,\(^10\) and two others reported no changes.\(^11,12\) Of these previous studies, one corrected for magnification effects using a built-in software,\(^11\) three adjusted AL statistically,\(^10,12,32\) and the others did not conduct or explicitly mention magnification correction.\(^7–9,33\) One study that corrected for lateral scaling reported negative results, but the sample size was relatively small \( (n = 15) .\)\(^11\) Additionally, projection artifact removal was not performed at the DCP level in these aforementioned studies, except one.\(^10\) In the current analysis, foveal and global parafoveal SCP and DCP vessel densities were significantly lower in amblyopic eyes than in fellow eyes. These results concur with those of previous studies that did not correct image size for magnification error,\(^7–9,33\) except for one study that did so, albeit only statistically.\(^32\) Our results also confirm smaller FAZ area in amblyopic eyes than in fellow eyes; however, there were no significant differences in FAZ circularity. There are several possible explanations for the decreased vessel density in amblyopic eyes. First, decreased vessel density in amblyopic eyes might indicate that oxygen and nutrition demand decrease in the inner retina that receives blood supply from the retinal arterial system. Second, this may reflect an anomaly or delay during foveal development. Particularly, the fact that (1) the temporal quadrant at the level of DCP and (2) FAZ were decreased in the amblyopic eyes support this idea. Histologically, temporal retinal vessels form at a later developmental stage.\(^34\) Moreover, remodeling and enlargement of FAZ takes place after birth. It is also worth mentioning that neurons are an important source of vascular endothelial growth factor to control the development of the superficial and deep vascular plexus.\(^35\)

Regarding macular thickness, previous studies have demonstrated conflicting results, with some investigations showing that the amblyopic eyes have increased macular thickness compared with fellow eyes,\(^1,2,4,36\) or control eyes,\(^1,2,4,36\) or no significant differences.\(^3,10,30\) Our study demonstrated significantly greater overall macular thickness in amblyopic eyes compared with fellow eyes. Furthermore, analysis of the individual retinal layers showed that most inner retinal layers were thicker in amblyopic eyes, the previous reports on which have been somewhat inconsistent.\(^6,31,32\) In terms of the outer retinal layers, the parafoveal outer segment photoreceptor/RPE complex (OPR), which presumably correlates with rod photoreceptor OS length in situ, was significantly thinner in amblyopic eyes compared with fellow eyes. A previous investigation has demonstrated that the length of OS in amblyopic eyes was shorter than that in fellow eyes at the fovea.\(^5\) The current study, however, showed no difference in the length of OS between the paired eyes. However, the apparent contradiction may be reconciled by the fact that the OS length at the fovea reflects the elongated cone photoreceptor outer segments, whereas outside the fovea reflects the length of the outer segments of the rod photoreceptor cells. The current results may raise the possibility that developmental abnormality in the process of foveal maturation is present in eyes with amblyopia. During foveal development, inner retinal neurons are displaced centripetally to form the foveal pit and the cone cells are displaced centripetally, this produces a higher photoreceptor density with elongation of the inner and outer segments.\(^38\) Recent histological and in vivo OCT studies have suggested that foveal development continues until around the middle teenage years rather than until 5 years of age as previously thought.\(^38–42\) Lee et al.\(^40\) found that foveal and parafoveal outer segments of photoreceptors show an increase in thickness with age until 45 and 145 months of the gestational period, respectively. We also identified positive correlations between age and foveal and parafoveal outer segment photoreceptors thickness in amblyopic eyes. Together with the findings of the current study and previous reports, we presume that disturbances in input of visual stimuli during early development may lead to retinal developmental abnormalities, at least in part.

We found negative correlations between macular thickness, especially in the inner retinal layers, and AL in both amblyopic and fellow eyes; however, in the analysis without magnification correction, the correlations were scattered and presented no identical pattern. Several previous studies have also reported negative correlations of AL with foveal RNFL and macular thickness in normal children.\(^43–45\) whereas another found no such correlations.\(^46\) Conversely, studies in adult cohorts have reported positive correlations between AL and foveal inner retinal layer thickness.\(^10,47\) These conflicting results may reflect differences in macular structure according to the patient’s age, race, sex, and refraction. Furthermore, as shown by our results, it is important to consider the measurement methods used, including with or without magnification correction. Moreover, it is speculated that the method used to adjust image size according to AL, such as Littman’s formula, may also influence correlations among retinal parameters.
Visual acuity was not correlated with vessel densities or FAZ area. Because the foveal morphology in the normal human population shows substantial variations, longitudinal studies are preferable for investigating the relationship between visual development and retinal structure in amblyopic eyes. One study detected an increase in photoreceptor OS length in amblyopic eyes after optical treatment. Additionally, a large choroidal luminal area in anisohypermetropic amblyopic eyes was reportedly reduced after optical correction. These findings suggest that neural activity of the retina is associated with the retinochoroidal structure. Further work should investigate the longitudinal changes in each retinal layer structure and macular vascular density in amblyopia treatment to help clarify the underlying pathophysiology of amblyopia.

Limitations
This study has several limitations. First, a relatively small number of patients was enrolled, which may have led to failure in detecting an extant relationship during the correlation analyses. Second, we included patients with amblyopia in various treatment stages, and the resulting heterogeneity may have increased variation in some retinal parameters. However, examination of treatment-naive pre-school patients is often challenging because fixation stability is necessary to ensure image quality. Third, there was no healthy control group for comparing the retinal structures. Nonetheless, the comparison with fellow eyes acts as an internal control, making it possible to detect the subtle differences without confounding factors that can influence values other than AL.

Conclusion
This magnification-corrected OCT/OCTA imaging study revealed a reduction in both SCP and DCP macular vessel density and thicker inner retinal layers in amblyopic eyes. Changes in the macular microvasculature and structural layers may offer valuable insights into the development of amblyopia.
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