Nicotinamide Riboside Alleviates Corneal and Somatic Hypersensitivity Induced by Paclitaxel in Male Rats
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PURPOSE. Patients receiving chemotherapy may experience ocular discomfort and dry eye–like symptoms; the latter may be neuropathic in nature. This study assessed corneal and somatic hypersensitivity in male rats treated with paclitaxel and whether it was relieved by nicotinamide riboside (NR).

METHODS. Corneal sensitivity to tactile and chemical stimulation, basal tear production, and sensitivity of the hindpaw to tactile and cool stimuli were assessed before and after paclitaxel in the absence and presence of sustained treatment with 500 mg/kg per os NR. Corneal nerve density and hindpaw intraepidermal nerve fiber (IENF) density were also examined.

RESULTS. Paclitaxel-treated rats developed corneal hypersensitivity to tactile stimuli, enhanced sensitivity to capsaicin but not hyperosmolar saline, and increased basal tear production. Corneal nerve density visualized with anti–β-tubulin or calcitonin gene-related peptide (CGRP) was unaffected. Paclitaxel induced tactile and cool hypersensitivity of the hindpaw and a loss of nonpeptidergic hindpaw IENFs visualized with antipeptide gene product (PGP) 9.5 and CGRP. NR reversed tactile hypersensitivity of the cornea without suppressing tear production or chemosensitivity; it did not alter corneal afferent density. NR also reversed tactile and cool hypersensitivity of the hindpaw without reversing the loss of hindpaw IENFs.

CONCLUSIONS. These findings suggest that paclitaxel may be a good translational model for chemotherapy-induced ocular discomfort and that NR may be useful for its relief. The ability of NR to relieve somatic tactile hypersensitivity independent of changes in sensory nerve innervation suggests that reversal of terminal arbor degeneration is not critical to the actions of NR.
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Dry eye syndrome (DES) is a significant age-related health care problem that affects up to 30% of the population.1 Painful DES shares many features of neuropathic pain and has been proposed to be a type of neuropathic pain.2,3 Tear dysfunction may be an initiating event in painful DES in that sustained disruption of tear homeostasis may lead to repeated or sustained injury of the afferent nerves that innervate the cornea, as well as subsequent neurogenic inflammation and release of inflammatory agents in the cornea. In addition to responding to chemical, mechanical, or cooling stimuli, corneal afferents play an important role in regulating tear production.5–6 Thus, a feed-forward cycle of maladaptive changes may be initiated. Patients undergoing paclitaxel treatment report significant ocular discomfort and symptoms akin to DES that can persist after completion of chemotherapy, particularly if they also have peripheral neuropathy.7–9 Paclitaxel is a microtubule-stabilizing agent and interferes with mitosis. The cornea may be vulnerable to paclitaxel because it contains rapidly proliferating cells (limbal epithelial stem cells)10 and neural crest–derived progenitor cells.11,12 In addition, nerve terminal arborizations in the cornea epithelium remodel continuously.5 Patients with ocular discomfort and peripheral neuropathy also exhibited a significant decrease in the length and density of nerves in the subbasal nerve plexus,13 analogous to the “dying back” of intraepidermal nerve fibers (IENFs) documented in the skin after paclitaxel chemotherapy.13,14

A number of preclinical studies suggest that neuropathic pain can be relieved by nicotinamide riboside (NR), a member of the vitamin B3 family and precursor of NAD+.15,16 For example, NR can alleviate heat hyperalgesia, normalize deficits in nerve conduction velocity, and protect against the loss of corneal and IENFs in prediabetic and type 2 diabetic mice.17 NR also protects mice against noise-induced hearing loss and damage to neurites of spiral
ganglia neurons. In female rats, daily oral dosing with NR can prevent or reverse hypersensitivity to tactile and cooling stimuli induced by paclitaxel, a chemotherapeutic agent. Preventive treatment with NR also obviates the loss of IENFs in the hindpaw induced by paclitaxel. These observations, particularly the possible link between DES and injury to corneal afferents, led us to ask (1) whether paclitaxel induced corneal hypersensitivity to tactile and chemical stimuli in the rat and (2) whether it could be prevented by NR.

METHODS AND MATERIALS

Animals

These studies were approved by the University of Iowa Animal Care and Use Committee and conducted in accordance with the ARVO Statement for the Use of Animals in Ophthalmic and Vision Research. Male Sprague-Dawley rats (Charles River Laboratory; 150–175 g) were housed on a 12:12-hour light/dark cycle with ad libitum access to food and water. Rats received three intravenous (IV) injections of 6.6 mg/kg paclitaxel (lot E046805AA; Hospira, Inc., Lake Forest, IL, USA) over 5 days. This dose regimen equates to 118 mg/m² in humans and, as in patients, produces myelosuppression and decreases tumor growth in rats. Control rats were injected intravenously with Kolliphor/ethanol/saline vehicle (KES), the vehicle for paclitaxel. A total of 110 rats were used in this study. Four were removed from the study due to phlebitis of the tail (2), death after paclitaxel injection (1), or gavage error (1). Numbers in each treatment group and experiment are provided in the figure legends.

Experimental Design

The first set of experiments (Fig. 1A) characterized the time course of paclitaxel-induced changes in the sensitivity of the cornea to tactile and chemical stimuli, as well as hypersensitivity of the hindpaw to tactile and cold stimuli. The second set of experiments (Fig. 1B) assessed the ability of NR to reverse the effects of paclitaxel in the cornea and the hindpaw. In addition to the behavioral measures, hindpaw tissue was taken from five rats in each group for determination of hindpaw IENF. Responsiveness to corneal application of capsaicin was also determined in a subset of these rats at the end of the experiment. The third set of experiments (Fig. 1C) assessed the effect of NR on tear production as well as responsiveness to capsaicin. Hindpaw tissue was also taken from five rats in each group for determination of hindpaw IENF. The final set of experiments assessed changes in the density of corneal afferents (Fig. 1D). This work was conducted in male rats because the effects of NR on paclitaxel-induced hypersensitivity of the hindpaw and IENF density had been determined earlier for female rats. In that study, 200 mg/kg per os (PO) NR was an ED₅₀ dose for reversal of established tactile hypersensitivity of the hindpaw; therefore, the dose for this study was increased to 500 mg/kg PO. NR (ChromaDex, Irvine, CA, USA) was dissolved in water and administered by gavage (0.1 mL/100 g body weight) once daily for 28 days. The individuals conducting the behavioral, staining, or image analyses were not aware of treatment condition.

Behavioral Measures

Rats were acclimated to the behavior testing room for 30 minutes and to the testing chambers for an additional 15 minutes.

Tactile Hypersensitivity. Withdrawal threshold to tactile stimulation of the hindpaw was determined using the Up-and-Down method. Filaments corresponding to 1.1, 1.5, 2.2, 4.1, 5.7, 8.5, 10.1, 15.1, and 24.5 g were used; testing began with the 4.1-g filament. Rats that did not respond to the highest filament (i.e., paw was passively lifted by the filament) were assigned this value. The thresholds of the left and right paw were averaged to yield a single value for the rat. Paw withdrawal threshold values were transformed to their log value to fulfill the statistical assumptions of a two-way repeated-measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) in which treatment was one factor and time was the repeated factor. Holm–Sidak’s test was used for post hoc comparisons among group means. In this and all other repeated-measure ANOVAs, the Geisser–Greenhouse ε method was applied to correct for lack of sphericity on the time factor. A P < 0.05 was accepted for this and all other statistical analyses.

Cold Hypersensitivity. Rats were placed on an elevated glass surface. The barrel of a 3-cc syringe packed with dry ice was applied under the glass surface on which the hindpaw rested and withdrawal time was measured. Values for each hindpaw were averaged to generate a single value for that rat. If withdrawal did not occur within...
30 seconds, the test ended, and the rat was assigned this latency. Data were analyzed by two-way repeated-measures ANOVA in which treatment was one factor and time was the repeated factor. Holm–Sidak’s test was used for post hoc comparisons among group means.

**Coche–Bonnet Aesthesiometer.** Rats were gently swaddled in a towel, in which a filament of the same diameter but different lengths ranging from 10 (most rigid, 10.3 g/mm²) to 60 (least rigid, 0.4 g/mm²) mm was applied perpendicular to the cornea (Luneau Ophthalmologie, Pont-de-l’Arche, France). The threshold force that elicited a withdrawal response was determined using the Up-and-Down method. Testing began with the filament extended to 40 mm; filament lengths of 60, 50, 40, 35, 30, 25, 20, 15, and 10 mm were used. Rats that did not blink or withdraw from the filament at the setting of 10 mm were assigned that force (termed **blink threshold**). Application of capsaicin or hyperosmolar saline to the cornea causes morphologic changes in corneal afferents. For that reason, corneal withdrawal threshold values were determined only for the right eye so that the left eye could be used to assess chemical sensitivity. Values were log transformed to fulfill the statistical assumptions of a two-way repeated-measures ANOVA in which treatment was one factor and time was the repeated factor. Holm–Sidak’s test was used for post hoc comparisons among group means. In the instance of missing values, a mixed-model ANOVA was used.

**Chemical Stimuli.** After acclimation to a pedestal in the middle of a brightly lit (450-lux) arena, rats were gently restrained and 10 μL hyperosmolar saline (Muro128 Solution 5%; 1710 mOsm/L; Bausch + Lomb, Bridgewater, NH, USA) was placed on the cornea of the left eye, after which the rat was immediately returned to the pedestal. Sensitivity to capsaicin (0.01%) was also evaluated on one occasion in one set of rats at the end of the study. The rat’s behavior was videotaped for 3 minutes and then analyzed offline for the total number of wipes of the left eye with the ipsilateral frontpaw and squinting. For determination of squinting, the palpebral opening (height of the eye between upper and lower lids/length of the eye between canthi) was measured at 15, 45, 75, 105, 135, and 165 seconds and averaged to generate a single value for each rat. Instillation of hyperosmolar saline or capsaicin did not cause sustained closure of the eye. If observed at the time of analysis, the appropriate ratio was entered. During the study, the video camera was upgraded (60 frames/s), which enabled measurement of the number of blinks as well for a subset of rats. For hyperosmolar saline, data were analyzed by two-way repeated-measures ANOVA in which treatment was one factor and time was the repeated factor. As capsaicin was tested on only one occasion, a one-way ANOVA was used to test for differences among treatment groups.

**Tearing.** Rats were lightly anesthetized with isoflurane to assess basal tearing using Schirmer’s test in which a strip of Whatman 41 filter paper (Cytiva, Marlborough, MA, USA) (1 mm wide and 17 mm long) was placed in the medial canthus of one eye. After 30 seconds, the strip was removed and the length of wetting was measured. Measurements were taken at baseline, 28 days after paclitaxel, and at the end of the 28-day treatment with NR. These measurements were made on a different day than the behavioral measures. Within-animal differences over time and differences between treatment groups were examined by a two-way repeated-measures ANOVA with Holm–Sidak’s test for post hoc comparisons.

**Immunohistochemistry**

Rats were euthanized by CO₂ exposure followed by exsanguination. After enucleation, the aqueous humor was replaced with Zamboni’s fixative containing 0.01% Triton X-100 and the eyeball immersed in Zamboni’s fixative for 90 minutes. The cornea was then excised and immersed in Zamboni’s fixative for another 2.5 hours. Corneas were rinsed twice for 15 minutes (0.05% Tween-20, 0.1 M phosphate-buffered saline pH 7.3 [PBS], 0.1 M glycine, and 0.1% sodium azide) and then incubated in a 1:1 mixture of Background Buster and Fc Receptor Block (Innovex Biosciences, Richmond, CA, USA) for 20 minutes, after which they were transferred to a blocking solution of 5% normal goat serum, 10% Fc Receptor Block, 0.3% Triton X-100, 0.1 M PBS, 0.1 M glycine, and 0.1% sodium azide and then incubated for 90 minutes at room temperature. Cornea were labeled for calcitonin gene-related peptide (CGRP) and neuronal class III β-tubulin by a stepwise protocol. Details of the antibodies are provided in the Table. All antibodies were diluted in PBS containing 5% normal goat serum, 0.3% Triton X-100, 0.1 M glycine, and 0.1% sodium azide. Corneas were incubated in polyclonal rabbit anti-α-CGRP overnight at 4°C. The following morning, the tissues were rinsed six times (10 minutes each) and incubated in Alexa 546 goat anti-
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FIGURE 2. The effect of paclitaxel on the cornea is modality specific. (A) Decreased threshold for tactile stimulation. (B–D) The number of blinks and wipes and squinting (palpebral opening ratio) evoked by hyperosmolar saline is unchanged. Data are shown as scatterplots, with mean and SEM, and plotted as a function of time after the first injection of paclitaxel after baseline (BL) values were obtained. Open circles depict rats that received intravenous KES vehicle, with the mean represented by the white bars. Filled circles depict rats that received three injections of 6.6 mg/kg paclitaxel, with the mean represented by the gray bars. \( n = 10 \) except for \( n = 5 \) at 35 days for each treatment group. ** \( P < 0.01 \), *** \( P < 0.001 \) compared to KES-treated group at the corresponding time point. \( A^*P < 0.05 \), \( A^{**}P < 0.01 \) compared to BL within the treatment group. (A) Treatment, \( F_{1, 18} = 25.60 \); time, \( F_{2.9, 45.4} = 38.44 \); treatment \( \times \) time, \( F_{4, 62} = 4.53 \). (B) Treatment, \( F_{1, 18} = 1.37 \); time, \( F_{4, 32} = 2.63 \); treatment \( \times \) time, \( F_{4, 32} = 0.79 \). (C) Treatment, \( F_{1, 18} = 0.56 \); time, \( F_{2.9, 45.4} = 4.22 \); treatment \( \times \) time, \( F_{4, 62} = 1.82 \). (D) Treatment, \( F_{1, 18} = 2.99 \); time, \( F_{2.9, 45.7} = 15.27 \); treatment \( \times \) time, \( F_{4, 62} = 0.82 \).

rabbit IgG followed 1 hour later by mouse monoclonal anti–tubulin \( \beta_3 \), IgG2a. The corneas were then incubated overnight at 4°C. After extensive rinsing, they were incubated in both secondary antibodies overnight at 4°C. Corneas were rinsed six times, slit through at four equidistant sides to flatten, cleared through an increasing series of glycerol, and mounted with Invitrogen Prolong Glass (Waltham, MA, USA).

A 2-mm section of glabrous skin immediately caudal to the tori of the hindpaw was processed for protein gene product (PGP) 9.5 immunoreactivity (Table) as previously described with several modifications. These modifications included processing the sections free-floating, increasing section thickness to 50 μm to better trace fibers, and inclusion of rabbit anti-CGRP with the anti-PGP antiserum to visualize peptidergic fibers.

Confocal Microscopy and Image Analysis

Corneal Nerve Density. Images were captured on either a Zeiss LSM 780 or LSM 900 confocal microscope with a 20 × 0.8 NA M27 Plan-Apochromat objective (Carl Zeiss MicroImaging, Thornwood, NY, USA). Corneal images were taken from the central cornea and included the whorl-like vortex as an anchor. Z-stacks bounded by the vertical extent of \( \beta \)-tubulin and CGRP immunoreactivity were captured using the single-pass, multitracking format. Confocal image sizes in the x and y planes were the same for every image (2048 × 2048 pixels). The image sizes in the z plane were different as we had to accommodate the natural variation in corneal epithelium thickness. Variation in the z plane was corrected by isolating the corneal epithelium labeling from the rest of the image (see below).

Corneal nerve fiber density analysis was performed as described. Analysis was performed using Imaris 9.1 software (Bitplane USA, Concord, MA, USA; RRID: SCR_007370) on an offline workstation in the Advanced Light Microscopy Core at Oregon Health & Science University (OHSU). Corneal nerve density was defined as the density of the subbasal and intraepithelial corneal nerves taken together. To correct for variation in the z plane of each confocal scan and avoid including stromal nerve labeling, the Surfaces segmentation tool was used to manually isolate the corneal epithelium from the rest of the image, and a surface was created that served as a region of interest (ROI) for the rest of the analysis. The volume of the corneal epithelium ROI was calculated by the software. The Mask Channel function was then used to isolate \( \beta \)-tubulin and CGRP labeling within the corneal epithelium ROI for further analysis.

\( \beta \)-Tubulin labeling within the ROI was thresholded using the Surfaces segmentation tool and a volume (μm³) was
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**RESULTS**

**Paclitaxel Treatment Evoked Modality-Specific Hypersensitivity to Corneal Stimulation**

Although repeated testing itself caused a progressive increase in tactile hypersensitivity of the cornea in vehicle-treated rats, the magnitude of tactile hypersensitivity was calculated. The β-tubulin volume was expressed as the percentage of the epithelium that contained β-tubulin-labeled nerves (% β-tubulin). To measure changes in CGRP specifically within corneal nerves, the β-tubulin volume was established as the ROI, and the Mask Channel function was used to further determine CGRP labeling within β-tubulin-labeled nerves. The labeling for each marker was thresholded separately and the volume of CGRP (μm³) was calculated. As above, the CGRP volume within the β-tubulin ROI of each cornea was normalized to the corneal epithelium volume and expressed as % CGRP (or the percentage of the epithelium that contained CGRP-labeled corneal nerves). Values from the left and right corneas were averaged to generate one value for each rat. One-way ANOVA followed by Holm–Sidak’s test was used to compare treatment group means.

**Methodologic Considerations**

The confocal microscope used for scanning corneas was upgraded from a Zeiss LSM 780 to a Zeiss LSM 900 midway through the corneal nerve density studies. Efforts were made to keep scanning parameters the same when possible, but there were differences in image size due to an increase in the quality of pixel resolution with the new system. Consequently, a 2048 × 2048 scan on the new system was smaller (0.223 × 0.223 μm) than the earlier scans taken with the older system (0.297 × 0.297 μm). Differences in epithelial volumes were accounted for by multiplying epithelial volumes taken with the older system by a factor of 0.564.

We used a protocol combining immunocytochemical methods and confocal microscopy with advanced imaging tools for assessing corneal nerve density and neurochemical composition as previously described. By using advanced imaging tools, we compensated for variations in z-thickness and avoided the confounds of stromal nerve labeling, thereby limiting our analyses specifically to the corneal epithelium. We calculated volumes for each marker as a portion of the epithelium volume for each cornea to allow between-animal or between-treatment comparisons, so that potential differences found in the different markers are not attributable to differences in epithelium volume.

**Intraepidermal Nerve Fibers in the Hindpaw.** Five rats were randomly selected from the 10 rats in each treatment group for analysis. The number of IENF crossings at the epidermal–dermal border of the hindpaw was counted in five to six sections from each rat using StereoInvestigator (MBF Biosciences, Williston, VT, USA) as previously described. Numbers of fibers were normalized to the length of the border in each section and then averaged to yield a single value for that rat. Langerhans cells, which are also immunoreactive for PGP 9.5 and identified by their spindle or stellate shape with extensive processes, were counted as well and normalized to the volume of tissue that was examined. Data were analyzed by one-way ANOVA followed by Holm–Sidak’s test.
consistently greater in paclitaxel-treated rats (Fig. 2A). Tactile hypersensitivity was evident as early as 14 days after paclitaxel treatment began and persisted through 28 days. In contrast, paclitaxel treatment did not enhance responsiveness of the cornea to hyperosmolar saline as measured by the number of wipes, blinks, or squint ratio (Figs. 2B–D).

NR Treatment Reversed Established Hypersensitivity of Both Cornea and Hindpaw

NR reversed paclitaxel-induced tactile hypersensitivity of the cornea (Fig. 3A). It also reversed established hypersensitivity to both tactile and cool stimulation of the hindpaw in male rats (Figs. 3B, 3C; \( P < 0.001 \) for both), as it did in female rats.\(^{19,20}\) NR did not affect blinking, wiping, or squint ratio after application of hyperosmolar saline (data not shown). Responsiveness to corneal application of 0.01% capsaicin was therefore tested in a small subset of rats from this experiment. Based on these pilot results (not illustrated), an additional cohort of 12 rats was run to prospectively evaluate effects of NR on capsaicin responsiveness and to also determine the effects of paclitaxel treatment on tear production (Fig. 1C). Capsaicin was tested only once at 56 to 58 days, and these rats did not undergo any testing other than a modified Schirmer's test at 28 and 56 days. Figure 4A illustrates that when assessed 56 to 58 days after paclitaxel treatment, capsaicin caused a nearly twofold increase in the number of wipes compared to naive rats (\( P = 0.006 \)). The number of blinks and squint ratio were unchanged (Figs. 4B, 4C; \( P = 0.7 \) and 0.94, respectively). Tear production was increased 28 days after paclitaxel treatment (9.3 ± 0.6 mm) compared to baseline (6.3 ± 0.2 mm, \( n = 12; \ P < 0.005 \)). Treatment with NR also did not modify capsaicin-induced blinking and squinting (Figs. 4B, 4C). It had an indeterminate effect on the exaggerated wipe response (Fig. 4A), in that the NR-treated group did not differ from the water-treated group (\( P = 0.29 \)), yet also did not differ from naive rats (\( P = 0.37 \)).

Paclitaxel Does Not Cause Sustained Loss of Corneal Afferents

We tested whether paclitaxel treatment causes a loss of innervation in the corneal epithelium at 28 or 56 days after treatment. To ensure that changes in innervation are not due to overall structural changes in the epithelium, we examined total epithelial volume as well as nerve density. Epithelial volume (Fig. 5A; \( P = 0.96 \), \( F_{7, 37} = 0.344 \)) did not differ among untreated naive rats, in either group of rats that received paclitaxel vehicle (i.e., KES), or in rats 14, 28, or 56 days after intravenous injections of KES vehicle or 6.6 mg/kg PAC with or without treatment with nicotinamide riboside. Also depicted are values from naive rats housed with the cohort and rats euthanized 14 days after paclitaxel. \( *P < 0.05, \ n = 3–8 \) in each treatment group. (A) \( F_{7, 37} = 0.344 \). (B) \( F_{7, 37} = 2.261 \). (C) \( F_{7, 37} = 0.8856 \).
after paclitaxel treatment. Similarly, the density of β-tubulin immunoreactive fibers did not differ with the sole exception of the 14-day paclitaxel treatment group, which was transiently reduced compared to the 56-day KES + vehicle treatment group (Fig. 5B; \( P = 0.02; F_{1, 28} = 3.18 \)). The density of CGRP-immunoreactive fibers did not differ among any of these treatment groups (Fig. 5C; \( P = 0.57; F_{1, 28} = 0.78 \)).

Treatment with NR had no additional effects on any corneal epithelial parameters. Representative images of immunos-
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FIGURE 7. Paclitaxel causes a sustained loss of hindpaw IENFs as visualized by PGP 9.5 immunoreactivity (A) but not peptidergic fibers as visualized by CGRP (B). Normalization of CGRP fibers to PGP 9.5 fibers (C) reveals that paclitaxel may cause a preferential loss of nonpeptidergic fibers. Treatment with 500 mg/kg NR daily for 28 days did not reverse the loss of hindpaw IENF. However, NR treatment was associated with a significant increase in number of Langerhans cells (D). Panels are a scatterplot of values from individual rats euthanized 56 days after the first injection of paclitaxel and treated with water or 500 mg/kg NR beginning at 28 days. Bars indicate mean ± SEM. n = 5 in each group and 4 for rats that received only intravenous saline. *P < 0.05. (A) F2, 11 = 2.326. (B) F2, 11 = 6.767. (C) F2, 11 = 4.042.

prior report33 but may reflect dilution of the hyperosmolar saline due to increased basal tearing.

Studies of sex differences have reported that female rats and mice exhibit a more robust response to excision of the lacrimal gland than males.34,35 The lack of response to hyperosmolar saline in our present study may therefore be a function of sex rather than model. As observed in female rats,19,20 paclitaxel also produced sustained hypersensitivity to tactile and cool stimulation of the hindpaw in male rats.

Paclitaxel-induced tactile hypersensitivity of the cornea occurred in the absence of overt changes in the density of corneal afferents as visualized by β-tubulin or CGRP immunoreactivity either in the period shortly after injury (14 days) or as late as 8 weeks out. This finding was unexpected because the density of β-tubulin immunoreactive corneal afferents is reportedly reduced 2 weeks after a single intraperitoneal injection of 10 to 20 mg/kg paclitaxel in male mice.36 That report aside, the density of NaV1.8-expressing corneal afferents in male and female mice was unchanged in the lacrimal gland excision model of DES.35 Paclitaxel-treated rats also exhibited an enhanced response to capsaicin. Lacrimal gland excision, which increases responsiveness to capsaicin, is associated with increased transient receptor potential cation channel subfamily V member 1 (TRPV1) expression in trigeminal ganglion neurons.30,37 Although the enhanced sensitivity to capsaicin that we observed suggests that paclitaxel may similarly increase TRPV1 expression in corneal afferents, methodologic issues, including an increase in diffuse “background” labeling coupled with the small numbers of TRPV1-immunolabeled afferents, prevented reliable quantitation of TRPV1-immunoreactive fibers in the cornea of KES- or paclitaxel-treated rats.
FIGURE 8. Representative confocal micrographs of immunolabeling for PGP 9.5, CGRP, and merged channels in glabrous skin of the hindpaw of rats 56 days after intravenous administration of paclitaxel and 28 days treatment with vehicle or NR. Top panels were taken from male rats that received intravenous saline. Scale bar: 100 microns. Each image is a maximum-intensity projection of 15 to 18 optical sections that, in the interest of publication, were adjusted for optimal brightness and contrast using Photoshop software (Adobe, San Jose, CA, USA).

At first glance, the preservation of corneal afferents in paclitaxel-treated rats appears to run counter to the findings of a recent cross-sectional study. However, methodologic differences are a likely factor. The cross-sectional study in patients used in vivo confocal microscopy, which images the subbasal nerve plexus, whereas this study examined nerve endings in the corneal epithelium. Patients in that study had received between 7 and 12 cycles of chemotherapy (roughly 7 to 12 months of therapy). Rats in this study received three injections within 5 days, which suppressed tumor growth through day 9 and is analogous to a single infusion. Finally, even among those patients reporting peripheral neuropathy, corneal nerve density was reduced by only ~24%. Injury is known to cause sprouting. It is possible that the density of nerves in the subbasal plexus is diminished, yet remaining axons that enter the corneal epithelium sprout after the injury. Corneal epithelium and stromal nerves can reinnervate in the presence of a persistent decrease in the density of the subbasal nerve plexus and references therein. Indeed, direct reinnervation of the epithelium from stromal nerves has been documented. Finally, our focus on the central area may have limited our ability to detect changes. In patients receiving platinum-based chemotherapy, the density of the subbasal nerve plexus in the central area was unaffected yet was decreased in the inferior whorl. Chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy frequently localizes to the feet and hands with a stocking- and glove-like distribution. This pattern has been attributed to the greater metabolic needs and therefore enhanced vulnerability of primary afferent neurons with long axons. Although attractive, this idea may need to be rethought. First, only the glabrous skin and not the dorsal hairy skin of the rat hindpaw exhibits mechan-
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a prerequisite for paclitaxel-induced tactile hypersensitivity. It also suggests that NR suppression of corneal hypersensitivity is independent of changes in corneal innervation, although it does not exclude normalization or an upregulation of receptors or channels such as Piezo2 or TRPV1 on afferent endings or suppression of inflammatory cells. With respect to the hindpaw, pretreatment with 200 mg/kg NR can prevent the loss of hindpaw IENF. Here, NR was unable to convincingly reverse established loss of IENF in the hindpaw of male rats despite administration of a more than twofold higher dose of NR. This finding similarly points to additional mechanisms of action that are independent of terminal arbor degeneration.

The preservation of nociceptive responses in dermatomes that have undergone persistent loss of hindpaw IENF is a conundrum. The finding that the loss may occur preferentially in nonpeptidergic fibers provides a new perspective. Additional support is provided by an earlier study in which paclitaxel caused only a modest decrease in CGRP-immunoreactive IENF in the hindpaw. Normalizing those data to the number of PGP 9.5–positive IENF that remained, as done here, reveals a similar preferential preservation of CGRP-immunoreactive afferents. Interestingly, paclitaxel increases the expression of Nav1.7 in small- to medium-diameter isolectin B4–positive as well as CGRP-positive neurons of the dorsal root ganglia, but particularly so in the CGRP-positive population.

In summary, paclitaxel treatment induced sustained, modality-specific hypersensitivity of the cornea and increased tear production. These actions were independent of changes in corneal innervation, suggesting that loss of corneal afferent innervation is not a prerequisite for corneal hypersensitivity. As anticipated, paclitaxel also produced hypersensitivity of the hindpaw to tactile and cool stimuli in male rats. Daily administration of NR was able to reverse tactile hypersensitivity of the cornea, as well as tactile and cool hypersensitivity of the hindpaw. These findings suggest that patients experiencing ocular discomfort as a result of chemotherapy may experience relief with this natural product and isoform of vitamin B3. The exact mechanism by which NR reverses corneal discomfort and somatic hypersensitivity is not yet fully understood. Although the hypothesis that its neuroprotective effects are due to correction of mitochondrial dysfunction and increases in NAD+ remains attractive, recent clinical trials hint at possible anti-inflammatory mechanisms. The finding that NR reversed hypersensitivity of the hindpaw without reversing the loss of hindpaw IENF suggests that restoration of afferent innervation is not central to the effects of NR in the therapeutic setting.
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