Correlating Photoreceptor Mosaic Structure to Clinical Findings in Stargardt Disease
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Purpose: To demonstrate a method for correlating photoreceptor mosaic structure with optical coherence tomography (OCT) and microperimetry findings in patients with Stargardt disease.

Methods: A total of 14 patients with clinically diagnosed Stargardt disease were imaged using confocal and split-detection adaptive optics scanning light ophthalmoscopy. Cone photoreceptors were identified manually in a band along the temporal meridian. Resulting values were compared to a normative database (n = 9) to generate cone density deviation (CDD) maps. Manual measurement of outer nuclear layer plus Henle fiber layer (ONL+HFL) thickness was performed, in addition to determination of the presence of ellipsoid zone (EZ) and interdigitation zone (IZ) bands on OCT. These results, along with microperimetry data, were overlaid with the CDD maps.

Results: Wide variation in foveal structure and CDD maps was seen within this small group. Disruption of ONL+HFL and/or IZ band was seen in all patients, with EZ band preservation in regions with low cone density in 38% of locations analyzed. Normality of retinal lamellar structure on OCT corresponded with cone density and visual function at 50/78 locations analyzed. Outer retinal tubulations containing photoreceptor-like structures were observed in 3 patients.

Conclusions: The use of CDD color-coded maps enables direct comparison of cone mosaic local density with other measures of retinal structure and function. Larger normative datasets and improved tools for automation of image alignment are needed.

Translational Relevance: The approach described facilitates comparison of complex multimodal data sets from patients with inherited retinal degeneration, and can be expanded to incorporate other structural imaging or functional testing.

Introduction

Stargardt disease (STGD) is the most prevalent form of juvenile-onset macular dystrophy, with an estimated incidence of 1 in 10,000.1 The most common form of STGD is autosomal recessive and is caused by mutations in the photoreceptor-specific ABCA4 gene; responsible for coding an ATP-binding cassette transporter that is hypothesized to be involved in the clearance of the byproduct of the photoreceptor retinoid (Vitamin A) cycle from photoreceptors.2,3 Disrupted clearance results in
premature accumulation of lipofuscin in retinal pigment epithelial (RPE) cells, which is a hallmark of the disease and is thought to lead to toxicity and eventual photoreceptor cell death. The disease presents in childhood in approximately 40% of patients with symptoms including central visual loss, impaired color discrimination, photoaversion, paracentral scotomas, and slow dark adaptation. Fundus findings characteristically include yellow-white pisciform deposits (referred to as “fundus flecks”) and atrophic-appearing macular lesions. Fundus flecks are caused by excessive accumulation of lipofuscin in the RPE which may be present before clinical symptoms. Diagnosis is based mainly on identifying these flecks and observing a dark choroid on fluorescein angiography.

Near-infrared and short wavelength fundus autofluorescence, optical coherence tomography (OCT), and confocal adaptive optics scanning light ophthalmoscopy (AOSLO) have expanded our knowledge of STGD. Subtypes of fundus autofluorescence lesions are used to predict disease progression and characteristic structures visualized by OCT, including Bruch’s membrane/RPE complex and choroidal hyperreflective foci, may be associated with disease severity. Areas of abnormal findings on fundus autofluorescence and OCT in individuals with STGD have been shown to be correlated with disruptions of the cone photoreceptor mosaic on AOSLO.

Although confocal AOSLO offers the ability to image the retina with cellular resolution, there are important limitations for its application in studying the degenerating retina. First, visualization of photoreceptor cells is thought to rely on normal photoreceptor waveguiding. As such, it is challenging to discriminate between a cell with impaired waveguiding from one that has degenerated. In addition, cones in the diseased retina sometimes can have complex or “multi-modal” reflective profiles, making it difficult to differentiate reliably between rods and cones. The recently developed nonconfocal split-detection AOSLO allows for enhanced visualization of the photoreceptor mosaic, enabling the visualization of inner segments even in the presence of disrupted outer segment structure. However, regardless of the AOSLO modality used, it often is challenging to put such high-resolution images into context with other structural and functional data from a given patient. We propose an approach for combining information about the photoreceptor mosaic with data from conventional clinical imaging and testing modalities, illustrated in patients with STGD.

**Methods**

**Subjects**

This study was approved by the Medical College of Wisconsin Institutional Review Board and adhered to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki. Patients with clinically diagnosed STGD provided written informed consent after the nature and potential risks of the procedure were explained. Exclusion criteria included patients with concurrent retinal disease, advanced cataract, corneal anomalies, or any other condition that would affect image acquisition quality. A total of 14 patients underwent comprehensive ophthalmic examination, genetic testing, fundus photography (Zeiss Visucam; Carl Zeiss Meditec, Dublin, CA), axial length measurements (Zeiss IOL Master; Carl Zeiss Meditec), microperimetry (SpecTral OCT/SLO; OPKO/OTI, Miami, FL), OCT, and AOSLO imaging. The Gullstrand II schematic eye model was used, where the predicted 291 μm per degree of visual angle was scaled linearly by the subject’s axial length, to determine the scale of AOSLO and OCT imagery. Macular microperimetry was performed predilation after a brief training to allow for familiarization of the test. A 20° Crosshair grid composed of 40 points arranged in 4 meridians (10 points per each meridian; points being 2° apart) was performed using a Goldman III stimulus (approximately 0.4°), a 200 ms duration and a fast 2 dB test strategy. Pupils were dilated and cyclopleged using a combination of 2.5% phenylephrine hydrochloride solution (Bausch & Lomb Inc., Tampa, FL) and 1% tropicamide ophthalmic solution (Akorn Inc., Lake Forest, IL). One patient (KS_1027) was followed up 1 year after the initial visit.

**Genetic Testing**

Blood samples were drawn and DNA was isolated through one of several institutions (Edward S. Harkness Eye Institute, New York, NY; GeneDX, Gaithersburg, MD; Casey Eye Institute Molecular Diagnostics Laboratory, Oregon Health and Science University, Portland, OR; and CarverLab, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA). The pathogenicity of nonsynonymous variations was predicted by PolyPhen-2 and Mutation Taster. Synonymous variations were analyzed using RESCUE-ESE program and intronic variations were analyzed with NetGene2
Our population included two pairs of sisters and one mother-daughter pair (Table 1).

### Optical Coherence Tomography

Optical coherence tomography (OCT) line and volume scans were acquired on all patients using Bioptigen SD-OCT (Bioptigen, Research Triangle Park, NC) and Cirrus HD-OCT (Carl Zeiss Meditec Inc.). The lateral scale of all OCT images was estimated by multiplying the nominal scan length with the ratio of the patient’s axial length to that assumed by the instrument (24.00 mm for Bioptigen and 24.46 mm for Cirrus). Foveal center was determined using the Fovea Finder algorithm on the Cirrus OCT. Horizontal line scans (Bioptigen) were

### Table 1. Patient Demographics, Fixation Parameters and Genetic Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient ID</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Eye</th>
<th>VA</th>
<th>Fixation</th>
<th>BCEA (arcmin²)</th>
<th>ABCA4 Mutations¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KS_1027¹,²,³</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>OS</td>
<td>20/40</td>
<td>Central</td>
<td>4569</td>
<td>c.2160+1 G&gt;T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC_1048³</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>OS</td>
<td>20/25</td>
<td>Central</td>
<td>8176</td>
<td>c.2160+1 G&gt;T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DH_1158</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>OD</td>
<td>20/20</td>
<td>Central</td>
<td>4293</td>
<td>c.4469 G&gt;A; p. Cys1490Tyr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DH_1162</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>OD</td>
<td>20/40</td>
<td>Central</td>
<td>9109</td>
<td>c.2041 C&gt;T; p. Arg681Stop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DH_10019</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>OS</td>
<td>20/50</td>
<td>Poor central</td>
<td>38,007</td>
<td>c.3259 G&gt;A; p. Glu1087Lys</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KS_10108⁴</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>OD</td>
<td>20/70</td>
<td>Poor central</td>
<td>15,339</td>
<td>c.1804 C&gt;T; p. Arg602Trp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KS_10150</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>OS</td>
<td>20/20</td>
<td>Central</td>
<td>2027</td>
<td>c.1622 T&gt;C; p. Leu541Pro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>c.3113 C&gt;T; p. Ala1038Val</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>c.5714+5 G&gt;A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JC_10222</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>OD</td>
<td>20/20</td>
<td>Central</td>
<td>765</td>
<td>c.6079 C&gt;T; p. Leu2027Phe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KS_10241⁵</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>OD</td>
<td>20/20</td>
<td>Central</td>
<td>1728</td>
<td>c.1957 C&gt;T; p. Arg653Cys</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KS_10242⁵</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>OD</td>
<td>20/200</td>
<td>Eccentric</td>
<td>17,911</td>
<td>c.1957 C&gt;T; p. Arg653Cys</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JC_10296</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>OD</td>
<td>20/60</td>
<td>Central</td>
<td>3240</td>
<td>c.5882 G&gt;A; p. Gly1961Glu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KS_10306</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>OS</td>
<td>20/70</td>
<td>Central</td>
<td>14,853</td>
<td>c.5882 G&gt;A; p. Gly1961Glu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KC_10461⁶</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>OS</td>
<td>20/25</td>
<td>Central</td>
<td>5259</td>
<td>c.6449 G&gt;A; p. Cys2150Tyr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JC_10469⁶</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>OD</td>
<td>20/32</td>
<td>Central</td>
<td>8202</td>
<td>c.676 C&gt;T; p. Arg226Cys</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>c.5018+8 A&gt;G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>c.6730-579 T&gt;C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>c.2919-927 T&gt;A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>c.4577 C&gt;T; p. Thr1526Met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>c.5882 G&gt;A; p. Gly1961Glu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>c.4577 C&gt;T; p. Thr1526Met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>c.5882 G&gt;A; p. Gly1961Glu</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ Female; OD, right eye; OS, left eye; VA, visual acuity; PRL, preferred retinal locus; BCEA, bivariate contour ellipse area.  
² Only mutations previously reported, predicted/probably damaging, or of unknown significance are reported here. See Supplemental Table S1 for a complete list of ABCA4 mutations. All mutations listed here are heterozygous. Genotyping was performed by Casey Eye Institute Molecular Diagnostics Laboratory (KS_10306, TC_1048, KS10241, KS_10242, KS_10150, JC_10296, JC_10461, JC_10469), GeneDX (DH_1162, KS_1027, DH_10019), CarverLab (DH_1158), and Edward S. Harkness Eye Institute (JC_10222).  
³ Sisters.  
⁴ Patient only evaluated for c.2160+1 G>T and c.5603 A>T mutations.  
⁵ Patient only evaluated for c.1804 C>T and c.2588 G>C mutations, as these were previously identified in her brother (not included in this study) through a more detailed genetic screening.  
⁶ Mother and daughter.  
⁷ Sisters.
manually segmented to generate outer nuclear layer plus Henle fiber layer (ONL+HFL) thickness measurements at 0.1-mm intervals from the foveal center (Fig. 1). The data were compared to a normative database and thresholded to areas either within or greater than 2 standard deviations (SDs) from the normal mean. The ONL+HFL analysis could be generated only up to 2.0 mm from the foveal center due to lack of normative data beyond that eccentricity. Longitudinal reflectivity profiles (LRPs) were generated from linear OCT images at the same locations to determine the presence/absence of the ellipsoidal zone (EZ) and interdigitation zone (IZ) using a previously reported method with a sampling window of 5 pixels. In areas of uncertainty, due to suboptimal image quality and/or severe pathology, they were generated using a narrower sampling window (1–3 pixels). Cirrus volume OCT scans (6 × 6 mm) were examined for presence of outer retinal tubulations. En face summed-volume projection images from the Bioptigen SD-OCT volumes for one patient (KS_1027) were generated to assess progression of outer retinal tubulations over time. These were derived using custom-designed software (Java; Oracle, Redwood City, CA) using a previously described method.

**AOSLO Image Acquisition and Processing**

All patients underwent simultaneous confocal and split-detection imaging using a previously described AOSLO. Image sequences were acquired over a 1.5° × 1.5° field of view, at 1.0° intervals from the foveal center out to 10° of visual angle temporal and superior to the fovea. Temporal strips were chosen to be used in data analysis to allow comparison to preexisting normative data along this meridian. Superior strips were used to aid in registering AOSLO data onto fundus and OCT images. Image sequences were registered and averaged to create high signal-to-noise ratio images that then were montaged manually using Adobe Photoshop CS6 (Adobe Systems, Inc., San Jose, CA). Microperimetry data, AOSLO montages and OCTs were appropriately scaled, then aligned manually and registered to fundus pictures using Adobe Photoshop CS6, using blood vessel patterns to aid in alignment.

**Data Analysis**

Quantitative analysis was restricted to 6° × 1° horizontal strips starting at 2° and extending out to 8° temporal to the foveal center. This was not possible in two patients due to a noncentral preferred retinal locus of fixation, causing montages to be offset from the absolute foveal center, and/or suboptimal image quality. Cone photoreceptors were identified manually in the cropped split-detection (Fig. 2A) and confocal horizontal strips, and data then were analyzed using custom MATLAB software (The Mathworks, Inc., Natick, MA). Cone density was calculated at each pixel using a 55 × 55 μm window to create a density map for each subject (Fig. 2B). Average cone density of a previously characterized normative population (n = 9; mean age ± SD = 25 ± 4.5 years; range = 20–35 years) was used to fit an exponential function of density versus distance from the foveal center. For each subject, an eccentricity-
and scale-matched normative density map was generated using this fit (Fig. 2C). The density at each pixel was compared to the corresponding location in the normative map and used to create a cone density deviation (CDD) map in SD units. This then was thresholded to highlight regions within 2, 3, and 4+ SDs from the normal mean (Fig. 2D).

Data from OCT were aligned according to distance from foveal center using Adobe Photoshop CS6. Locations of individual microperimetry data points then were marked on the AOSLO montage (after registration to the fundus picture) and then translated to the CDD map. Microperimetry data also were used to categorize patients’ preferred foci of fixation into central, poor central, and eccentric (Table 1) using the classification scheme by Fujii et al. Bivariate contour ellipse area (defined as retinal area in square minutes of arc in which the fixation point center would be found 68.3% of the time) was calculated for each patient from microperimetry data (Table 1) using a previously described method. A 2-tailed Fisher’s exact test was performed to examine the relation between different metrics. For two patients, the diameter of 50 arbitrarily selected rod inner segments, from split-detection images taken at 5° temporal retina, was measured manually using ImageJ. The diameter of 50 RPE-like structures was quantified by the same method at the fovea in one patient.

Results

Demographics and Genetic Results

Patients ranged in age from 15 to 77 years with visual acuity ranging from 20/20 to 20/200 (demographics summarized in Table 1). Genetic analysis revealed 24 different mutations in the 14 patients. Despite the need for two or more mutations in the ABCA4 gene to confirm the diagnosis of STGD, current data suggested that approximately 30% of individuals with a clinical diagnosis of an ABCA4-related disorder have only one identified mutation. Excluding mutations predicted as likely benign, at least two mutations were found in eight patients (57%) and only one mutation was found in the remaining six patients (43%). To our knowledge, five of the 24 mutations have not been reported previously in the literature in relation to causing STGD (c.2160+1 G>T; c.676 C>T, p. Arg226Cys;
c.2160 T>C; c.2919-927 T>A; c.5018+8 A>G). The c.2160+1 G>T mutation also was not reported in the Single Nucleotide Polymorphism database38 and thereby was considered a novel variant, though it occurs at a known site of mutation (previous report of c.2160+1 G>C39). The c.2160+1 G>T mutation is expected to destroy the canonical splice donor site in intron 14, thereby causing abnormal gene splicing and likely disease. The missense mutation c.676 C→A was predicted to possibly affect splicing due to alterations of splicing enhancers and silencers, though no conclusions can be made on the pathogenicity of these variants. The intronic variant c.5018+8 A>G was predicted to have no impact on splicing. Complete genetic results can be found in Table 1 and Supplemental Table S1.

Variable Foveal Structure in STGD

Foveal structure was highly variable within this small group as seen on OCT and split-detection AOSLO (Fig. 3 and Supplementary Figs. S1, S2). On OCT, transition zones between healthy and disrupted outer retinal structure were seen in four patients (KS_10241, KS_1027, DH_1158, and JC_10222). Irregular outer retinal disruption was noted in five patients (DH_1162, KS_10242, KS_10150, JC_10469, and DH_10019) and foveal atrophy, defined as complete loss of outer retinal structure, was seen in only one patient (JC_10296). On AOSLO, we observed an intact, contiguous foveal cone mosaic in seven of 14 patients, with visual acuity ranging from 20/20 to 20/70 in those patients. Six patients (DH_1162, KS_10242, KS_10150, JC_10469, KS_10108, and JC_10296) showed variable remnant foveal cone inner segment structure, with visual acuity ranging from 20/20 to 20/200. Patient DH_10019 (visual acuity = 20/50), in which irregular disruption was noted on OCT, had a mosaic of contiguous polygonal structures on split-detection and dark-field imaging (data not shown), averaging 19.6 ± 3.7 μm in diameter (range, 13.2–32.4 μm). The shape of these structures was consistent with normal RPE cell morphology,40,41 despite being abnormal in size (Supplementary Fig. S2P). Similar RPE-like structures were seen in 5 additional patients (DH_1162, KS_10242: Figs. 3L, 3P; KS_10150, KS_10,108: Supplementary Figs. S1L, S1T; JC_10222: Supplementary Fig. S2K). In all but two patients, there was good correspondence between the integrity of the outer retinal hyperreflective bands (EZ/IZ) on OCT and the degree of remnant cone structure on AOSLO. In patient JC_10461, AOSLO showed rapid change in cone numerosity without a corresponding change in reflectivity of EZ/IZ bands on OCT (Figs. 3E–H). In addition, patches of remnant cone inner segments were observed in JC_10296 but no distinct EZ/IZ bands were seen on OCT (Supplementary Figs. S2Q–T).

Comparing Cone Structure on AOSLO and OCT Using CDD Map Overlays

In an effort to convey structural retinal changes relative to functional findings, CDD map overlays were created in 12 patients with good split-detection AOSLO montages of temporal retina and microperimetry data from the same locations. Corresponding ONL+HFL thickness and presence/absence of EZ and IZ bands are shown below each montage panel (Fig. 4 and Supplementary Fig. S3). The CDD maps showed regional variability of cone density in the parafovea of individual patients.

At least one area of the analyzed region in these 12 patients showed abnormally low cone density. The thickness of ONL+HFL was normal (within ± 2 SDs) across the whole analyzed region in only one patient (TC_1048). The ONL+HFL thickness and AOSLO cone density agreed at ≤2 SDs and ≤3 SDs (for analysis purposes) from normal mean respectively (both normal) or both fell out of defined normal limits (both abnormal). If only one measurement met defined normal parameters, disagreement between measurements was reported. The normality/abnormality of cone density used in deciding agreement/disagreement between different metrics was not limited to a certain area and was determined subjectively by two examiners who independently reviewed the data. For 81% of data points, ONL+HFL thickness status agreed with AOSLO cone density, with better agreement in areas of abnormality (P = 0.0225; Fisher’s exact test). Areas where ONL+HFL was normal but cone density was abnormally low occurred in 14% of the data points, which might be expected due to the difference in lateral resolution between the two imaging modalities (AO and OCT). However, areas where the reverse occurred (5%), as shown in KS_10108 (Fig. 4), are most likely due to HFL (which constitutes up to 50% of the ONL+HFL thickness at this eccentricity and has normal topographical displacement)42 thinning occurring as a result of the concurrent foveal outer retinal disruption (Supplementary Figs. S1Q–T).
Figure 3. Spectrum of foveal structure. Horizontal (A, E, I, M) and vertical (B, F, J, N) OCT scans. Arrowheads denote the outer edges of the region imaged in (C, G, K, O). Scale bar: 200 µm. Split-detection montages centered on fixation (C, G, K, O) showing spectrum of foveal structure from intact foveal structure (C, G, K) to foveal atrophy (O). Boxes represent cropped images in column 3 and asterisks represent foveal center. (D, H, L, P) Images showing close to normal cone density (D), abrupt reduction in cone density ([H], arrows), a transition zone from retained foveal structure to atrophy ([L], arrows) and a region of polygonal structures hypothesized to be hypertrophied RPE cells ([P], arrows showing one cell). Scale bar: 100 µm. See Supplementary Figures S1 and S2 for additional panels.
Figure 4. Cone density deviation map overlays on split-detection images combined with OCT and microperimetry data. Each panel consists of a CDD map overlaid on a split-detection montage and superimposed microperimetry data for one patient. ONL, EZ, and IZ data are displayed beneath each panel. All panels are shown as left eye equivalents extending from 2° (left side) to 8° (right side) temporal to the fovea. Green, yellow, orange, and red represent 2, 3, 3+, and 4+ SDs respectively, from normal mean for cone density and ONL thickness data. Gray represents unanalyzable data due to presence of blood vessels or unsatisfactory image quality (in deviation map overlays) and lack of normative data for comparison (in ONL analysis). +/- = present/absent. Dashed circles represent the area subtended by each microperimetry data point (reported in dB values in each circle). Scale bar: 1°. See Supplementary Figure S3 for additional panels.
According to LRP analysis findings, the IZ band was completely absent in six of 12 patients across the analyzed region, though it was disrupted by some degree in all patients. The integrity of the IZ band corresponded to cone density at 85% of locations measured (except JC_10461; Supplementary Fig. S3) with no statistically significant predilection to either normal or abnormal regions ($P = 1.0$; Fisher’s exact test). In some instances (13%), the IZ remained intact in areas with abnormal cone density possibly due to nominal remnant cone structure (that is more than 4 SDs from mean). Conversely, the IZ was absent in areas with normal cone density at 2% of locations measured. As IZ band disruption has been reported in normals, this metric may not necessarily be part of the disease process. However, due to the small number of patients studied and wide phenotypic variability, final conclusions from these observations could not be drawn.

The EZ band was completely absent, on LRP analysis, in only four of 12 patients, disrupted to some extent in five of 12, and present in the remaining three. Its integrity corresponded with cone density on AOSLO at only 62% of locations measured, and was more likely to agree in areas with normal cone density ($P < 0.0001$; Fisher’s exact test). At remaining 38% of locations measured, the EZ was present despite abnormally low cone density. Preservation of EZ in these regions was likely due to the fact that rod photoreceptors contribute to this OCT band. Further examination of these areas, indeed, showed a contiguous mosaic of abnormally enlarged rod photoreceptors with dramatically reduced numbers of cone photoreceptors when compared to normal (Fig. 5). Typically, the diameter of a rod photoreceptor at a similar eccentricity to that in Figure 5 (5° temporal) is less than 3 µm; however, in these patients, we observed larger values averaging 4.1 ± 0.5 µm (3.1–5.5 µm; range).

Comparing Retinal Structure and Function Using CDD Map Overlays

An additional feature afforded by the use of the CDD maps is the ability to compare retinal structure to function. All 12 patients had at least one abnormal (<15 dB) microperimetric location within the analyzed region. The normality of retinal layer structure on OCT and cone density corresponded with visual function at 50 of 78 locations analyzed (6–7 locations per patient; Fig. 4 and Supplementary Fig. S3), considering that each microperimetric retinal tested subtended approximately 0.4°. Agreement was determined in areas of normal (within 2 SDs), subnormal (3 SDs), and abnormal (4+ SDs) cone density when microperimetry was normal (>15 dB), subnormal (7–14 dB) and abnormal (0–6 dB), respectively. The OCT was considered normal, subnormal, or abnormal when at least two of the structures analyzed (ONL, EZ, IZ) were normal, subnormal, or abnormal, respectively. Locations with normal microperimetry (>15 dB) were significantly more likely to show agreement among all three measurements (microperimetry, OCT, and AOSLO) than those with abnormal microperimetry ($P = 0.0255$; Fisher’s exact test). Microperimetry coincided better with cone density alone; with agreement in 77 of 78 locations analyzed. This suggests that cone density may be a better predictor of visual function than OCT measures of ONL, EZ, or IZ integrity.

Outer Retinal Tubulations

Outer retinal tubulations in the central (6 × 6 mm) retina were found in three of 14 patients (~20%), in
agreement with a previous report. Figure 6 shows how these structures appear on confocal and split-detection AOSLO over a period of 1 year, during which the tubulation increased in length by approximately 30%. These tubulations were not clearly visualized on confocal AO imaging and appear as finger-like projections on the split-detection images. Characteristic tear-drop like structures were found in the center of these tubulations (Fig. 6E) and appeared to shrink in size over time. We hypothesize that these structures might be migratory RPE cells as it has been shown that RPE migration is common in end-stage retinal degenerations, with these cells becoming more spindle-shaped during repair and regeneration.49 Deep (posterior) in the structure of the tubulation, AOSLO split-detection showed what is likely to be photoreceptor inner segment-like structures (Fig. 6H), consistent with histological findings.50

Discussion

In this study, we combined data from AOSLO, OCT, and microperimetry to create a method that conveys structure-function relationships in STGD. Using this technique, we were able to show wide variability in foveal structure across a small patient group (n = 14) supporting a hypothesis of multiple disease mechanisms.51 Broad allelic heterogeneity also was recorded in this patient group as has been seen before in ABCA4 retinopathies.2,52–55 A particular case of interest was KS_10242 who, unlike her mother (KS_10241), presented with foveal atrophy with significant reduction in visual acuity (20/200 vs. 20/20) despite her younger age (Fig. 3). It may be that the additional genetic mutation she possesses (c.5882 G>A; p. Gly1961Glu) might be the cause of the different phenotypes exhibited in this family. Unfortunately, the phenotypic variability, genetic heterogeneity, and small sample size limited our ability to quantify genotype-phenotype correlations in more depth.53,56

As previously established,57 severity of atrophic changes (accompanied with reduced cone density) in the fovea was not found to correspond to visual acuity in this patient group. We hypothesize that this may be due to patients acquiring eccentric fixation at a parafoveal location with viable structure.58,59 We tested our hypothesis using the classification scheme by Fujii et al.,33 by which the preferred retinal locus was found to be central in 11 patients, poor central in two patients, and eccentric in one patient. Moreover, bivariate contour ellipse area was abnormal in 13 of 14 patients (mean value 9534 arcmin²; normal range from 80–1200 arcmin²) showing that fixation was largely unstable in this patient group, further supporting our hypothesis.
The CDD maps used here facilitated high-level interpretation of the AOSLO data. They proved to be sensitive to regional cone density variability and are a more accurate representation of actual structure than interpolating densities recorded from small regions of interest to larger retinal areas. When combined with microperimetry and OCT data, these maps showed regions of agreement and disagreement between the different imaging modalities. One source of disagreement might be attributable to inaccuracies from the use of regular microperimetry versus an AOSLO-based microperimetry. Another can originate from the inability of current OCT, unlike split-detection AOSLO, in distinguishing between rod and cone structure in areas of atrophy.

In this study we used split-detection AOSLO to follow outer retinal tubulations longitudinally, complementing previous studies using offset pinhole AOSLO, OCT, and histology. Zweifel et al. described outer retinal tubulations as circular/ovoid structures within the ONL with hyperreflective borders, resembling cystoid macular edema. These tubulations are believed to occur in response to RPE loss/dysfunction and occur more frequently in patients with intact foveal structure. We found evidence of tubulations in three of 14 patients (~20%), all of whom presented with intact outer retinal foveal structure, consistent with those studies. Recently, Schaal et al. showed that the hyperreflective borders of the tubulations are formed by the ELM and inner segment mitochondria, and that intraluminal hyperreflective material represents trapped RPE and non-RPE cells. One group of these non-RPE cells is the cone inner segments, which have been shown to survive for extended periods of time inside the tubulations. These previous reports help support our hypothesis regarding the structures found at different depths of the tubulation shown in this study.

Limitations of this study included a small patient sample size (all with relatively good acuity), nonage-matched controls, and confounds in ONL measures (normative data limited to ±2 mm and inclusion of the HFL). Despite these limitations, the combined use of OCT, microperimetry, and CDD maps (generated using AOSLO) represents a potentially clinically useful method for visualizing structure-function relationships in patients with STGD. Future studies using this tool should allow for better understanding of disease status and pathophysiologic changes that occur with progression of STGD as well as other inherited retinal degenerations.
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