Factors Influencing Retinal Pigment Epithelium-Atrophy Progression Rate in Stargardt Disease
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Purpose: To evaluate demographic, clinical, imaging, and genetic factors associated with retinal pigment epithelium enlargement in Stargardt disease (STGD1) and to measure the agreement between short-wavelength fundus autofluorescence (SW-FAF) and near-infrared fundus autofluorescence (NIR-FAF).

Methods: Retrospective cohort study of patients with STGD1 with ≥2 gradable SW-FAF images. RPE-atrophy areas were measured on SW-FAF and NIR-FAF at each visit and regressed against time to obtain the rate of RPE-atrophy enlargement. Agreement between SW-FAF and NIR-FAF with regards to baseline atrophic areas and rates of enlargement was evaluated. Baseline factors predictive of faster SW-FAF RPE-atrophy enlargement were investigated with linear mixed models.

Results: Fifty-four eyes of 28 patients (median age: 45 years; 13 males) were included. SW-FAF and NIR-FAF agreed well for slow rates of RPE-atrophy progression, but agreement decreased as the rate increased. Median (interquartile range [IQR]) rate of RPE-atrophy expansion was 0.18 (0.10–0.85) mm²/year on SW-FAF and 0.24 (0.08–0.33) mm²/year on NIR-FAF. Larger baseline RPE-atrophy area (estimate: 0.057 mm²/year, \( P < 0.001 \)), worse visual acuity (0.305 mm²/year, \( P = 0.005 \)), multifocal disease (0.401 mm²/year, \( P = 0.02 \)), and SW-FAF pattern (0.534 mm²/year, \( P = 0.03 \)) were associated with a faster rate of progression (predictive \( R^2: 0.65 \)).

Conclusions: SW-FAF and NIR-FAF are not interchangeable in the evaluation of RPE-atrophy enlargement, and both imaging modalities may be required for optimal detection of disease progression. A multivariable model based on baseline clinical and imaging information may identify patients at higher risk of fast disease progression.

Translational Relevance: The knowledge of the agreement of different FAF modalities, the estimated rates of RPE-atrophy enlargement, and factors predictive of faster anatomic decay in STGD1 may allow tailored clinical management and better clinical trials design.

Introduction

Stargardt disease (STGD1) is the most common form of inherited juvenile macular dystrophy caused by an autosomal recessive mutation in the adenosine triphosphate binding cassette transporter 4 (ABCA4) gene.¹ Its main clinical features are yellow-white pisciform flecks at the posterior pole and progressive atrophy of photoreceptors, retinal pigment epithelium (RPE), and choriocapillaris (CC) in the late stages of the disease.² The clinical course of STGD1 is heterogeneous, and little is known about factors predicting the natural history of the disease.³,⁴ The anticipation of patients with a faster rate of RPE-atrophy enlargement may be important in both clinical practice and research. The choice of the strategies and endpoints in therapeutic
interventional trials may be different according to the predictive characteristics of each patient.\textsuperscript{5,6}

Short-wavelength (488-nm excitation) fundus autofluorescence (SW-FAF), primarily based on the signal derived from lipofuscin, is a fast and reliable technique to assess the rate of progression of STGD1.\textsuperscript{7–10} Near-infrared FAF (NIR-FAF, 787 nm excitation), which comes from melanin in the choroid and RPE, is another convenient and noninvasive method to visualize the alterations secondary to STGD1, and it correlates with both SW-FAF and the loss of the photoreceptor bands on optical coherence tomography (OCT) in STGD1.\textsuperscript{11,12} Nevertheless, it is not clear whether the two methods are equal in assessing the rate of progression of the disease.\textsuperscript{11–14}

In this study, we evaluated demographic, clinical, imaging, and genetic factors associated with the rate of RPE-atrophy enlargement on SW-FAF in STGD1 patients. Moreover, we calculated the agreement between SW-FAF and NIR-FAF imaging with regard to baseline atrophic areas and rate of progression of the disease.

**Methods**

The clinical charts of patients with STGD1 from the Department of Ophthalmology of San Raffaele Hospital in Milan from 2014 to 2019 were retrospectively reviewed. Written informed consent was obtained from all subjects; in patients younger than 18 years, the consent was acquired from both parents. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of San Raffaele Hospital and followed the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki.

Inclusion criteria were as follows: (i) genetically-confirmed diagnosis of STGD1; (ii) identification of at least one well-defined area of RPE-atrophy of at least 250 μm in diameter on SW-FAF at the last visit; (iii) a minimum of two gradable SW-FAF examinations over a minimum follow-up of 24 months. Exclusion criteria were as follows: (i) other ocular diseases, including signs of any retinal dystrophy other than STGD1; (ii) history of any systemic disease potentially affecting the retina, such as uncontrolled systemic hypertension or diabetes mellitus; (iii) any retinal complication caused by STGD1, such as choroidal neovascularization; (iv) any previous ocular treatment (e.g., laser photocoagulation, photodynamic therapy, intravitreal injections of any drug), with the exception of uneventful cataract extraction at least six months before inclusion in the study; (v) atrophic lesions exceeding the posterior 55°. Both eyes were included if they fulfilled all the inclusion criteria.

Demographic, clinical, imaging, and genetic data were reviewed. Each patient underwent a complete ophthalmic examination, best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA) measurement with Snellen charts, biomicroscopy, confocal scanning laser short-wavelength FAF (SW-FAF and NIR-FAF) acquired with a 30° or 55° field of view centered on the anatomic fovea (Spectralis HRA+OCT; Heidelberg Engineering, Heidelberg, Germany), spectral-domain OCT (SD-OCT) (Spectralis HRA+OCT; Heidelberg Engineering), and optical coherence tomography angiography (OCTA) (DRI OCT Triton; Topcon Corporation, Tokyo, Japan).

**Genetic Testing**

All patients underwent next-generation DNA sequencing (NGS) of the \textit{ABCA4} gene. Blood samples were obtained from each individual. Genomic DNA was extracted from whole blood as reported previously.\textsuperscript{15} The NGS approach was applied to all coding regions and exons and exon-intron boundaries of the \textit{ABCA4} gene.\textsuperscript{15,16} Target regions were enriched using the Illumina TruSight One (TSO) Enrichment Kit and sequenced using the Illumina NextSeq sequencer system. The following software packages were implemented in the bioinformatics pipeline: Burrows-Wheeler Aligner (BWA), Smith-Waterman Algorithm, freebayes, and BaseSpace Onsite. Reference databases were genomehg19, NCBI dbSNP, 1000 Genomes, dbNSFP, ClinVar, LOVD. Variants found in clinical test samples were weighed for their clinical effect as pathogenic, likely pathogenic, gene modifier, variant of uncertain significance (VUS), likely benign, or benign. Pathogenic/likely pathogenic variants or VUS were confirmed by Sanger sequencing.

Patients were divided in two genotype groups: (1) patients with at least one null mutation (NM), comprising frame-shift or nonsense mutations or all genetic variants affecting splicing producing a premature stop of the wild-type protein; (2) patients with two or more missense mutations (MM).\textsuperscript{17}

**FAF Analysis**

SW-FAF images at baseline visit were qualitatively graded for: the presence of single or multiple pisciform lesions with increased SW-FAF signal (flecks), with or without a perilesional reduced SW-FAF halo,\textsuperscript{18} within and outside the vascular arcades; the presence of a hyper-FAF ring-shaped signal at
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Figure 1. Clinical pattern of STGD1 patients based on SW-FAF. Corresponding NIR-FAF and optical coherence tomography scan passing through the fovea are shown. Pattern 1: Altered speckled hypo-FAF signal at the posterior pole with hyper-FAF flecks at the posterior pole; background FAF is homogeneous. At the end of the follow-up, a small area of definitely decreased autofluorescence enlarges temporally to the fovea. Pattern 2: Altered round central hypo-FAF signal sparing of the fovea; background FAF is homogeneous. A hyper-FAF halo is present. At the end of the follow-up, the area of definitely decreased autofluorescence expands toward the fovea. Pattern 3: Multiple, diffuse hyper-FAF flecks involving the entire macular region and extending beyond the vascular arcades; background FAF is heterogeneous. After 2 years, the area of definitely decreased autofluorescence clearly enlarges and coalesces.

the edge of a hypo-FAF lesion; and disease focality (unifocal or multifocal). The involvement of the fovea at baseline was assessed by combining SW-FAF, SD-OCT, and NIR-FAF (when available), and graded as previously described.9 On the basis of SW-FAF images, the patients were divided into three patterns, which represented a slight modification of those previously described by McBain et al.19: (1) altered speckled hypo-FAF signal at the posterior pole with a few, centrifugally oriented hyper-FAF flecks limited at the posterior pole and homogeneous background FAF; (2) altered round central hypo-FAF signal with no/few flecks and homogeneous background FAF, usually associated with a hyper-FAF halo and sparing of the fovea; (3) none-to-multiple, diffuse hyper-FAF flecks involving the entire macular region and extending beyond the vascular arcades with a heterogeneous background FAF (Fig. 1).

Quantitative evaluation of hypo-FAF areas was performed using a semi-automated software tool (Heidelberg Engineering RegionFinder).20 Hypo-autofluorescence corresponding to RPE-atrophy was defined as regions exhibiting similar FAF levels to the optic nerve head and blood vessels.21,22 Borders were adjusted manually to allow correct lesion demarcation. The total area of RPE-atrophy was calculated at each visit; in the case of multifocal hypo-FAF, the single areas were summed.

SD-OCT Analysis

Horizontal structural SD-OCT centered on the fovea was obtained for each patient (each with 50 averaged OCT B-scans - 1024 A-scans per line) with the follow-up option and the enhanced depth imaging (EDI) technique.23 The choroidal thickness (CT) was manually measured as the vertical distance between the hyper-reflective Bruch’s membrane and the choriocapillary interface. Measurements were performed under the foveal depression, and at 500 μm nasally and temporally to the fovea, and then averaged.

OCTA Analysis

Swept-source six-by-six mm OCTA centered on the macula, equipped with an A-scan rate of 100,000 scans/second, a wavelength centered on 1050 nm, and an in-depth resolution of 2.6 μm was performed at the baseline. Images were analyzed with the Topcon full-spectrum amplitude-decorrelation angiography algorithm.24 Automated segmentation of full-thickness retinal scans into the superficial (SCP) and deep (DCP) retinal capillary plexus, outer avascular retina, and CC was performed. Segmentation boundaries were manually adjusted in cases of segmentation artifacts.

All OCTA images were exported as a Joint Photographic Experts Group (JPEG) file and uploaded.
into the National Institutes of Health ImageJ 1.50 (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland, USA) software for the analysis. The area(s) of RPE-atrophy identified on SW-FAF were superimposed on the OCTA slabs and were colored to pure blue. A 500 μm-wide region around the center of the RPE-atrophy (para-atrophy) was drown after the RPE-atrophy contour. The area outside the para-atrophy ring (peri-atrophy) was colored to pure blue. The vessel density (VD) in the para-atrophy area was calculated after images binarization with the ImageJ Mean auto-threshold algorithm.\textsuperscript{25–27} All the regions colored in blue were automatically excluded from the analysis of VD. The SCP, DCP, and CC were separately analyzed with this method (Supplementary Fig. S1).

Statistical Analysis

Statistical calculations were carried out with the open-source programming language R.\textsuperscript{28} The cutoff point for statistical significance was set at \( P < 0.05 \). Each variable was visually inspected for normality with frequency histograms and quantile-quantile plots. Descriptive statistics of Gaussian and non-Gaussian continuous variables were reported as the mean (standard deviation [SD]) and median (interquartile range [IQR]), respectively, whereas frequency and proportions were reported for categorical variables. Visual acuity was converted to a logarithm of the minimum angle of resolution (logMAR) for statistical calculations; counting fingers, hand motion, and no light perception were converted to 1.9, 2.3, and 3.0 logMAR, respectively.\textsuperscript{29}

Differences regarding baseline continuous variables (i.e., BCVA, SW-FAF atrophic areas, and CT) among the three SW-FAF patterns were investigated with a linear mixed model, while baseline differences regarding categorical variables (i.e., presence of flecks, disease focality [unifocal versus multifocal], hyper-FAF borders of the RPE-atrophy, fovea sparing, SW-FAF patterns (1 to 3), type of mutation [missense versus nonsense], and para-atrophy VD at SCP, DCP, and CC levels). The follow-up length was also included as a fixed factor. The patient identification number was included as a random effect to account for the inclusion of both eyes of the same individual. Factors with a \( P \) value < 0.20 at the univariable analysis and those previously associated with SW-FAF enlargement rate regardless of their \( P \) value were included in the multivariable model.\textsuperscript{30,31} A second model was carried out in which the square-root–transformed RPE atrophy enlargement rate was the dependent variable.\textsuperscript{4}

Because baseline SW-FAF and NIR-FAF hyperautofluorescent areas strongly correlated with each other, only the former was included in multivariable models to avoid collinearity. Complete case analysis was used to deal with missing data in all the models. The proportion of variance explained in our cohort of patients by fixed factors only and the entire model (fixed factors and random effects) was estimated with the marginal and conditional R\textsuperscript{2}, respectively.\textsuperscript{32} The (leave-one-out cross-validated) predictive R\textsuperscript{2}\textsuperscript{2} was calculated using the predicted residual error sum of squares (PRESS) statistic. It describes the fraction predictive ability of our models for unseen data.\textsuperscript{33,34}

Results

Demographic and Clinical Data

Overall, 55 eyes of 28 patients fulfilled the inclusion and exclusion criteria. One eye was a statistical outlier because of the extreme SW-FAF rate of enlarge-
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Table 1. Demographic and Clinical Characteristics at Baseline of Included Patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Eyes/Patients</th>
<th>54/28</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>13 (46%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>15 (54%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years), median (IQR)</td>
<td>45 (29–58)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCVA (logMAR), median (IQR)</td>
<td>0.30 (0.20–0.70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missense Mutation/Null Mutation</td>
<td>16/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flecks, n (%)</td>
<td>35 (65%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unifocal/multifocal</td>
<td>30/24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyper-FAF borders (%)</td>
<td>12 (22%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW-FAF pattern (1/2/3)</td>
<td>14/14/26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fovea sparing, n (%)</td>
<td>26 (48%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Para-atrophy VD at SCP</td>
<td>0.190 ± 0.105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Para-atrophy VD at DCP</td>
<td>0.270 ± 0.119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Para-atrophy VD at CC</td>
<td>0.472 ± 0.076</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Longitudinal Clinical Changes

The median follow-up was 4.3 (2.8–5.4) years. Median BCVA significantly decreased over time (0.30 [0.20–0.70] versus 0.45 [0.20–0.93], P < 0.001). SW-FAF and NIR-FAF atrophic areas progressively enlarged (P < 0.001), whereas the CT showed a significant decline (P < 0.001) (Table 2). The median rate of RPE-atrophy expansion was 0.18 (0.10–0.85) mm²/year on SW-FAF and 0.24 (0.08–0.33) mm²/year on NIR-FAF; the mean rates of progression on SW-FAF and NIR-FAF were 0.70 ± 1.00 and 0.26 ± 0.33, respectively (Supplementary Fig. S3). The median rate of CT thinning was −5.7 (−10.2 to 5.2) μm/year.

As illustrated in the Bland-Altman plot, the baseline area estimated on SW-FAF was smaller than NIR-FAF (Fig. 2A). The systematic error between the two FAF modalities was negligible (Fig. 2B), but LOA were wide due to increasing dispersion as the mean rate of progression increased; the distribution of biases showed a positive trend for disease rates >0.2 mm²/year. Moreover, one observation fell outside the 95% confidence bands of the LOA (Fig. 2B).

Factors Associated With Rates of RPE-Atrophy Progression

Older age (P = .03), worse baseline BCVA (P < 0.001), larger SW-FAF area (P < 0.001), and NIR-FAF area (P = 0.018), absence of flecks (P = 0.003), multifocal lesions (P = 0.005), SW-FAF pattern 3 (P = 0.003), and fovea involvement (P = 0.024) were associated with faster rate of STGD1 RPE-atrophy progression at the univariable analysis (Table 3). Para-atrophy VD in all plexuses did not show any correlation with the rate of RPE-atrophy progression. At the multivariable analysis, worse baseline BCVA (P = 0.005), larger baseline SW-FAF area (P < 0.001), pattern 3 (P = 0.048), and multifocal disease (P = 0.02) remained significantly associated with faster RPE-atrophy enlargement during the follow-up.

In conjunction, patient-specific (random) factors and fixed effects explained 96% of the variability in RPE-atrophy progression rates (conditional R^2: 0.96). This is mostly attributable to the fixed effects, which alone explained 74% of the variability in progression rates (marginal R^2: 0.74). For unseen data, these fixed effects would allow predicting 65% of the variability in progression rates (predictive R^2: 0.65).

When the square-root–transformed rate of RPE-atrophy enlargement was used as an outcome variable, only larger baseline SW-FAF area (P < 0.001) and pattern 3 (P = 0.005) were associated with a faster enlargement rate (Supplementary Table S2).

Discussion

In our longitudinal retrospective cohort study, we found a significant enlargement of the macular RPE-atrophy on both SW-FAF and NIR-FAF, as well as...
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Table 2. Atrophy Measured on SW-FAF and NIR-FAF and CT at Baseline and Last Follow-Up

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Baseline Visit</th>
<th>Last Visit</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FAF (mm²)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW-FAF, median (IQR)</td>
<td>0.74 (0.31–3.42)</td>
<td>1.43 (0.73–12.66)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIR-FAF, median (IQR)</td>
<td>0.91 (0.46–1.44)</td>
<td>1.53 (1.21–2.98)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT (μm), mean ± SD</td>
<td>277.0±109.3</td>
<td>252.9±129.0</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2. Comparison between SW-FAF and NIR-FAF. (A) Bland-Altman plot comparing the matched methods in estimating baseline RPE-atrophy area. On the x-axis the mean atrophic area is presented. The 0-horizontal line represents the no-bias line (mean difference = 0), whereas black spots describe the true corresponding measurement among coupled devices. The 95% LOAs are shown as dotted lines. The 95% confidence intervals of the no-bias line (purple), superior (green) and inferior LOA (pink) are shown. The graph shows that baseline area estimated on SW-FAF was smaller than NIR-FAF. (B) Bland-Altman plot comparing the matched methods in estimating the rate of RPE-atrophy enlargement. On the x-axis the mean rate of progression is presented. The 95% confidence intervals of the no-bias line (purple), superior (green) and inferior LOA (pink) are shown. Most of the values clustered around the no-bias line. Limits of agreement were wide; the distribution of biases showed a positive trend for disease rates >0.2 mm²/year. One observation fell outside the 95% confidence bands of the LOA.

a progressive thinning of the choroid in the subfoveal region over time. The median rate of expansion of the central RPE-atrophy was slightly smaller on SW-FAF (0.18 mm²/year) than NIR-FAF (0.24 mm²/year); the mean rate of progression on SW-FAF was 0.70 ± 1.00 mm²/year. This value was higher than the one reported by the retrospective analysis of Natural History of the Progression of Atrophy Secondary to Stargardt Disease (ProgStar) study, which estimated a mean progression of definitely decreased SW-FAF signal of 0.51 mm²/year, but it was only slightly slower than the rate found in the prospective analysis of the ProgStar study (0.76 mm²/year). Müller et al. have reported a mean rate of RPE-atrophy enlargement of 0.89 ± 0.13 mm²/year in ABCAA-related retinopathy eyes. Whereas both the ProgStar and Müller et al. used the mean as a measure of central tendency, it might be not appropriate in our series as the distribution of progression rates was highly right-skewed (Supplementary Fig. S3). In this scenario, the mean is strongly affected by extreme observations, and even a few outliers may significantly inflate or deflate the mean values; conversely, the median should be preferred because it is robust against extreme values. Patients enrolled in the prospective ProgStar study and in the study by Müller and associates had a more advanced stage of disease, and this can explain the slower rates of RPE-atrophy enlargements found in our cohort; in fact, both the studies included eyes with at least one area of RPE-atrophy at baseline, whereas our study design allowed eyes with no RPE-atrophy at baseline to be enrolled into the study. As our study confirms, baseline atrophic area is among the strongest predictors of RPE-atrophy enlargement, and patients with smaller atrophy at baseline are consequently expected to have milder rates of progression. The different ethnic and genetic backgrounds between the different study populations may also contribute to such discrepancies.

In our study, we selected SW-FAF as the primary imaging modality to estimate the atrophy enlargement rate for different reasons. SW-FAF was the main outcome measure in the majority of clinical trials and cross-sectional studies previously published, including
Table 3. Results of Univariable and Multivariable Analysis for Factors Associated With the Rate of Yearly Growth of Atrophy on SW-FAF

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Univariable</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Multivariable</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Estimate (SE)</td>
<td>P Value</td>
<td>Estimate (SE)</td>
<td>P Value</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male sex</td>
<td>−0.242 (0.368)</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.000 (0.005)</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline age (year)</td>
<td>0.021 (0.010)</td>
<td><strong>0.03</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of FU (months)</td>
<td>−0.011 (0.093)</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline BCVA (LogMAR)</td>
<td>0.420 (0.100)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.305 (0.108)</td>
<td><strong>0.005</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline SW-FAF area (mm²)</td>
<td>0.062 (0.006)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.047 (0.008)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline NIR-FAF area (mm²)</td>
<td>0.035 (0.015)</td>
<td><strong>0.018</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline CT, 10 μm</td>
<td>−0.011 (0.010)</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presence of flecks</td>
<td>−0.998 (0.336)</td>
<td><strong>0.003</strong></td>
<td>−0.025 (0.207)</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multifocal lesions</td>
<td>0.635 (0.226)</td>
<td><strong>0.005</strong></td>
<td>0.401 (0.169)</td>
<td><strong>0.018</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyper-FAF borders</td>
<td>−0.114 (0.449)</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW-FAF patterns (ref: 1)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pattern 2</td>
<td>−0.006 (0.411)</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.134 (0.283)</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pattern 3</td>
<td>1.197 (0.357)</td>
<td><strong>0.003</strong></td>
<td>0.534 (0.217)</td>
<td><strong>0.048</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fovea sparing, n (%)</td>
<td>−0.769 (0.341)</td>
<td><strong>0.024</strong></td>
<td>0.019 (0.200)</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Para-atrophy VD at SCP</td>
<td>−0.090 (0.796)</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Para-atrophy VD at DCP</td>
<td>−0.397 (0.409)</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Para-atrophy VD at CC</td>
<td>0.756 (0.877)</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonsense mutation(ref: missense)</td>
<td>−0.432 (0.364)</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Marginal R²: 0.74; conditional R² 0.96; predictive R²: 0.65. Estimates for continuous variables are intended for a 1-unit increase unless specified otherwise.

FU: follow-up.

the ProgStar study, and this allows an easier comparison with the existing literature. Also, baseline measurements for SW-FAF were available for 100% of the eyes, as opposed to NIR-FAF, which was missing in a considerable proportion of eyes. Nevertheless, NIR-FAF might have some advantages over SW-FAF, and its use as an outcome measure in clinical trials for ABCA4-related diseases has been advocated. SW-FAF signal might be falsely normal in correspondence of atrophic RPE lesions, due to lipofuscin build-up; moreover, hypo-autofluorescence of SW-FAF signal tends to become evident only after loss of photoreceptors' cell bodies, which is believed to occur later in the STGD-associated retinal degeneration. NIR-FAF signal might provide a better delineation of RPE cell loss and photoreceptor damage compared to shorter wavelength imaging techniques. Also, fovea involvement may be better assessed with NIR. Drawbacks of NIR-FAF include the technical difficulty of obtaining gradable images in the absence of adequate pupil dilation and media transparency. Moreover, the interpretation of NIR-FAF is challenging in cases of obvious RPE-atrophy due to the presence of melanin-based fluorophores within the RPE cells and the underlying choroidal stroma. Our comparative analysis between SW-FAF and NIR-FAF showed a tendency of SW-FAF to underestimate the RPE defect, as previously described. Therefore we confirm that NIR-FAF might be more appropriate for the evaluation of the initial RPE cells and photoreceptors abnormalities and should be separately included in the evaluation of STGD1 patients; various methods of normalization of the NIR-FAF signal might be used to improve the resolution of this technique. The two imaging modalities agreed well for slow rates of RPE-atrophy progression, but their agreement decreased as the rate increased, falling outside our limit of confidence in certain cases. This might suggest that NIR-FAF and SW-FAF catch different aspects in the longitudinal assessment of the RPE damage, especially for fast progressors. Because there is no literature regarding the rate of STGD progression on NIR-FAF, we believe it might be an interesting area to explore by future research.

Regarding the factors predictive of RPE-atrophy progression on SW-FAF, we found that the lesion growth rate was significantly faster in eyes with poorer baseline visual acuity and larger baseline RPE atrophy.
The association between the RPE atrophy size at baseline and rate of its enlargement over the follow-up is in agreement with the results of both the retrospective and prospective cohorts of the ProgStar study.\textsuperscript{30,31} In accordance with previous studies,\textsuperscript{30,31} patients with multifocal disease had faster RPE-atrophy enlarging rates. Similarly, SW-FAF pattern 3, characterized by extensive changes within and beyond the vascular arcades and a heterogeneous background FAF, was a predictor of faster disease progression.\textsuperscript{2,19}

As a novelty with respect to the published literature, we also explored whether the type of mutation of the \textit{ABCA4} gene on NGS analysis and the vessel density at baseline calculated on OCTA may predict the rates of RPE-atrophy. Both the mutational analysis and the assessment of the healthy retina perfusion status might have pivotal importance in selecting the potential candidates for gene therapy in future trials.\textsuperscript{5} Nassisi et al.\textsuperscript{17} compared the clinical characteristics (namely the BCVA, the central retinal thickness, and the macular volume on OCT) between patients with null and missense mutations, and found no relevant differences. On the contrary, Fujinami and associates\textsuperscript{2} suggested a potential association between nonsense genetic variants and more progressive FAF patterns; more recently, a significant association between more severe genotype categories and faster progression of disease on OCT has been described.\textsuperscript{41} Our data showed the genetic variant was not associated with the rate of progression of STGD1-related RPE-atrophy. Nonetheless, we clustered mutations into missense and nonsense groups, ignoring other potential patterns that may potentially influence the natural history of the disease.

OCTA has provided interesting insights on the vascular changes in the macular region in STGD1\textsuperscript{25,42,43} which span across retinal capillaryplexuses at different depths and are peculiarly located at the CC level.\textsuperscript{43,44} We hypothesized that a state of hypoperfusion in the area surrounding the RPE-atrophy might accelerate its enlargement. However, neither the retinal VD in the areas surrounding RPE-atrophy nor the perfusion state of CC correlated with the rate of RPE-enlargement in the present cohort. Indeed, a previous qualitative study demonstrated that the number of areas of absence-of-flow signal on CC was not statistically significant between STGD1 patients and healthy eyes.\textsuperscript{45} We cannot exclude that other vascular parameters might be more influential on disease progression; furthermore, we analyzed the perfusion of the circumferential para-atrophy region, without focusing on the direction of RPE-atrophy expansion. Finally, OCTA data were available for a subset of patients only. In the light of these caveats, we encourage further research on the vascular involve-
Because transformation might unnecessarily complicate the interpretation of the estimates, we chose to present the results based on the analysis of nontransformed rates.

In conclusion, we demonstrated an agreement between SW-FAF and NIR-FAF in assessing baseline characteristics of STGD1 patients, but we found a substantial difference in the evaluation of the rate of progression of the disease between the two modalities. Larger atrophic areas at baseline, worse baseline BCVA, multifocal disease, and SW-FAF pattern 3 were associated with faster rates of RPE-atrophy enlargement; OCTA and genetic features had no significance in the prognostic role.
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