In Vitro Antimicrobial Efficacy of Silver Lens Cases Used With a Multipurpose Disinfecting Solution
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Purpose: We evaluate the in vitro antibacterial activity of silver-copolymerized barrel cases compared to nonsilver barrel cases in combination with a multipurpose disinfecting solution (MPDS).

Methods: The ability of silver and nonsilver cases to reduce the number of adherent Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria was assessed. Additionally, the efficacy of silver cases along with MPDS was investigated in the presence of organic soil and contact lenses. Contact lens cases were challenged with 10⁶ colony-forming units (CFU)/mL of five bacterial species. Adherent bacteria were dislodged from lens cases and surviving organisms enumerated.

Results: Significantly lower numbers of microbes were recovered from silver cases compared to controls, for all bacterial strains (P < 0.005). The combination of silver case along with MPDS showed added efficacy against Gram-positive and -negative bacteria with a maximum reduction of 3.00 ± 0.5 Log₁₀ CFU/mL, compared to the efficacy of silver cases alone (1.97 ± 0.4 Log₁₀ CFU/mL). The addition of organic soil and a contact lens resulted in a significant (P < 0.005) decrease (a maximum of 1.68 ± 0.2 log₁₀ CFU/mL) in disinfection efficacy when MPDS and either silver or control cases were used.

Conclusions: Silver-copolymerized barrel cases work on conjunction with a hypochlorite producing MPDS in the presence of contact lenses and organic soil to reduce microbial contamination of lens cases.

Transitional Relevance: Silver-copolymerized barrel contact lens cases show promising in vitro antibacterial activity against bacterial types commonly implicated in contact lens-related corneal infections. This intervention may limit storage case contamination during use and reduce the frequency of contact lens-related microbial disease.

Introduction

Microbial contamination of contact lens cases is a major concern for daily lens wearers because colonization by pathogenic microorganisms is a risk factor for microbial keratitis and sterile infiltrates.¹⁻⁴ The causative organisms for microbial keratitis have been recovered from the contact lens cases of affected individuals.⁵,⁶ Approximately 30% to 85% of contact lens cases are contaminated even during asymptomatic daily wear.⁷⁻¹¹

Multiple genera and species, predominantly bacteria, can be isolated from contact lens cases, including the pathogenic micro-organisms, such as Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Serratia marcescens, which are isolated frequently from contact lens–induced microbial keratitis.⁹,¹¹,¹⁵⁻¹⁹

Bacterial biofilm formation in lens cases may be a risk factor for contact lens–associated corneal infection and may explain the persistence of organisms in lens storage cases. Biofilms are communities of sessile bacteria adherent to a surface and encased in polymeric material.²⁰,²¹ Clinical isolates have been shown to be more resistant to disinfection than standard strains,⁷ and biofilms also are more resistant to disinfection than planktonic isolates.²,²² Multipurpose disinfecting solutions (MPDSs) are required to demonstrate antimicrobial efficacy against selected
reference strains of planktonic bacteria and fungi, but not against clinical isolates or biofilms of microorganisms.23–25

Various strategies have been adopted to limit the numbers of bacteria and biofilm formation on lens cases. Such strategies include incorporation of silver ions, selenium compounds, polyquaternary ammonium compounds, polymeric pyredium compounds, nitric oxide, furanones, and cationic peptides into cases.26–28

Silver ions, often in the form of nanoparticles, provide broad-spectrum antimicrobial activity against Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria, fungi, protozoa, and certain viruses,29 including antibiotic-resistant bacteria.30–32 Slow release of silver ions inhibits bacterial growth by multiple methods, including enhancing structural deformities in nucleic acids,33 membranes,34 and the cell walls of bacteria.34–38 The multiple mechanism of actions of silver ions does not allow bacteria to easily develop resistance.

Silver-copolymerized contact lens cases are commercially available. Silver-impregnated flat lens cases have robust activity against Gram-positive and -negative bacteria.22,28,39 A silver-impregnated barrel lens case also is available, but its efficacy has not been reported. This study evaluated the in vitro antimicrobial activity of silver-copolymerized barrel cases compared to nonsilver cases in combination with a contact lens cleaning and disinfecting solution. The details of the strains and their sources are listed in Table 1.

**Table 1. Bacterial Strains Used in This Study**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Bacteria</th>
<th>Microorganisms</th>
<th>Sources</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gram-positive bacteria</td>
<td><em>S. aureus</em> 31</td>
<td>Contact lens induced peripheral ulcer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>S. aureus</em> ATCC 6538</td>
<td>Human lesion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>S. epidermidis</em> ATCC 35984</td>
<td>Catheter sepsis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>S. epidermidis</em> 22-1</td>
<td>Contact lens case of an asymptomatic wearer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>M. luteus</em> 22-1</td>
<td>Contact lens case of an asymptomatic wearer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>M. luteus</em> 14-1</td>
<td>Contact lens case of an asymptomatic wearer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gram-negative bacteria</td>
<td><em>S. marcescens</em> ATCC 13880</td>
<td>Contact lens case of an asymptomatic wearer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>S. marcescens</em> 27</td>
<td>Contact lens case of an asymptomatic wearer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>P. aeruginosa</em> 6294</td>
<td>Contact lens case of an asymptomatic wearer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>P. aeruginosa</em> ATCC 9027</td>
<td>Contact lens case of an asymptomatic wearer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>A. radioresistens</em> 22-1</td>
<td>Contact lens case of an asymptomatic wearer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>A. radioresistens</em> 14-1</td>
<td>Contact lens case of an asymptomatic wearer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

data-derived and *Micrococcus luteus* were used in this study to assess the antimicrobial efficacy of silver barrel lens cases in combination with a contact lens cleaning and disinfecting solution. The details of the strains and their sources are listed in Table 1.

**Bacterial Culture Preparation**

Bacteria were revived from the School of Optometry and Vision Science, University of New South Wales culture collection by being inoculated into a tryptic soy broth (TSB; Oxoid, Scoresby, Australia) and incubated for 24 hours at 37°C. Bacterial cells were washed three times by centrifugation at 1175g for 15 minutes at 25°C in sterile phosphate buffered saline (PBS; NaCl 8 g/L, KCl 0.2 g/L, Na2HPO4 1.15 g/L, KH2PO4 0.2 g/L, pH 7.2). The optical density (OD) of the bacterial cell suspensions was adjusted to 0.1 at 660 nm (1 × 10⁸ colony forming unit/mL [CFU/mL]) in PBS using a spectrophotometer (FLUOstar Omega; BMG Labtech, Ortenberg, Germany) and the cell suspensions were serially diluted to 1 × 10⁶ CFU/mL in PBS for all bacteria and in 1:100 TSB for Gram-positive or 1:100 LB for Gram-negative bacteria (Luria broth; Sigma-Aldrich Corp., Castle Hill, NSW, Australia) to assess the effect of nutrition on adhesion and MPDS efficacy.

**The Effect of Lens Case and Solution on Antimicrobial Activity**

Silver barrel lens cases (Sauflon Pharmaceuticals Ltd., Twickenham, UK) and nonsilver barrel lens cases (control; Sauflon Pharmaceuticals Ltd.) were used. An MPDS (Sauflon, Pharmaceuticals Ltd.) containing an oxychlorite complex (Oxipol; sodium
chloride and hydrogen peroxide), as the disinfecting component was used.

Lens cases were opened aseptically and inoculated with 10 mL freshly prepared bacterial suspensions (1 × 10⁶ CFU/mL). The lid of the lens cases was loosely recapped and cases were incubated at 37°C with agitation (250 rpm) for 24 hours to allow bacterial adhesion.

To test for the effects of the MPDS, cases were incubated with the bacterial cell suspension (1 × 10⁶ CFU/mL) for 6, 18, or 48 hours. Residual bacterial cells were discarded, and cases were rinsed once with PBS to dislodge any planktonic or weakly adherent cells. Following this, lens cases were filled with the MPDS (approximately 80% full), recapped, and stored at ambient temperature for 6 hours based on the manufacturer’s recommended disinfection time. As a control, lens cases were incubated with 10 mL PBS after bacterial adhesion and left at ambient temperature for 4 to 6 hours.

After incubation to allow bacterial adhesion, residual bacterial suspensions were discarded, and the cases were rinsed twice with PBS to dislodge any planktonic or weakly adherent cells. Following this, 10 mL PBS was added to each lens case along with a sterile magnetic stirring bar and the case was vortexed for 1 minute to dislodge the bacterial cells. Ten-fold serial dilutions were conducted using Dey Engley Neutralizing Broth (DE Broth) and dilutions were plated onto the trypticase soy agar (TSA; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Scoresby, Australia) containing 0.05% (wt/vol) Tween 80 (Sigma-Aldrich Corp.) and 0.07% (wt/vol) lecithin (Sigma-Aldrich Corp.) and incubated at 37°C for 18 to 24 hours for the recovery of bacteria. The numbers of CFUs were counted and converted to CFU/mL. This assay was repeated in duplicate on three different occasions for each bacterial strain.

To test for the effects of the MPDS, cases were filled with the bacterial cell suspension (1 × 10⁶ CFU/mL) and recapped, and cases were incubated at 37°C with agitation (250 rpm) for 24 hours. Control lens cases were filled with MPDS after bacterial adhesion and no contact lenses were added during the disinfection.

After the designated disinfection time, the numbers of adherent bacteria in lens cases were evaluated as described above. The number of bacteria adherent to contact lenses was assessed by washing the removed lenses once in PBS, placing the lens into 2mL PBS and dislodging the adherent organisms by vortexing in the presence of a small magnetic stirring bar. The resulting lens slurry was diluted in PBS and dilutions plated onto tryptic soy agar containing Tween 80 and lecithin and the CFUs were enumerated after incubation for 24 hours at 37°C. This assay was repeated in duplicate on three different occasions for each bacterial strain.

Statistical Analysis

Data analyses were performed using Microsoft Excel 2010 and Statistical Package for Social Science for Windows version 20.0 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL). The total number of viable organisms for each lens case was recorded as Log₁₀ CFU/m and the standard deviation derived from the three independent biological replicates. A two-sample Wilcoxon rank-sum (Mann-Whitney) test was used to compare the rate of bacterial recovery from silver and nonsilver lens cases. Log differences were summarized as mean ± SD and were compared using a repeated measures ANOVA for the test organisms at each incubation time. Bonferroni correction was used for post hoc multiple comparisons.

Results

Antibacterial Efficacy of Silver Cases

Silver cases significantly (P < 0.005) reduced the number of adherent Gram-positive bacteria by an average of 2.61 ± 0.52 Log₁₀ CFU/mL when suspended in PBS and 2.82 ± 0.28 Log₁₀ CFU/mL when suspended in 1/100 TSB compared to nonsilver cases. There was no significant effect of suspending fluid on the activity of silver cases, but more Gram-positive bacteria (an average of 1.2 log₁₀ CFU/mL) adhered when diluted in 1/100 TSB compared to PBS (P < 0.005; Fig. 1). The maximum inhibition of 3.41 ± 0.06 Log₁₀ CFU/mL was observed for S. aureus.
ATCC 6538 ($P = 0.008$) when allowed to adhere in PBS, compared to nonsilver barrel cases as a control (Fig. 1). Within a species, there was a significant ($P < 0.005$) difference in adhesion between the strains of *S. aureus* (ATCC 6538 and 31) and *S. epidermidis* (ATCC 35984 and 22-1) in lens cases, but not for strains of *M. luteus* (Fig. 1).

The effect of silver was greater for Gram-positive bacterial strains ($P = 0.04$) compared to Gram-negative bacteria. Silver cases significantly reduced the number of adherent Gram-negative bacteria by $2.36 \pm 0.11 \log_{10} \text{CFU/mL}$ when diluted in PBS and $2.71 \pm 0.3 \log_{10} \text{CFU/mL}$ when diluted in 1/100 LB, compared to the nonsilver cases ($P < 0.005$). There was no significant effect of diluent on the activity of silver cases, but more Gram-negative bacteria (an average of $0.8 \log_{10} \text{CFU/mL}$) adhered when diluted in 1/100 LB compared to PBS ($P = 0.009$; Fig. 1). For Gram-negative bacteria, a maximum of $3.21 \pm 0.02 \log_{10} \text{CFU/mL}$ reduction in adhesion was seen with *A. radioresistens* 22-1 when prepared in 1/100 LB (Fig. 1). Within species, there were significant ($P = 0.006$) differences in adhesion between the strains of *P. aeruginosa* (ATCC 9027 & 6294) and *S. marcescens* (ATCC 13880 & 27), but not for strains of *A. radioresistens* (Fig. 1), irrespective of the presence of silver.

**Efficacy of MPDS with or without Silver Lens Cases**

In the absence of a silver lens case, the MPDS significantly reduced the numbers of adherent Gram-positive or Gram-negative bacteria ($P = 0.003$; Fig. 2). The numbers of all bacteria that could be cultured...
from lens cases increased as the incubation time increased, particularly from 6 to 18 hours ($P = 0.002$). For all bacteria, no colonies could be grown after addition of the MPDS to cells that had been allowed to adhere to cases for 6 hours. On average, the reduction in bacteria numbers with the use of the MPDS on Gram-positive bacteria grown for 6 hours in cases in PBS was $2.92 \pm 0.3 \log_{10} \text{CFU/mL}$ and in 1/100 TSB it was $3.34 \pm 0.2 \log_{10} \text{CFU/mL}$. For Gram-negative bacteria grown for 6 hours in cases in PBS it was $3.49 \pm 0.33 \log_{10} \text{CFU/mL}$ and in 1/100 LB it was $3.91 \pm 0.32 \log_{10} \text{CFU/mL}$. For bacteria adherent to lenses for 18 or 48 hours, there were reductions in Gram-positive numbers after the addition of the MPDS of $2.25 \pm 0.57$ or $2.25 \pm 0.84 \log_{10} \text{CFU/mL}$, respectively, for cells in PBS, and $2.94 \pm 0.43$ or $3.04 \pm 0.91 \log_{10} \text{CFU/mL}$, respectively, for cells in 1/100 TSB. For Gram-negative bacteria there were reductions in bacteria adherent to lens cases for 18 or 48 hours after addition of the MPDS of $2.25 \pm 0.57$ or $2.25 \pm 0.84 \log_{10} \text{CFU/mL}$, respectively, for cells in PBS, and $2.94 \pm 0.43$ or $3.04 \pm 0.91 \log_{10} \text{CFU/mL}$, respectively, for cells in 1/100 TSB. In the absence of a silver lens case, the MPDS was most effective against S. aureus ATCC 6538 within the Gram-positive bacteria, giving a reduction of $4.22 \pm 0.2 \log_{10} \text{CFU/mL}$ (Fig. 2a) after 48 hours in 1/100 TSB, and against S. marcescens ATCC 13880 within the Gram-negative bacteria, giving a reduction of $4.39 \pm 0.2 \log_{10} \text{CFU/mL}$ (Fig. 2b) after 6 hours exposure in 1/100 LB.

The combination of MPDS and silver in lens cases reduced bacterial adhesion over and above the effect of silver lens cases or MPDS alone. Compared to silver cases alone, the addition of MPDS significantly ($P < 0.005$) reduced the numbers of all Gram-positive and -negative bacteria adhered to lens cases irrespective of the media in which they had been incubated or the time they had adhered. For all Gram-positive bacteria, addition of the MPDS with silver lens cases reduced the numbers of bacteria that could be cultured to $\leq 1 \log_{10} \text{CFU/mL}$ after 6 and 18 hours of adhesion to cases, compared to the silver lens cases alone. This also was the case for most of the strains of Gram-negative bacteria, the exception being for both strains of P. aeruginosa where there were 0.43 to 1.5 $\log_{10} \text{CFU/mL}$ remaining after 6 and 18 hours of adhesion (Fig. 3). The greatest reduction in the numbers of bacteria that could be grown after addition of the MPDS to silver lens cases occurred at the 18 hour time point ($P = 0.01$).

Compared to the use of MPDS without silver cases, the addition of the silver case significantly ($P = 0.004$) reduced the numbers of all bacteria that could be cultured from cases after they had been allowed to adhere for 18 hours (Table 2, Fig. 3).

The use of MPDS with the silver case, significantly reduced bacterial adhesion compared to the silver case alone ($P < 0.005$, Table 2), although there were differences between strains (Table 2). Also, the magnitude of the reduction with MPDS was similar in the media and PBS conditions.
Antibacterial Efficacy of the MPDS with or without Silver Lens Cases in the Presence of Organic Soil and Contact Lenses

The addition of organic soil to the disinfection process resulted in a significant (\( P = 0.005 \)) decrease in disinfection efficacy for all bacteria with or without silver lens cases with 6 hours of disinfection (Table 3). The addition of a contact lens during the disinfection process resulted in reduction in the number of viable bacteria that could be cultured from lens cases regardless of the incubation media or use of silver in cases (\( P = 0.005 \); Fig. 4). No bacteria were recovered from contact lenses for most of the strains of Gram-positive bacteria, when lenses were added for 6, 10, or 24 hours during disinfection, with the exception of \( S. \) aureus 31 (\( 1.30 \log_{10} \pm 0.2 \) CFU/mL) and \( S. \) epidermidis 22-1 (\( 1.40 \log_{10} \pm 0.1 \) CFU/mL, \( P < 0.005 \)), after 24 hours of incubation during disinfection in nonsilver cases. For Gram-negative bacteria, an average of 1.50 ± 0.1 and 1.40 ± 0.1 Log CFU/mL bacterial adhesion was seen in contact lenses after 24 hours of MPDS disinfection with or without silver cases, respectively, but no bacterial recovery was seen when lenses were added for 6 or 10 hours during disinfection.

Overall, the combination of silver lens cases along with MPDS was the most effective in reducing bacterial adhesion (Fig. 5). The combination of silver lens cases with MPDS showed complete inhibition of bacterial adhesion against \( S. \) aureus ATCC 6538, \( S. \) epidermidis ATCC 35984, \( M. \) luteus 22-1, and \( S. \) marcescens (\( P < 0.005 \); Fig. 5).

### Discussion

This study reports for the first time the efficacy of a novel silver-impregnated barrel contact lens storage case with and without MPDS, organic soil, and contact lenses against both typed and clinical bacterial isolates. Silver barrel cases demonstrated robust activity against adherent Gram-positive and -negative bacteria. The greatest antimicrobial efficacy was achieved with the combined effect of silver lens cases and the MPDS. The presence of contact lenses in the lens case during the disinfection resulted in reduced numbers of bacteria adhered to lens cases compared to the absence of lenses. However, the addition of organic soil increased the bacterial adhesion to lens cases and significantly decreased the disinfection efficacy of the MPDS with or without silver lens cases.

For certain strains of \( S. \) aureus, \( S. \) epidermidis, \( M. \) luteus, \( S. \) marcescens, and \( A. \) radioresistens, there was complete bacterial inhibition after 6 hours of bacterial adhesion with the recommended 6 hours of disinfection. Mowrey-Mckee et al.,\(^{40}\) using the ISO stand-

### Table 2. Difference in Efficacy between Silver Cases Plus MPDS and MPDS Alone after Bacteria had Adhered to Lens Cases in PBS for 6, 18, and 48 Hours

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bacterial Strains</th>
<th>Change in Bacterial Adhesion Log_{10} CFU/mL</th>
<th>6 Hrs.</th>
<th>18 Hrs.</th>
<th>48 Hrs.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gram-positive bacteria</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( S. ) aureus 31</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-2.57*</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( S. ) aureus ATCC 6538</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1.66*</td>
<td>-0.76*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( S. ) epidermidis ATCC 35984</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1.6*</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( S. ) epidermidis 22-1</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1.03*</td>
<td>-1.9*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( M. ) luteus 22-1</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-2.77*</td>
<td>-0.5*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( M. ) luteus 14-1</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-2.23*</td>
<td>-1.77*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gram-negative bacteria</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( P. ) aeruginosa 6294</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.3*</td>
<td>-1.73*</td>
<td>-0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( P. ) aeruginosa ATCC 9027</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>-2.19*</td>
<td>-0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( S. ) marcescens ATCC 13880</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-3.26*</td>
<td>-0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( S. ) marcescens 27</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-3.23*</td>
<td>-0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( A. ) radioresistens 22-1</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1.79*</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( A. ) radioresistens 14-1</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-2.64*</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Negative values indicate numbers of adhered bacteria were reduced with the combination of MPDS plus silver lens cases compared to MPDS alone.

* Significant difference (\( P < 0.05 \)).
alone procedure, reported that the MPDS used in the current study reduced the numbers of planktonic *P. aeruginosa* by at least 3 Log\(_{10}\) CFU/mL at the manufacturer’s recommended disinfection time (6 hours), but was not as effective against *S. aureus* ATCC 6538. However, in our study, the MPDS was active against adherent *P. aeruginosa* ATCC 9027 and *S. aureus* ATCC 6538, but did not show complete inhibition if bacteria had been allowed to adhere for 18 hours.

The most effective antimicrobial activity was achieved by the combined effect of silver cases and the MPDS compared to the individual efficacy of either silver cases or MPDS alone. Also, in our study, the efficacy of silver cases along with the MPDS was effective against a higher bacterial inoculum than used in a previous study with other silver lens cases and its polyhexanide-containing MPDS.\(^2\)\(^8\) Furthermore, the MPDS used in our study has been shown to have greater antibacterial activity against Gram-negative bacteria in vitro than the polyhexanide-containing MPDS.\(^4\)\(^1\) This may explain the greater effect of the silver/MPDS combination found in our study.

The presence of contact lenses has previously been shown to reduce the antimicrobial efficacy of MPDS,\(^4\)\(^2\) possibly by absorbing the disinfecting solution during soaking.\(^4\)\(^3\) However, our study demonstrated a greater bactericidal activity in the presence of lenses, especially for *S. marcescens* ATCC 13880. The reason for this is not known, but could be due to bacteria moving from the lens cases onto the lens surface and so depleting the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gram-positive bacteria</th>
<th>Nonsilver Lens Cases</th>
<th>Silver Lens Cases</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>S. aureus</em> 31</td>
<td>1.49*</td>
<td>3.17*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S. aureus</em> ATCC 6538</td>
<td>2.37*</td>
<td>3.17*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S. epidermidis</em> ATCC 35984</td>
<td>2.40*</td>
<td>2.73*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S. epidermidis</em> 22-1</td>
<td>1.68*</td>
<td>3.60*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>M. luteus</em> 22-1</td>
<td>1.23*</td>
<td>3.13*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>M. luteus</em> 14-1</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>2.89*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gram-negative bacteria</th>
<th>With MPDS in Presence of Organic Soil</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>P. aeruginosa</em> 6294</td>
<td>1.89*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>P. aeruginosa</em> ATCC 9027</td>
<td>1.63*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S. marcescens</em> ATCC 13880</td>
<td>1.85*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S. marcescens</em> 27</td>
<td>1.45*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>A. radioresistens</em> 22-1</td>
<td>1.62*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>A. radioresistens</em> 14-1</td>
<td>1.96*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Negative values indicate the reduction in biocidal efficacy of the MPDS and silver case combination in presence of organic soil.

* Significant difference (*P* < 0.05).

Table 3. The Biocidal Efficacy of MPDS in Presence or Absence of Organic Soil after 18 to 24 Hours of Bacterial Adhesion in Silver or Nonsilver Lens Cases

Figure 4. Efficacy of silver or nonsilver lens cases in conjunction with MPDS against (a) Gram-positive and (b) Gram-negative in organic soil. The three-time points (6, 10, and 24 hours) represent the disinfection time.
numbers adherent to lens cases, as bacteria were recovered from the lenses after disinfection. This transmission of the bacteria from lens cases to lenses has been shown previously.22 Organic soil reduced the antimicrobial activity of the current MPDS and silver cases against adherent bacteria. This is consistent with a previous report that used the ISO Stand Alone Test in the presence of organic soil, which found reduced antimicrobial efficacy of four commercially available contact lens MPDS with bacteria in suspension.44 The use of organic soil is optional under ISO 14729, but mandatory under the Food and Drug Administration guidelines for their Regimen test.25,45 The latter requires, 10 CFU of a set of microbes to be present on contact lenses at the end of the testing procedure, which involves rubbing, rinsing, and disinfecting of contact lenses.

The use of MPDS with silver cases for 24 hours significantly reduced bacterial numbers in lens cases, which is consistent with previous study findings where extended exposure in silver cases with another MPDS showed better antimicrobial efficacy.46 The volume of the barrel lens cases was higher (10 vs. 4 mL) than the more commonly used flat lens cases. The addition of the higher volume of MPDS in barrel lens cases during disinfection could enhance the efficacy of MPDS solution.39 The surface area of the lens cases also can be a contributing factor in the difference between the level of microbial adhesion to flat and barrel lens cases. One limitation of this work is that we examined the sole silver-impregnated barrel case available and the results may not be generalizable.

The oxychlorite complex in the MPDS disinfecting solution produces hypochlorite (bleach), which is antimicrobial.47 A recent report demonstrated that the antimicrobial efficacy of the oxychlorite disinfecting solution was significantly better than other commercially available contact lens MPDSs and was equivalent to a one-step hydrogen peroxide disinfection system.41

In conclusion, silver-copolymerized barrel lens cases exhibited broad-spectrum antimicrobial efficacy with the greatest activity against Gram-positive bacteria. The addition of their MPDS to the silver cases enhanced the overall efficacy of the disinfection system. The use of silver cases can be an alternative to reduce bacterial adhesion in lens cases. Future studies will investigate whether silver barrel lens cases have a similar ability to control microbial colonization in vivo.
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