Uveitis Therapy With Shark Variable Novel Antigen Receptor Domains Targeting Tumor Necrosis Factor Alpha or Inducible T-Cell Costimulatory Ligand
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Introduction

The term uveitis encompasses a number of diseases that feature intraocular inflammation as their primary manifestation. The incidence of all forms of uveitis is approximately 50/100,000 person-years, and the prevalence is approximately 100/100,000 person-years.¹ This means that, at any given time in the United States, approximately 300,000 individuals have active uveitis. Uveitis remains a major cause of
visual disability, with studies suggesting that over 50% of patients with the chronic forms of the disease will suffer significant vision loss, many quite severe.\(^2\,^3\) Uveitis is considered the fifth or sixth leading cause of blindness in the working age population in the United States and Europe, predominantly from noninfectious etiologies.\(^4\,^6\) Worldwide impact varies by location and proportion of cases caused by infectious disease or autoimmunity.\(^7\,^11\)

Corticosteroids remain the mainstay of treatment for uveitis.\(^12\) Topical preparations are used for relatively mild, anterior cases, while periocular, intraocular, and oral administration is used for more advanced cases. Although highly efficacious for most noninfectious forms of uveitis, long-term local corticosteroid use can induce ocular complications, including cataract formation and glaucoma.\(^13\) Systemic use is associated with a host of undesirable complications, including diabetes, hyperlipidemia, osteoporosis, and cushingoid body habitus.\(^14\) Steroid sparing medications borrowed from the rheumatologic armamentarium are used for long-term treatment,\(^12\) but the efficacy of these medications is suboptimal; these medications additionally are associated with many significant systemic side effects.\(^15\,^16\)

The tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF\(\alpha\)) inhibitor adalimumab (Humira) is the first nonsteroidal therapy to achieve United States Food and Drug Administration approval for the treatment of noninfectious uveitis.\(^17\,^18\) Adalimumab is a human monoclonal antibody that is delivered by subcutaneous injection every 2 weeks. While it is effective in preventing relapse and controlling inflammation in a percentage of patients, in the VISUAL I and Sycamore trials, there was a substantial failure rate at endpoint (\(~\sim50\%) suggesting the continued need for alternative therapies.\(^19\) One possible alternative is switching from adalimumab to a different anti-TNF\(\alpha\) agent. Infliximab has been recommended by a consensus panel as a treatment option for patients with noninfectious uveitis due to the well-established body of literature supporting efficacy.\(^20\) Other TNF\(\alpha\) inhibitors, such as golimumab and certolizumab pegol, also have demonstrated efficacy with one report indicating that some patients can be treated successfully with golimumab after failing with adalimumab.\(^21\,^22\) Another alternative is using a dosing strategy or agent that provides more complete TNF\(\alpha\) blockade. Some small studies reporting on the off label use of the anti-TNF\(\alpha\) monoclonal antibody, infliximab (Remicade) in the treatment of uveitis, found that higher doses of infliximab and shorter dosing intervals could improve control in patients who were not controlled on other steroid-sparing immune modulating medications or on lower dose anti-TNF\(\alpha\) therapy.\(^23\,^25\)

Rather than increasing dosage of existing monoclonal antibodies, next-generation therapeutic biologics offer the opportunity to increase effective TNF\(\alpha\) depletion using novel binding domains linked to immunoglobulin scaffolds. One such novel binding domain, the variable region of shark IgG novel antigen receptors (VNARs), are the smallest naturally occurring binding domains in the vertebrate kingdom.\(^26\,^27\) They demonstrate exquisite selectivity for target and much higher inherent solubility and stability than traditional monoclonal antibodies. This makes them ideal candidates for therapeutic drug development.\(^28\,^30\)

Experimental autoimmune uveitis (EAU)\(^31\) is a well-established model of human uveitis that is induced by immunization with specific retinal proteins or peptide and disease is mediated by Th1 and Th17 mechanisms.\(^31\,^32\) Inhibition of TNF\(\alpha\) is known to be efficacious in experimental uveitis.\(^33\,^35\) Therefore, we sought to demonstrate the efficacy anti-TNF\(\alpha\) therapy with a new VNAR-based platform using this well-established model. Additionally, the inducible T-cell co-stimulator ligand (ICOSL) has been implicated in the pathogenesis of EAU,\(^36\,^37\) and a VNAR targeting ICOSL is effective in controlling EAU in mice.\(^38\) Thus, in this work, the efficacy of two VNAR-based therapies to control ocular inflammation was tested and compared using the Lewis rat model of EAU.

**Methods**

**Animals, EAU Induction, and VNAR Treatment**

This animal study protocol was approved by the animal care and use committee of the University of Washington (animal study protocol #4184-05) and was compliant with the ARVO Statement for the Use of Animals in Ophthalmic and Vision Research. This study was performed in two experiments with half of the animals in each treatment group per round. Female Lewis rats 6 to 8 weeks of age were purchased (Envigo, Somerset, NJ) and maintained with standard chow and water ad libitum under specific pathogen-free conditions. EAU was generated with subcutaneous injection of 60 \(\mu\)g interphotoreceptor retinoid...
binding protein peptide R16 (ADGSSWEGVG VVPDV; Peptide 2.0, Inc., Chantilly, VA) in complete Freund’s adjuvant (2.5 mg/mL H37Ra in incomplete Freund’s Adjuvant) in two divided doses to each hip. Animals were weighed for health monitoring on days 0, 7, 10, 12, 13, and 14. The VNAR-mouse IgG2a constant domain (Fc) constructs are approximately 78 kDa fusion molecules with the VNAR domain N-terminally fused to the hinge region of a mouse IgG2a Fc region via a short (Gly4Ser)2 flexible linker. The Fc portion of the protein is derived from the wild type mouse IgG2a immunoglobulin molecule. S17-Fc and A5-Fc were expressed transiently in human embryonic kidney 283 cells. VNAR-Fc proteins were purified using Protein-A affinity chromatography and protein functionality was confirmed by target specific binding and neutralization assays. VNARs S17-Fc (20 mg/kg), A5-Fc (20 mg/kg), or PBS were administered by intraperitoneal (IP) injection on days 8, 10, and 12. Dexamethasone 0.2 mg/kg (Fresenius Kabi USA, LLC, Lake Zurich, IL) was administered by IP injection on days 10, 12, and 13. Previous unpublished experience had identified this steroid regimen as the minimum sufficient to prevent disease.

Clinical Scoring, Optical Coherence Tomography (OCT) System, Image Acquisition, and Analysis

Clinical scores and OCT images were obtained on day 0, 7, 10, 12, 13, and 14. Unmasked clinical scores were performed by a single unmasked grader (LW) using an external penlight exam and an established scale. OCT images were acquired using the Bioptigen Envisu R2300. Anterior segment volume scans centered on the corneal apex covering an area of 5 × 5 mm (1000 A-scan/B-scan × 200 B-scans) were captured using a Bioptigen 18 mm telecentric lens (product #90-BORE-G3-18; Bioptigen, Inc. Morrisville, NC). During imaging, animals were anesthetized with intraperitoneal ketamine/xylazine at a dose of 68.2 mg/kg (Ketamine: Ketaset 100 mg/mL; Zoetis, Inc. Kalamazoo, MI; Xylazine: AnaSed 20 mg/mL; Lloyd Laboratories, Shenandoah, IA), and placed in the prone position in the Bioptigen rat-imaging cassette (Bioptigen, Inc.). Topical tetracaine (0.5%, Bausch and Lomb, Inc., Tampa, FL) was applied and eyes were dilated with phentolamine (2.5%; Akorn, Inc., Lake Forest, IL) and corneal protection provided by Genteal gel (Alcon Laboratories, Inc., Fort Worth, TX). Two graders, masked to treatment and experimental day, scored the degree of inflammation on individual images using an adaptation of an established and validated OCT imaging score system. Disagreement in score between the two graders was arbitrated by a third masked grader. Briefly, cells on each image were counted and then assigned a semiquantitative score roughly paralleling the standardization of uveitis nomenclature (SUN) system: 0 = no cells/image, 0.5+ = 1 to 5 cells/image, 1+ = 5 to 15 cells/image, 2+ = 16 to 24 cell/image, 3+ = 25+ cells/image and no hypopyon, 4+ = 25+ cells/image plus a hypopyon or pupillary membrane.

Histology and Aqueous Humor Analysis

Post mortem aqueous humor (right eyes) and whole eyes for histology (left eyes) were collected on day 14. Aqueous humor was collected in an ethylene-diaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA)–containing capillary tube (Sarstedt, Nümbrecht, Germany) after corneal paracentesis with a 30-gauge needle (Becton Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, NJ). Then, 10 to 15 μL of aqueous was collected from each eye, and stored at −80°C in combination with 1 to 1.5 μL ×1 protease inhibitor (Sigma-Aldrich Corp., St. Louis, MO) until assayed. Whole eyes were fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin (Sigma-Aldrich Corp.) for at least 24 hours. Paraffin block sections (4 μm) were stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) and scored by a single grader (KP), masked to treatment using an established grading system.

Aqueous protein was quantified using Pierce 660 nm Protein Assay Reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Madison, WI) for colorimetric detection on the Nanodrop ND-1000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Then, 1 μL aqueous was used for total protein concentration determination. The remaining aqueous (9–14 μL) was diluted in an equal volume of radioimmunoprecipitation assay (RIPA) buffer containing phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF) and protease inhibitor cocktail according to the manufacturer’s protocol, and divided equally for testing in triplicate. Aqueous cytokine concentrations were determined using the MilliplexMAP rat cytokine/chemokine premixed 27plex immunology multiplex assay (EMD Millipore Corp., Billerica, MA). The cytokines measured were granulocyte-colony stimulating factor (G-CSF), eosin, granulocyte monocyte-colony stimulating factor (GM-CSF), interleukin (IL)-1α, macrophage inflammatory protein-1α (MIP-1α), IL-2, epidermal growth factor (EGF), IL-13, IL-12p70, IL-5, monocyte chemoattractant protein-1
(MCP-1), interferon (IFN)-γ-induced protein 10 (IP-10), fractalkine, lipopolysaccharide-induced CXC chemokine (LIX), MIP-2, leptin, IL-4, IL-6, IL-10, IFN-γ, IL-17A, IL-18, growth-related oncogene/keratinocyte chemokine (GRO/KC), vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), TNFα, and regulated on activation, normal T cell expressed and secreted (RANTES). Samples were analyzed using the MAGPIX system (Luminex, Austin, TX) with xPonent software version 4.2 (EMD Millipore). Data analysis was performed using Milliplex Analyst Standard Version 5.1 software (EMD Millipore). Statistical analysis and graphing was performed using Graphpad Prism 7.0 software (Graphpad Software, La Jolla, CA). Clinical and histologic scores and aqueous protein concentrations of the four treatment groups were compared on day 14 using the Kruskal-Wallis test. Multiple pairwise comparisons were performed using Dunn’s test. Adjusted $P$ values $<0.05$ were significant.

### Results

**Treatment With VNARs Decreases Inflammation in EAU**

To test the efficacy of VNARs in the control of ocular inflammation, EAU was induced in 16 Lewis rats, and treatment with S17-Fc (Anti-TNFα) or A5-Fc (Anti-COSL) was compared to treatment with dexamethasone (positive control) and vehicle only (negative control). Control of inflammation was first evaluated using a masked OCT inflammation score (Fig. 1A). The mean score of eight eyes (both eyes of four animals) per treatment group was determined for each day, and then plotted longitudinally to reveal the course on inflammation over time. OCT score increased sharply between days 10 and 12 in vehicle-treated eyes and reached a mean score of $2.9 \pm 1.1$ on day 14. Treatment with S17-Fc, and dexamethasone decreased the daily inflammation score when com-
pared to vehicle treatment starting on day 12 (Fig. 1A). On day 14, OCT score was significantly decreased with dexamethasone (mean = 1.0 ± 1.5, *P < 0.02) and S17-Fc (mean = 0.75 ± 0.65, *P < 0.03). Treatment with A5-Fc led to a decreased OCT score on day 14 (mean = 1.4 ± 1.5), but the difference from vehicle was not significant (*P = 0.12; Fig. 1B). A large difference in score (≥ 2 step on day 14) between fellow eyes was noted in two animals; one vehicle-treated animal (right eye score = 3, left eye score = 1) and one A5-Fc–treated animal (right eye score = 0, left eye = 1). In seven of 16 (44%) animals, both eyes had the same score, and in the remaining seven of 16 (44%) there was a 1-step difference between eyes (Supplemental Fig. S1).

After OCT imaging on day 14, all animals were sacrificed. Left eyes were collected for histologic evaluation and scoring (Figs. 2A, 2C–F). From the right eye, aqueous was collected for total protein concentration determination (Fig. 2B) and inflammatory cytokine analysis (Table 1, Fig. 3). The comparisons of day 14 OCT to aqueous protein concentration (right eyes) or histology score (left eyes) for each treatment group are shown in Supplemental Figure S2. Histology of vehicle-treated eyes revealed extensive inflammation in the anterior and posterior chambers, including anterior chamber cells, pupillary membranes, retinal vasculitis, full thickness retinal lesions, and cellular choroidal infiltration (Fig. 2A). Median histologic score in vehicle-treated animals was 4 (interquartile ratio [IQR] = 2–4). Histologic score was significantly decreased by treatment with dexamethasone (median = 0, IQR = 0–1.5, *P = 0.02) and S17-Fc (median = 0.5, IQR = 0–1.75, *P = 0.03). Treatment with A5-Fc also decreased clinical score compared to vehicle, but this difference was not significant (median = 2.5, IQR = 0.25–4.0, *P = 0.44). In the A5-Fc group, the range on histology score was large with two animals demonstrating almost complete control of inflammation (scores 0 and 1), but two animals demonstrated significant inflammation with a score of 4 (Fig. 2F).

Anterior chamber protein is elevated in eyes with uveitis due to the breakdown of the blood ocular
barrier during inflammation. In uninflamed rat eyes, aqueous protein is low. Valderrama et al. reported in normal eyes that protein concentration fluctuates between 0.3 and 1.5 mg/mL. In rats with EAU treated with vehicle alone, median protein concentration of the aqueous was 15.85 mg/mL (IQR = 15.08–19.28 mg/mL). Median aqueous protein concentration was significantly lower in animals treated with dexamethasone (median = 4.13 mg/mL, IQR = 0.61–9.67 mg/mL, P = 0.028), and S17-Fc (median = 3.48 mg/mL, IQR = 1.89–9.19 mg/mL, P = 0.043). Treatment with A5-Fc also decreased aqueous protein concentration compared to vehicle-treated animals, but not significantly (median = 5.62 mg/mL, IQR = 4.12–7.83 mg/mL, P = 0.11).

### Treatment Decreases Intraocular Proinflammatory Cytokines

The concentration of 27 proinflammatory cytokines was determined using a multiplex enzyme-linked immunosorbent (ELISA) assay (Table 1). In Table 1, the cytokines are ranked from top to bottom according to the ability of steroid treatment to decrease the aqueous humor concentration compared to vehicle treatment. Significantly decreased cytokines are located at the top of the table, while cytokines that were increased in treated eyes are located at the bottom. The presence of a significant difference between treatment groups was identified using Kruskal-Wallis analysis of variance testing. When a significant difference (P ≤ 0.05) within the group was identified, Dunn’s multiple comparison test was used to identify specific differences.
performed to determine if a significant difference existed between the concentration of the cytokine in the vehicle control eyes and one of the treatment groups.

Eleven cytokines demonstrated a significant within group difference (Table 1). A significant difference between vehicle and dexamethasone treatment was identified in six of these groups: RANTES ($P = 0.03$), MIP-1α ($P = 0.01$), IL-1β ($P = 0.05$), LIX ($P = 0.02$), MCP-1 ($P = 0.01$), and IFN-γ ($P = 0.02$; Fig. 3). S17-Fc treatment significantly decreased VEGF ($P = 0.04$) and RANTES ($P = 0.05$), and significantly increased GM-CSF ($P = 0.04$) compared to vehicle. A5-Fc treatment did not significantly decrease any cytokine compared to vehicle, but did significantly increase GM-CSF ($P = 0.02$) and GRO/KC ($P = 0.03$). Local concentrations of TNFα were below the level of detection of the assay ($<14.93$ pg/mL) in three of the four vehicle control eyes. In one eye, TNFα concentration was detected at 18.7 pg/mL. In all eyes of the treated animals (dexamethasone, S17-Fc, and A5-Fc), TNFα concentrations were below the level of detection of the assay ($<14.93$ pg/mL).

For the individual eyes used to measure aqueous protein and cytokine concentrations, a significant correlation was found between day 14 OCT score and total aqueous protein concentration (Spearman’s $r = 0.82$; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.54–0.94; $P <$...
0.001). Furthermore, eight cytokines were identified that demonstrated a significant correlation to aqueous protein concentration (Supplemental Table S1). Two demonstrated a negative correlation: GM-CSF ($r = -0.77; 95\% CI, -0.92$ to $-0.43; P < 0.001$) and GRO/KC ($r = -0.76; 95\% CI, -0.92$ to $-0.42; P < 0.001$). Six demonstrated a positive correlation to aqueous protein concentration: MIP-1α ($r = 0.89; 95\% CI, 0.69$ to $0.96; P < 0.0001$), IL-1β ($r = 0.88; 95\% CI, 0.67$–$0.96; P < 0.0001$), VEGF ($r = 0.84; 95\% CI, 0.59$–$0.95; P < 0.0001$), RANTES ($r = 0.84; 95\% CI, 0.59$–$0.95; P < 0.0001$), and Leptin ($r = 0.77; 95\% CI, 0.44$–$0.92; P < 0.001$).

The Effect of Treatment on Weight

Systemic corticosteroid administration in rats leads to weight loss and decreased food intake.$^{47-50}$ To monitor for weight loss with treatment, animals were weighed on days 0, 7, 10, 12, 13, and 14. The average change in weight from baseline per treatment group per day is shown in Figure 4A. Weight increased in all groups through day 10. On days 12 to 13, vehicle control animals maintained their weight, and on day 14 they demonstrated a median increase from baseline weight of 9.5 g (IQR $= 7.5$–$10$ g). In contrast, after initially gaining weight, dexamethasone- and S17-Fc–treated animals lost weight after day 10 for a final median weight loss of 4.5 g (IQR $= -16.75$ to $+1.75$ g) in the dexamethasone-treated animals and 4 g (IQR $= -13$ to $+2.75$ g) in S17-Fc treated animals. A5-Fc–treated animals gained weight though day 12, but then lost weight through day 14. However, on day 14, A5-Fc animals still demonstrated a median weight gain of 7 g from baseline (IQR $= 3.5$–$10.5$ g). The median change in weight in dexamethasone ($= -4.5$ g, $P = 0.03$) and S17-Fc animals ($= -4.0$ g, $P = 0.03$) was significantly different from the weight change in vehicle-treated animals ($= 9.5$ g).

Discussion

We report on the use of two shark VNAR-Fc fusion proteins in the treatment of experimental uveitis in the Lewis rat. We find that the anti-TNFα agent, S17-Fc, was effective at reducing inflammation by clinical OCT score, postmortem histology, and aqueous humor protein concentration. Furthermore, the ability of S17-Fc to control inflammation by these measures was equivalent to the results of the positive control dexamethasone. Systemic treatment with the ICOSL inhibitor, A5-Fc VNAR, demonstrated an intermediate decrease in clinical score, OCT score, and histologic score, but showed a robust decrease in aqueous protein that was nearly equivalent to the effect of treatment with dexamethasone.

Not surprisingly, in this study we showed that systemic anti-TNFα therapy is effective in controlling experimental uveitis. Multiple prior studies have demonstrated the benefit of TNFα depletion in rat$^{33-35}$ and mouse$^{33}$ models of EAU. However, these studies used TNF receptor fusion proteins to bind TNFα rather than the commercially available anti-TNFα antibodies due to the inability of infliximab and adalimumab to bind the murine proteins.$^{51-53}$ Our study is distinct from these prior animal model studies because the S17 anti-TNFα VNAR domain-Fc fusion protein can bind both mouse and rat TNFα. So for the first time, our data demonstrated in vivo...
efficacy of this anti-TNFα VNAR domain-Fc fusion protein in an important model of human uveitis, and establishes S17-Fc as new tool that can be used in a wide range of clinically relevant animal models of inflammatory disease.

The ability of anti-ICOSL VNAR A5-Fc was not consistent in demonstrating efficacy in the rat model of EAU. This is in contrast to a prior study using the A5-Fc VNAR in the mouse model of EAU. A potential reason for this difference is that in the mouse study, the A5-Fc was dosed daily starting day 1 through day 14 after EAU induction. Thus, the ICOSL co-stimulation was present during the "afferent" phase of uveitis induction rather than during the "efferent" phase of disease, and the dosing interval was relatively short. In the current study, A5-Fc was administered only three times during the efferent phase (days 8, 10, and 12). Despite this disadvantage, administration of A5-Fc still showed a trend towards preventing the full manifestations of EAU in many eyes of the treatment group. Furthermore, most of the intraocular cytokines that were decreased significantly by steroid treatment also were decreased, albeit nonsignificantly with A5-Fc administration. It is possible that further optimization of timing and dosing would clarify the potential benefits of ICOSL inhibition in the treatment of uveitis.

Cytokine analysis demonstrated that treatment with dexamethasone had superior ability to suppress inflammatory cytokine expression in the eye, but that both VNARs also led to a general trend toward decreased intraocular proinflammatory cytokine expression. Surprisingly, soluble TNFα was not identified in vehicle-treated eyes despite the presence of severe inflammation. This finding is in contradistinction to a previous study that did identify TNFα (62 pg/mL) in rat eyes with EAU. However, the eyes of the rats in this study also had experienced ciliary body electroporation, which could have contributed to this difference. Establishing the importance of local versus systemic TNFα in the pathogenesis of uveitis has high clinical relevance. Local immune suppression with corticosteroids has a well-established role in the treatment of noninfectious uveitis. Since therapy with systemic anti-TNFα agents is effective, the next reasonable question is whether there is benefit from local anti-TNFα therapy. A few cases of intravitreal infliximab and adalimumab injection in humans have been reported. However, due to retinal toxicity associated with intravitreal infliximab in humans and a study in a rabbit model of uveitis that determined intravitreal injection of infliximab exacerbated inflammation while systemic administration ameliorated uveitis, local approaches have not been widely pursued. Taken together with our results of low to undetectable intraocular TNFα in all treatment conditions, the evidence suggested that systemic and not local TNFα has the key role in the pathogenesis of uveitis, possibly at the level of promoting Th1 polarization in regional lymph nodes.

IFNγ is a pivotal cytokine in rat EAU. We were surprised to find that intraocular IFNγ levels were not impacted by treatment with either S17-Fc or A5-Fc despite a decrease in clinical and histology score when compared to vehicle treatment. Furthermore, two proinflammatory cytokines, GM-CSF and GRO/KC, were elevated above vehicle in the VNAR and steroid treatment arms. GM-CSF is a key mediator of inflammation in the central nervous system, and sufficient to initiate experimental autoimmune encephalitis (EAE) when expressed in peripheral CD4+ T cells. GRO/KC, also known as chemokine (C-X-C motif) ligand 1 (CXCL1) is a neutrophil chemoattractant expressed by mast cells and macrophages during inflammation. How could these proinflammatory cytokines be elevated in treated eyes with lower clinical scores than those in the highly inflamed vehicle-treated eyes? We suspect that treatment delayed disease onset such that on day 14, eyes that received anti-inflammatory treatment (steroid and VNARs) were just beginning to express these early proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines, while the vehicle eyes were showing signs of a more mature inflammatory process with higher levels of a broad range of inflammatory cytokines. Future studies with a terminal time point on or after day 21 could be performed to test this hypothesis.

The animals in this study did not gain the weight typical for female rats in the 6- to 8-week age range. Animals in the vehicle and A5-Fc treatment arms did gain weight on average, but less than the expected range of 25 to 50 grams over 2 weeks. Animals in the steroid treatment and S17-Fc arms lost weight on average. Weight was not a study endpoint, so specific monitoring for important variables, such as food consumption and activity by each animal, was not part of the protocol. Additionally, no other signs of toxicity, such as diarrhea or general signs of distress or infection, were noted by study personnel. It is not clear what factors are responsible for these results. The design of future studies could include randomization to treatment arm by baseline weight and...
controls for variables known to contribute to poor weight gain to better establish the connection between weight loss and treatment suggested by our results.

Limitations of our study include the absence of a nonbinding VNAR-Fc isotype control and the small size of each treatment cohort. VNAR isotype control 2V has been extensively assessed in numerous in vitro cellular and non-cell based assays, and more importantly in in vivo pharmacokinetic and disease model studies across the mouse, rat, rabbit, and nonhuman primate model systems with no evidence to suggest any off-site target binding or pharmacologic effect. These data in conjunction with efficacy data from prior in vitro and animal studies using the S17-Fc and A5-Fc VNARs informed our decision to use the minimum number of animals that would be sufficient to identify a significant effect on the control of ocular inflammation in the EAU model. Further studies could be performed to replicate these data and confirm our findings in larger cohorts. Alternative treatment regimens, determination of serum and regional lymph node TNFα concentration, and later endpoints that have been used to determine efficacy in the rat model also could be explored.

In summary, an anti-TNFα VNAR, S17-Fc, shows good efficacy in controlling inflammation in an animal model of uveitis. Targeting TNFα for the treatment of human uveitis with humanized monoclonal antibodies is effective, but has some limitations that can be overcome with the use of next generation biologic therapies. An anti-human TNFα VNARs recently has been produced and characterized, and shows high in vitro neutralizing ability. Thus, anti-TNFα VNARs are a promising new therapeutic option to explore for use in the future treatment of human uveitis.
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