the present study, a fidelity adjudication team from a pool of 7 raters, listened to and independently rated recorded conversations for protocol adherence. Each checklist item is scored ranging from 0 to 2 (0=not done; 1=needs improvement; or 2=effective) with higher scores indicating greater adherence. Fidelity ratings tended to improve over time, suggesting a facilitator experience effect. The goal to achieve an overall fidelity rating of ≥80% was met in 63% of dyad conversations. Based on subscale scores, project targets were most frequently met on the Communication Skills subscale and less frequently accomplished on the Meeting Set Up subscale. Despite some challenges, preliminary assessments of SHARE intervention fidelity appear promising, and evidence suggests the fidelity checklist is both valid and reliable.