Reaction kinetics exploration of a protocellular metabolism
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Introduction

The reaction kinetics of a photochemically driven protocell system, previously implemented in the lab[1], is explored in simulation to further investigate the requirements for minimal and possible early life reaction system[2-6]. The system studied is based on a process in which a subunit of a potentially self-replicable information module supplies the means of producing additional copies of itself, as well as building blocks of a self-assembling container unit with which it associates. The information unit directly participates in a cyclic metabolism that converts resource molecules into building blocks that self-assemble due to their amphiphilic nature.

The photo-driven metabolic network

The reaction kinetic equations corresponding to Fig 1 are:

\[ \frac{d[R]}{dt} = -k_{ba}[R] + k_{b}^{-1}[R] + k_{eq}[R] + k_{eq}[R][SH_{2}] \] (1)

\[ \frac{d[R]}{dt} = k_{eq}[R] - k_{ep}[R] - k_{ev}[R][L_{p}] \] (2)

\[ \frac{d[R]}{dt} = k_{eq}[R][L_{p}] - k_{at}[R][L_{c}] \] (3)

\[ \frac{d[R]}{dt} = k_{eq}[R][L_{p}] - k_{eq}[R][SH_{2}] \] (4)

\[ \frac{d[R]}{dt} = k_{eq}[R][L_{p}] - k_{eq}[R][L_{c}] \] (5)

\[ \frac{d[R]}{dt} = k_{eq}[R][L_{p}] - k_{eq}[R][L_{c}] \] (6)

\[ \frac{d[P]}{dt} = k_{p}[p][SH_{2}] \] (7)

\[ \frac{d[L]}{dt} = k_{eq}[L][L_{p}] - k_{eq}[L][L_{c}] \] (8)

\[ \frac{d[L]}{dt} = k_{eq}[L][L_{p}] - k_{eq}[L][L_{c}] \] (9)

\[ \frac{d[H]}{dt} = k_{eq}[H][L_{p}] - k_{eq}[H][L_{c}] + k_{eq}[L] \] (10)

\[ \frac{d[H]}{dt} = k_{eq}[H][L_{p}] - k_{eq}[H][L_{c}] + k_{eq}[L] \] (11)

To explore the possibilities and limitations of the involved metabolic network, we analyze the simplest version of the previously implemented metabolic network[1].

In the metabolic network (Fig. 1), container building blocks (decanoic acid: L + Lc) are generated from precursor molecules (picolyl waste deradicalization) driven by photolysis (light energy) by photosensitizer (ruthenium-tris-bipyridine: Ru(bpy)), This is covalently bound to a particular nucleobase (8-oxo-}

Table 1: Rate constants and their estimated values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Name/Role</th>
<th>Nominal value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>k_{ba}</td>
<td>photooxidation (inc. light int. &amp; abs.)</td>
<td>4.800 × 10^{-1} s^{-1}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k_{ep}</td>
<td>fluorescence decay of excited catalyst</td>
<td>6.930 × 10^{-5} s^{-1}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k_{ev}</td>
<td>reductive quench of excited catalyst</td>
<td>6.930 × 10^{-5} s^{-1}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k_{at}</td>
<td>back electron to give catalyst ground state</td>
<td>1.000 × 10^{3} s^{-1}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k_{eq}</td>
<td>(initial) electron transfer to lipid precursor</td>
<td>2.933 × 10^{-4} M^{-1} s^{-1}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k_{rev}</td>
<td>regenerates of R_{c} from R_{e}</td>
<td>1.000 × 10^{3} M^{-1} s^{-1}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k_{s}</td>
<td>(initial) hydrolysis cleavage of L_{c}</td>
<td>1.000 × 10^{3} s^{-1}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k_{re}</td>
<td>disproportionation to regenerate SH_{2}</td>
<td>1.000 × 10^{3} M^{-1} s^{-1}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Figure 1: Reaction kinetics scheme. Initial concentrations are: R_{i} = 1 × 10^{-3} M, L_{p} = 15 × 10^{-3} M, SH_{2} = 1.755 × 10^{-3} M. The corresponding reaction kinetic equations are given in the text and their rate constants estimates in Table 1. Rate constants estimates are in part based on direct analysis of experimental data and in part on simulations. Note that only oxoG (and not G) has sufficient redox potential to allow the photocatalytic reaction to proceed. The dotted line from oxoG to oxoG' indicates that an electron is separated from oxoG, while the two dotted lines from oxoG' respectively indicate where the separated electron and the successive separated proton go. Dotted line at k_{eq2} path shows back electron. See text for details.
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guanine: oxoG) that acts as an electron donor to the photoexcited Ru(bpy)$_3^+$, so that photoexcited electrons are available to “digest” (cleave) the precursors L$_p$ that result in the formation of container building blocks (L + L$_a$).

**Key reaction parameter estimation**

The photoexcitation of the ruthenium complex is the first reaction to estimate in Fig 1. From ref. (1) we get the photoexcitation rate (EX) is calculated as (photons/(s cm$^{-2}$)) / (molecules of photocatalyst / cm$^2$) and is EX = 0.48 (photon/molecule/s) = $k_{h}$. The initial overall fatty acid production rate is measured to be 2.1×10$^6$ M/s; therefore from 0.001 M Ru the turnover rate is 2.1×10$^6$ M/s/0.001 M = 2.1×10$^8$/s. This gives a photoexcitation rate of 0.48 (photon/molecule/s) of the excited Ru complex yielding 2.1×10$^5$ fatty acid molecules per second. This defines a photoexcitation/quantum yield $PY$ of

$$PY = (2.1 \times 10^5 \text{ s}^{-1}) / (0.48 \text{ s}^{-1}) = 0.0044.$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)

The photocatalysis route leading to lipid production has a pair of branching points $k_{red}$ vs. $k_{l}$ (where the $k_{red}$ branch needs to be chosen) followed by $k_{h} - k_{be}$ where the $k_{be}$ pathway must be chosen for lipid production to occur. These two bifurcation pathways for the electron transfer process give relative sizes of $k_{red}/k_{l}$ and $(k_{h} - k_{be})/k_{be}$, where $[L(0)] = 1.5 \times 10^5$ M is the initial concentration of L$_p$. This means

$$(k_{red}/k_{l}) \times (k_{h} - k_{be})/k_{be} = 0.0044.$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)

It is further stated that the quenching of the oxo-G is less than or equal to 10%.$^{10}$ This implies $k_{red}/k_{l} \sim 0.1$ and $(k_{h} - k_{be})/k_{be} \sim 0.044$ as Eq. (17) needs to be satisfied. From this we can estimate that

$$k_{red}/k_{l} \sim 0.044/(1.5 \times 10^5 \text{ M}) = 2.933/\text{M}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (18)

Ref. (1) SI also has experimental information about the hydrolysis reaction that we can use to approximate $k_{h}$. Guanine (G, as opposed to oxoG) does not have a sufficient redox potential to allow the photocatalytic reaction to proceed, leaving only the hydrolysis reaction (the k$_h$ term in Eq. (5)) to produce lipid. Keeping only this term in Eq. (5), the modified equation takes on a form whose solution is a decreasing exponential, $[L(0)] = [L(0)] \exp(-k_{h}t)$. From a 1st order Taylor expansion of $\exp(-k_{h}t)$ and since $[L(0)] = [L(0)] = ([L(0)] + [L(0)])$, we get $([L(0)]/[L(0)]) = k_{h} t$, or an initial linear dependence of fractional lipid production with time. From this and the initial Fig. 2 guanine curve slope, we find $k_{h} \approx 4.5 \times 10^{-4}$/s.

**Reaction kinetic simulations**

MATLAB software was used to numerically solve the coupled set of Eqs. (1-15) with the starting concentrations used in Ref. (1), all while exploring a range of values of the rate constants centered on the nominal values given in Table 1. As noted above, we have good values for $k_{h}$, and $k_{be}$ as well as for the ratios of $k_{red}/k_{be}$ and $k_{h}/k_{be}$ and their product, so these values were kept constant. The values for $([L(0)]/[L(0)])$ are compared to the experimental values of Fig. 3 in Ref. (1) in order to judge the appropriateness of the values explored.

Fits are typically quite good at early times, but later the experimental data fall well below the simulations, see Fig. 2. We speculate that this is due to the formation of molecular structures that in different ways inhibit the hydrolysis reaction and electron transfer from R$_3$ to the precursor. We assume a slow

**Discussion and Outlook**

A simple reaction kinetic analysis provided us with valuable information about how the metabolic network operates. Notably, the values of most of the rate constants can be changed by several orders of magnitude provided ratios $k_{red}/k_{l}$ and $(k_{h} - k_{be})/k_{be}$, as well as the $k_{h}$ and $k_{be}$ values are maintained. Part of this insensitivity is explained by the small value of $k_{be}$, which means that smaller or larger values of many of the rate constants make no difference. In any event, added experiments are needed to identify the actual values. Rate constant estimates based on current knowledge are given in Table 1. Clearly, the emergence of aggregates slows down the fatty acid production, while these lower rate constants seem to be steady (and lower) once the aggregates have fully formed.

Our metabolic network also functions for DNA oligomers ligation as demonstrated in Ref. (3). Further, the metabolic network functions when the oxoG is separated from the Ru complex as demonstrated in Ref. (2). This is critical for a future incorporation of the oxoG into a self-replicating information system, which is outlined in Ref (4). How to circumvent product inhibition in the ligation based self-replication process is discussed in Refs. (5) and (6).
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