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I read with great interest the paper by Birgand et al. regarding the results of their quasi-experimental single-centre prospective cohort study related to the efficacy of gentamicin-impregnated collagen sponges in preventing deep sternal wound infections in high-risk cardiac surgery patients [1].

I would greatly appreciate it, if the authors could explain the relation of their first reference [2]. Barennes et al. to their very well presented data [2].

Regarding the incidence of superficial sternal wound infection, gentamicin-collagen sponges use seemed to add no benefit (RR, 0.65; 95% CI, 0.34–1.25; P =0.20). Therefore, in view of the clinical implications of their findings from this meta-analysis, the authors suggest that routine prophylactic retrosternal placement of gentamicin-impregnated sponges reduces the incidence of deep sternal wound infection.

The implementation of this simple measure could bring particular benefits in high-risk patients. With the purpose of elaborating consensus guidelines for the best management of these high-risk patients, and to draw definitive conclusions, future studies with larger numbers of patients are required.

Conflict of interest: none declared

References


eComment. The devil is in the detail!

Author: Shazad G. Raja

Harefield Hospital, London, UK
doi: 10.1093/icvts/svs507
© The Author 2012. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the European Association for Cardio-Thoracic Surgery. All rights reserved.

I read with great interest the quasi-experimental single-centre prospective cohort study conducted by Birgand et al. [1] evaluating the efficacy of a gentamicin-collagen sponge in decreasing deep sternal-wound infections in high-risk cardiac surgery patients. Interestingly, in their experience gentamicin-collagen sponge was not effective in preventing deep sternal wound infections in high-risk patients. This is contrary to our own experience [2] and the most plausible explanation for the conflicting results lies in the technique of using the gentamicin-collagen sponge.

Birgand and associates dipped the sponge in normal saline solution for a few seconds prior to implantation [1]. This manoeuvre of wetting the gentamicin-collagen sponge impacts on the gentamicin content of the implant and affects its geno sponge impacts on the gentamicin content of the implant and affects its not effective in preventing deep sternal wound infections in high-risk patients undergoing cardiac surgery.

Gentamicin-collagen sponges were introduced in 1985 for the prevention of surgical site infection and they are mainly used after laparotomy [2]. However, there is no consensus about their use in patients undergoing cardiac surgery [3]. A recently-published review of the literature and a meta-analysis showed conflicting results.

A best evidence topic in cardiac surgery concerning the use of gentamicin-collagen sponges to reduce wound infections was recently published in this journal [4]. The authors of this paper concluded that the use of this implant did not reduce the incidence of sternal wound infection in high-risk patients after cardiac surgery.

Recently, a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials was performed by Mavros et al. [5]. Four randomized controlled trials were included in this meta-analysis. All 4 trials were considered of high quality (score of 3 or more according to the modified Jadad criteria). By pooling data provided by 4 published trials (4672 patients), gentamicin-collagen sponge use demonstrated a significant reduction in the rate of deep sternal wound infection (RR, 0.62; 95% CI, 0.39–0.97; P =0.04). Regarding the incidence of superficial sternal wound infection, gentamicin-collagen sponges use seemed to add no benefit (RR, 0.65; 95% CI, 0.34–1.25; P =0.20). Therefore, in view of the clinical implications of their findings from this meta-analysis, the authors suggest that routine prophylactic retrosternal placement of gentamicin-impregnated sponges reduces the incidence of deep sternal wound infection.

The implementation of this simple measure could bring particular benefits in high-risk patients. With the purpose of elaborating consensus guidelines for the best management of these high-risk patients, and to draw definitive conclusions, future studies with larger numbers of patients are required.
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