Neuronally Derived Extracellular Vesicle α-Synuclein as a Serum Biomarker for Individuals at Risk of Developing Parkinson Disease
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IMPORTANCE Nonmotor symptoms of Parkinson disease (PD) often predate the movement disorder by decades. Currently, there is no blood biomarker to define this prodromal phase.

OBJECTIVE To investigate whether α-synuclein in neuronally derived serum-extracellular vesicles identifies individuals at risk of developing PD and related dementia.

DESIGN, SETTING, AND PARTICIPANTS This retrospective, cross-sectional multicenter study of serum samples included the Oxford Discovery, Marburg, Cologne, and Parkinson's Progression Markers Initiative cohorts. Participants were recruited from July 2013 through August 2023 and samples were analyzed from April 2022 through September 2023. The derivation group (n = 170) included participants with isolated rapid eye movement sleep behavior disorder (iRBD) and controls. Two validation groups were used: the first (n = 122) included participants with iRBD and controls and the second (n = 263) included nonmanifest GBA1N409S gene carriers, participants with iRBD or hyposmia, and available dopamine transporter single-photon emission computed tomography, healthy controls, and patients with sporadic PD. Overall the study included 199 participants with iRBD, 20 hyposmic participants with available dopamine transporter single-photon emission computed tomography, 146 nonmanifest GBA1N409S gene carriers, 21 GBA1N409S gene carrier patients with PD, 50 patients with sporadic PD, and 140 healthy controls. In the derivation group and validation group 1, participants with polysomnographically confirmed iRBD were included. In the validation group 2, at-risk participants with available Movement Disorder Society prodromal markers and serum samples were included. Among 580 potential participants, 4 were excluded due to alternative diagnoses.

EXPOSURES Clinical assessments, imaging, and serum collection.

MAIN OUTCOME AND MEASURES L1CAM-positive extracellular vesicles (L1EV) were immunocaptured from serum. α-Synuclein and syntenin-1 were measured by electrochemiluminescence. Area under the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve (AUC) with 95% CIs evaluated biomarker performance. Probable prodromal PD was determined using the updated Movement Disorder Society research criteria. Multiple linear regression models assessed the association between L1EV α-synuclein and prodromal markers.

RESULTS Among 576 participants included, the mean (SD) age was 64.30 (8.27) years, 394 were male (68.4%), and 182 were female (31.6%). A derived threshold of serum L1EV α-synuclein distinguished participants with iRBD from controls (AUC = 0.91; 95% CI, 0.86-0.96) and those with more than 80% probability of having prodromal PD from participants with less than 5% probability (AUC = 0.80; 95% CI, 0.71-0.89). Subgroup analyses revealed that specific combinations of prodromal markers were associated with increased L1EV α-synuclein levels. Across all cohorts, L1EV α-synuclein differentiated participants with more than 80% probability of having prodromal PD from current and historic healthy control populations (AUC = 0.90; 95% CI, 0.87-0.93), irrespective of initial diagnosis. L1EV α-synuclein was increased in at-risk participants with a positive cerebrospinal fluid seed amplification assay and was above the identified threshold in 80% of cases (n = 40) that phenocorverted to PD or related dementia.

CONCLUSIONS AND RELEVANCE L1EV α-synuclein in combination with prodromal markers should be considered in the stratification of those at high risk of developing PD and related Lewy body diseases.
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Parkinson disease (PD) is the most common movement disorder, characterized by a long prodromal phase, which starts several years before clinical presentation with the classic motor symptoms. A number of nonmotor symptoms, such as rapid eye movement sleep behavior disorder (RBD), olfactory loss, or autonomic dysfunction can manifest during the prodromal phase and are associated with higher risk of phenocorrection to PD. Isolated RBD (iRBD) is the strongest predictor of α-synucleinopathy with more than 80% of participants converting to PD, dementia with Lewy bodies (DLB), or multiple system atrophy (MSA) within 12 years. Participants with heterozygous mutations in the glucocerebrosidase (GBA1) gene are another recognized at-risk group with an approximately 6% to 10% risk of developing PD by age 70 years, which is much lower than the respective risk for iRBD. Identification of those individuals with the highest risk of phenocorrection is crucial for the assessment of future disease-modifying therapies within reasonable timescales. Because symptoms broadly correlate with the evolution of α-synuclein pathology, accurate measurements of neurally derived α-synuclein in at-risk individuals, preferably from an easily accessible biosample, such as blood, could aid the identification of those likely to convert to PD.

Extracellular vesicles (EVs) derived from tissues affected by disease have emerged as a rich source of biomarkers. Furthermore, immunocapture of presumed neurally derived circulating EVs using anti-L1CAM (L1EVs) has been applied to a number of neurologic diseases as a proxy biomarker of brain pathology. Despite this extensive literature, the precise relevance of L1EVs in PD diagnostics remains unresolved. We previously showed in multiple cohorts (more than 800 participants) that α-synuclein is elevated in L1EVs isolated from patients with PD, PD dementia (PDD), or neuropathologically confirmed DLB and in a single cohort of participants with polysomnographically confirmed iRBD but not in other parkinsonian syndromes. This finding is consistent with the view that L1EV-associated α-synuclein levels are higher in patients with PD based on several studies from our and other laboratories. We previously proposed that the most valuable application of L1EV-associated α-synuclein measurements is in the prediction of differentiation of PD from phenotypically similar parkinsonian syndromes, including MSA. The goals of this study were to determine whether serum L1EV-associated α-synuclein identifies participants in the prodromal phase of α-synucleinopathy, especially those participants at high risk of developing PD, establish assay sensitivity and specificity using a large number of samples across cohorts, and investigate the performance of this blood-based test in relation to dopaminergic neurodegeneration, as assessed by dopamine transporter single-photon emission computed tomography (DaT SPECT), pathology as assessed by α-synuclein seed amplification assay (SAA) in cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) and phenocorrection.

Methods

Study Design

This is a retrospective cross-sectional study of 4 cohorts that followed the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) reporting guidelines. Written consent was obtained from all participants and the protocols followed the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki and were approved by the respective ethics committees for each site. The study profile for the derivation and validation groups is shown in eFigure 1 in Supplement 1. The biomarker was first tested across homogeneous single-center iRBD cohorts with Oxford Discovery as the derivation group and the identified cutoff (derived threshold) was assessed in the combined Marburg+Cologne cohort as the first validation group. Inclusion criteria for iRBD were confirmation by video-assisted polysomnography and available serum samples. Participants were excluded if a secondary cause for RBD was present. Oxford iRBD participants (n = 97) were recruited from the Discovery cohort of the Oxford Parkinson Disease Centre. Marburg iRBD participants (n = 51) were recruited nationwide from the German RBD registry project and annually followed up with for at least 4 years at Marburg by the same neurologist (A.J.). iRBD Participants from Cologne (n = 24) were identified from the general population using a structured screening process after written informed consent was given. Healthy controls from Oxford (n = 73), Marburg (n = 29), and Cologne (n = 18) without significant comorbidities or relevant family history were included as reference groups. The threshold derived from the Oxford Discovery cohort was further assessed in the second validation group consisting of participants with variable risk from the Parkinson’s Progression Markers Initiative (PPMI) cohort. Inclusion criteria for PPMI were available serum samples and assessments for prodromal PD. These participants were recruited across sites in the US, Europe, or Israel and included nonmanifest GBA1N409S gene carriers (n = 146), participants with hyposmia (n = 20), or polysomnographically confirmed iRBD (n = 27). Healthy controls without relevant comorbidities (n = 20), GBA1N409S PD (n = 21) and sporadic PD (n = 50) were included as reference groups. Subgroup analyses within each prodromal condition were performed to determine the performance of the test when more than 1 prodromal marker was present. The probability of having prodromal PD was estimated across cohorts as detailed in eMethods 1 in Supplement 1 and samples were analyzed blinded to the phenotype. Serum samples were matched to the clinical assessments. L1EVs were isolated and α-synuclein and...
syntenin-1 were measured as detailed in eMethods 2 in Supplement 1 without noticeable batch effects as shown in eFigure 2 in Supplement 1. Where such results were available, we also assessed the association between serum LIEV α-synuclein levels and CSF total α-synuclein levels or CSF α-synuclein SAA or phenocr conversion.

Statistical Analysis
For multiple comparisons of LIEV α-synuclein measurements, we performed nonparametric statistical testing as the data were not normally distributed: Kruskal-Wallis 1-way analysis of variance with the Dunn test for post hoc comparison between individual pairings when there were 3 or more independent groups and Mann-Whitney U test when there were only 2 independent groups. Data from different groups were analyzed using receiver operating characteristic (ROC) with 95% CIs (2.5%-97.5%). The optimum cutoff point (derived threshold) was determined by the Youden index, ie, the value associated with the maximal value of sensitivity + specificity − 1 in the Oxford Discovery cohort and then applied to the 2 validation groups. The age- and sex- adjusted likelihood ratio (LR) for prodromal PD was calculated using the updated Movement Disorder Society (MDS) research criteria, as detailed in eMethods 1 in Supplement 1. A positive LR was defined as a probability threshold of 80% or more. Within each cohort, t test or 1-way analysis of variance, was used to compare age and Pearson χ² to compare sex frequency between groups. LIEV α-synuclein concentrations were log-transformed to improve the distribution in group comparisons using linear regression models. Age and sex were included as covariates in linear regression models to assess these demographic differences in LIEV α-synuclein across study populations; additional covariates were used when applicable. Correlations between biomarkers were calculated using Pearson correlation when data were normally distributed or Spearman correlation when data were not normally distributed. Values with P < .05 were regarded as significant. The robust regression and outlier removal method was applied to test for outliers. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 25.0 (IBM) and Prism version 9.4 (GraphPad).

Data Availability
Anonymized individual participant data and the study protocol will be shared with qualified parties on request to the corresponding author. Clinical data for the PPMI cohort should be requested via the PPMI portal.

Results
Demographic Characteristics
Among 576 participants included, the mean (SD) age was 64.30 (8.27) years, 394 were male (68.4%), and 182 were female (31.6%), as summarized in the Table. In the derivation group (Oxford Discovery), 170 participants (mean [SD] age, 64.18 [9.88] years; 156 male [91.8%] and 14 female [8.2%]) were included. In the first validation group (Marburg+Cologne), 122 participants (mean [SD] age, 65.19 [8.18] years; 91 male [74.6%] and 31 female [25.4%]) were included. In the second validation group (PPMI), 263 participants (mean [SD] age, 63.78 [7.30] years; 134 male [51.0%] and 129 female [49.0%]) were included. There was no effect of age or sex on LIEV α-synuclein in the prodromal groups, as summarized in eTable 1 and eFigure 3 in Supplement 1.

α-Synuclein in Serum LIEV is Elevated in Participants With iRBD Across Single-Center Cohorts
Deeply phenotyped participants with iRBD were studied initially to determine whether LIEV α-synuclein is increased in this prodromal group who have the highest risk of converting to PD or DLB compared with controls. It was confirmed (data shown as median with IQR) that LIEV α-synuclein is elevated in the Oxford Discovery iRBD cohort that was studied previously by analyzing serum samples from 97 participants with iRBD and 73 controls. LIEV α-synuclein levels were consistent in iRBD (23.11 [IQR, 12.03] pg/mL) when compared with what was previously reported for a subgroup of this cohort (n = 56; 22.17 [IQR, 14.31] pg/mL), which were increased when compared with controls (12.89 [IQR, 7.09] pg/mL; P < .001) as shown in Figure 1A. This finding was further confirmed in participants with iRBD from Marburg+Cologne consisting of 75 cases and 47 controls (Figure 1B). These analyses showed that LIEV α-synuclein at a threshold of 17.75 pg/mL (derived from Oxford Discovery) exhibited a consistent performance in the independent iRBD group (Marburg+Cologne), as shown in Figure 1C, and for each of these cohorts in eFigure 4 in Supplement 1. In the derivation group, AUC = 0.85 (95% CI, 0.79-0.91) with sensitivity of 0.77 (95% CI, 0.68-0.85) and specificity of 0.82 (95% CI, 0.72-0.89). In the validation group, AUC = 0.91 (95% CI, 0.86-0.96) with sensitivity of 0.87 (95% CI, 0.78-0.93) and specificity of 0.82 (95% CI, 0.69-0.91). Overall, there was an approximately 2-fold increase in LIEV α-synuclein levels across the participants with iRBD (24.21 [IQR, 13.75] pg/mL) compared with the controls (12.20 [IQR, 7.39] pg/mL) with AUC = 0.88 (95% CI, 0.84-0.92).

Serum LIEV α-Synuclein Levels Differentiate Those With Probable Prodromal PD from Participants With Minimal Risk in a Multicenter Cohort
Having established the consistency of the biomarker in a fairly homogeneous group of high-risk participants, the broader applicability of the derived threshold from Oxford Discovery was investigated in a multicenter cohort (PPMI) consisting of a heterogeneous group with variable risk of developing PD or related dementia, including GBA1 N400S gene carriers (n = 146) and participants with hyposmia (n = 20) or iRBD (n = 27). Healthy controls (n = 20) and patients with sporadic PD (n = 50) from PPMI were included as negative and positive reference groups, respectively. For this analysis, the age- and sex-adjusted probability of having prodromal PD was calculated for each participant using updated MDS prodromal research criteria detailed in eMethods 1 in Supplement 1. Participants were stratified into those with less than 5% probability (n = 57), those with 5% to 80% probability (n = 89), and those with a positive lifetime risk, which is defined as more than 80% probability (n = 47), as shown in eTable 2 in Supplement 1. Participants with more than
80% probability of having prodromal PD exhibited increased L1EV α-synuclein levels (27.93 [IQR, 23.80] pg/mL) similar to patients with sporadic PD (26.55 [IQR, 33.24] pg/mL), whereas participants with less than 5% probability of having prodromal PD exhibited L1EV α-synuclein levels (12.98 [IQR, 10.32] pg/mL) similar to healthy controls (15.61 [IQR, 8.35] pg/mL). L1EV α-synuclein levels in participants with 5% to 80% probability of having prodromal PD (18.13 [IQR, 16.33] pg/mL) were intermediate between participants with more than 80% and participants with less than 5% probability of having prodromal PD or healthy controls, as shown in Figure 1D. By applying the threshold derived from the Oxford Discovery cohort (17.75 pg/mL), participants with more than 80% probability of having prodromal PD were differentiated from those with less than 5% risk with an AUC of 0.80 (95% CI, 0.71-0.89), sensitivity of 0.74 (95% CI, 0.60-0.84), and specificity of 0.67 (95% CI, 0.53-0.79) and from controls with an AUC of 0.80 (95% CI, 0.69-0.91), sensitivity of 0.74 (95% CI, 0.60-0.84), and specificity of 0.80 (95% CI, 0.58-0.92), as shown in Figure 1E. Similarly, patients with sporadic PD were differentiated from those with less than 5% risk with an AUC of 0.81 (95% CI, 0.72-0.89) and from controls with an AUC of 0.81 (95% CI, 0.70-0.92). The optimal sensitivity and specificity for each subgroup is shown in eTable 3 in Supplement 1.
L1EV α-Synuclein is Increased in Participants With Specific Additional Prodromal Markers Within At-Risk Groups and May Precede Dopaminergic Neurodegeneration

The overall estimated risk of lifelong phenoconversion for GBA1N409S gene carriers to PD is 6% to 10% by the age of 70 years.3,18 Therefore, GBA1N409S gene carriers may be at the earliest end of the prodromal phase. To investigate the value of L1EV α-synuclein in the stratification of this group, those GBA1N409S gene carriers were identified who exhibited any prodromal markers based on the MDS research criteria1 beyond their genetic status. A GBA1N409S PD group was included for reference. GBA1N409S gene carriers with constipation (n = 41; 21.21 [IQR, 25.17]; P = .01), urinary dysfunction based on the Unified Parkinson’s Disease Rating Scale I (n = 53; 20.37 [IQR, 16.74]; P = .02), or cognitive deficit based on Montreal Cognitive Assessment score less than 26 (n = 33; 18.13 [IQR, 16.61]; P = .03) exhibited higher L1EV α-synuclein as shown in eTable 4 and eFigure 5 in Supplement 1.

The association between prodromal markers and L1EV α-synuclein levels in participants with iRBD with available relevant assessments (n = 127) was then assessed. Because iRBD conveys a much higher risk of prodromal PD than GBA1 gene mutations,1 all of the participants with iRBD had additional prodromal markers. To identify the main contributors in this...
group, multiple linear regression analysis was performed. Hyposmia (β = 0.178 [standard error, 0.065]; P = .01) and cognitive deficit (β = 0.116 [SE, 0.052]; P = .03) exhibited the strongest association with L1EV α-synuclein levels as shown eTable 5 in Supplement 1.

Lastly, the biomarker was assessed in relation to the presence of dopaminergic neurodegeneration, as documented in participants with available DaT SPECT. Nuclear imaging is the only available test to definitively demonstrate dopaminergic nerve loss in life. An abnormal DaT SPECT signifies progression of pathology and a higher risk of conversion to PD in participants with iRBD or hyposmia. To 42 Forty seven iRBD participants from Oxford, 50 participants with iRBD from Marburg, 22 participants with iRBD from Cologne, 27 participants with iRBD, 20 hyposmic participants, and 141 GBA1N409S gene carriers from the PPMI cohort had available DaT SPECT results (n = 307). In this comparison, L1EV α-synuclein levels were highest in participants with an abnormal DaT SPECT (26.55 [IQR, 18.31] pg/mL), intermediate in those with a normal DaT SPECT (18.92 [IQR, 14.57] pg/mL), and lowest in healthy controls (12.60 [IQR, 6.68] pg/mL), as shown in Figure 2A. ROC revealed an improved differentiation from controls for those with an abnormal DaT SPECT (AUC = 0.90; 95% CI, 0.86-0.95) compared with those with normal DaT SPECT (AUC = 0.71; 95% CI, 0.65-0.76) as shown in Figure 2B. This trend was seen in each subgroup (GBA1N409S gene carriers, iRBD, and hyposmics) as shown in eFigure 6 in Supplement 1. There was no correlation between L1EV α-synuclein levels and Montreal Cognitive Assessment or subthreshold parkinsonism scores when analyzed across each condition (eFigure 7 in Supplement 1) or each cohort (eTable 6 in Supplement 1).

**L1EV α-Synuclein Differentiates Those At Risk of Developing PD From Healthy Control Populations Irrespective of Initial Diagnosis**

L1EV α-synuclein was assessed across all at-risk participants with any available prodromal markers that are shown in eTable 7 in Supplement 1. One hundred and ninety participants who fulfilled the proposed criteria for prodromal PD (ie, probability more than 80%, LR positive) had higher serum L1EV α-synuclein levels compared with 175 participants with a negative LR, as shown in Figure 3A. L1EV α-synuclein levels were also compared in LR positive and LR negative participants to the current (12.60 [IQR, 6.68] pg/mL) and historic (11.12 [IQR, 6.23] pg/mL) controls (eFigure 8 in Supplement 1) from multiple cohorts to obtain as accurate values as possible that would be applicable across populations. L1EV α-synuclein discriminated LR positive participants from controls with AUC of 0.90 (95% CI, 0.87-0.93), sensitivity of 0.81 (95% CI, 0.75-0.86), and specificity of 0.87 (95% CI, 0.83-0.91) and was less informative in LR negative participants to controls, as shown in Figure 3B and eTable 8 in Supplement 1. There were no consistent differences in the generic EV marker syntenin-1 across these groups (Figure 3C; eFigure 9 in Supplement 1).

**Association of Serum L1EV α-Synuclein Levels With CSF Pathology and Phenocversion**

CSF α-synuclein SAA, which signifies the presence of brain pathology, was recently shown to detect a subgroup of at-risk participants in the prodromal phase in the PPMI cohort.24 To investigate the relevance of the biomarker to this readout of pathology, SAA results for the PPMI participants with hyposmia or iRBD or GBA1N409S (n = 157) in relation to L1EV α-synuclein levels and their probability of having prodromal PD were utilized. Those with positive CSF SAA had higher L1EV α-synuclein in serum (26.41 [IQR, 19.54] pg/mL) compared with those who were CSF SAA negative (15.88 [IQR, 16.11] pg/mL), as shown in Figure 4A and eFigure 10 in Supplement 1. The SAA positive group primarily included those participants with more than 80% probability of having prodromal PD who were also above the identified threshold derived from Oxford Discovery, as shown in Figure 4B. These data show that 68.3% of SAA negative low-risk participants (less than 5% probability) were also below the L1EV α-synuclein threshold derived from Oxford Discovery, whereas 75.9% of SAA positive, high-risk participants (more than 80% probability), were above the thresh-
In this study, we showed that L1EV α-synuclein levels are increased in participants at risk of developing PD and related dementia. Participants with iRBD exhibited a 2-fold increase in L1EV α-synuclein levels compared with controls as validated across independent iRBD groups. This is in line with our previous estimate of approximately 2-fold increase in L1EV α-synuclein levels across multiple cohorts of sporadic PD vs controls and consistent with the idea that both conditions are α-synucleinopathies. Furthermore, a threshold derived from the largest iRBD group also differentiated participants with more than 80% probability of having prodromal PD from those with low risk in a further independent multicenter validation group (PPMI). This validation group consists of participants with variable risk recruited across multiple sites in the US, Europe, and Israel that could explain the slightly reduced performance of the biomarker in this group. Nevertheless, our findings demonstrate the robustness of the assay as a prodromal biomarker of α-synucleinopathy irrespective of recruitment site or specific diagnosis. Taken together with our previous reports that L1EV α-synuclein levels are increased in PD and DLB but not MSA, the biomarker offers a blood-based measurement to identify prodromal neuronal α-synucleinopathy. This conclusion is further reinforced in those participants who phenconverted to PD and DLB as 80% were correctly identified by the biomarker.

Across cohorts, subgroups with more than 1 prodromal marker exhibited higher L1EV α-synuclein levels. Thus, measurements of L1EV α-synuclein in combination with specific prodromal markers, such as olfactory or cognitive deficit, possible or definite RBD or GBA1 gene status could be harnessed to aid the substratification of those at-risk individuals with the highest probability of developing PD and related Lewy body diseases. Additive risk was previously observed with other combination markers, such as hyposmia, age, constipation, and abnormal DaT SPECT. Measurement of L1EV α-synuclein could offer a cheaper and
more accessible alternative means of screening at-risk individuals compared with a DaT SPECT or labor-intensive clinical assessments. LIEV α-synuclein levels are also increased in DaT SPECT negative at-risk participants, indicating that α-synuclein dyshomeostasis may be detectable in blood before dopaminergic neurodegeneration.

LIEV α-synuclein levels were higher in participants with a positive CSF α-synuclein SAA. The midline of the box plots indicates the median and the box indicates the 25th and 75th percentiles. The horizontal dotted line represents the derived threshold (17.75 pg/mL) of LIEV α-synuclein. Outliers and whiskers were plotted using the Tukey method. Statistical significance was determined by Mann-Whitney test. (B) Association between serum LIEV α-synuclein levels, CSF α-synuclein SAA status, and probability of having prodromal Parkinson disease (PD). The horizontal dotted line represents the derived threshold (17.75 pg/mL) of LIEV α-synuclein. The midline of the box plots indicates the median and the box indicates the 25th and 75th percentiles. Outliers and whiskers are plotted using the Tukey method. (C) Boxplot of serum LIEV α-synuclein levels in at-risk participants who phenoconverted to PD (n = 32), dementia with Lewy bodies (DLB) (n = 8), and Alzheimer disease (AD) (n = 1). The horizontal dotted line represents the derived threshold (17.75 pg/mL) of LIEV α-synuclein. The midline of the box plots indicates the median and the box indicates the 25th and 75th percentiles. Outliers and whiskers were plotted using the Tukey method. (D) Partial correlation adjusted for age and sex between serum LIEV α-synuclein levels and time to phenoconversion to PD. Least squares regression line with 95% CI was plotted and Pearson coefficient was reported.

(A) Serum LIEV α-synuclein (α-syn) levels were higher in participants with positive CSF α-synuclein SAA. The midline of the box plots indicates the median and the box indicates the 25th and 75th percentiles. The horizontal dotted line represents the derived threshold (17.75 pg/mL) of LIEV α-synuclein. Outliers and whiskers were plotted using the Tukey method. Statistical significance was determined by Mann-Whitney test. (B) Association between serum LIEV α-synuclein levels, CSF α-synuclein SAA status, and probability of having prodromal Parkinson disease (PD). The horizontal dotted line represents the derived threshold (17.75 pg/mL) of LIEV α-synuclein. The midline of the box plots indicates the median and the box indicates the 25th and 75th percentiles. Outliers and whiskers were plotted using the Tukey method. (C) Boxplot of serum LIEV α-synuclein levels in at-risk participants who phenoconverted to PD (n = 32), dementia with Lewy bodies (DLB) (n = 8), and Alzheimer disease (AD) (n = 1). The horizontal dotted line represents the derived threshold (17.75 pg/mL) of LIEV α-synuclein. The midline of the box plots indicates the median and the box indicates the 25th and 75th percentiles. Outliers and whiskers were plotted using the Tukey method. (D) Partial correlation adjusted for age and sex between serum LIEV α-synuclein levels and time to phenoconversion to PD. Least squares regression line with 95% CI was plotted and Pearson coefficient was reported.

more accessible alternative means of screening at-risk individuals compared with a DaT SPECT or labor-intensive clinical assessments. LIEV α-synuclein levels are also increased in DaT SPECT negative at-risk participants, indicating that α-synuclein dyshomeostasis may be detectable in blood before dopaminergic neurodegeneration.

LIEV α-synuclein levels were higher in participants with a positive CSF SAA; 76% of those participants with a positive CSF SAA and more than 80% probability of having prodromal PD had LIEV α-synuclein above the threshold. Although none of these cases are neuropathologically confirmed, our data suggest that serum LIEV α-synuclein is a proxy biomarker of pathology based on this CSF assay, as we previously found in a small number of neuropathologically confirmed DLB cases. It should be noted that those participants with LIEV α-synuclein above the threshold but with negative CSF SAA in the intermediate group are still at-risk participants based on probability scores and at this stage, we do not know for certain whether egress of α-synuclein in serum LIEV precedes pathology that is detectable by CSF SAA. Positive CSF SAA was reported in 86% of participants with iRBD or hyposmia, which is similar to the sensitivity of our blood-based assay in these groups. SAA of α-synuclein aggregates immunoprecipitated from serum was also positive in PD but only in 44% of iRBD. The latter suggests that at least in blood, egress of α-synuclein in LIEVs which was above the threshold in 80.4% (160 of 199) of our iRBD cases may precede detection or formation of aggregates in the prodromal phase. Because LICAM is primarily expressed in neurons of both the central and peripheral nervous system, we cannot exclude the possibility that LIEVs are partly derived from postganglionic autonomic...
nerves that are pathologically affected early in PD and related dementia. It would be interesting to investigate in the future whether skin SAA that was recently reported in iRBD24 better correlates with our biomarker.

Because EVs are derived from within the cells and α-synuclein is targeted to lysosomes25 and released in EVs in response to lysosome inhibition,26 our data in patients suggest that increased LIEV secretion may reflect a fundamental defect in intracellular α-synuclein trafficking that occurs several years before the clinical presentation. This mechanism would be consistent with our finding of an inverse correlation between LIEV α-synuclein and total CSF α-synuclein in those who went on to develop PD or had sporadic PD. We also observed that in those at-risk participants who developed PD, higher LIEV α-synuclein at baseline was associated with longer interval to phenocconversion. One explanation for these observations is the existence of an adaptive, likely protective, efflux of α-synuclein outside neuronal tissues in response to defective intraneuronal processing by lysosomes, eg, due to GBA1 gene mutations or polymorphisms in other lysosomal genes identified by genome-wide association studies in sporadic PD. Our assay measures total α-synuclein and LIEVs may contain both physiological and pathogenic forms such as α-synuclein oligomers. For example, α-synuclein conformers with fibrillar appearance were amplified from plasma-derived LIEVs isolated from patients with PD but not controls.27 Therefore, amplification assays or immunoassays with conformation specific antibodies in combination with assay automation could be applied in prodromal samples to further refine the performance of the biomarker.

**Limitations**

There are limitations to our findings. This is a cross-sectional study and further validation of the biomarker in longitudinal cohorts will be an important future investigation that may identify other clinical correlates or more precise thresholds. Therefore, despite group validation across different cohorts, the clinical value of this biomarker at single-participant level for routine practice is not yet fully established and cutoff values may require further refinement ideally in neuropathologically confirmed cases when at-risk cohort participants eventually reach postmortem examination. The cohorts were composed of clinical phenotypes highly predictive of α-synuclein pathology. Analyses of subgroups within these cohorts revealed associations with specific prodromal markers despite the small subset of patients. Future studies are needed to confirm these findings and extend their applicability to wider population cohorts. Where such data were available, most of the study participants self-identified as White and we were underpowered to test differences in analyte levels across racial and ethnic groups. Therefore, the results may not generalize to other populations.

**Conclusions**

In summary, our results demonstrate the value of LIEV α-synuclein in identifying participants at-risk of developing neuronal α-synucleinopathy and offer patient-based measurements that support the existence of a fundamental shift in α-synuclein homeostasis that is detectable in blood at the early stages of the pathogenic cascade.
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