Expression Analysis of Dopamine Receptor Subtypes in Normal Human Pituitaries, Nonfunctioning Pituitary Adenomas and Somatotropinomas, and the Association between Dopamine and Somatostatin Receptors with Clinical Response to Octreotide-LAR in Acromegaly


Context: Dopamine receptor (DR) and somatostatin receptor subtype expression in pituitary adenomas may predict the response to postsurgical therapies.

Objectives: Our objectives were to assess and compare the mRNA levels of DR1-5 and somatostatin receptors 1–5 in normal pituitaries (NPs), nonfunctioning pituitary adenomas (NFPAs), and somatotropinomas. In addition, we determined whether the level of DR expression correlates with the in vivo response to octreotide-LAR in acromegalic patients.

Design and Patients: Eight NPs, 30 NFPAs, and 39 somatotropinomas were analyzed for receptor mRNA levels by real-time RT-PCR. The DR2 short variant was estimated as the DR2 long/DR2 total (DR2T). The relationship between DR expression and the postsurgical response to octreotide-LAR was assessed in 19 of the acromegalic patients.

Results: DR3 was not detected. The relationship between expression levels of DR subtypes in NPs and somatotropinomas was DR2T > DR4 > DR5 > DR1, whereas in NFPAs, DR2T > DR4 > DR5 > DR1. The DR2 short variant was the predominant DR2 variant in the majority of samples. In acromegalis treated with octreotide-LAR, DR1 was negatively correlated with percent GH reduction (3 months: r = −0.67, P = 0.002; and 6 months: r = −0.58, P = 0.009), and DR5 was positively correlated with percent IGF-I reduction (3 months: r = 0.55, P = 0.01; and 6 months: r = 0.47, P = 0.04).

Conclusions: DR2 is the predominant DR subtype in NPs, NFPAs, and somatotropinomas. The fact that DR1, DR4, and DR5 are also expressed in many adenomas tested suggests that these receptors might also play a role in the therapeutic impact of postsurgical medical therapies in patients with NFPA and acromegaly. This was supported by the finding that the in vivo response to octreotide-LAR was negatively associated with DR1 and positively associated with DR5. (J Clin Endocrinol Metab 94: 1931–1937, 2009)

Dopamine receptor (DR) agonists (DAs) can reduce hormone release and tumor mass in some but not all patients with nonfunctioning pituitary adenomas (NFPAs) and somatotropinomas (1–3). A lack of response may be due to an inappropriate expression of DR. However, assessment of the relationship between therapeutic response and DR expression is complicated by the fact that DRs are encoded by five separate genes (DR1-5), in which the DR2 subtype exists as two variants (DR2 long [DR2L] and DR2 short [DR2S]), generated by alternative splicing. In addition, the DR subtypes have been shown to differentially ac-

Abbreviations: DA, Dopamine agonist; DR, dopamine receptor; DR2L, dopamine receptor 2 long variant; DR2S, dopamine receptor 2 short variant; DR2T, dopamine receptor 2 total; GAPDH, glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase; HPRT, hypoxanthine-guanine phosphoribosyltransferase; NFA, nonfunctioning pituitary adenoma; NP, normal pituitary; PRL, prolactin; qRT-PCR, quantitative real-time RT-PCR; RT, reverse transcription; SA, somatostatin analog; SSTR, somatostatin receptor.
tivate intracellular signal transduction pathways depending on the cellular context (4, 5). To date, DR2 and DR4 have been shown to be expressed in normal human pituitaries (NPs) (6, 7). In rat pituitaries, DR2 is strongly expressed in lactotrophs, but is also found in other pituitary cell types (8–11). Although cell-specific expression of DR2 has not been directly tested in human NP, a broad expression pattern is supported by the observations that DR2 expression was positively correlated with DA-induced suppression of both prolactin (PRL) and GH release in primary cultures of somatotropinomas and with NFPA tumor shrinkage (12–14). In addition, both the DR2L and DR2S have been identified in all pituitary adenoma subtypes studied thus far, where their relative expression differs between individual samples and studies (6, 13, 15–17). Expression levels of the DR2 variants appear to impact the response to DA based on the observation that NFPA shrinkage was more pronounced in tumors with high DR2S (13). In contrast to the role DR2 plays in DA-mediated regulation of pituitary adenoma function, limited information is available regarding the expression pattern and function of the other DR subtypes in the context of pituitary function (13).

There is also evidence that human DR2 can form heterodimers with somatostatin receptor (SSTR) subtypes SSTR2 and SSTR5, and the composition of these receptor complexes can alter the response to both DA or somatostatin analogs (SAs) in heterologous cell systems (18, 19). The functional interaction of DR and SSTR is further supported by the observation that the SSTR5-mediated GH suppression in adenoma cell cultures taken from acromegalic patients was greater in DR2 negative, compared with DR2 positive tumors (20). In addition, a recent report revealed that the in vitro response to octreotide was enhanced in adenomas that expressed higher levels of DR2 (12). The potential for a functional relationship between DR and SSTR has prompted the development of chimeric DR2/SSTR2/5 agonists for therapeutic use (1, 3, 21). Together, these observations suggest that the therapeutic response of pituitary adenomas to DA and/or SA may be dependent on the relative pattern of expression of both DR and SSTR subtypes.

In the current study, quantitative real-time RT-PCR (qRT-PCR) was used to assess and compare DR1-5 mRNA content in NPs, NFPAs, and somatotropinomas. In addition, we sought to determine whether there is a relationship between the expression levels of DR2 and SSTR2 or SSTR5, previously shown to heterodimerize. In the patient population studied, it was not possible to evaluate the therapeutic efficacy of DA and correlate it with pituitary tumor DR subtype expression pattern because DA is not supplied by the Brazilian public health system for treatment of NFPA, and, for acromegaly, it is supplied irregularly. However, as previously described in detail by our laboratory (22, 23), we have followed a subset of acromegalic patients that began postsurgical treatment with octreotide-LAR, and reported that SSTR2 expression positively correlated percent GH, IGF-I, and tumor volume reduction, in which a higher SSTR2 to SSTR5 ratio was observed among patients who obtained hormonal control. Therefore, in the current study, it was possible to determine whether therapeutic efficacy of SA correlated with somatotropinoma DR subtype expression pattern.

### Patients and Methods

#### Patients

NPs (n = 8) were obtained during autopsy, after accidental death, with no clinical or pathological evidence of endocrine disorders or pre-mortem trauma. Time between death and sample collection was 6–12 h. Pathological examination excluded the presence of pituitary adenomas and metastasis from nonpituitary tumors.

Diagnosis of acromegaly and NFPA was made according to the criteria previously described (22). Pituitary tumor specimens were obtained during transphenoidal surgery (n = 39 acromegalic; n = 30 NFPA). Details regarding postsurgical treatment of acromegas (n = 19) with octreotide-LAR and assessment of SA clinical efficacy (percent GH, IGF-I, and tumor volume reduction) after 3 and 6 months of treatment have been previously described (22, 23).

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Hospital Universitário Clementino Fraga Filho, and the Institutional Review Board of the University of Illinois at Chicago and Jesse Brown Veterans Affairs Medical Center. Informed consent was obtained from each patient or relative, in case of autopsy, before study entry.

#### Methods

**Assessment of DR and SSTR by qRT-PCR**

Details of sample collection, RNA extraction, quantification, reverse transcription (RT), in addition to the development, validation and application of qRT-PCR to assess mRNA levels have been previously reported by our group (22). Quality of total RNA was confirmed by standard agarose gel electrophoresis with ethidium bromide staining (when sample size allowed). In addition, the expression level (copy number) of three housekeeping genes, hypoxanthine-guanine phosphoribosyltransferase (HPRT), glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH), and β-actin, was determined for each sample to control for variations in the quality and amount of RNA used in the RT reaction and the efficiency of the RT reaction, as previously reported (22). The sensitivity of the qRT-PCR was 10 copies/0.05 μg total RNA (i.e. the lowest copy number on the cDNA standard curve that was within the linear range of amplification). Transcripts were considered nondetectable if fluorescence levels did not increase above no-DNA controls after 40 cycles of amplification.

**Primer sets for the DR subtypes** (supplemental Table S1, which is available at http://jcem.endojournals.org) were selected using Primer 3 software with human mRNA sequences as templates. Primer set selection and validation for the SSTR subtypes and all housekeeping genes were previously reported (22).

It was not possible to design a specific and efficient set of primers that only amplified DR2S because it required one of the primers to span the
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splice site (Ex4/Ex6, refer to Entrez Gene, Gene identification no. 1813), where the distribution of this region is GC rich (76%; see NM_016574 bases 861–918). Therefore, we chose to design two separate sets of primers to amplify DR2 total (DR2T) and DR2L, whereas these primer sets meet stringent criteria to maximize specificity and efficiency, including: 1) similar annealing temperature; 2) no primer dimer formation; 3) 45–55% GC content; 4) amplification of a product of 100–200 bp; 5) no homology to sequences other than the designated target; 6) amplification of a single PCR product (by conventional PCR and dissociation curves in qrtRT-PCR), and identity verified by sequencing; and 7) amplification of standard curves with approximately 100% efficiency (supplemental Table S1). Therefore, the technique as applied can be used to accurately quantify copy numbers for both DR2T and DR2L transcript, and the ratio of DR2L to DR2T reflects the expression level of DR2S.

In the current study, we assessed DR expression in 14 NFPA samples previously assessed for SSTR1-5 expression (22) (supplemental Table S3), in addition to performing DR1-5 expression analysis on 16 newly acquired NFPA samples. SSTR expression analysis was not performed on the new NFPA samples. Our laboratory has also reported the expression pattern of SSTR in 19 somatotropinomas (22, 23), and since that time, 20 additional acromegalic patients were enrolled in the study. In the case of the somatotropinomas, assessment of SSTR subtype expression was repeated using cDNA generated from RT reactions performed on all samples (old and new) at the same time; these same RT reactions were used to assess the pattern of DR subtypes.

Statistical analysis
Analyses were done using SPSS 11.0 version for Windows (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL). Results were reported as median (minimum–maximum). Mann-Whitney’s nonparametric test was used to compare numerical variables between groups. Correlations between numerical variables were studied using Spearman’s correlation test. \( P \) values less than 0.05 were considered significant.

Results

Individual patient/sample characteristics
Individual demographical data and SSTR and/or DR subtype expression patterns are provided as supplemental data files, which are published as supplemental data on The Endocrine Society’s Journals Online web site at http://jcem.endojournals.org, for NPs (supplemental Table S2), NFPA (supplemental Table S3), and somatotropinomas (supplemental Table S4).

DR subtype expression in NPs, NFPA, and somatotropinomas
In NPs (\( n = 8 \), supplemental Table S2), DR2 and DR5 were expressed in 100% of the samples, whereas DR1 and DR4 were less than 10 copies (the sensitivity of the qrtRT-PCR) in 37.5 and 12.5% of the samples, respectively. In NFPA (\( n = 30 \), supplemental Table S3), DR2 and DR4 were expressed in all samples. DR1 and DR5 were less than 10 copies in 16.7 and 36.7% NFPA samples, respectively. In somatotropinomas (\( n = 39 \), supplemental Table S4), DR2 and DR4 were detected in all samples, whereas DR1 and DR5 were less than 10 copies in 2.5 and 12.8% samples, respectively. In contrast, DR3 mRNA was not detectable in any pituitary sample analyzed.

As summarized in Fig. 1 and Table 1, DR2 was the dominant DR subtype in NPs and somatotropinomas, followed by DR4, DR5, and DR1. In NFPA, DR2 was also dominant, followed by DR4, whereas the median expression of DR1 was higher than DR5. No correlations were found between DR mRNA levels and sex or age within sample types. When comparing expression levels of DR subtypes between NPs and tumors, DR2 was significantly more expressed in NPs compared with somatotropinomas (\( P = 0.02 \)), and a similar relationship was found in NFPA, but this did not reach statistical significance. In addition, DR4 and DR5 were more expressed in NFPA compared with adenomas, although it only reached statistical significance in NFPA (\( P = 0.04 \) and 0.001, respectively). Between adenoma types the expression level of the DR subtypes was similar, with the exception of DR5, which was higher in somatotropinomas compared with NFPA (\( P = 0.002 \)). The median DR2L/DR2T value was 0.36 for NPs, NFPA, and somatotropinomas (Table 1).

Relationship between DR subtype expression and the in vivo response of acromegalic patients to octreotide-LAR
In the subset of acromegalic patients in which detailed clinical data were available (\( n = 19 \), supplemental Table S4, and Ref. 23), no correlations were found between DR subtype expression and GH, IGF-I, or PRL levels at diagnosis. However, a negative correlation was found between DR1 expression and hormone suppression, which was significant for the percent GH reduction after 3 and 6 months of octreotide-LAR treatment (\( r = -0.67 \) and \( -0.58 \); \( P = 0.002 \) and 0.009, respectively; Fig. 2). On the other hand, a positive correlation between DR5 expression and hormone suppression was observed, which was significant for the percent IGF-I reduction after 3 and 6 months of treatment (\( r = 0.55 \) and 0.47; \( P = 0.01 \) and 0.04, respectively). DR5 expression was higher (\( P = 0.004 \)) and the level of DR1 expression was lower (\( P = 0.06 \)) in biochemically controlled (GH <2.5 µg/liter and normal IGF-I for age), compared with uncontrolled patients (Fig. 3). In addition, DR4 expression and percent IGF-I reduction after 6 months of treatment were positively correlated (\( r = 0.5 \); \( P = 0.03 \); Fig. 2). However, a similar trend was not
observed at 3 months, or for GH response at both time points, and no differences was observed between biochemically controlled and uncontrolled patients in DR4 expression levels (Fig. 3). Finally, no correlations were found between DR subtype expression and percent tumor volume reduction.

Relationship between the expression of DR and SSTR in NPs, NFPAs, and somatotropinomas

We reported the expression levels of SSTR subtypes by qrtRT-PCR in patients for whom we have data regarding clinical response to octreotide-LAR (23). In the present study, we have expanded the number of somatotropinomas samples to include an additional 20 samples in which patients were lost to follow-up or not treated with octreotide-LAR (supplemental Table S4). The present work confirms our previous findings that SSTR5 is the dominant SSTR subtype expressed in GH-secreting adenomas, followed by SSTR2/SSTR3/SSTR1/SSTR4 (Fig. 4). Similarly, SSTR5 was the most expressed SSTR subtype in NPs (supplemental Table S2 and Fig. 4), followed by SSTR2/SSTR1/SSTR3/SSTR4.

NPs expressed more SSTR1 (P = 0.04) and less SSTR2 (P = 0.02), as compared with somatotropinomas, whereas there were no significant differences in the expression of SSTR5, SSTR3, and SSTR4.

Although positive correlations were seen between DR2T and SSTR2 (r = 0.83; P = 0.01), between DR2L and SSTR2 (r = 0.88; P = 0.004) and SSTR5 (r = 0.74; P = 0.04) in NPs, there were no significant correlations between the expression of DR2 (DR2T, DR2L, or DR2L/DR2T) and SSTR2 or SSTR5 in somatotropinomas or in NFPAs, in which SSTR expression profiles were available (supplemental Tables S2 and S3).

TABLE 1. Median (minimum/maximum) DR mRNA expression levels in normal human pituitaries, NFPAs, and somatotropinomas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>DR1/NF</th>
<th>DR2T/NF</th>
<th>DR2L/NF</th>
<th>DR2L/DR2T</th>
<th>DR4/NF</th>
<th>DR5/NF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal human pituitaries</td>
<td>114 (0–478)</td>
<td>21,212 (3,343–57,954)</td>
<td>8,943 (1,248–17,902)</td>
<td>0.36 (0.23–0.49)</td>
<td>1,587 (0–5,846)</td>
<td>289 (35–2,367)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NFPAs</td>
<td>54 (0–645)</td>
<td>9,396 (78–131,394)</td>
<td>4,070 (0–20,135)</td>
<td>0.36 (0–1.0)</td>
<td>394 (39–8,368)</td>
<td>20 (0–498)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somatotropinomas</td>
<td>68 (3–13,198)</td>
<td>5,276 (190–40,245)</td>
<td>1,709 (47–32,975)</td>
<td>0.36 (0.11–0.82)</td>
<td>552 (70–7,942)</td>
<td>77 (4–734)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NF, Normalization factor calculated from the level of HPRT, GAPDH, and β-actin using the GeNorm 3.3 visual basic application for Microsoft Excel (http://medgen.ugent.be/~jvdesomp/genorm/) as previously developed and validated by Vandesompele et al. (46).

* DR2L/DR2T is used as an estimate of DR2S expression.

FIG. 2. Correlations between DR subtype (DR1-5) mRNA content and the percent reduction of GH and IGF-I at 3 and 6 months of treatment with octreotide-LAR. Correlations between numerical variables were studied using Spearman’s correlation test. NF, Normalization factor calculated from the level of HPRT, GAPDH, and β-actin using the GeNorm 3.3 visual basic application for Microsoft Excel (http://medgen.ugent.be/~jvdesomp/genorm/) as previously developed and validated by Vandesompele et al. (46).

FIG. 3. Comparison of DR subtype (DR1-5) levels between patients with and without hormonal control of disease with octreotide-LAR. Statistical significance was determined by the Mann-Whitney U test. The lower and upper bars represent the first and third quartiles, respectively. The lines across the box represent median value. The lines above and below the box represent the highest and lowest values, excluding outliers. Outliers are shown by circles. NF, Normalization factor calculated from the level of HPRT, GAPDH, and β-actin using the GeNorm 3.3 visual basic application for Microsoft Excel (http://medgen.ugent.be/~jvdesomp/genorm/) as previously developed and validated by Vandesompele et al. (46).
in which levels could vary in female pituitaries depending on the pression. The lack of relationship between sex and DR2 expres-
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greater for the DR2L spliced variant compared with the DR2S, where the effect was reported that estrogen enhances the expression of DR2, in a rat NFPAs and somatotropinomas. Although it has been previously highest in lactotrophs (8), a cell population that is absent in the exception of DR3, which was below the detection limit of our mRNA copy numbers for all DR subtypes and compare their expression levels among NPs, NFPAs, and somatotropinomas. In the majority of samples, all DR subtypes were expressed, with the exception of DR3, which was below the detection limit of our assay system in all samples. DR2 was clearly the dominant DR subtype in NPs, NFPAs, and somatotropinomas, however, NPs expressed higher levels of DR2 compared with adenomas. This finding is consistent with the observation that DR2 expression is highest in lactotrophs (8), a cell population that is absent in NFPAs and somatotropinomas. Although it has been previously reported that estrogen enhances the expression of DR2, in a rat PRL-producing pituitary cell line (26), where the effect was greater for the DR2L spliced variant compared with the DR2S, we did not observe any correlation between sex and DR2 expression. The lack of relationship between sex and DR2 expression in NPs may be more related to the small sample set available, in which levels could vary in female pituitaries depending on the physiological status (premenopausal vs. postmenopausal). Also in the female patients with adenomas (particularly those with NFPAs), many were advanced in years and were likely post-menopausal. However, it could also be argued that only lactotrophs are sensitive to estrogen-mediated regulation of DR2 expression, and this population is absent in the adenomas studied.

Splice variants of the DR2 transcript (DR2L and DR2S) were originally identified in the brains and pituitaries of humans and rats (27). Specifically, the coding sequence of the DR2S differs from the DR2L only by the absence of exon 5 (87 bases). Although expression levels of these variants have been extensively studied in the rat brain, far fewer studies have focused on the pituitary (27–32). Early data generated using in situ hybridization (27, 28), ribonuclease protection assays (30), and conventional RT-PCR (27, 29, 31, 32) indicated that DR2L is approximately five to 10 times greater than DR2S in the rat pituitary; however, a recent report using real-time RT-PCR with TaqMan probes suggests that the expression level of DR2L is only 10% greater than DR2S (33). These discrepancies likely occur due to challenges in designing primers and probes with appropriate specificity and efficiency to amplify only the DR2S variant, as well as the inability of ethidium bromide, used in conventional RT-PCR, to accurately quantify gene expression levels (34). Although it is often stated that the mRNA expression levels of DR2L are far greater that DR2S in human pituitaries, similar technical challenges are faced. Despite these challenges, it is clear that the relative expression of DR2 variants in pituitary adenomas show heterogeneity of expression. Specifically, collective examination of two separate reports (one using in situ and one using conventional RT-PCR) that examined the relative expression of DR2L and DR2S in NFPAs (6, 13) revealed that 22% did not express DR2 (eight of 36), whereas those that did express DR2, 21.5% expressed more DR2S than DR2L (six of 28), 57% expressed more DR2L than DR2S (16/28), and 21.5% expressed similar levels of DR2S and DR2L (six of 28). In addition, a one-to-one relationship between DR2L and DR2S expression in three somatotropinomas was reported (15), similar to the results of some (24, 25) but not all studies (6). Heterogeneity in the expression levels of the DR2 variants in NFPAs and somatotropinomas was also observed in the present study, however, the majority show more DR2S expression than DR2L (79 and 77%, respectively).

Although both DR2L and DR2S have activated the MAPK and ERK pathways, which are associated with cell cycle regulation, growth, differentiation, and apoptosis (35), DR2S has been more potent than DR2L in inhibiting hormone secretion, cAMP accumulation, and cell growth (36). Moreover, DR2S was observed to activate phospholipase D, which may be related to its more potent antiproliferative effect (37). The differential coupling of the DR2 splice variants to intracellular signal transduction pathways may explain the observation that DR2 expression level was positively correlated to DA-induced shrinkage of NFPAs, and this effect was more pronounced in tumors with high DR2S (13). Although in this report we could not examine the relationship between DR2 variant expression and DA-induced response, it is interesting to note that the two somatotropinomas that also stained positive for PRL (nos. 3 and 20, supplemental Table S4) had DR2L to DR2T ratios (0.11 and 0.23, respectively), well below the median (0.36). These results raise the possibility that GH/PRL-secreting tumors have higher DR2S, which may explain the report that patients harboring this tumor subtype tended to respond better than patients with pure GH-secreting tumors to DA treatment (38).

In contrast to the information available regarding the inhibitory role of DA/DR2 in pituitary function, far less is known about the expression and function of the other DR subtypes. In this report we observed that the majority of samples (NPs and

**FIG. 4.** Median SSTR subtype (SSTR1-5) mRNA levels in normal and somatotropinomas. NF, Normalization factor calculated from the level of HPRT, GAPDH, and β-actin using the GeNorm 3.3 visual basic application for Microsoft Excel (http://medgen.ugent.be/~vdesomp/genorm/) as previously developed and validated by Vandesompele et al. (46). *, Values that differ between NPs and somatotropinomas (P < 0.05).
In conclusion, these results confirm that DR2 is the predominant DR subtype in NPs, NFPAs, and somatotropinomas. The expression level of DR2S, thought to be the most bioactive DR2 variant, appears to be greater than DR2L in NPs and in the majority of tumors tested. The fact that DR1, DR4, and DR5 are also expressed in many NFPAs and somatotropinomas tested suggests that these DR subtypes might also play a role in the therapeutic impact of DA. Although a limitation of this study is the fact that mRNA levels may not translate into functional protein levels, this report provides preliminary evidence that the pattern of DR subtype expression in somatotropinomas may aid in predicting the in vivo response to SAs, consistent with reports demonstrating that DRs and SSTRs can heterodimerize and alter the response to their respective ligands.
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