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ABSTRACT

Precipitation change in response to global warming has profound impacts on environment for life but is highly uncertain. Effects of sea surface temperature (SST) warming on the response of rainfall and atmospheric overturning circulation are investigated using Coupled Model Intercomparison Project simulations. The SST warming is decomposed into a spatially uniform SST increase (SUSI) and deviations from it. The SST pattern effect is found to be important in explaining both the multimodel ensemble mean distribution and intermodel variability of rainfall change over tropical oceans. In the ensemble mean, the annual rainfall change follows a “warmer-get-wetter” pattern, increasing where the SST warming exceeds the tropical mean, and vice versa. Two SST patterns stand out both in the ensemble mean and intermodel variability: an equatorial peak anchoring a local precipitation increase and a meridional dipole mode with increased rainfall and weakened trade winds over the warmer hemisphere. These two modes of intermodel variability in SST account for one-third of intermodel spread in rainfall projection.

The SST patterns can explain up to four-fifths of the intermodel variability in intensity changes of overturning circulations. SUSI causes both the Hadley and Walker circulations to slow down, as articulated by previous studies. The weakening of the Walker circulation is robust across models as the SST pattern effect is weak. The Hadley circulation change, by contrast, is significantly affected by SST warming patterns. As a result, near and south of the equator, the Hadley circulation strength change is weak in the multimodel ensemble mean and subject to large intermodel variability due to the differences in SST warming patterns.

1. Introduction

Human societies formed where fresh water was readily available. In many parts of the world, population increase and economic development have stretched water resources to near the breaking point, rendering societies ever more vulnerable to rainfall variability and change.

The looming global warming is almost certain to change the distribution of water resources (Zhang et al. 2007; Held et al. 2005; Seager et al. 2007), posing serious socioeconomic and security challenges that have profound impacts on the environment for life on Earth. Whereas the effects of the slow changes in precipitation patterns are obvious, their causes are illusive, uncertain, and poorly understood because of short observations and large natural variability.

The enormity of the problem calls for investigations into fundamental dynamics governing such changes, especially those in response to increasing greenhouse gases (GHGs). For this we analyze general circulation model (GCM) simulations (Table 1) in the World Climate Research Program’s (WCRP’s) Coupled Model Intercomparison Project (CMIP) phases 3 and 5 (Meehl
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et al. 2007). In model projections for climate change during the twenty-first century, global-mean rainfall increases at a much slower rate (2%–3% per degree of surface warming) (Held and Soden 2006) than atmospheric moisture content (7% K$^{-1}$). This difference implies a slowing down of tropical circulation (Vecchi and Soden 2007a), a prediction confirmed for the Walker cell (Vecchi et al. 2006), although satellite-based microwave measurements question this slower increase rate (Wentz et al. 2007). Recent observational studies suggest a strengthening of the Pacific Walker circulation during the last two decades (Sohn and Park 2010; Merrifield 2011), which may be associated with natural variability, with SST warming in the central–western and cooling in the eastern Pacific. The GHG-induced slowdown of tropical circulation can also be interpreted as due to the mean advection of stratification change (MASC) effect (Ma et al. 2012), which causes anomalous cold (warm) advection in climatological ascending (descending) regions, as a result of increased dry stability.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model name</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Atmospheric resolution</th>
<th>Oceanic resolution</th>
<th>Converted variables</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Bjerknes Centre for Climate Research (BCCR) Climate Model version 2.0 (BCM2.0)</td>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>T63 L31</td>
<td>2.4° × 2.4° (0.8°) σ24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Canadian Centre for Climate Modelling and Analysis (CCCma) Coupled General Circulation Model version 3.1, T47 resolution (CGCM3.1 T47)</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>T63 L31</td>
<td>2.4° × 2.4° (0.8°) σ24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. CGCM version 3.1, T63 resolution (CGCM3.1 T63)</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>T63 L31</td>
<td>2° × 0.5° L31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Centre National de Recherches Météorologiques Coupled Global Climate Model, version 3 (CNRM CM3)</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>T63 L31</td>
<td>1.4° × 0.94° L29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation (CSIRO) Mark version 3.0 (CSIRO Mk3.0)</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>T63 L18</td>
<td>1.875° × 0.84° L31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. CSIRO Mark version 3.5 (CSIRO Mk3.5)</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>T63 L18</td>
<td>1.875° × 0.84° L31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory (GFDL) Climate Model version 2.0 (GFDL CM2.0)</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>T63 L18</td>
<td>1.875° × 0.84° L31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. GFDL Climate Model version 2.1 (GFDL CM2.1)</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>T63 L18</td>
<td>1.875° × 0.84° L31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Goddard Institute for Space Studies (GISS) Atmosphere–Ocean Model (GISS-AOM)</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>T63 L18</td>
<td>1.875° × 0.84° L31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. GISS Model E-H (GISS EH)</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>T63 L18</td>
<td>1.875° × 0.84° L31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. GISS Model E-R (GISS ER)</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>T63 L18</td>
<td>1.875° × 0.84° L31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Institute of Atmospheric Physics Flexible Global Ocean–Atmosphere–Land System Model (IAP FGOALS)</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>T63 L18</td>
<td>1.875° × 0.84° L31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia Model SXG (INGV SXG)</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>T106 L19</td>
<td>2° × 2° (1°) L31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. L’Institut Pierre-Simon Laplace Coupled Model, version 4 (IPSL CM4)</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>T106 L19</td>
<td>2° × 2° (1°) L31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. Model for Interdisciplinary Research on Climate 3.2, high-resolution [MIROC3.1(hires)]</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>T106 L56</td>
<td>0.28° × 0.19° L47</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. MIROC3.2, medium resolution [MIROC3.1(medres)]</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>T106 L56</td>
<td>0.28° × 0.19° L47</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. ECHAM and the global Hamburg Ocean Primitive Equation [Meteorological Institute of the University of Bonn (MIUB) ECHO-G]</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>T106 L56</td>
<td>0.28° × 0.19° L47</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. Max Planck Institute ECHAM5 (MPI ECHAM5)</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>T106 L56</td>
<td>0.28° × 0.19° L47</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. Meteorological Research Institute Coupled General Circulation Model, version 2.3 (MRI CGCM2.3)</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>T106 L56</td>
<td>0.28° × 0.19° L47</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21. Third climate configuration of the Met Office Unified Model (UKMO HadCM3)</td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>T106 L56</td>
<td>0.28° × 0.19° L47</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22. Met Office Hadley Centre Global Environmental Model version 1 (UKMO HadGEM1)</td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>T106 L56</td>
<td>0.28° × 0.19° L47</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
However, the difference in the robustness of the Walker (Vecchi and Soden 2007a) and Hadley (Ma et al. 2012) circulation changes calls for research into the source of uncertainty.

Precipitation change is highly uneven in space. Its spatial variability is greater than the global mean by a factor of 4 (Table 2). Research into patterns of precipitation change starts from a “wet-get-wetter” view. This predicts that rainfall increases in the core of existing rainy regions and decreases on their margins and in current dry areas, based on an argument of intensified moisture advection due to atmospheric warming (Neelin et al. 2003; Chou and Neelin 2004; Chou et al. 2009; Held and Soden 2006; Seager et al. 2010). A spatially uniform sea surface temperature (SST) increase (SUSI) is implicitly assumed, neglecting spatial variations in surface warming and the associated wind change.

The SST warming displays considerable variations in space (Xie et al. 2010) with robust and coherent seasonal variability (Sobel and Camargo 2011). A “warmer-get-wetter” paradigm emerges, casting the relative SST warming \( T^* \), defined as deviations from the tropical mean SST increase, as important for regional changes in tropical cyclone activity (Vecchi and Soden 2007b), precipitation (Xie et al. 2010; Sobel and Camargo 2011), and atmospheric circulation (Ma et al. 2012; Gastineau et al. 2009). Upper tropospheric warming is nearly spatially uniform in the tropics because of fast wave actions (Sobel and Bretherton 2000; Sobel et al. 2002), so convective instability is largely determined by spatial variations in SST warming and related low-level moisture change (Johnson and Xie 2010). Complementary analyses based on moist energy (Chou et al. 2009) and moisture (Seager et al. 2010) budgets show the importance of atmospheric circulation for precipitation change.

This SST pattern control on rainfall reorganization can be illustrated by a comparison of two CMIP3 model simulations forced with the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) Special Report on Emissions Scenarios (SRES) scenario A1B. Figure 1 shows that oceanic rainfall changes are quite different between the Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory (GFDL) CM2.0 and the Met Office (UKMO) HadCM3 (note that all CMIP3 model names are expanded in Table 1). Over the tropical Pacific, the CM2.0 features a pronounced increase in rainfall on the equator (especially the western side) and drastic reduction on the sides. By contrast, the HadCM3 rainfall change is characterized by an interhemispheric asymmetry with increased rainfall north of the equator. Spatial correlation \( r \) in tropical \( (20^\circ S–20^\circ N) \) rainfall change between the two models is only \(-0.03\). Remarkably, the disparity in rainfall response to the A1B scenario can be explained by the intermodel difference in SST warming (Fig. 1c). Positive SST difference (CM2.0 minus HadCM3) is found collocated with enhanced rainfall in all three tropical oceans. Indeed, the spatial correlation between SST and rainfall differences reaches 0.56, illustrating the importance of SST warming pattern.

The present study investigates the effects of SST warming pattern on changes in tropical precipitation and circulation. It extends previous studies by analyzing a large number of coupled model simulations in the CMIP database and by using atmospheric SUSI simulations to isolate SST pattern effects. We show that the warmer-get-wetter mechanism accounts for much of the spatial variations in tropical rainfall response to GHG forcing as represented by the multimodel ensemble mean. As Fig. 1 illustrates, rainfall projection varies greatly among models, and the causes of this uncertainty have not been fully explored. We show that the differences in spatial patterns of SST warming are an important source of intermodel diversity in tropical rainfall projection, highlighting the need to study SST warming pattern as an ocean–atmosphere interaction problem. Finally, we examine the response of atmospheric overturning circulation to global warming and again identify SST pattern as an important cause of variability among models, especially for the Hadley circulation change.

Table 2: Ensemble means of spatial mean \( (M_{xy}) \) and variability \( (\sigma_{xy}) \) of changes in air temperature at 2 m and precipitation in the 22 CMIP3 models. Changes are limited to regions \( S^0–60^0N \) with nearly ice-free SST warming. The calculations are limited to nearly ice-free regions \( (60^0S–60^0N) \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( (60^0S–60^0N) )</th>
<th>Air temperature at 2 m (K)</th>
<th>Precipitation (mm month(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Global</td>
<td>Ocean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( M_{xy} )</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \sigma_{xy} )</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The rest of the paper is arranged as follows. Section 2 describes the data and methods. Section 3 examines the relationship among change patterns of SST, precipitation, and atmospheric circulation in the CMIP3 ensemble mean. Section 4 investigates the SST effect on the intermodel variations in precipitation change. Influences of SST warming on overturning circulation changes are discussed in section 5. Section 6 gives conclusions with discussion.

2. Data and methods

This study uses CMIP3 and CMIP5 output, and atmospheric GCM (AGCM) experiments (Table 3) to
investigate the response of precipitation and atmospheric circulation to global warming.

**a. CMIP3 models**

We analyze CMIP3 model simulations (Table 1) forced with the IPCC SRES A1B scenario representing the emission of a few climatically important trace gases (e.g., carbon dioxide and ozone). Based on certain socioeconomic development paths for the twenty-first century, this scenario projects a rough doubling of atmospheric CO$_2$ for the century as well as a recovery of the Southern Hemisphere “ozone hole” by approximately 2050. (Details of the models can be found at http://www-pcmdi.llnl.gov/ipcc/model_documentation/ipcc_model_documentation.php, and the output at https://esg.llnl.gov:8443/index.jsp.) A total of 22 models are included with one realization for each model. Monthly output is used. When monthly means are unavailable, we either compute from daily output or convert from other variables. See details in Table 1.

To extract robust anthropogenic global warming signals, changes are computed for the twenty-first century between two 10-yr periods: 2001–10 and 2091–2100. Then, they are normalized by the tropical (20$^\circ$S–20$^\circ$N) mean SST warming in each model before calculating the ensemble averages and the deviations from the ensemble mean.

The SUSI experiments advocated by the Cloud Feedback Model Intercomparison Project (CFMIP; Ringer et al. 2006) are used for zonal mean comparisons with the A1B simulations [only GFDL AM2.1 (i.e. the atmospheric component of CM2.1), MPI ECHAM5, and NCAR CAM3.1 are available].

**b. CMIP5 data**

The CMIP5 output under the representative concentration pathway 4.5 (RCP4.5) is available for 22 models. Our preliminary analyses of RCP4.5 data generally support the A1B results of CMIP3 to be presented here. We only include a brief discussion on
TABLE 3. Data and experiments analyzed in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Experiment</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CMIP3</td>
<td>A1B</td>
<td>Changes are calculated as 2091–2100 minus 2001–10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SUSI</td>
<td>Spatially uniform SST warming by 2 K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMIP5-CFMI2</td>
<td>Coupled</td>
<td>CO₂ increases at 1% yr⁻¹ until quadrupling (~140 yr)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>models</td>
<td>Quadrupling CO₂ while holding SST at current climate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RAD</td>
<td>SST is spatially uniformly warmed by 4 K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SUSI</td>
<td>Calculated as residual [Coupled models – (RAD + SUSI)]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGCM</td>
<td>CAM_A1B</td>
<td>SST increases as the CMIP3 ensemble mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CAM_SUSI</td>
<td>SST is spatially uniformly warmed by 2 K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CAM_T*</td>
<td>Only spatial patterns of SST change are applied</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CAM_NEPE</td>
<td>Equatorial peak of T* is eliminated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CAM_EP</td>
<td>Equatorial peak effect only (CAM_T* – CAM_NEPE)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RCP4.5 results, as the detailed comparison will be reported elsewhere.

We use the CFMIP2 simulations as part of CMIP5 (Table 3) to isolate the mechanisms for changes of the overturning circulations:

- Coupled models: CO₂ concentration increases at 1% yr⁻¹ until quadrupling (~140 yr);
- Direct radiative (RAD) (CFMIP2): Quadrupling CO₂ concentration while holding SST at the current climate;
- SUSI (CMIP2): SST is spatially uniformly warmed by 4 K (Cess et al. 1990);
- T*: Effect of SST warming patterns is calculated as residual [coupled models – (RAD + SUSI)].

Note that some of the SST patterns are due to the great ocean inertia, such as the reduced warming over the Southern Ocean and subpolar North Atlantic (Manabe and Stouffer 1988). All results are normalized by their tropical (20°S–20°N) mean, SST increases. Currently, five models are available: the Canadian Earth System Model version 2 with atmospheric model version 4 (CanESM2/AM4); the Centre National de Recherches Météorologiques Coupled Global Climate Model, version 5 (CNRM-CM5); the Hadley Centre Global Environmental Model versions 2-ES and 2-A (HadGEM2-ES/-A); L’Institut Pierre-Simon Laplace Coupled Model, version 5a, low resolution (IPSL-CM5A-LR); and the Model for Interdisciplinary Research on Climate, version 5 (MIROC5).

c. AGCM simulations

To test the atmospheric response to multiple components of the SST warming, we perform a sensitivity study using the National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCAR) Community Atmosphere Model (CAM), version 3.1. CAM is a global AGCM developed by the climate research communities in collaboration with NCAR (Collins et al. 2006). Coupled with a land model and a thermodynamic sea ice model, it is suitable for examining the response of the atmospheric circulation and rainfall to changes in SST.

We run the model for 20 years with triangular truncation at T42 (equivalent grid spacing of 2.88°) and 26 vertical levels. The CAM experiments are forced with the observed monthly mean SST climatology plus changes (except the control run) derived from the CMIP3 ensemble and annual mean SST warming, which is decomposed into SUSI and patterns. Specifically, they include the following cases (Table 3):

- CAM_A1B: SST increases as the CMIP3 ensemble mean;
- CAM_SUSI: SST is spatially uniformly warmed by 2 K;
- CAM_T*: Only spatial patterns of SST change (i.e., T*) are applied, defined as the deviations of the CMIP3 warming from the tropical (20°S–20°N) mean, equivalent to CAM_A1B minus CAM_SUSI;
- CAM_NEPE: The equatorial peak of T* (Fig. 2) is eliminated by applying a Gaussian weight in the meridional direction;
- CAM_EP: Equatorial peak effect only, calculated as CAM_T* minus CAM_NEPE.

d. Moisture budget analysis

We perform a moisture budget analysis to decompose the atmospheric dynamic and thermodynamic contributions to rainfall change over ocean (Seager et al. 2010). Once the atmospheric moisture equation is vertically integrated, one obtains

\[ \overline{P - E} = -\langle \mathbf{V} \cdot (\nabla \theta) \rangle + \text{Eddy}, \]

where \( P \) is precipitation, \( E \) is evaporation, the angle brackets \( \langle \cdot \rangle \) represent column mass integration throughout the troposphere (approximated as 200–1000 hPa), and the overbar denotes the monthly average. The \( \mathbf{V} \) denotes three-dimensional atmospheric velocity, but here we use two-dimensional fields to include more models, assuming that pressure velocity is small at the tropopause and ocean surface. The eddy term is due to submonthly variability and calculated as residual.
3. Ensemble mean change patterns

This section examines tropical rainfall change under global warming and relates it to SST warming pattern. We start with an analysis of the CMIP3 ensemble mean, followed with a water vapor budget and AGCM experiments.

a. SST, rainfall, and surface winds

To highlight the effect of spatial variations in SST warming, we compare the CMIP3 model projections under the SRES A1B emission scenario and simulations with their atmospheric components in response to a SUSI of 2 K, with the latter available through the CFMIP (Ringer et al. 2006). Figure 2 presents the zonal mean rainfall changes over ocean in these model ensembles, with climatological precipitation and SST change for reference. Rainfall change in SUSI runs (Fig. 2a) resembles the climatology (Fig. 2b). They share an equatorial minimum sandwiched by double peaks on either side, with $r = 0.67$ in $20^\circ$S–$20^\circ$N. A maximum of intermodel variations anchoring the Northern Hemisphere peak appears consistently in both fields. This relationship in SUSI is consistent with the wet-get-wetter mechanism (Xie et al. 2010).

The SST change develops patterns in space, here measured by $T^*$, the deviations of SST warming from its tropical ($20^\circ$S–$20^\circ$N) mean increase. In the zonal mean (Fig. 2b), major features of these patterns include an equatorial peak (Liu et al. 2005) and south-to-north gradients (Xie et al. 2010). The mean rainfall change of the A1B ensemble (Fig. 2a) shows little correlation with SUSI ($r = 0.18$). Instead of an equatorial minimum in SUSI, A1B precipitation features a broad equatorial increase with some intermodel spread, apparently forced by the equatorial maximum in $T^*$, which also shows considerable spread. The subtropical reduction in A1B precipitation seems to fit the “dry-get-drier” pattern but is actually associated with reduced SST warming ($T^* < 0$), especially in the Southern Hemisphere. In A1B simulations, the ensemble mean precipitation change and relative SST warming are highly correlated at $r = 0.80$. This relation illustrates the dominance of the warmer-get-wetter mechanism in the coupled models. Oceanic feedback due to changes in surface heat flux is discussed in Chou et al. (2009).

Figures 3a and 3b compare percentage precipitation change with relative SST warming in the 22 CMIP3 models under A1B scenario (Table 1). A clear correlation ($r = 0.68$) in space emerges in the ensemble mean, with increasing $\delta P/P$ generally collocated with positive $T^*$, and vice versa. In particular, the equatorial maximum in $T^*$ anchors a large precipitation increase in the equatorial Pacific; whereas precipitation generally decreases in the subtropical Southern Hemisphere where SST warming is subdued ($T^* < 0$). The reduced SST warming is associated with the intensified south-easterly trade winds (Fig. 3c), suggestive of wind–evaporation–SST (WES) feedback (Xie and Philander 1994). Reduced warming and suppressed rainfall are also found over the subtropical North Atlantic, a result of enhanced evaporative damping rate (Leloup and Clement 2009) and ocean circulation change (Xie et al. 2010).
SST change patterns are robust for the equatorial peak and Southern Hemispheric minima (Fig. 3a). The robustness of rainfall change there (Fig. 3b) is an SST effect. Moderate uncertainty in rainfall change for the central equatorial Pacific may be due to differences in the model physics/coupling scheme (e.g., the intensity of the climatological equatorial cold tongue).

A strong spatial correlation between $\delta P/P$ and $T^*$ in the A1B ensemble mean suggests an empirical relation (Fig. 4)

$$\delta P/P = \alpha T^* + \beta T,$$

where $\alpha = 44\%$ K$^{-1}$, $\beta = 2\%$ K$^{-1}$, and $T = 1$ K K$^{-1}$ (the tropical mean warming normalized by itself). In SUSI, $T^* = 0$ and $\delta P$ is proportional to $P$, representing the wet-get-wetter mechanism. Also, $\beta$ measures the percentage increase in the tropical average rainfall due to SUSI and direct radiative effects. In A1B, $T^*$ is only a fraction of the tropical mean SST warming (Table 2), but its effect on rainfall change [the first term on the right-hand side of Eq. (3)] is an order of magnitude greater than the second term (Fig. 4). In Table 2, a common rule stands out for both ocean and land. The standard deviation of 2-m air temperature warming is only a fraction of its global mean, whereas the spatial variability in rainfall change is 4 times larger than the mean. The mean land warming is 1.5 times that of the ocean warming, but the spatial variability is similar in magnitude. For precipitation, the mean and variability are both smaller over land than over ocean.

The Clausius–Clapeyron equation predicts that the atmospheric moisture content increases at a rate of $\alpha_0 = 7\%$ K$^{-1}$ (Held and Soden 2006). The fact that $\alpha \gg \alpha_0$ indicates that besides a weak contribution from spatial variation of moisture increase, the circulation change is important for regional precipitation change. Figure 3c shows that the SST pattern dominates the sea...
surface wind change and moisture convergence. Indeed, convergence is generally found where precipitation increases and $T^* > 0$, indicative of a strong positive feedback between circulation and convection that is commonly seen in the tropics (Back and Bretherton 2006).

For individual models (Fig. 5), $\alpha$ varies in the range of 10%–70% K$^{-1}$, with a right-skewed distribution, and $\beta$ in the range of −1% to 5% K$^{-1}$. Not surprisingly, models with large $\alpha$ feature a high correlation (i.e., $r$) between $\delta P/P$ and $T^*$ (Fig. 5d). The intermodel correlation is 0.62 between $r$ and $\alpha$.

b. Moisture budget analysis

A moisture budget analysis [Eq. (2)] helps identify whether the SST pattern control on regional precipitation is through spatial variations in water vapor increase or associated with atmospheric circulation change by quantifying the relative importance of the atmospheric dynamic and thermodynamic contributions to $\delta(P-E)$. Figure 6 illustrates the CMIP3 ensemble-mean results over ocean. The $P-E$ change (Fig. 6a) is well correlated in space with the contribution by circulation change (Fig. 6b), with $r = 0.73 \pm 0.10$ in the multimodel ensemble. Especially, the rainfall enhancement in the equatorial Pacific and reduction in the southeastern Pacific are due to circulation change associated with SST patterns. While the moisture increase (Fig. 6c) produces the wet-get-wetter pattern, its correlation with $P - E$ is quite low ($r = 0.30 \pm 0.17$). This confirms that over ocean, although $T^*$ is only a fraction of the tropical mean SST warming (Table 2), the near-surface atmospheric circulation change induced by SST patterns dominates the regional precipitation response to global warming. The eddy contribution (Fig. 6d) shows a clear poleward expansion of the Hadley cell in the Pacific and Atlantic Oceans.

Both the SUSI and SST pattern effects involve thermodynamic and dynamic processes. In SUSI, the thermodynamic effect dominates, but the dynamic effect associated with the weakening of the tropical circulation (Held and Soden 2006) also contributes, particularly in magnitude. With SST patterns, the dynamic effect determines the spatial pattern of precipitation change, but the thermodynamic effect also contributes to the magnitude. To first order, the thermodynamic component approximately represents the wet-get-wetter view (Fig. 6c), while the dynamic component expresses the warmer-get-wetter view (Fig. 6b), especially in spatial pattern.

c. AGCM experiments

We perform AGCM experiments to test how different components of SST warming, including the SUSI and spatial pattern, influence the atmospheric circulation and regional rainfall. Although implied to be the cause of atmospheric changes in this type of experiments, SST anomalies are determined by ocean–atmosphere interactions, which need further investigations (Lu and Zhao 2012).

Figure 7 evaluates the ability of CAM3.1 to simulate the ensemble mean change in the CMIP3 models. With $r = 0.62$ in 20°S–20°N, the CAM_A1B experiment (Fig. 7a) can reproduce the regional precipitation change in Fig. 3b quite well, including the strong equatorial enhancement and the reduction in the southeastern Pacific, subtropical Atlantic, and Indian Ocean. Surface wind change is also well simulated, with the enhanced south-easterly trades in the southeastern Pacific and weakening of the Walker circulation.

The CAM_SUSI experiment (Fig. 7b) shows that the tropical mean SST warming contributes to the rainfall reduction in the northeastern Pacific and the Mediterranean Sea. Besides, the SUSI causes cyclonic circulation in major subtropical ocean basins, which corresponds to the slowdown of surface winds. Specifically, this is consistent with the weakening of the Walker circulation.

Figures 7c and 7d compare the effect of $T^*$ evaluated with different methods as the difference between the CAM_A1B and CAM_SUSI runs, and the atmospheric response to $T^*$. Basically, the rainfall and surface wind change patterns are very similar between the two methods. In fact, the major features in Fig. 7a are largely reproduced by both methods, illustrating the importance...
of SST patterns in reorganizing regional precipitation in a changing climate.

Without the equatorial peak in $T^*$ (CAM_NEP), it becomes clear that the south-to-north gradient of SST warming (Fig. 7e) is associated with a basin-scale WES feedback in the Pacific and Atlantic (Xie and Philander 1994), with enhanced (reduced) trades collocating with weaker (stronger) SST warming in the southeastern (northeastern) Pacific. Note (see Figs. 7d,e) that the high-pressure center is displaced southwest of the SST minimum in the southeastern Pacific, a feature that needs further investigation. The similarity between Figs. 7c and 7d suggests that the AGCM experiments are linearly additive. Thus, we take the CAM_NEP experiment (Fig. 7e) to separate the SST patterns into two modes: the equatorial peak and interhemispheric asymmetry. Calculated as CAM_$T^*$ minus CAM_NEP, the equatorial peak effect (Fig. 7f) is accompanied by meridional surface wind convergence, associated with rainfall increase on the equator and reduction on the sides. It also contributes to the reduction of the Walker circulation.

The above analysis shows that the CMIP3 ensemble-mean SST warming pattern is composed of two leading modes: the equatorial peak and south-to-north gradient. SST patterns interact with the atmospheric circulation and dominate rainfall reorganization.

4. Intermodel variations in precipitation change

SST and precipitation changes vary considerably among models, and we show that their intermodel variations are correlated over ocean. An intermodel EOF analysis is performed on the SST changes among the CMIP3 models in the tropics (20°S–20°N). Figure 8 shows the leading modes with regressions for several variables. The first mode represents intermodel variability in cross-equatorial SST gradient, with large SST anomalies in the subtropics. Note that $T^*$ (Fig. 8a), air temperature (Fig. 8c), and $\delta P/P$ (consistent with the low-level moisture convergence) (Fig. 8e) are all asymmetric between the hemispheres, with a warmer and wetter Northern Hemisphere. The surface wind (Fig. 8a) and vertical wind shear (Fig. 8c) show consistent baroclinic patterns, suggestive of a basin-scale WES feedback, with enhanced (reduced) trades in the Southern (Northern) Hemisphere.

The second modes are more symmetric about the equator (Figs. 8b,d,f), with enhanced rainfall collocated...
with positive SST anomalies in the equatorial Pacific. The equatorial peak warming contributes to the slowdown of the Pacific Walker circulation, which can be seen for both surface wind (Fig. 8b) and vertical wind shear (Fig. 8d). It is noteworthy that the equatorial mode of intermodel variability peaks in the western Pacific. In Xie et al. (2010), anomalous warm oceanic advection due to the weakened south equatorial current is important in this region. Indeed, the intermodel variability in SST warming over the central equatorial Pacific is associated with the net surface heat flux that damps the SST signal (not shown), indicative of an ocean dynamic origin. Thus, the coherence between surface wind and SST patterns in the western equatorial Pacific indicates the model dependency on air–sea interaction processes there.

Reduced warming in the Southern Hemisphere subtropics and the equatorially enhanced warming are dominant patterns of SST response to global warming (Figs. 3a,b). Our EOF analysis above shows that models display considerable differences in representing the magnitude of these patterns. Remarkably, the leading two EOF modes for SST explain about one-third of the intermodel spread in precipitation projection (Table 4). The strong SST regulation of variability in rainfall change among models indicates that SST patterns are an important source of uncertainty for regional rainfall projection.

The ensemble-mean patterns of SST change in RCP4.5 (not shown) are similar to those in CMIP3, and well correlated with rainfall change at $r = 0.69$. The intermodel variance is larger in RCP4.5 than in A1B with similar modes. Coherent rainfall change is associated with SST modes of intermodel variability.

5. Overturning circulation change

As is clear in section 3, the interaction between SST warming pattern and atmospheric circulation change helps shape regional rainfall change. In zonal mean, rainfall is associated with the Hadley circulation. This section investigates what controls the intensity change of tropical overturning circulation in global warming, and the role of SST warming pattern in particular. Our results are presented based on three datasets: AGCM experiments to understand the CMIP3 ensemble mean response, CFMIP2 simulations as part of CMIP5, and intermodel variability in CMIP3. The Hadley circulation is represented by the zonal-integrated meridional mass streamfunction $\psi$, and the Walker circulation by

---

**Fig. 6.** Annual-mean moisture budget terms [Eq. (2), mm month $^{-1}$] in CMIP3 ensemble mean, including (a) the total change of precipitation minus evaporation, and the atmospheric (b) dynamic (circulation), (c) thermodynamic (moisture), and (d) eddy components. The vertical integration is performed in the troposphere (200–1000 hPa). The eddy term is calculated as the residual.
upper-tropospheric (250 hPa) velocity potential \( \chi \), obtained by solving a Poisson equation for global horizontal wind divergence (Tanaka et al. 2004).

**a. AGCM sensitivity experiments**

Figure 10 illustrates the effect of CMIP3 ensemble mean SST pattern on \( \psi \) with the CAM experiments. The CMIP3 ensemble-mean Hadley circulation change (Fig. 10a) features a weakening (slight strengthening) in the Northern (Southern) Hemisphere. The CAM_A1B simulation (Fig. 10b) captures the Northern Hemisphere changes well, but predicts a slight reduction in the southern branch of the Hadley cell. Consistent with the MASC theory of Ma et al. (2012), the SUSI effect (Fig. 10c) weakens the Hadley circulation in both hemispheres. Because the southern branch of the Hadley circulation is stronger in CAM than in CMIP3 ensemble mean, the reduction may also be stronger, resulting in the inconsistency between Figs. 10a and 10b.

The \( T^* \) effect (Fig. 10d) consists of two components: the equatorial peak (Fig. 10f) and interhemispheric asymmetry (Fig. 10e). The equatorial peak of the SST warming enhances the Hadley cell on either side of the equator, similar to El Niño response (e.g., Chou and Tu 2008). The south-to-north SST warming gradient causes a cross-equatorial circulation with an enhanced southern Hadley cell and a reduced northern one. The mid-latitude part of the Hadley cell is complex and likely involves eddy effects (Schneider et al. 2010) and land snow and sea ice feedbacks.

In total, both the SUSI and \( T^* \) effects contribute to the reduction of the Hadley circulation in the Northern Hemisphere, but \( T^* \) is the key factor for the enhancement of the southern Hadley cell. This is consistent with
the finding of Ma et al. (2012) that the spread of cross-
equatorial SST gradient explain much variability in the
intensity change of the Southern Hadley cell among
CMIP3 models, a point we return to in section 5c.

Figure 11 shows the horizontal distribution of the
cranges in 250-hPa $\chi$ and divergent wind in the CMIP3
ensemble mean and various CAM sensitivity experi-
ments. The slowdown of the Pacific Walker circulation is
apparent in the CMIP3 ensemble mean (Fig. 11a), fea-
turing anomalous convergence–downward motion over
the Indo-Pacific warm pool and divergence–upward
motion over the eastern Pacific. The CAM_A1B ex-
periment (Fig. 11b) captures the change quite well ($r =
0.87$). The magnitude of the change is somewhat larger
in CAM, since the ensemble mean damps the response
of the CMIP3 models. The major contributor to the
Walker circulation slowdown is the SUSI effect (Fig. 11c),
which has very high spatial correlation ($r = 0.96$) with
CAM_A1B. The SST pattern (Fig. 11d) only has a weak
weakening effect on the Walker cell, mainly due to the
equatorial peak warming (Fig. 11f). The meridional
gradient of SST warming (Fig. 11e) does not con-
tribute to the Walker circulation change. Indeed, the
SUSI effect dominates the upper-tropospheric circula-
tion change, including the slowdown of the Hadley cir-
culation (Fig. 10).

b. CMIP5–CFMIP2 simulations

The CFMIP2 experiments isolate the direct radiative
RAD, SUSI, and SST pattern effects from the CMIP5

| TABLE 4. Intermodel variance explained by the two leading EOF
<p>| modes of SST variability (20°S–20°N). |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>%</th>
<th>Pacific</th>
<th>Atlantic</th>
<th>Indian Ocean</th>
<th>Zonal mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$T^*$</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta P/P$</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
coupled models. The Hadley circulation is represented by $\psi$ at 500 hPa, and the Walker circulation by 250-hPa equatorial (15°S–15°N) $\psi$.

Figure 12 shows the 500-hPa $\psi$ changes due to different factors. The SUSI effect (Fig. 12a) tends to reduce the Hadley cell in all models. Since the MASC effect (Ma et al. 2012) is supposed to be identical among models, the intermodel variations come mainly from those in latent heating. The CO2 RAD effect (Fig. 12c) slightly reinforces the SUSI, especially in the Northern Hemisphere. The equatorial peak in SST warming (Fig. 12b) accelerates the Hadley cell near the equator. In the subtropics, the south-to-north warming gradient significantly accelerates the southern cell. The combined effect (Fig. 12d) makes a robust slowdown of the Hadley circulation in the northern subtropics. In the region near and south of the equator, by contrast, the opposing SUSI and SST pattern effects result in weak and highly uncertain ensemble-mean Hadley circulation strength change, subject to intermodel differences brought by SST pattern and model physics. This is consistent with the CMIP3 results (Fig. 14a) and CAM experiments (Fig. 10).

The 250-hPa $\chi$ (Fig. 13) shows a robust slowdown of the Pacific Walker circulation in SUSI (Fig. 13a). The RAD effect (Fig. 13c) weakly enhances the Walker circulation. The SUSI pattern (Fig. 13b) brings large uncertainty but does not offset the SUSI effect. As a result, the Walker circulation slowdown is robust (Fig. 13d), similar to the CMIP3 results (Fig. 14b) and CAM experiments (Fig. 11).

The MASC effect (Ma et al. 2012) in SUSI slows down both the Hadley (Fig. 12a) and Walker (Fig. 13a) circulations. The RAD effect is weak (Figs. 12c, 13c). The SST pattern effect (Figs. 12b, 13b) introduces considerable uncertainty to the intensity change in both types of overturning circulation. The ensemble-mean effects of SST pattern are quite different between the Hadley and Walker circulation. Note that $T^*$ contributes little to the Walker circulation (Fig. 13b) so its slowdown remains robust (Figs. 13a,d). For the Hadley circulation, by contrast, the ensemble-mean $T^*$ counteracts the SUSI and RAD effects (Fig. 12b) and makes $\psi$ flat and uncertain in the southern subtropics and near the equator (Fig. 12d).

c. CMIP3 intermodel variability

This section investigates the SST pattern effect on intermodel variations in overturning circulation intensity in CMIP3. Figure 14 shows the climatology and change of 500-hPa $\psi$ (Fig. 14a) and 15°S–15°N averaged 250-hPa $\chi$ (Fig. 14b), including both the CMIP3 ensemble mean and spread (shading). For the Hadley streamfunction, there are large intermodel variations in 15°S–15°N, so large that they can alter the sign of the Hadley circulation strength change in the southern subtropics and equatorial region. In the northern subtropics, the Hadley cell slowdown is robust, consistent with Figs. 10a and 12d. This asymmetry is due to the spatial variations in ensemble mean SST warming as discussed above. The Pacific Walker circulation (Fig. 14b) shows a robust reduction, consistent with previous studies (Vecchi et al. 2006; Vecchi and Soden 2007a). The intermodel variations are on the same order of magnitude as those for the Hadley circulation. Below we investigate the cause of the intermodel variability.

To examine the SST pattern effect on the intermodel variations of the overturning circulation changes, we perform two sets of intermodel SVD analyses among the CMIP3 GCMs. One is between the zonal mean SST patterns and 500-hPa $\psi$, and the other is between the equatorial SST patterns and 250-hPa $\chi$. Specifically, a conventional SVD analysis is applied to 22 pairs of variables simulated by the 22 CMIP3 models. The meridional SST modes (Fig. 15) resemble the leading EOF modes (Figs. 8a,b), featuring the south-to-north gradient and the equatorial peak, respectively (Ma et al. 2012). Both modes significantly influence $\psi$ in the region of high intermodel variability (Fig. 14a). The first SVD mode (Fig. 15a) is antisymmetric with positive (negative) anomalous SST in the Northern (Southern)
Hemisphere. This causes a cross-equatorial anticlockwise circulation between 15°S and 15°N that represents an enhanced (weakened) Hadley cell south (north) of the equator. The second mode (Fig. 15b) is symmetric and features an enhanced Hadley circulation on either side of the equator (within 20°S–20°N), driven by the equatorial peak of SST warming, similar to the response to El Niño (Chou and Lo 2007; Chou and Tu 2008). The leading zonal modes (Fig. 16) represents intermodel variability in zonal SST gradient across the Pacific (Fig. 8b), associated with changes in the Walker circulation.

Then, we remove the first two SVD modes of $\psi$ and $\chi$ from intermodel variability. Intermodel variations of the Hadley and the Pacific Walker circulations are both dramatically reduced (Fig. 14), indicating that the SST warming pattern is a major source of uncertainty in changes of overturning circulations. This does not come as a surprise as the leading two modes explain 82% and 69% of the intermodel variability in the Hadley (Fig. 15) and Walker (Fig. 16) circulation, respectively. The residual uncertainty may be due to differences in model physics.

Figure 14 sheds light on the reason why the Hadley circulation intensity change is not as robust as the Walker circulation. Because intermodel variations in SST pattern induce uncertainty for both types of circulation, the magnitude of the ensemble mean changes becomes important. The slowdowns of the Walker and northern Hadley cells are robust because of large ensemble-mean changes. The Hadley circulation change near and south of the equator is not robust because of small ensemble-mean change. This is illustrated more clearly by the CMIP5–CFMIP2 results (Figs. 12 and 13).

To illustrate the relationship between overturning circulation change and SST warming pattern, we devise indices for the southern Hadley cell (with most uncertainty) and Pacific Walker cell as follows:

- Southern Hadley cell index = 500-hPa $\psi$ averaged in 15°–5°S, and SST meridional gradient index = SST averaged in 5°S–5°N minus that in 25°–15°S.
- Pacific Walker cell index = 250-hPa equatorial (15°S–15°N) $\chi$ averaged in 100°–140°W minus that in

![Fig. 10. Annual mean changes of the Hadley circulation in (a) the CMIP3 ensemble mean and various CAM simulations, including (b) the total response forced by CMIP3 A1B ensemble mean SST change, and the component SST effects of (c) SUSI, (d) relative SST warming, and SST patterns (e) without the equatorial peak and (f) with the equatorial peak only. The Hadley circulation is represented by the zonally integrated meridional streamfunction (color shading, $10^{10}$ kg s$^{-1}$), with the contours (CI is $2 \times 10^{10}$ kg s$^{-1}$; red for positive and blue for negative; 0 contour is omitted) showing the mean circulation for reference.](http://journals.ametsoc.org/jcli/article-pdf/26/8/2482/4020411/jcli-d-12-00283_1.pdf)
80°–120°E, and SST zonal gradient index = equatorial (5°S–5°N) SST averaged in 100°–140°W minus that in 80°–120°E.

Intermodel variations are correlated between SST pattern and overturning circulation change at −0.74 and −0.44 for the Hadley and Walker circulations, respectively (Fig. 17). The linear relation predicts the overturning circulation slowdown in SUSI where SST gradient reaches zero. For the southern Hadley cell (Fig. 17a), the predicted SUSI reduction is $0.4 \times 10^{10}$ kg s$^{-1}$, which is cross-validated by the CMIP5–CFMIP2 SUSI results. However, because the SST pattern effect is strong, the total change in the southern Hadley cell is weak and highly uncertain. For the Pacific Walker cell (Fig. 17b), the predicted SUSI reduction is also consistent with the CMIP5–CFMIP2 results. The SST pattern contributes to the slowdown at about one-third of the total magnitude. Thus, the weakening of the Walker circulation is robust.

6. Conclusions and discussion

We have investigated the relationship among SST, precipitation, and atmospheric circulation changes in response to global warming using a large ensemble of CMIP simulations. While SST increases everywhere, precipitation change is to first order variable in space. Spatial patterns of SST warming play a key role in determining precipitation change. Our results show that in the ensemble mean, the annual mean rainfall change over tropical oceans follows a “warmer-get-wetter” pattern. Our moisture budget analysis shows that this SST control is not simply a result of spatial variations in water vapor increase (the Clausius–Clapeyron relation)
but occurs through adjustments in atmospheric circulation (Chou et al. 2009). Because equatorial waves flatten the tropospheric warming to a value determined by tropical-mean SST warming, the threshold for tropical convection rises, and the SST pattern and associated moisture change dominate the rainfall response. In many parts of tropical oceans, convection is reduced despite an increase in local SST because the local warming falls below the tropical average. In other parts of the tropics where relative SST change is positive, precipitation generally increases. The warmer-get-wetter pattern dominates in coupled models and deviates from the “wet-get-wetter” pattern realized in atmospheric response to uniform SST increase.

![Fig. 12](http://journals.ametsoc.org/jcli/article-pdf/26/8/2482/4020411/jcli-d-12-00283_1.pdf)

**Fig. 12.** Annual mean changes of the 500-hPa zonal-integrated meridional streamfunction \(10^{10} \text{ kg s}^{-1}\) in CMIP5–CFMIP2 simulations including atmospheric response to the (a) SUSI, (b) SST patterns, and (c) direct GHG radiative effect, and (d) in the GHG-forced coupled models. The shading marks the uncertainty (ensemble mean ± standard deviation) among the five models.

![Fig. 13](http://journals.ametsoc.org/jcli/article-pdf/26/8/2482/4020411/jcli-d-12-00283_1.pdf)

**Fig. 13.** As in Fig. 12, but for the 15°S–15°N averaged 250-hPa velocity potential \(10^5 \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}\).
As illustrated in Fig. 1, take any two global warming simulations, and the differences in projected rainfall change are obvious and substantial. Our results show that differences in patterns of SST warming explains about one-third of intermodel variability in tropical rainfall change among CMIP3 models.

Both the ensemble mean and intermodel variability feature two major patterns of SST change: the equatorial peak and cross-equatorial gradient. The equatorial peak drives low-level moisture convergence and enhances local convection–precipitation. The south-to-north gradient pattern is associated with interhemispheric WES feedback, with enhanced (reduced) trades and...
drying (wetting) in the Southern (Northern) Hemisphere. These patterns are robust in the CMIP3 ensemble mean but their magnitude varies among models. The diversity in representing these two modes among models is an important source of uncertainty for rainfall projection over tropical oceans. We note that the ensemble mean tends to underestimate the spatial variations. For individual models, the spatial standard deviations of SST warming in 20°S–20°N are 0.19 ± 0.04 K for a nominal 1-K tropical-mean warming, considerably higher than the ensemble mean (0.12 K). If nature evolves as one of the model realizations, the importance of the SST change patterns would be more significant than what we have shown for the ensemble mean.

Both the SUSI and SST pattern effects are important for the Hadley and Walker circulation intensity change in global warming. If the SST warming were spatially uniform, the Hadley and Walker circulation would both slow down as articulated in previous studies (Held and Soden 2006; Vecchi et al. 2006; Ma et al. 2012). The equatorial-peak warming accelerates the Hadley circulation on either side of the equator while the reduced warming in the Southern Hemispheric accelerates the southern Hadley cell. As a result of combined SUSI and SST pattern effect, the northern Hadley cell shows a robust slowing down while the Hadley circulation strength changes near and south of the equator are weak in the ensemble mean and subject to large intermodel variability. Uncertainty in SST warming pattern, dominated by the equatorial peak and cross-equatorial gradient modes, accounts for a whopping 82% of intermodel variability in Hadley circulation change among CMIP3 models. Compared to the Hadley circulation, the SST pattern effect on Walker circulation is weak, and the SUSI effect dominates. As a result, the slowdown of the Walker circulation is a robust feature across the CMIP models.

This study shows that SST patterns are important for future climate change, in the warmer-get-wetter pattern and Hadley circulation response. In addition, the SST pattern can explain the intermodel variations in tropical cyclone frequency response to global warming (Zhao and Held 2012). The tropical SST effect is not limited to the tropics as shifts in tropical convection and circulation have major remote effects on climate change elsewhere, via atmospheric teleconnection (Shin and Sardeshmukh 2011) and by affecting modes of climate variability (Collins et al. 2010; Zheng et al. 2010).

The rainfall–SST change relationship is similar to that in the mean state and El Niño (i.e., greater precipitation over areas with warmer SST). This is the main reason why the AGCM simulations can capture reasonable precipitation and circulation change. However, the causes of SST pattern formation and the influence of SST patterns on convection and associated circulation involve much more complicated processes including not only the ocean–atmosphere interactions, but also the interaction between convection and large-scale environment.

Recent observational studies reveal robust evidence for air–sea coupling associated with slowing down of the Walker cell and coherent patterns of climate change over tropical oceans (Tokinaga and Xie 2011; Tokinaga et al. 2012). Innovative model experimentations show...
that ocean–atmosphere interactions, specifically the WES feedback, are indeed at work in the formation of major SST warming patterns (Lu and Zhao 2012). To the extent that changes in surface winds and ocean circulation are important for SST patterns, this study calls for investigations into ocean–atmosphere interactions that shape SST and precipitation changes, which is demonstrated to be important for intermodel variability (an important measure of uncertainty) in a large model ensemble.
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