Host Status and Fruit Odor Response of *Drosophila suzukii* (Diptera: Drosophilidae) to Figs and Mulberries
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**ABSTRACT** *Drosophila suzukii* Matsumura (Diptera: Drosophilidae) is an agricultural pest with a wide host range. It is known to infest fruit that are still ripening on the plant, as well as rotting and damaged fruit. Our study sought to determine whether *D. suzukii* use mulberries (*Morus* spp.) and figs (*Ficus carica* (L.)) as hosts, as their host status was ambiguous. Accordingly, we collected 25 field-infested fruit and counted the numbers of *D. suzukii* emerging from them. We also sought to determine whether female *D. suzukii* would respond to olfactory cues from ripe figs and mulberries. As the host population has been known to impact host odor response, flies from mulberry, fig, and cherry origins were tested in “one-choice” olfactometry studies. Our results show that mulberries and figs can serve as hosts for *D. suzukii* and that female flies will respond to their odors. The host population did affect response to fruit odors, although further studies are necessary to determine habitat fidelity. This has implications for management of this pest, especially in backyard and mixed fruit orchard situations, which commonly occur in the current range of *D. suzukii*, and fig and mulberry may serve as a pest reservoir for other hosts and cultivated crops.
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*Drosophila suzukii* Matsumura (Diptera: Drosophilidae), also known as spotted wing drosophila, is an invasive agricultural pest originating from Southeast Asia. First documented in Japan in 1916, it has since been recorded in many other Asian countries, as well as nine European countries, five Canadian provinces, and at least 29 states in the United States (Cini et al. 2012, Hauser 2011, Lee et al. 2011b, National Agricultural Pest Information System [NAPIS] 2013). It has a wide host range and is purported to infest a variety of ripe and ripening fruits, as well as damaged or dropped fruit (Kanzawa 1939, Mitsui et al. 2006, Bolda et al. 2010, Walsh et al. 2011). Commercial crop loss can be variable and depend on crop and location (Bolda et al. 2010). Infestations in the United States have been observed on many commercial crops, including raspberries, cherries, blackberries, and strawberries (Lee et al. 2011a). In California, Washington, and Oregon, these crops represent a combined commercial value of US$2.6 billion; thus, a decrease in fruit quality can result in significant financial losses (Bolda et al. 2010, Walsh et al. 2011). It is of interest that these crops represent only a small proportion of the reported host range, and field infestation levels and potential damage of many of the reported hosts remain unclear (Burrrack et al. 2013).

Many *Drosophila* spp. show olfactory preferences for specific host fruits. For example, Atkinson (1981) has suggested that *Drosophila immigrans* may have originally evolved as a citrus specialist, as it has ancestries in Asia, where citrus originated, and seems to have adaptations for citrus toxins. This was corroborated by Hoffmann (1985), who observed variable responses of different *Drosophila* species to different fruit odors and found *D. immigrans* to be preferentially attracted to lemons in comparison with the other three *Drosophila* species tested.

Host odor preferences are thought to be because of heritable habitat fidelity and resource utilization. Habitat fidelity refers to an individual’s tendency to return to the habitat where they originated and most likely performed better (Hoffmann and O’Donnell, 1992). In a 1984 study by Hoffmann et al., three lineages of *Drosophila melanogaster* were found to have increased attraction to the odors of fruit from which they originated. Those researchers suggested that because all three fruits are available in the same time period, differential resource utilization may be particularly strong during this time. According to Taylor (1976), heterogeneous environments may result in genotypic variation and consequently allow genetic variations to persist in natural populations.

Data from an ongoing multi-crop field trapping study showed significant numbers of *D. suzukii* in fig (*Ficus carica* (L.)) and mulberry (*Morus* spp.) traps (unpublished data). Although figs and mulberries are listed as hosts, this has not yet been quantified in terms of field infestation. Furthermore, no reports have been...
made as to whether D. suzukii females respond to fig and mulberry odors. It may be that female D. suzukii exhibit odor preferences for their host fruit, and even exhibit habitat fidelity as seen in other Drosophila species. We quantified field infestation using field-collected fruit and used “one-choice” olfactometry trials to assess the response of female D. suzukii to ‘Illinois Everbearing’ mulberry (Morus alba x rubra) and ‘Mission’ fig (F. carica) odor in terms of host finding and fidelity. Further classification of the host status of mulberry and fig fruits is important in terms of predicting potential backyard and commercial damage as well as to assess the potential pest reservoir expected from landscape fig and mulberry trees.

Materials and Methods

Host Fruit Emergence Study. Twenty-five fruits (as close to peak ripeness as possible) from Illinois Everbearing mulberry (17 August 2011), Mission fig (7 September 2011), and Brown Turkey fig (24 August 2011) were randomly collected off of trees from orchards with known D. suzukii pest pressure at the U.S. Department of Agriculture Wolfskill Germplasm Repository in Winters, CA. The fruit had no visible signs of exterior damage, although the ostiole on the fig may serve as an access point for oviposition. Each individual fruit was placed in a plastic cup covered with organza mesh fabric (held in place with a rubber band) and held for 16 d at constant 22°C with a photoperiod of 16:8 (L:D) h. All emerging Drosophila were collected and identified as D. suzukii or other Drosophila spp.

Host Fruit Populations. We established host populations in May–June 2012 from Illinois Everbearing mulberry orchards at USDA Wolfskill Germplasm Repository and placed in separate plastic emergence cages. Figs were not collected because fig season had not yet begun. All emerging D. suzukii were kept and used for our study.

Flies were also collected May–June 2012 using sliced strawberry (to prevent host odor preference bias in the collected flies): fruit-baited traps (Ziploc Smart Snap [SC Johnson, Racine, WI] 591-ml containers with nine 4-mm holes in the lid) were placed in the fig (≈156 m from mulberry, ≈393 m from cherry), mulberry (≈223 m from cherry), and cherry orchards at USDA Wolfskill Germplasm Repository. Adult flies in the traps were collected, and the strawberries were kept in emergence chambers to collect any emerging D. suzukii. These orchard trapped flies were combined with the flies emerging from infested host fruit, and host populations were established and maintained in separate Fisherbrand square, polyethylene, six oz. stock bottles (Fisher, Pittsburgh, PA) containing 50 ml of Applied Scientific Jazz-Mix® Drosophila Food (Fisher, Pittsburgh, PA). Colonies were kept at 22°C in a cabinet incubation chamber (Percival Scientific, Inc., Perry, IA) with a photoperiod of 16:8 (L:D) h, and olfactory experiments were performed two to five generations after establishment.

‘One-choice’ Olfactometer Bioassay. A 4-choice olfactometer and air delivery system with vacuum control (Olfactometer Product No. OLFM-4C-2440PE, Air Delivery System Product No. OLFM-4C-ADS+V, Analytical Research Systems, Inc., Gainesville, FL) was used to test olfactory response of starved female D. suzukii (aged 2–7 d). The olfactometer was based on a design by Vet et al. (1983) and Kalule and Wright (2004). Air is drawn through four evenly spaced channels into a central chamber. This air was blown through 175 ml of deionized water in a PYREX 250 ml Heavy Wall Filtering Flask with Sidearm Tubulation (Corning Incorporated, Tewksbury, MA) to humidify the air, creating four distinct odor fields in the central chamber (see Fig. 1). The air is drawn out of the olfactometer by a vacuum pressure pump (insect inlet adaptor [Fig. 1]) attached to an open channel located in the floor of the central chamber, where mixing of odors also occur. Females were sexed by visual inspection and collected by aspiration from their stock bottles 20–24 h before trials were to occur and starved in a Dry Keeper Desiccator cabinet (Sanplateccorp, Osaka, Japan). The desiccant was removed, and relative humidity was maintained at 60–80% by placing a water-filled tray at the bottom of the cabinet. Flies were kept separate by host fruit population within the cabinet in 25-ml Falcon Tubes (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA) that were cut at both ends and covered with organza mesh fabric held in place with a rubber band. The desiccator cabinet was then placed within the previously described cabinet incubation chamber.

Trials were conducted under a 120V fluorescent light placed in a reflector dish (UL LLC, Northbrook, IL). The light was suspended 56 cm above the olfac-
Table 1. Mean Drosophila ± SE emergence per fruit for 25 field-infested fruit collected Aug. to Sept. 2011

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fruit</th>
<th>Female ± SE</th>
<th>Male ± SE</th>
<th>Total ± SE</th>
<th>Other Drosophila spp. Total ± SE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mulberry 'Illinois Everbearing’</td>
<td>1.56 ± 0.53</td>
<td>1.96 ± 0.35</td>
<td>2.64 ± 0.84</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fig 'Brown Turkey'</td>
<td>0.60 ± 0.23</td>
<td>0.96 ± 0.50</td>
<td>1.56 ± 0.67</td>
<td>18.40 ± 6.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fig 'Mission'</td>
<td>0.68 ± 0.36</td>
<td>0.48 ± 0.25</td>
<td>1.16 ± 0.56</td>
<td>3.08 ± 1.53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All acrylic and ethylene components were rinsed with 80% ethanol followed by deionized water and air dried.

**Statistical Analysis.** A nonparametric Friedman-analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed using Proc Freq in SAS 9.2 (SAS Institute 2008) to test the null hypothesis that flies were evenly distributed in each of the olfactometer trap bottles for the one-choice olfactometry trials. To compare the response to the different fruit treatments by the D. suzukii host populations in these trials, a logistic regression model was used, as the data were binomial. This was performed using Proc Glimmix in SAS 9.2 (SAS Institute 2008) to allow us to include the trial number in the analysis as a random effect to control for between trial variation within each fruit and population (trial number [fruit population]). The fixed effects were fruit, population, and fruit × population with the response variable being the number of flies responding to the fruit over the total number of flies. An LS means statement was included to generate pairwise differences in response.

**Results**

D. suzukii emerged from field-collected Illinois Everbearing mulberries, Mission figs, and Brown Turkey figs. Mulberries had the highest emergence, followed by Brown Turkey and Mission figs, respectively (Table 1). Other Drosophila spp. were prevalent in both fig varieties, with the most other Drosophila found in Brown Turkey variety. No other Drosophila emerged from mulberries (Table 1).

Fruit and the interaction of fruit × population were found to have a significant impact on D. suzukii response (fruit $F_{1,32} = 5.01, P = 0.0323$; population $F_{2,32} = 0.05, P = 0.9537$; fruit × population $F_{2,32} = 3.78, P = 0.0338$). Twenty-four independent trials were performed using Mission fig as the fruit attractant and 23 were performed using Illinois Everbearing mulberry. Overall, D. suzukii females responded more strongly to Mission fig than to Illinois Everbearing mulberry (Fig. 2), although the significant fruit × population interaction indicates that response varied by host population.

Within the host populations, the Friedman-ANOVA rejected the null hypothesis that the flies were evenly distributed in each of the four olfactometer trap bottles with $P < 0.05$ for all one-choice fruit odor experiments (fig–fig [host population–fruit odor]: $F_{3,6} = 9.780, P = 0.0205$; fig–mulberry: $F_{3,5} = 10.8571, P = 0.0125$; mulberry–fig: $F_{3,10} = 19.4231, P = 0.0002$; mulberry–mulberry: $F_{3,10} = 9.0882, P = 0.0251$; cherry–fig: $F_{3,8} = 14.2917, P = 0.0025$; cherry–mulberry: $F_{3,8} =
10.0667, \( P = 0.0180 \)); therefore, we can conclude that flies were not evenly distributed among each of the four trap bottles (Fig. 3). Higher percentages of mulberry flies were observed in the fig trap bottles than mulberry trap bottles (mulberry–fig vs. mulberry–mulberry \( t(37) = 3.11, \ P = 0.0036 \)) (Fig. 3). A similar trend was seen in figs, though this was not statistically significant (fig–fig vs fig–mulberry \( t(29) = 0.92, \ P = 0.3668 \)). For our control population, the percentage of cherry flies was higher in the fig trap bottles than in the mulberry trap bottles (cherry–fig vs. cherry–mulberry \( t(31) = 2.18, \ P = 0.0366 \)) (Fig. 3). Mulberry flies were most attracted to the fig fruit (LS Means estimate \(-1.0881, \ t(27) = -3.10, \ P = 0.0045 \)), followed by cherry flies (LS Means estimate \(-1.3393, \ t(26) = -3.45, \ P = 0.0019 \)) then fig flies (LS Means estimate \(-2.1538, \ t(32) = -4.57, \ P < 0.0001 \)). Fig flies were most attracted to the mulberry odor (LS Means estimate \(-1.5286, \ t(27) = -3.10, \ P = 0.0045 \)), followed by cherry flies (LS Means estimate \(-2.6037, \ t(36) = -6.06, \ P < 0.0001 \)) then mulberry flies (LS Means estimate \(-2.7994, \ t(41) = -6.62, \ P < 0.0001 \)) (Fig. 3).

Discussion

We found that \( D. \) suzukii can complete a full generation in mulberries and figs and that female \( D. \) suzukii respond to both odors; thus, these fruits can serve as hosts. From mulberries, an average of two to three adult \( D. \) suzukii emerged per fruit, whereas three to four flies per fruit emerged on average from fig cultivars. To put this in perspective, Burrack et al. (2013) found \( 1.17 \pm 0.14 \) \( D. \) suzukii larvae and pupae per fruit from field-collected ripe intact blackberries (\( Rubus \) spp.) and \( 2.90 \pm 0.34 \) larvae and pupae from ripe intact raspberries (\( Rubus idaeus \) (L.)) grown without tunnels in North Carolina. Raspberries and blackberries are known to experience heavy commercial infestation. No other \( Drosophila \) spp. emerged from Illinois Everbearing mulberry, although other \( Drosophila \) composed the vast majority of the fig emergence for the Brown Turkey variety. According to Takahashi and Kimura (2005), interspecific larval interactions are essentially competitive. It has been suggested that \( D. \) melanogaster may be a formidable competitor for...
resources in some hosts (Dean et al. 2012), which may explain the species’ proportions of the emerging adult Drosophila in figs.

D. suzukii respond to mulberry and fig odors and appear to more likely select novel fruit hosts as opposed to fruit in which they originated. This may have been influenced by our host population collecting methods or laboratory rearing methods. The host populations were started from D. suzukii trapped in strawberry-baited traps, as well as flies emerged from mulberry and cherry fruit, but not fig fruit. This is because fig season did not begin until after we began establishing host populations. However, trapping was performed at that time as preliminary trapping data had indicated presence of D. suzukii before the beginning of fig season. Field populations may be highly mobile, and may intermix within these blocks. All flies were reared on Jazz Mix, which is much different in quality than the fruit resources to which they were responding, may vary from batch to batch, and genetic differences in the host populations may be lost because of being reared on lab medium (Hoffmann and McKechnie 1991). Starvation may have also forced the flies to accept a more novel food source. According to Turelli and Hoffmann (1988), stressed flies may be less discriminating of available food. Dispersers assess time available for search by monitoring physiological factors, including fat stores and endurance (Stamps and Davis 2006), which are decreased when starved. This may have also led to the preference trends we observed. Despite efforts to ensure fig slices and mulberries were of similar mass and volume, variation in the quantity of volatiles produced would skew the results of the olfactory assay. Although more research is necessary to validate this behavior, it may be an important consideration in developing attractants. Kanzawa (1939) found Japanese rice wine to be the most attractive bait in grape vineyards, but grape wine to be the most attractive bait in cherry orchards.

Although the results of our study do not resolve D. suzukii habitat fidelity or odor preference, they are still useful in assessing the host range of this pest species. From our host emergence and one-choice olfactometry studies, we conclude that D. suzukii are attracted to and can develop on figs and mulberries. From these results, not only should commercial fruit growers be wary, but backyard growers as well. Figs and mulberries have a long fruiting interval that often overlaps with more valuable crops, such as cherries. Figs and mulberries are common backyard and landscape trees in California, and may serve as a reservoir for D. suzukii populations. Commercial growers, especially mixed orchard growers, and backyard growers may want to monitor local figs and mulberries for presence of D. suzukii and take necessary measures to prevent their spread.
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