The echocardiographic assessment of the right ventricle: what to do in 2010?
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For many years, the echocardiographic quantitative assessment of right ventricular (RV) function has been difficult owing to the complex RV anatomy. Identifying an accurate and reliable echocardiographic parameter for the functional assessment of the RV still remains a challenge. The review presents a summary of the most studied and presently used parameters of RV function, with their reported normal values, as well as advantages and limitations of use. Combinations of these parameters are used in daily clinical practice, each one offering only partial information about the status of the RV. Myocardial velocity and strain rate imaging have promising results in the assessment of RV function. There is hope that novel myocardial deformation parameters and three-dimensional echocardiography-derived parameters may add value to the examination of the RV, but validation studies are still needed.

Keywords
Right ventricle • Echocardiography • Tissue Doppler • Strain rate imaging • 3D echocardiography

Introduction

For decades, the right ventricle (RV) has been considered ‘dispensable’ for cardiac function and consequently ignored. The introduction of the Fontan procedure for complex congenital heart disease in 1968, a technique that directly connects the right atrium to the pulmonary artery, thus ‘bypassing’ the RV, cemented this belief. Only in the second half of the past century, after recognizing its key role in various physiological1 and pathological conditions,2–5 the RV regained attention. The RV performance defines prognosis in patients with congenital heart disease.6 In this population group, the RV may be subjected to either volume (atrial septal defect, pulmonary, and/or tricuspid regurgitation) or pressure overload (pulmonary stenosis, atrial switch operations, congenitally corrected transpositions). Assessing RV morphology and function is of paramount importance in acquired diseases as well. The RV has a great impact on the prognosis of patients with pulmonary hypertension, myocardial infarction involving the RV, and left ventricular (LV) dysfunction.5,6

Echocardiography, being non-invasive, widely available, relatively inexpensive, and having no side effects, is the modality of choice for the assessment of morphology and function of the RV in clinical practice. Recent developments have provided several new methods for analysing the RV, each having advantages and disadvantages. Doppler myocardial imaging (DMI), speckle tracking, or 3D echocardiography (3D Echo) are some of the techniques that may now add to a better understanding of RV function.

In this article, we review the currently available echocardiographic techniques and parameters for RV assessment in clinical practice and for research purposes, with a focus on acquired heart diseases.

Anatomy and physiology of the right ventricle

The RV is positioned directly behind the sternum, anterior to the left ventricle (LV). It has a complex geometry, appearing triangular when viewed from the front, and crescentic when viewed in a transverse section of the heart, with the septum being the most important determinant of shape. Under normal loading conditions, the septum arches into the RV both in systole and diastole. This complex geometry cannot be fitted to simple geometric models, which presents important limitations for the estimation of RV volume and function based on two-dimensional (2D) tomographic views.

In a normally developed RV with atrioventricular and ventriculo-arterial concordance and normal tricuspid and pulmonary valves, three anatomical parts of the RV can be distinguished: the inlet part which accommodates the tricuspid valve, the trabeculated apical
part, and the outlet.7–8 The myocyte arrangement in the RV wall differs from that of the three-layered LV. Myocytes are predominantly oriented in the longitudinal direction in the subendocardial layer. Circumferentially oriented myocytes are found in the thinner subepicardium.9 Consequently, the RV contraction pattern is predominantly longitudinal.10,11 Studies report a sequence of contraction during ejection that can be recognized first at the apex and propagates towards the outflow tract.12 To further differentiate the RV from the LV, rotational deformation plays only a minor role in RV contraction.

The thickness of the RV free wall is in the range of only 3–5 mm,13,14 and the RV mass is approximately one-fourth of that of the LV.15,16 Still, owing to the lower impedance and greater distensibility of the pulmonary artery bed, the RV can pump blood at the same rate and volume as the LV.

The ability of both ventricles to maintain a normal cardiac output, ensuring sufficient organ perfusion, depends on three key factors: the contractile status of myocardial tissue, the pre-load, which represents the initial stretching of cardiac myocytes prior to contraction, and the after-load, defined as the load against which the heart must contract to eject blood.17 In addition, RV performance is directly influenced by LV functional status owing to ventricular interaction.18 The interventricular septum, the pericardium, and common muscle fibres all play an important role in facilitating the transfer of force from the LV to the RV during the cardiac cycle.19 Around one-third of the pressure generated in the RV is determined by LV contraction.20 This direct influence of the LV was also proved by animal experiments where the RV free wall was replaced with a non-contractile patch without any measurable haemodynamic deterioration.21

Right ventricular function is susceptible to elevated afterload, with a small range of pressure against which it can maintain a normal cardiac output.6 In contrast, it better tolerates volume overload which alters RV geometry, but does not influence the pattern of ejection.22

The particular haemodynamic environment of the right heart has direct implications on the phases of the cardiac cycle in the RV. Under normal circumstances, the low end-diastolic pressure in the pulmonary artery is quickly exceeded by the pressure rise in the RV, resulting in a very short or even absent isovolumic contraction time.23 Another element that separates RV from LV physiology is the existence of a ‘hang-out’ period during ejection. In this time interval during RV pressure fall until pulmonary valve closure,24 ejection of blood is maintained most likely as a consequence of the high capacitance of the pulmonary circulation which allows the preservation of blood momentum. Similar to the isovolumic contraction, isovolumic relaxation is very short or may even be absent under normal conditions,23 the corollary being that a measurable isovolumic relaxation time (IVRT) is an indicator of elevated end-systolic RV pressure.

Pathophysiology of the right ventricle

Intrinsic contractile dysfunction, a change in loading conditions beyond physiological limits, as well as an altered performance of the LV can all have detrimental effects on RV function.

Right ventricular myocardial ischaemia or infarction is the major primary cause of RV contractile dysfunction. The RV is affected in 50% of inferior infarctions.25 Other primary RV myocardial diseases such as arrhythmogenic RV cardiomyopathy (ARVC) can be associated with globally or regionally decreased RV performance.26

An acute change in afterload of sufficient magnitude, as produced by pulmonary embolism (PE), can quickly result in RV failure as the RV has little ability to cope with this condition.27 A chronic exposure to an increased afterload results in RV hypertrophy and altered geometry, which temporarily reduces wall stress but ultimately results in RV failure.28 In addition, the flattening or displacement of the IVS seen in chronic RV pressure overload impairs LV compliance and filling.29 The mechanisms leading to RV failure in patients with chronic pulmonary hypertension are not fully understood. Potential mechanisms are: RV myocardial ischaemia, altered inflammatory and oxidative stress, abnormalities in endothelin and nitric oxide systems, and myocyte apoptosis. The time of occurrence of increased afterload may also influence RV response. As shown in a recent pilot study, patients with congenital pulmonary stenosis perform better than those with acquired pulmonary hypertension despite a comparable degree of RV afterload (R. Jurcut et al., personal communication).

Volume overload is a condition which is better tolerated by the RV, but, if sustained, will also ultimately lead to RV functional decline.2

Left ventricular failure may directly impact on RV function by ventricular interaction in that an exaggerated displacement of the septum into the RV may impair both filling and contractility of the RV.

Echocardiographic evaluation of the right ventricle

General considerations

Echocardiographic assessment of the RV is complicated by the complex geometry of this chamber, the pronounced trabeculation that compromises accurate endocardial delineation, and the anterior position that often limits echo image quality.30 Owing to the incomplete visualization of the RV in a single 2D echocardiographic view, more than one projection is needed for a comprehensive evaluation of RV structure and function13,31,32 (Figure 1, Table 1).

In case of uncertainty (e.g. in congenital disease), several morphological features help to identify the RV: the more apical position of the tricuspid valve compared with the anterior mitral valve, coarse apical trabeculations, the presence of a moderator band, and the presence of septal papillary attachments for the tricuspid valve.33

Right ventricular morphology

A qualitative evaluation of the RV can be obtained by assessing the shape of the RV, which can be visualized in a parasternal short-axis view. When the RV is overloaded, the crescent shape is lost and the septum becomes flat, the LV taking the shape of the letter ‘D’, resulting in an impaired LV filling and a decrease in cardiac function.
output. The pattern of movement displayed by the septum in systole and diastole can help distinguish between volume overload and pressure overload. In conditions characterized by RV volume overload, the flattening of the septum is seen only in diastole, the septum regaining its normal shape in systole. When the RV is subjected to a pressure overload, the septum will move towards the RV in systole in a first stage, maintaining the altered shape during the entire cardiac cycle when the condition aggravates (Figure 2).

Based on interventricular interaction, changes in RV shape can be characterized by the LV eccentricity index (EccIx), defined as the ratio of the LV antero-posterior to septo-lateral diameters in a short-axis view (Figure 3), and can be measured at both end systole and end diastole. Normal individuals have a value of 1 in both systole and diastole (the LV being approximately circular in transverse sections). A value higher than 1 at end diastole suggests RV volume overload and a value above 1 at end systole and end diastole is highly suggestive for RV pressure overload.

As estimating the volume of a complex structure like the RV is challenging, not allowing any geometrical assumptions, diameters and areas are used as surrogate in 2D Echo. Although the segment of the RV visualized in a parasternal long-axis view is neither the true RV outflow tract (RVOT) nor the true inflow

**Table 1  Echocardiographic sections for right ventricle evaluation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Echocardiographic section</th>
<th>Recommended measurements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parasternal long axis</td>
<td>End-diastolic diameter of RVOT (2D, M-mode)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-axis view of the RV inflow tract (modified parasternal long axis)</td>
<td>Anatomy and function of the tricuspid valve (posterior and anterior cusps)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV outflow tract view (modified parasternal long axis)</td>
<td>Pulmonary valve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parasternal short axis—base of the heart</td>
<td>End-diastolic and end-systolic diameters of the RV outflow tract</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parasternal short axis—papillary muscles level</td>
<td>RVOT shortening fraction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apical four-chamber view</td>
<td>LV eccentricity index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RV long and short-axis diameters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TAPSE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RV fractional area change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tricuspid valve (anterior and septal cusps)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RV free wall thickness</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LV, left ventricle; RVOT, right ventricular outflow tract; RV, right ventricle; TAPSE, tricuspid annulus plane systolic excursion (after Lang et al. and Ho and Nihoyannopoulos).
part, determining the RV diameter in this view with a perpendicular line on the septum was proved more reproducible and less variable than the RVOT diameter measured in a parasternal short-axis view\(^3\) (Figure 1A and C). In a multicentre study, to compare findings in patients with ARVC and normal controls, an increased RVOT diastolic diameter, irrespective of the view in which it was measured, was the most common finding in probands.\(^3\) The diameter of the RVOT can be measured in the parasternal short-axis views, proximal to the pulmonary valve, as well as from a modified parasternal long-axis view angled superiorly.\(^1\)

In an apical four-chamber view, both the long- and short-axis diameters can be measured and the end-systolic and end-diastolic

---

Figure 2 Parasternal short-axis views at the mid-ventricular level. (A and B) Patient with atrial septal defect type ostium secundum, consequent right ventricular volume overload, and mildly elevated pulmonary artery pressures—flattening of the interventricular septum at end diastole (A) and recovering of shape at end systole (arrow) (B). (C and D) Patient with severe pulmonary arterial hypertension and consequent severe right ventricular pressure overload—flattening of the interventricular septum both at end diastole (C) and end systole (arrow) (D).

Figure 3 Parasternal short-axis views at the mid-ventricular level illustrating measurements of left ventricular diameters for calculation of the eccentricity index (Ecclx). (A) Normal heart with diastolic Ecclx = 1. (B) Patient with pulmonary hypertension with diastolic Ecclx = 1.8. Legend: LVAP, LV antero-posterior diameter; LVSL, LV septo-lateral diameter.
areas can be determined. In normal individuals, RV area and mid-cavity diameter should be smaller than those of the LV, thus allowing a simple visual assessment of RV area.

Assessment of the structure and architecture of the RV walls can identify features which suggest a particular aetiology, such as RV infarct or ARVC. For instance, the presence of localized RV free wall aneurysms is a major diagnostic criteria for ARVC, while a high degree of trabeculation, increased thickness of the moderator band with a hyperechogenic appearance, and RVOT dilatation can also support this diagnosis. It is important to note that the basis of visual echocardiographic assessment solely, identification of functional abnormalities is inaccurate, frequently resulting in false-positive findings, and newer echocardiographic techniques help in a more accurate evaluation.

The maximum limit for normal thickness in the RV free wall is 5 mm, above which the ventricle is considered to be hypertrophied. Right ventricular hypertrophy can be seen in various pathological states: RV pressure overload, biventricular hypertrophic cardiomyopathies, and deposit diseases. For RV mass quantification, real-time 3D echocardiography (RT3DE) is superior to 2D Echo, and post-processing of full-volume datasets can lead to LV mass and volume calculation. The excellent accuracy and reproducibility of cardiac magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is well established, making MRI a gold standard technique in quantifying the RV chamber. However, it remains confined to experienced centres and involves high costs. Several studies showed good correlations between RT3DE and MRI-measured RV volume, ejection fraction, and mass.

**Assessment of right ventricular function**

**Global right ventricular function**

**Standard parameters**

Unlike the LV, where biplane methods are accepted and widely used for a global assessment of systolic function, a quantitative approach towards evaluating RV global function is more difficult to achieve owing to its more complex shape. Therefore, surrogate parameters were developed and were further validated against ejection fraction derived using isotopic methods or MRI.

Right ventricular outflow tract shortening fraction (RVOT-SF) is obtained from a parasternal short-axis view at the base of the heart where the end-diastolic RV outflow tract diameter (EDRVOTD) and end-systolic RVOT diameter (ESRVOTD) can be measured and the shortening fraction is calculated using the formula: RVOTSF (%) = (EDRVOTD – ESRVOTD)/EDRVOTD (Figure 4A). Lindqvist et al. found that RVOT fractional shortening correlates well with longitudinal function, pulmonary pressure gradient, and RV-right atrial (RA) pressure gradient. Care must be taken when measuring this parameter, as there are no defined landmarks for orientating the image with precision, and thus significant inaccuracies may result from oblique plane acquisitions.

Right ventricular fractional area change (RVFAC) expresses the percentage change in RV area between end-diastole and end-systole. It is obtained from a four-chamber view where the RV end-diastolic (RVEDA) and end-systolic areas (RVESA) are measured, and the RVFAC is calculated as follows: RVFAC (%) = (RVEDA – RVESA)/RVEDA (Figure 4B). It has a good correlation with MRI-derived RVEF and was shown to have prognostic significance in patients with myocardial infarction and pulmonary hypertension. Its main limitation is related to the need of good endocardial border delineation, which can be difficult to achieve in the highly trabeculated RV.

Tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion (TAPSE) has proved a useful index for evaluating RV longitudinal function. It is especially attractive in clinical practice given the ease with which it is measured using an M-mode cursor passed through the tricuspid lateral annulus in a four-chamber view (Figure 5A and B). This parameter measures the extent of systolic motion of the lateral portion of the tricuspid ring towards the apex. It has been shown to have a good correlation with isotopic-derived RVEF, although Anavekar et al. failed to find any correlation between TAPSE and MRI-derived ejection fraction. Normal values for TAPSE are 15–20 mm. The prognostic value of TAPSE was emphasized in cardiac failure and myocardial infarction. Samad et al. assessed TAPSE in patients after a first acute myocardial infarction, and showed that TAPSE ≤15 mm was associated with increased mortality (45% at 2 years) compared with patients having TAPSE >20 mm (4%). Although simple to use, TAPSE has some inherent limitations mostly because assessment is restricted to the longitudinal function of the RV free wall, disregarding the contribution of the interventricular septum and the RVOT. As TAPSE is measured relative to transducer position and was shown to be influenced by the functional status of the LV, care must be taken when interpreting this parameter in longitudinal studies of patients undergoing procedures that affect the overall heart motion (cardiac surgery).

The myocardial performance index (MPI, Tei index) differs from the previously described parameters in that it is derived from physiological rather than structural features. It is calculated as the ratio between the sum of the times of the isovolumic periods and the ejection time for the RV. The MPI is a parameter of global function, combining information on both systole and diastole. Unlike the left heart, where these time intervals can be determined during the same cardiac cycle (owing to the possibility of aligning the mitral and the aortic valves in the same view), measuring MPI for the right heart using conventional Doppler techniques is less accurate, as it needs at least two different cardiac beats for determining the time periods. The ejection time can be determined from the parasternal short-axis view at the pulmonary valve, while isovolumic intervals are derived based on the tricuspid flow. Myocardial performance index was shown to correlate with radionuclide-derived RVEF.

Normal values for MPI are 0.28 ± 0.04, and it usually increases in diseases associated with RV dysfunction. Furthermore, it was shown to be useful in the longitudinal follow-up of patients with chronic thrombo-embolic pulmonary hypertension who undergo pulmonary thrombendarterectomy, in whom RV MPI decreases after treatment. However, the use of this index is limited by the absence of the isovolumic periods in the normal RV as well as the pseudonormalization of the index when RA pressure is increased as shown by Yoshifuku et al. (Figure 6). The increased RA pressure determines a shortening of the IVRT that will result in a decreased value of the MPI index.

Another parameter of contractility is RV dP/dt measured on the tricuspid regurgitation envelope. Although it was shown to be highly load-dependent and not reflecting the contractile status of...
the RV muscle, it may still be useful for repeated longitudinal assessments.\textsuperscript{32,62}

Novel methods

Doppler myocardial imaging is a technique that offers information on myocardial velocities, allowing a quantitative assessment of myocardial function during the entire cardiac cycle. Using DMI, several global and regional parameters, such as timing, direction, amplitude of the velocity of the ventricular wall can be determined. Being a Doppler-based technique, alignment with the ultrasound beam is very important, an improper alignment (\(>20^\circ\)) yields erroneous results. The technique is less dependent on chamber geometry, but a good alignment of the ultrasound beam with the interrogated wall becomes increasingly difficult in dilated ventricles. Furthermore, no endocardial border delineation is needed, which makes DMI usable even if the echocardiographic image quality is suboptimal.

Pulsed DMI is simple to use online and has a very good temporal resolution. Meluzin et al.\textsuperscript{63} found that a cut-off value of 11.5 cm/s for tricuspid ring systolic velocities is able to accurately predict global RV dysfunction (defined as RVEF \(<45\%\)). Apart from angle dependency, the main disadvantage is that the sample volume is fixed and does not enable tracking of the region of interest as it translates with the cardiac cycle and respiration.

Colour DMI is an alternative to pulsed DMI. It allows an offline analysis of several myocardial segments during the same cardiac cycle. Sample volumes can be set to follow cardiac motion. Colour DMI values represent the median of the velocity spectrum

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure4.png}
\caption{Methods of determining indices of right ventricular systolic function. (A) Determining right ventricular outflow tract shortening fraction (RVOT-SF) as a ratio between the difference in end-diastolic (RVOTD) and end-systolic (RVOTS) diameter and RVOTD. (B) Measurements of right ventricular end-diastolic area (RVEDA) and right ventricular end-systolic area (RVESA), from which right ventricular fractional area change is derived as \(100\% \times \frac{\text{RVEDA} - \text{RVESA}}{\text{RVEDA}}\).}
\end{figure}
and are around 25% lower than those obtained using pulsed DMI in which the maximal spectral velocity value is measured. At frame rates of 150–160 fps, the temporal resolution is considered acceptable, and with wall-by-wall acquisitions, the frame rate can be as high as 180–220 fps.

Parameters derived from tissue Doppler imaging (TDI) techniques which may aid in the estimation of global RV function are IVRT, Tei index, isovolumic myocardial acceleration.

A normal RV that functions with preserved contractility and under normal loading conditions does not have a measurable IVRT. The end of systolic movement is immediately followed by early filling. A pressure increase in the RV leads to a sustained prolongation of the IVRT. As a consequence, in patients where the alignment with the tricuspid regurgitant flow is suboptimal, measuring IVRT using TDI techniques can be an alternative for estimating pulmonary systolic pressure.

The Tei index measured using DMI methods has the advantage of measuring the isovolumic periods in the same cardiac cycle (Figure 7). A good correlation was obtained between the Tei index derived from DMI and conventional Doppler.
Moreover, DMI-derived parameters can differentiate between volume-overload and pressure-overload conditions, as shown by Hsiao et al. They showed that in RV pressure-overload conditions, tricuspid ring velocities are lower and IVRT increases, as opposed to volume-overload conditions, where the ring systolic velocities increase significantly, whereas diastolic velocities decrease.

Myocardial isovolumic acceleration time (IVA) is a DMI-derived index that is supposed to be less dependent on loading conditions in a physiological range. It is calculated as the ratio between maximum systolic velocity and time to maximum systolic velocity (Figure 8). Studies have shown that an IVA measured in the basal segment of the RV free wall of >1.1 m/s² correlates well with MRI RVEF >45% (90% sensitivity and specificity). The value of this parameter was confirmed in congenital heart disease (e.g. in the transposition of the great arteries, repair of tetralogy of Fallot). Concerns exist regarding its reproducibility and dependence on the temporal resolution of the underlying data.

Three-dimensional echocardiography has emerged as the non-invasive technique that would overcome the geometric limitations of standard 2D Echo. Using this technique, volumes and, consequently, ejection fraction should be determined with high accuracy and without any geometrical assumptions (Figure 9). Similar acquisition techniques to 2D Echo are employed, but the visualization of the entire RV (especially the apical anterior wall and RVOT) remains a challenge—particularly in enlarged hearts in which the appraisal of RV function is especially relevant. Larger sector widths may be achieved when images are acquired over multiple cardiac cycles, but this can result in ‘stitch artefacts’ when there is cardiac motion owing to respiration or inconsistent cycle length owing to arrhythmias. Earlier studies have shown a weak correlation between 3D Echo-derived RVEF and MRI-derived RVEF, although, in a more recent study, Niemann et al. found an excellent correlation between 3D Echo- and MRI-derived RVEF. Measurements of RV volumes and RVEF by RT3DE have also proved feasible, accurate, and reproducible in children when compared with MRI measurements. Despite these encouraging reports, further studies are needed to assess the technical aspects of acquiring data sets and to determine the range of RV volumes and RVEF in health and pathology.

Right ventricular regional function

Tissue velocities can be measured using pulsed or coloured DMI at three different levels of the RV free wall: basal, mid and apical. Several groups only report two segments per RV free wall owing to anatomical (difficulties in accurately obtaining data from the apical segment) or physiological considerations (the basal segment representing the function of the smooth inlet component and the apical one representing the function of the trabeculated inlet component).

Longitudinal and radial velocities recorded at the level of the RV free wall using colour TDI in normal individuals were shown to be higher than those measured in the LV. Peak systolic velocities measured in the basal segment of the free RV wall have proved useful indices in the diagnosis and prognosis of patients with RV infarction, patients with systolic annular velocities having a significantly better event-free survival at 1 year than patients with systolic annular velocities <8 cm/s.

Doppler myocardial imaging based techniques allow not only for the evaluation of myocardial velocities but also for extracting myocardial deformation parameters (strain and strain rate). These parameters were already reported as useful for analysing global and regional functions in the LV and also in detecting subtle changes in the myocardial functional status that would have been overlooked by standard echocardiography parameters.

Strain (S)/strain rate (SR) represents deformation and deformation rate, respectively. Strain is defined as deformation of an object compared with its initial shape and is expressed as...
percentage. By convention, shortening (and thinning) has a negative value, while lengthening (and thickening) has a positive value. In systole, $S$ will have a negative value for longitudinal shortening and a positive value for radial thickening. End-systolic $S$ was shown to correlate well with regional EF.\textsuperscript{90} Strain rate or deformation rate defines the speed of the deformation, and correlates well with regional contractility parameters, providing information which is less dependent on the loading conditions.\textsuperscript{91}

Longitudinal myocardial deformation parameters of the RV free wall were described in normal individuals,\textsuperscript{87} athletes,\textsuperscript{92} and subjects with pulmonary hypertension\textsuperscript{82} or ARVC.\textsuperscript{39} Figures 10 and 11 illustrate both Doppler-derived and speckle-tracking-based strain curves in normal individuals and PHT patients.

With TDI techniques using a three segment model of the RV free wall in normal individuals, Kowalski et al. showed that $S$ and SR recorded in the apical segment have the highest values as opposed to the distribution of velocities in which the highest values are measured in the basal segment. Furthermore, TDI-derived $S$ and SR were used to describe RV function in patients with pulmonary hypertension.\textsuperscript{82,93} Dambrauskaite et al.\textsuperscript{82}
showed, using a two-segment model, that values for myocardial deformation parameters in the RV free wall are lower in the pulmonary hypertension population when compared with normal controls. Another interesting finding of this study was the heterogeneity in the distribution of S and SR in patients with PH, with lower apical when compared with basal values, and also in the correlations between studied parameters, the values for S and SR in the apical segment correlating strongly with the invasive haemodynamic data.

Another technique that can be employed for determining regional deformation is speckle-tracking-based myocardial deformation imaging. It is advantageous against Doppler techniques as it is relatively angle-independent, and the user-friendly software enables a shorter learning curve. On the other hand, there is a need for excellent image quality when using speckle-tracking-based methods, endocardial border delineation being of most importance for the curve-extracting algorithm, which can be a limitation for the RV study. Teske et al. compared values for myocardial deformation parameters using the two methods (TDI and speckle tracking) and showed that they correlate moderately, with TDI values being slightly higher than speckle-tracking-derived values. The feasibility of the two techniques was comparable, both techniques being able to discriminate between physiological and pathological conditions.

Assessing RV regional function has proved valuable in the evaluation of patients with ARVC, with 79% of patients showing regional wall motion abnormalities. Teske et al. found that both TDI and 2D strain-derived parameters are superior to conventional echocardiographic parameters in identifying ARVC. Doppler-derived strain in the RV free wall, with a cutoff value of −18.2%, was the best single quantitative echocardiographic parameter to detect RV pathology in patients with known ARVC.
Whether myocardial deformation parameters derived from the RV have added value in daily clinical routine remains a question to be answered, especially given that only small populations have been investigated and normal values are yet to be established.

Visual assessment of RV regional function has proved useful in the diagnosis of patients with PE. McConnell et al. described a specific pattern of RV dysfunction in patients with PE, with severe hypokinesia of the mid and basal segments of the RV free wall and hyperkinesia of the apical portion of the same wall. The specificity and sensitivity of this sign for diagnosing PE were 77 and 94%, respectively. However, when validated against helical CT diagnosis of PE, the sensitivity of the McConnell sign was very poor (16%) even if with a high specificity (96%). Moreover, Casazza et al. showed that regional free RV wall dysfunction was similar in acute PE and RV infarction.

Right ventricular diastolic function
Several echocardiographic parameters can be determined for evaluating RV diastolic function, but less data exist regarding their accuracy.

The tricuspid inflow pattern is obtained in a four-chamber view by placing a cursor at the tips of the tricuspid valve. It is similar to the mitral pattern, although velocities are smaller and there are marked inspiratory variations (Figure 12).

The transhepatic flow in a normal individual is characterized by the presence of the three waves: S, D, and A, the latter corresponding to atrial contraction. The fraction of hepatic flow measured as time-velocity integrals (TVIs) of the S and D waves: TVI S/(TVI S + TVI D) was shown to correlate with RA pressures. Furthermore, values below 55% predict an RA pressure of above 8 mmHg with good sensitivity and specificity. Nagueh et al. showed that hepatic venous flow dynamics relate best among several parameters (e.g. tricuspid flow, hepatic flow, and inferior vena caval diameters) to mean RA pressure and can be used clinically to estimate mean RA pressure.

Estimation of RA pressure is most often done by assessing the IVC diameter and its degree of collapse with inspiration.

TDI was also employed in analysing diastolic function in the RV. Using the ratio between the tricuspid flow early diastolic velocity (E) and peak early diastolic velocity of the lateral tricuspid annulus (E'), the E/E' ratio was found to have a good correlation with mean invasively measured RA pressure (r = 0.75; P<0.001). An E/E' > 6 had a sensitivity of 79% and a specificity of 73% to detect an RA pressure >10 mmHg.

Conclusions
For many years, the echocardiographic quantitative assessment of RV function has been difficult owing to the complex RV anatomy. Identifying an accurate and reliable echocardiographic parameter for the functional assessment of the RV still remains a challenge. Myocardial velocity and strain rate imaging have promising results in the assessment of RV function. In Table 2, we present a summary of the most studied and presently used parameters of RV function, with their reported normal values, as well as advantages and limitations of use. Combinations of these parameters are used in daily clinical practice, each one offering only partial information about the status of the RV. There is hope that novel myocardial deformation parameters and 3D Echo-derived parameters may add value to the examination of the RV, but validation studies are still needed.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Normal values (range or mean ± SD)</th>
<th>Clinical significance</th>
<th>Limitations</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Population size</th>
<th>Population age (range or mean ± SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RVOT EDD PLAX (RVOT1) (mm)</td>
<td>22 ± 1.5</td>
<td>Diagnosis of patients with ARVD/C</td>
<td></td>
<td>Foale et al.</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>19–46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RVOT EDD above aortic valve (RVOT2) (mm)</td>
<td>27 ± 1</td>
<td>Diagnosis of patients with ARVD/C, Diagnosis of patients with familial amyloidotic polyneuropathy</td>
<td>Risk of erroneous measurement in oblique sections</td>
<td>Foale et al.</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>19–46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RVOT EDD above pulmonary valve (RVOT3) (mm)</td>
<td>20 ± 1.5</td>
<td>Diagnosis of patients with ARVD/C</td>
<td>Risk of erroneous measurement in oblique sections</td>
<td>Foale et al.</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>19–46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RVOT SF (%)</td>
<td>61 ± 13</td>
<td>Evaluation of patients with right ventricular failure</td>
<td>Same as measuring diameters; Must be used in combination with RV inflow functional parameters</td>
<td>Lindqvist et al.</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>46 ± 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV eccentricity index</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Can be used for separating between RV volume overload and RV pressure overload</td>
<td>Risk of inaccuracies when measuring in oblique sections</td>
<td>Ryan et al.</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>36 ± 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV wall thickness (mm)</td>
<td>3–5</td>
<td>Diagnosis of RV hypertrophy</td>
<td>Variability in measurement owing to trabeculations</td>
<td>Foale et al.</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>19–46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV inflow EDD (RVIT) (mm)</td>
<td>24 ± 2</td>
<td>Diagnosis of RV dilation</td>
<td>Variability in measurement owing to trabeculations</td>
<td>Foale et al.</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>19–46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV EDA (cm²)</td>
<td>8 ± 4</td>
<td>Diagnosis of RV dilation</td>
<td>Variability in measurement owing to foreshortened apical four-chamber view</td>
<td>Lopez-Candales et al.</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>50 ± 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV ESA (cm²)</td>
<td>8 ± 4</td>
<td>Diagnosis of RV dilation</td>
<td>Risk of inaccuracies owing to foreshortened apical four-chamber view</td>
<td>Lopez-Candales et al.</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>50 ± 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RVFAC (%)</td>
<td>56 ± 13</td>
<td>Diagnosis of RV dysfunction</td>
<td>Same as measuring areas Load-dependent. Does not offer information about the inflow region</td>
<td>Lopez-Candales et al.</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>50 ± 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAPSE (mm)</td>
<td>&gt;15</td>
<td>Simple and easy to measure</td>
<td>Offers information only about longitudinal function</td>
<td>Samad et al.</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>63 ± 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 ± 2.8</td>
<td>Good correlation with RVF Prognostic value in patients with myocardial infarction and patients with pulmonary hypertension</td>
<td>Influenced by the overall movement of the heart</td>
<td>Kukulski et al.</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>40 ± 16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 2 Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Normal values (range or mean ± SD)</th>
<th>Clinical significance</th>
<th>Limitations</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Population size</th>
<th>Population age (range or mean ± SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RV MPI</td>
<td>0.28 ± 0.04</td>
<td>Not limited by RV geometry</td>
<td>Pseudonormalization in the settings of increased atrial pressure</td>
<td>Tei et al.</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>43 ± 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Evaluation of patients with congenital heart disease and pulmonary hypertension</td>
<td>Isovolumic periods are less defined in a normal RV</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Prognostic value in pulmonary hypertension</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IVA (m/s²)</td>
<td>1.8 ± 0.6</td>
<td>Relatively load-independent</td>
<td>Further clinical validation still needed</td>
<td>Vogel et al.</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>22 (mean age)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Not limited by RV geometry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Evaluation of patients with congenital heart disease</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S (∈ cm/s)</td>
<td>Basal: &gt;12</td>
<td>Simple measure</td>
<td>Angle dependency of the measurement (for TDI)</td>
<td>Meluzin et al.</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>20–74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Diagnosis of patients with RV myocardial infarction</td>
<td>Offers information only about longitudinal function</td>
<td>Kukulski et al.</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>16–76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Evaluation of patients with RV myocardial infarction</td>
<td>Influenced by the overall movement of the heart</td>
<td>Lindqvist et al.</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>22–89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strain (%)</td>
<td>Basal: 19 ± 6</td>
<td>Offers information about regional function</td>
<td>Angle dependency of the measurement (for TDI)</td>
<td>Kowalski et al.</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>20–42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mid: 27 ± 6</td>
<td>Correlates with RVEF</td>
<td>High variability in measurements</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Apical: 32 ± 6</td>
<td>Evaluation of patients with PH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strain rate (1/s)</td>
<td>Basal: 1.5 ± 0.4</td>
<td>Offers information about regional function</td>
<td>Same as strain</td>
<td>Kowalski et al.</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>20–42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mid: 1.72 ± 0.27</td>
<td>Correlates well with contractility</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Apical: 2.04 ± 0.41</td>
<td>Evaluation of patients with PH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E (∈ cm/s)</td>
<td>43 ± 11</td>
<td>Evaluation of RV diastolic function</td>
<td>High variability with respiration</td>
<td>Lindqvist et al.</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>22–89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dependent on the placement of the sample volume relative to tips of the tricuspid valve</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A (∈ cm/s)</td>
<td>31 ± 10</td>
<td>Evaluation of RV diastolic function</td>
<td>Same as E wave</td>
<td>Lindqvist et al.</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>22–89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E (∈ cm/s)</td>
<td>Basal: 14.5 ± 3.5</td>
<td>Evaluation of RV diastolic dysfunction</td>
<td>Angle dependency of the measurement</td>
<td>Lindqvist et al.</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>22–89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E/E₆</td>
<td>&gt; 6</td>
<td>Diagnosis of diastolic dysfunction</td>
<td>Same as E and E₆</td>
<td>Nageh et al.</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>36–90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The existence of a ‘grey zone’</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See text for abbreviations.
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