Creation of mortality risk charts using $^{123}$I meta-iodobenzylguanidine heart-to-mediastinum ratio in patients with heart failure: 2- and 5-year risk models
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Aims
$^{123}$I meta-iodobenzylguanidine (MIBG) imaging has been extensively used for prognostication in patients with chronic heart failure (CHF). The purpose of this study was to create mortality risk charts for short-term (2 years) and long-term (5 years) prediction of cardiac mortality.

Methods and results
Using a pooled database of 1322 CHF patients, multivariate analysis, including $^{123}$I-MIBG late heart-to-mediastinum ratio (HMR), left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF), and clinical factors, was performed to determine optimal variables for the prediction of 2- and 5-year mortality risk using subsets of the patients ($n = 1280$ and 933, respectively). Multivariable logistic regression analysis was performed to create risk charts. Cardiac mortality was 10 and 22% for the subpopulation of 2- and 5-year analyses. A four-parameter multivariable logistic regression model including age, New York Heart Association (NYHA) functional class, LVEF, and HMR was used. Annualized mortality rate was $<1\%$ in patients with NYHA Class I–II and HMR $\geq 2.0$, irrespective of age and LVEF. In patients with NYHA Class III–IV, mortality rate was 4–6 times higher for HMR $\leq 1.40$ compared with HMR $\geq 2.0$ in all LVEF classes. Among the subset of patients with b-type natriuretic peptide (BNP) results ($n = 491$ and 359 for 2- and 5-year models, respectively), the 5-year model showed incremental value of HMR in addition to BNP.

Conclusion
Both 2- and 5-year risk prediction models with $^{123}$I-MIBG HMR can be used to identify low-risk as well as high-risk patients, which can be effective for further risk stratification of CHF patients even when BNP is available.
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Introduction
Cardiac uptake of $^{123}$I-meta-iodobenzylguanidine (MIBG) has been shown to be a potent prognostic marker in patients with chronic heart failure (CHF). Compared with conventional clinical parameters, the independent and additive value of MIBG has been demonstrated in single-centre and multicentre studies.1–6 Low heart-to-mediastinum ratio (HMR), a simple but widely used index of cardiac sympathetic nerve integrity, is strongly related to poor prognosis including cardiac death, life-threatening arrhythmias, and sudden cardiac death. Studies examining therapeutic interventions have also demonstrated that HMR was improved in patients with good response and improved cardiac functional status.7–9
Most studies have used HMR as a prognostic index based upon hazard ratios among different patient groups. While risk for all-cause and cardiac mortality has been related to HMR, the contribution of this measure to prediction of absolute mortality rates has not yet been established.4,10 In addition, while HMR may improve in
response to CHF treatment, the degree to which a change of HMR, though statistically significant, actually influences mortality risk has not been clearly shown. It also remains to define an appropriate population for whom \(^{123}\)I-MIBG is beneficial for clinical decision-making.\(^{11,12}\)

We therefore have developed risk models that can directly demonstrate the mortality risk as a per cent unit.\(^{13}\) By directly converting HMR values into predicted mortality rates, risk stratification of patients into low-, intermediate-, and high-risk groups becomes more straightforward. We created both 2-year and 5-year models with \(^{123}\)I-MIBG imaging to facilitate more flexible risk-based decision-making for the selection of short-term as well as long-term therapeutic strategies.

**Methods**

**Patients**

A pooled database created by the collaboration of six prognostic cohort studies was used in this study as described elsewhere.\(^3\) Briefly, the database consisted of 1322 patients registered between 1990 and 2009 (male, \(n = 942\)), who underwent cardiac \(^{123}\)I-MIBG study at a stable condition of CHF.\(^{14–19}\) CHF aetiology was 73% non-ischaemic and 27% ischaemic. The mean follow-up time was 6.5 \(\pm\) 4.1 years (range 0.08–14.6 years). The mean left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) was 37 \(\pm\) 14% using two-dimensional echocardiography, gated blood-pool study, or gated single-photon emission computed tomography. Mean late HMR was 1.75 \(\pm\) 0.35. All the studies were approved by the ethics committee or institutional review board in each hospital.

**Subset populations for creating 2-year and 5-year models**

To provide a complete data set for 2-year analysis, 1280 patients who had definitive 2-year outcomes (death or survival) were included, with those who were alive and censored prior to 2 years excluded (Table 1). Similarly, 933 patients who had information of 5-year outcomes were included for the 5-year analysis. The baseline characteristics did not differ significantly between these groups.

**123I-MIBG study**

A dose of 111 MBq of \(^{123}\)I-MIBG was used in all studies. Early and late anterior planar images of thorax were obtained 15–30 min (early) and 3–4 h (late), respectively. All participating hospitals used low-energy-type collimators. The HMR, determined from cardiac and upper mediastinal regions of interest, was defined as the ratio of average heart count/pixel divided by mediastinal count/pixel. We used only late HMR in this study, since it showed better discrimination of prognosis than early HMR and washout rate.\(^3\)

**Follow-up endpoints**

Endpoint was cardiac death including pump-failure death (PFD), sudden cardiac death (SCD), and death from acute myocardial infarction (AMI). Those who had non-cardiac death were dealt with as censored at the time of death.

**Multivariate analyses and risk prediction models**

Multivariate proportional hazard analysis was performed in both 2- and 5-year sub-populations to find the number of significant variables for predicting cardiac death. Using these significant candidate variables, multivariate logistic regression analysis was performed to determine appropriate number of predictors of cardiac death. The final mortality prediction model was created with parameter estimates calculated by the logistic analysis. This model-based probability (\(p\)) could be calculated using the formula of

\[
p = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-b(0) + \sum b(i) * x(i)))}
\]

where \(b(i)\) was a parameter estimate, and \(x(i)\) was a predictor variable.

**Creation of risk chart**

To clarify characteristics of 2- and 5-year models, risk charts were created with significant variables to allow prediction of cardiac mortality using a unit of %.

**NYHA, New York Heart Association; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; HMR, heart-to-mediastinum ratio; BNP, b-type natriuretic peptide.**

| Statistics between total sub-population versus that for BNP analysis: | \(^{11}\), \(P < 0.0001\) vs. total 2-year data; \(^{12}\), \(P < 0.0001\) vs. total 5-year data; \(^{13}\), \(P < 0.0001\) vs. total 2-year data; \(^{14}\), \(P < 0.0001\) vs. total 5-year data. |

---

**Table 1** Patient demographics in total and sub-populations for creating models

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total population</th>
<th>2-year model sub-population</th>
<th>5-year model sub-population</th>
<th>2-year model with BNP</th>
<th>5-year model with BNP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>1322</td>
<td>1280</td>
<td>933</td>
<td>491</td>
<td>359</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>61 ± 13</td>
<td>61 ± 13</td>
<td>61 ± 14</td>
<td>62 ± 14</td>
<td>63 ± 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male sex (%)</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYHA class (% of III and IV)</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>51(^{1})</td>
<td>49(^{3})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEF (%)</td>
<td>37 ± 14</td>
<td>37 ± 14</td>
<td>36 ± 13</td>
<td>35 ± 11</td>
<td>35 ± 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(^{123})I-MIBG HMR</td>
<td>1.75 ± 0.35</td>
<td>1.75 ± 0.35</td>
<td>1.71 ± 0.33</td>
<td>1.70 ± 0.25</td>
<td>1.68 ± 0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BNP (pg/mL)</td>
<td>373 ± 421</td>
<td>381 ± 427</td>
<td>405 ± 451</td>
<td>381 ± 427</td>
<td>405 ± 451</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ischaemic aetiology (%)</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total follow-up period (years)</td>
<td>6.5 ± 4.1</td>
<td>6.6 ± 4.1</td>
<td>7.7 ± 4.3</td>
<td>4.9 ± 2.2(^{3})</td>
<td>5.4 ± 2.4(^{4})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac mortality (n, %)</td>
<td>263, 20</td>
<td>125, 10</td>
<td>205, 22</td>
<td>54, 11</td>
<td>102, 28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1** Patient demographics in total and sub-populations for creating models

NYHA, New York Heart Association; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; HMR, heart-to-mediastinum ratio; BNP, b-type natriuretic peptide.
In the logistic analysis, receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis using area under the curve (AUC) was performed to determine an optical threshold together with data histograms. For the calculation of an annualized mortality rate, we assumed that the annual mortality rate was constant during the follow-up period and exponential decrease in surviving patients, which was not simply the death rate divided by the number of years follow-up. Although b-type natriuretic peptide (BNP) data were available for only 491 patients for the 2-year model and 359 patients for the 5-year model, supplementary logistic multivariate analyses were performed by including BNP data.

**Statistics**

All the values were expressed as mean ± standard deviations (SD). Mean values between the two groups were compared using the unpaired t-test and analysis of variance. Prevalence values were compared using the χ² test. Likelihood ratio test and Pearson statistics were used to evaluate difference in contingency tables. ROC analysis was performed to determine the optimal cut-off values using AUC and model χ². Univariate and multivariate proportional hazard analyses were performed to select major significant variables, and the selection of variables with multivariate analysis was based on the forward stepwise method using a P-value threshold. Multivariate logistic analysis was used to create logistic models. All analyses were performed using the SAS statistical program package (JMP version 10.0, SAS, Cary, NC, USA). To calculate risk values and charts, Mathematica 10.1 (Wolfram Research Inc., Champaign, IL, USA) was used.

**Results**

**Patient demographics and cardiac mortality**

There were no significant differences in patient demographics between 2- and 5-year sub-populations (Table 1). Medications did not differ significantly between the two groups for use of β-blockers, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors, angiotensin receptor blockers, and diuretics. In the 2- and 5-year sub-populations, cardiac mortality was 10 and 22%, respectively. Fractions of deaths from SCD, PFD, and AMI did not differ significantly between 2- and 5-year sub-populations (Table 2).

The breakdown of cardiac death was compared between the ischaemic and non-ischaemic groups for the 2- and 5-year models (Figure 1). In the 2-year sub-population, SCD was the highest (50%) followed by PFD (38%) and AMI death (13%) in the ischaemic group. In contrast, fraction of PFD was the highest (73%) in the non-ischaemic group. Similar tendency was observed in the 5-year sub-population. Distribution of aetiologies of cardiac death in the ischaemic and non-ischaemic groups differed significantly in both the 2-year (P = 0.0010) and the 5-year model groups (P < 0.0001).

**Multivariate proportional hazard analysis**

Based on our previous results using the original databases (n = 1,322), 13 five candidates for significant variables were examined. In both 2 and 5-year subsets of the patients, significant variables were NYHA Class I–II and III–IV, MIBG late HMR, age, sex, and LVEF in the order of χ² (Table 3). The latter four variables were used as continuous variables in this analysis. When CHF aetiology (ischaemic versus non-ischaemic) was included in multivariate analysis, this variable was not a statistically significant predictor of cardiac mortality.

**Creation of mortality risk charts using multivariate logistic regression model**

Two-year logistic regression model included NYHA class, late HMR, and age as significant variables; LVEF was of borderline significance (P = 0.054) (Table 4). In the 5-year group, NYHA class, late HMR, age, and LVEF were significant variables. Mortality risk charts for 2 and 5 years were created for NYHA Class I–II (Figure 2) and Class III–IV (Figure 3). Considering the consistency between the two model parameters and for comparison of the model characteristics, four variables, including NYHA class,
Table 3  Proportional hazard analysis for 2- and 5-year sub-populations including complete follow-up (mean 6.5 years)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2-year model</th>
<th>5-year model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\chi^2$</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYHA class (I, II vs. III, IV)</td>
<td>51.74</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{123}$I-MIBG HMR</td>
<td>51.65</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>19.59</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEF (%)</td>
<td>14.85</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male sex</td>
<td>6.20</td>
<td>0.0128</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations are the same as in Table 1.

Table 4  Parameter estimates by logistic analysis in the 2- and 5-year models

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Parameter estimate</th>
<th>Standard error</th>
<th>$\chi^2$</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2-year model</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>-0.6361</td>
<td>0.7883</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYHA class (I, II vs. III, IV)</td>
<td>1.3631</td>
<td>0.2143</td>
<td>40.45</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{123}$I-MIBG HMR</td>
<td>-1.7961</td>
<td>0.3600</td>
<td>24.90</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>0.0203</td>
<td>0.0082</td>
<td>6.10</td>
<td>0.0135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEF (%)</td>
<td>-0.0168</td>
<td>0.0087</td>
<td>3.72</td>
<td>0.0536</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-year model</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>0.5941</td>
<td>0.6929</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYHA class (I, II vs. III, IV)</td>
<td>1.2782</td>
<td>0.1795</td>
<td>50.73</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{123}$I-MIBG HMR</td>
<td>-1.9224</td>
<td>0.3354</td>
<td>32.85</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>0.0216</td>
<td>0.0070</td>
<td>9.45</td>
<td>0.0021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEF (%)</td>
<td>-0.0178</td>
<td>0.0077</td>
<td>5.40</td>
<td>0.0201</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations are the same as in Table 1.

Figure 2  Mortality risk chart using MIBG HMR, LVEF, and age in patients with NYHA Class I–II. Colours are based on calculated annual cardiac mortality rate using exponential decay of the survival curves: green, <1%; blue, 1.0–2.9% and red, 3.0–9.9%.
123I-MIBG HMR, age, and LVEF, were selected. Since the logistic models were based on continuous variables, average value of each class was used to create both 2- and 5-year risk charts.

In all classes of LVEF, NYHA, and age, the mortality rate was consistently higher in the lower HMR groups in both 2- and 5-year models. In patients with NYHA Class I–II and age < 65 years, annual cardiac mortality rate was <1% if HMR was ≥2.0 in any LVEF ranges and LVEF ≥ 50% with HMR ≥ 1.7 (Figure 2). In patients with NYHA I–II and age ≥ 65 years, this low risk was seen only for LVEF ≥ 35% with HMR ≥ 2.0. In patients with NYHA Class III–IV (Figure 3), no patients showed annual mortality risk of <1%. However, the total and annual mortality risk in patients with HMR < 1.40 was 4–6 times higher than for HMR ≥ 2.0 in all LVEF ranges.

Mortality risk models including BNP data

Because of the limited number of BNP data available, we included only 123I-MIBG HMR (four categorical groups) and BNP (three categorical groups of 0–199, 200–399, and ≥ 400 pg/mL) in the models. Odds ratio of BNP was 2.56 and 9.27 for 2- and 5-year models (P < 0.0001 for both), respectively, and that of HMR was 1.49 and 3.34 (P = 0.042 and 0.019) for 2- and 5-year models, respectively. When compared with the whole 2- and 5-year populations, the sub-populations with BNP data had more frequently NYHA Class III–IV (Table 1) and had a higher 5-year mortality (P = 0.016), but the other background characteristics were comparable.

In the 2-year model using only BNP data, ROC curve AUC was 0.729 (χ² = 35), but it was increased to 0.751 (χ² = 39, P = 0.066 vs. BNP only) by adding HMR (Figure 4). Similarly, in the 5-year model (BNP only), ROC curve AUC significantly increased from 0.756 (χ² = 35) to 0.779 by the addition of HMR (χ² = 74, P = 0.016 vs. BNP only). Even in the same BNP classes, patients with HMR < 1.40 showed 2–3 times higher mortality risk compared with those with HMR > 2.0.

Discussion

This study confirmed substantial prognostic value of cardiac 123I-MIBG HMR in both 2- and 5-year mortality risk estimations. Using a four-parameter risk model including HMR and readily

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2y model</th>
<th>Late HMR</th>
<th>5y model</th>
<th>Late HMR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age &lt;65y</td>
<td>EF&lt;35%</td>
<td>28.3</td>
<td>1.40-1.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>35&lt;EF&lt;50%</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>1.70-1.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EF≥50%</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age ≥65y</td>
<td>EF&lt;35%</td>
<td>37.3</td>
<td>1.40-1.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>35&lt;EF&lt;50%</td>
<td>31.6</td>
<td>1.70-1.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EF≥50%</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3 Mortality risk chart using 123I-MIBG HMR, LVEF, and age in patients with NYHA Class III–IV. Colours are based on calculated annual cardiac mortality rate using exponential decay of the survival curves: blue, 1.0–2.9%; red, 3.0–9.9%, and purple, ≥ 10%.

Figure 4 Mortality risk charts including BNP and 123I-MIBG HMR. The colours are based on annualized cardiac mortality rate as indicated in Figure 3. Colours are based on calculated annual cardiac mortality rate using exponential decay of the survival curves: blue, 1.0–2.9%; red, 3.0–9.9%, and purple, ≥ 10%.
available clinical parameters, CHF patients were successfully stratified into low-, intermediate-, and high-risk probability of cardiac death. The present study used a large-scale, multiple cohort MIBG database with an average follow-up period of 6.5 years. Previous studies showed different significant mortality predictors depending on clinical backgrounds and study designs, including LVEF, BNP, complications of diabetes, anaemia and chronic kidney disease, and medications.\textsuperscript{4,5,8,10,20–22} In ADMIRE-HF, NYHA functional class, age, LVEF, and HMR were significant variables.\textsuperscript{6,10,23} Similarly in meta-analysis performed in Europe, late HMR, sex, LVEF, and NYHA class were significant determinants of lethal events by multivariate analysis.\textsuperscript{3} The clinical Seattle Heart Failure Model includes age, sex, NYHA class, body weight, LVEF, systolic blood pressure, and ischaemic aetiology, as well as laboratory data such as haemoglobin and kidney function, and medications and cardiac device treatments.\textsuperscript{2} Because of the large number of cardiac mortality risk factors, contribution of each individual factor to final outcomes is difficult to quantify and is likely to limit use of clinical models. In contrast, the three clinical variables used in this study (age, LVEF and NYHA functional class) are readily available and understandable for clinicians. During more than two decades, a number of studies performed in Japan, Europe, and the North America have demonstrated relatively short-term (2–4 years) prognostic value of \textsuperscript{123}I-MIBG imaging in CHF patients.\textsuperscript{12} Short-term risk prediction is valuable for the selection of treatment, and therapeutic response can be readily judged within 2 years. Patients with CHF, however, are consistently at increased risk for worsening of heart failure, hospitalization, and sudden death. Although recent advances in pharmacological and non-pharmacological treatment in CHF have improved patient survival, there are non-negligible number of patients who do not respond to these treatments. There is a clinical need for both short-term and long-term risk stratification and prediction of overall mortality. Our previous study demonstrated HMR-dependent decreases in survival curves in 1322 CHF patients during a 10-year interval.\textsuperscript{9} Since current decisions that have long-term implications, such as use of implantable devices and listing for heart transplantation, require longer term risk stratification, additive values of \textsuperscript{123}I-MIBG for both 2- and 5-year risk estimation are evident on the risk charts. For example, in patients with age \geq 65 years, NYHA Class III, and LVEF range of 35–50%, 2- and 5-year mortality would be 32 and 57% in cases with HMR < 1.4, which are 4.5 and 3.6 times higher than that with HMR \geq 2.0, respectively. The present database from Japan included 70% non-ischaemic CHF and the remaining 30% ischaemic aetiology,\textsuperscript{3} while ischaemic disease is more frequently responsible for CHF in Europe and the USA.\textsuperscript{6,8} The incidence of cardiac death was similar for both ischaemic and non-ischaemic aetiologies, and CHF aetiology was not identified as a significant independent prognostic marker. Therefore, the prediction equations and risk models from this study can be used in any patient with CHF independent of underlying cardiac diseases. Prediction of mode of cardiac death was not possible, because the number of deaths in each category was insufficient to support further modelling. In other studies, \textsuperscript{123}I-MIBG VWR and LVEF have been shown to be predictors of life-threatening arrhythmias or SCD.\textsuperscript{5} In ischaemic cardiomyopathy, sympathetic denervation assessed using \textsuperscript{11}C-hydroxyephedrine also predicted mortality from sudden cardiac arrest independently of LVEF and infarct volume (PAREPET study).\textsuperscript{25} The role of BNP and \textsuperscript{123}I-MIBG may differ in clinical practice. Despite the limited number of BNP data, the Japanese pooled database analysis showed significant additive values of HMR to NYHA class and BNP.\textsuperscript{3} In ADMIRE-HF study, addition of HMR did not significantly increase ROC curve AUCs for models including BNP using logistic analysis, but results only represented 2-year follow-up.\textsuperscript{10} In the 5-year model of this study, combined use of BNP and HMR demonstrated better diagnostic power using ROC analysis, a finding not seen using the 2-year model. BNP is a simple blood test and can be repeatedly measured in routine practice. However, the level of this biomarker can rapidly change in response to patient conditions and treatment, indicating efficacies for tracking short-term outcomes and estimating the severity of CHF.\textsuperscript{26} In contrast, \textsuperscript{123}I-MIBG-HMR changes more gradually during a several month period of clinical observation.\textsuperscript{27} Thus, once BNP has stabilized, the HMR offers additional insight into the long-term prognosis, making the two tests complementary. Most recent studies have indicated that an optimal HMR threshold for discriminating low from higher risk was between 1.6 and 1.8,\textsuperscript{4,6,28} suggesting that impairment of cardiac sympathetic innervation contributes to lethal cardiac events irrespective of differences in clinical backgrounds and nationality. Thresholds of HMR in Japanese studies have been greater than those in European and North American studies, probably because of differences in specific activities of \textsuperscript{123}I-MIBG and camera collimators used.\textsuperscript{12} Recent studies using a cardiac phantom and various camera-collimator systems successfully showed the ability of a mathematical calibration method to cancel collimator-derived difference in HMR\textsuperscript{12,29} and further clinical validation is required. Single-photon emission computed tomographic (SPECT) imaging with MIBG, which is widely performed to identify regional abnormalities of cardiac sympathetic innervation, was not available for the present study. Although SPECT method may be useful for localization of injured myocardium with arrhythmogenicity leading to lethal ventricular arrhythmias and SCD,\textsuperscript{30} it is highly limited when cardiac MIBG activity is globally reduced as often seen in patients with advanced CHF and neurogenic disorders.\textsuperscript{12} Thus, unlike for planar imaging, the appropriate role of cardiac MIBG SPECT imaging as a routine clinical tool in patients with CHF has yet to be established. This study has several limitations. Because this study was an observational study using the multiple cohort database in which patients had been registered between 1990 and 2009, effects of contemporary drug and non-pharmacological device treatments on cardiac outcomes were not evaluated; only 11 patients underwent ICD therapy and 7 had CRT. Despite the size of this study, we could not confirm previous findings that showed that cardiac MIBG imaging identified patients at increased risk for appropriate ICD shocks against lethal arrhythmias or sudden death\textsuperscript{31,32} and those likely to respond to CRT.\textsuperscript{33} Because of the small number of patients undergoing the device treatment, occurrence of SCD was not affected by life-saving ICD shocks, indicating that the model reflects natural history and mortality risk without cardiac device treatment. For the further extrapolation of the presented findings to
European and North American populations, a large-scale, prospective study using patients undergoing treatment based on contemporary heart failure guidelines is needed to establish prognostic and therapeutic implications of cardiac 123I-MIBG imaging and to determine how the technique can be used to identify high-risk patients most likely to benefit from device treatment in a cost-effective manner.

Conclusion

The 2- and 5-year risk models using four variables (NYHA class, age, LVEF, and cardiac sympathetic innervation parameter assessed by 123I-MIBG) were developed for the calculation of cardiac mortality risk and for the identification of both low-risk and high-risk CHF patients. The incremental prognostic value of HMR in combination with BNP data was also documented. Application of risk charts to CHF patients can contribute to easy access to not only short-term but also long-term prognostication. Assessment of cardiac sympathetic innervation using 123I-MIBG has the potential to assist clinicians in decision-making for the selection of risk-based therapeutic strategy, including appropriate use of cardiac devices.
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An 85-year-old patient presented after an abrupt change in mentation and was found to be hypertensive and hypoxic. Transthoracic echocardiogram (TTE) (see Supplementary data online, Video S1) revealed an underfilled, hyperdynamic left ventricle (LV), and a dilated right ventricle (RV) with an akinetic free wall. Pulmonary artery systolic pressure (PASP) was estimated to be >70 mmHg. These findings, coupled with the clinical presentation, were highly suspicious of a massive pulmonary embolism (PE). A computed tomographic angiogram (CTA) (Panels A–F) was obtained which was negative for PE; however, it demonstrated a dissecting ascending aortic aneurysm with a contained rupture and haematoma formation (Panels A–F, asterisk), which was compressing the main pulmonary artery (PA) (Panels A–F, white arrow). These findings can be clearly visualized on 3D reconstructed volume rendered images (Panels D–F); post-processing removal of the false lumen of the aorta and the haematoma (asterisk), leaving in place the true lumen (white triangle), allows clear visualization of the externally compressed main PA (white arrow). After emergent surgery, the postoperative TTE demonstrated resolution of RV dilatation and function (see Supplementary data online, Video S2) with a normal PASP.

Compression of the PA from acute aortic dissection is extremely rare. Due to the aorta and pulmonary trunk sharing a common adventitia, a contained rupture of the ascending aorta into this shared space leads to haematoma formation, compressing the lumen of the PA. The subsequent presentation of these patients may mimic an acute massive PE, with all the coinciding findings on TTE.

Supplementary data are available at European Heart Journal – Cardiovascular Imaging online.