

# Can I Get a Suggestion? Medical Improv as a Tool for Empathy Training in Obstetrics and Gynecology Residents

Fei Cai, MD

Merima Ruhotina, MD

Melissa Bowler, BA

Elisabeth Howard, CNM, PhD

Phinnara Has, MS

Gary N. Frishman, MD

Kyle Wohlrab, MD

## ABSTRACT

**Background** Physician empathy is associated with improved patient outcomes. No studies have examined the outcomes of medical improvisational (improv) training on empathy.

**Objective** Our aims were to determine whether an improv workshop is an effective tool to deliver empathy training for obstetrics and gynecology (OB-GYN) residents, and whether that effect is sustained over time.

**Methods** We conducted a prospective cohort study of OB-GYN residents undergoing empathy training through 4 improv games in a 1-hour session. Empathy surveys (score range 20–140) with validity evidence were administered 2 weeks prior to empathy training, immediately after, and 1, 3, and 6 months later. Fisher's exact test, Student's *t* test, and Wilcoxon rank sum test were used to compare statistical differences at each post-intervention assessment.

**Results** All 22 invited residents participated in empathy training. Empathy scores improved immediately after ( $120.0 \pm 9.8$  versus  $113.1 \pm 10.6$ ,  $P = .026$ ), though they regressed toward baseline through 6 months ( $116.3 \pm 11.0$  versus  $113.1 \pm 10.6$ ,  $P = .43$ ). When asked on a scale of 1–5 how much the workshop would impact their work, there was an increase in scores both immediately after (mean 3.5 versus 4.6,  $P < .001$ ) and 1 month later (mean 3.5 versus 4.1,  $P = .039$ ), but this difference disappeared at 6 months.

**Conclusions** Using improv comedy to deliver empathy training is associated with a minor improvement in empathy scores in OB-GYN residents, which decreased at 6 months. Residents found the activity to be acceptable and reported the training would impact their clinical practice.

## Introduction

Empathy is a key aspect of the patient-physician relationship. Mercer and Reynolds' definition of physician empathy is the physician's ability to (1) understand the patient's perspective and feelings; (2) communicate that understanding; and (3) act on that understanding in a therapeutic way.<sup>1</sup> Physician empathy has been associated with higher levels of patient satisfaction,<sup>2–4</sup> adherence to medical recommendations,<sup>5,6</sup> and improved clinical outcomes.<sup>7–11</sup> However, as medical professionals progress through their training, empathy tends to decrease.<sup>12,13</sup> Methods have been developed over the last few years in an attempt to combat this, including incorporating the humanities into medical education.<sup>14–19</sup>

In 2016, Watson and Fu described an innovative method termed “medical improv”: an adaptation of

improvisational (improv) theater principles to enhance medical skills like communication, teamwork, and cognition.<sup>20</sup> Incorporating medical improv into medical education might be helpful for empathy training, as improv can help learners access the empathetic, adaptive, and expressive parts of their communication skills. To the authors' knowledge, there are no studies evaluating the role of medical improv on the empathy of obstetrics and gynecology (OB-GYN) residents. The primary aim of this study is to assess the impact of an improv workshop on OB-GYN resident empathy.

## Methods

This was a prospective cohort study of OB-GYN residents at a large academic hospital setting in the Northeast United States with 32 total residents in the program. Postgraduate years (PGYs) 1 to 4 were eligible to participate. The study took place at Women & Infants Hospital from May to November 2018.

Upon agreement, residents participated in a 1-hour improvisational comedy workshop designed to teach techniques for empathetic connection and communication. The workshop was facilitated by one of the authors (M.B.), a trained simulation specialist and

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.4300/JGME-D-19-00185.1>

*Editor's Note: The online version of this article contains full descriptions of the medical improv games, a figure of JSPE scores immediate to 6 months post-intervention, and a figure and a table of residents' self-rating of the impact of the medical improv workshop.*

**TABLE 1**  
Demographics

Characteristics	Pre- Intervention (n = 21)	Immediately Post- Intervention (n = 22)	1-Month Post- Intervention (n = 17)	3-Month Post- Intervention (n = 7)	6-Month Post- Intervention (n = 15)	P Value
Gender, No. (%)						.19 <sup>a</sup>
Female	17 (81)	20 (91)	17 (100)	5 (71)	13 (87)	
Male	4 (19)	2 (9)	0 (0)	2 (29)	2 (13)	
Age, No. (%)						.87 <sup>a</sup>
21–30	15 (71)	17 (77)	12 (71)	2 (29)	10 (67)	
31–40	6 (29)	5 (23)	5 (29)	5 (71)	5 (33)	

<sup>a</sup> Fisher's exact test.

professional improvisational comedian. The workshop consisted of 4 separate games that were designed to be relevant to health care communication and empathy. All residents in the study participated in each game. Each game was then followed by a debrief that highlighted learning points, including building rapport, creating a shared mental model, revealing biases and stereotypes, and functioning under stress. The games are titled, “So This Morning, Right?” “Yes, And. . .,” “Scene Painting,” and “Late to Work.” Full descriptions of the games are provided as online supplemental material.

Empathy was assessed using the Jefferson Scale of Physician Empathy (JSPE) pre- and post-intervention.<sup>21</sup> The JSPE is a self-assessed 20-item scale with validity evidence that measures skills in communication, empathy, and creative thinking, with a score range of 20 to 140. Residents' initial JSPE scores were assessed during a protected time didactic session. Demographic data, including gender and age ranges, were also collected. No identifying data were collected. Two weeks later, residents were offered study enrollment.

Following the intervention, residents were given the JSPE immediately, then at 1 month, 3 months, and 6 months prior to protected didactic time. In addition to the JSPE, the residents were also asked on a scale of 1 to 5, “How much do you think this workshop will impact your work?” At the 6-month mark, residents were asked an additional question: “Would you want to participate in this workshop again?”

Comparisons between each assessment post-intervention to the pre-intervention assessment were conducted using Fisher's exact test for categorical data. Continuous variables were compared using Student's *t* test; if data were not normally distributed, Wilcoxon rank sum test was used. Presuming a 2-sided test ( $\alpha = 0.05$  and  $\beta = 0.2$ ), the minimal detectable difference ( $\delta$ ) was between 5.9 and 8.3 if 24 residents were recruited.

All statistical analyses were conducted using Stata/SE 15.1 (StataCorp LLC, College Station, TX). All

tests were 2-sided, and  $P < .05$  was considered statistically significant.

This study was deemed exempt for review by the Women & Infants Hospital of Rhode Island Institutional Review Board.

## Results

Twenty-two OB-GYN residents were approached. All elected to participate in the study and completed the medical improv workshop. Twenty-one residents completed baseline surveys, and 22 residents filled out immediate post-intervention surveys. At 1 month, 17 residents responded (77% response rate); at 3 months, 10 responded (45%); and at 6 months, 15 responded (68%). There were no significant differences in resident demographics (TABLE 1).

There was a significant difference in the mean JSPE score immediately post-intervention compared to pre-intervention (113.1 versus 120.0,  $P = .026$ ), but this difference was not statistically significant at the 1-month, 3-month, or 6-month follow-up (TABLE 2; FIGURE provided as online supplemental material). When asked, on a scale of 1 to 5, “How much do you think this workshop will impact your work?” residents reported an increase from a baseline of 3.5 pre-intervention to 4.6 ( $P < .001$ ) immediately post-intervention and 4.1 ( $P = .039$ ) 1-month post-intervention (provided as online supplemental material). This effect was not sustained at 3 months and 6 months. After 6 months, 13 (87%) residents wanted to participate in the workshop again.

Cost of the session was low and it required few materials. As the games had already been developed and the nature of the games was improvisational, approximately 1 hour of preparation time was needed to go over the games prior to the session. The session itself lasted approximately 1 hour. One session leader was needed, and simulation space with seating for approximately 30 people was already available at the hospital.

**TABLE 2**  
Jefferson Scale of Physician Empathy (JSPE) Pre-Intervention and Post-Intervention

Characteristics	Values	JSPE Scores	P Value
Pre-intervention (n = 21)	Mean (SD) Median (min–max) IQR (Q1–Q3)	113.1 (10.6) 115 (90–129) (111–119)	N/A
Immediately post-intervention (n = 22)	Mean (SD) Median (min–max) IQR (Q1–Q3)	120.0 (9.8) 122.5 (100–137) (115–126)	.026 <sup>a</sup>
1-month post-intervention (n = 17)	Mean (SD) Median (min–max) IQR (Q1–Q3)	117.2 (14.3) 119 (81–138) (111–119)	.11 <sup>a</sup>
3-month post-intervention (n = 10)	Mean (SD) Median (min–max) IQR (Q1–Q3)	115.3 (12.8) 117 (88–126) (114–124)	.44 <sup>a</sup>
6-month post-intervention (n = 15)	Mean (SD) Median (min–max) IQR (Q1–Q3)	116.3 (11.0) 115 (92–133) (109–125)	.43 <sup>a</sup>

Abbreviations: IQR, interquartile range; N/A, not available.

<sup>a</sup> Wilcoxon rank sum test.

## Discussion

A single session improv comedy workshop increased OB-GYN resident empathy a small degree, although the effect was not sustained over time. The vast majority of residents expressed interest in participating in the workshop again. The workshop required little preparation or materials other than a facilitator of improv games. While our study employed an improv-trained faculty member, we do not believe formal training is needed to be a leader of these sessions.

This study is one of the first to directly examine the effects of a medical improv workshop on empathy training, and suggests that a one-time, stand-alone empathy workshop has a positive impact on OB-GYN resident empathy. Few studies have assessed empathy levels in OB-GYN residents. In one such study, Ghetti and colleagues proposed an intervention with a method of case-centered discussion that has been shown to increase job satisfaction and reduce burnout. However, empathy scores did not change in a 12-month period.<sup>22,23</sup> In another multicenter study, general surgery residents scored comparatively lower for emotional factor, empathy, and emotion expression compared to other residents.<sup>22</sup>

This study has limitations. It was conducted at a single site, limiting generalizability, and the sample size of 22 residents who completed the intervention was below the power analysis requirement of 24 residents. While we did find a difference in empathy scores immediately after the intervention, our study was underpowered to detect differences at the 1-, 3-, and 6-month marks. There were also decreasing response rates after the initial intervention.

Along with our findings, further research needs to be done to expand the scale and intervention of medical improv in order to assess its generalizability and its effects. Also, more work is needed to determine sustainment and the ideal interval between targeted training or periodic “refresher” sessions.

## Conclusions

OB-GYN residents in this study had a small increase in empathy immediately after a medical improv workshop, although its effect diminished over time. The workshop itself requires limited resources and is feasible in an OB-GYN residency program. It was also deemed highly acceptable by residents who reported that the training would impact their clinical practice.

## References

1. Mercer SW, Reynolds WJ. Empathy and quality of care. *Br J Gen Pract.* 2002;52(suppl):9–13.
2. Derksen F, Bensing J, Lagro-Jannsen A. Effectiveness of empathy in general practice: a systematic review. *Br J Gen Pract.* 2013;63(606):e76–e84. doi:10.3399/bjgp13X660814.
3. Hojat M, Louis DZ, Maxwell K, Markham FW, Wender RC, Gonnella JS. A brief instrument to measure patients' overall satisfaction with primary care physicians. *Fam Med.* 2011;43(6):412–417.
4. Kimm SS, Kaplowitz S, Johnston MV. The effects of physician empathy on patient satisfaction and compliance. *Eval Health Prof.* 2014;27(3):237–251. doi:10.1177/0163278704267037.
5. Squier RW. A model of empathic understanding and adherence to treatment regimens in practitioner-patient

- relationships. *Soc Sci Med*. 1990;30(3):325–339. doi:10.1016/0277-9536(90)90188-x.
6. Zolnieriek KB, Dimatteo MR. Physician communication and patient adherence to treatment: a meta-analysis. *Med Care*. 2009;47(8):826–834. doi:10.1097/MLR.0b013e31819a5acc.
  7. Hojat M, Louis DZ, Maxwell K, Markham FW, Wender RC, Gonnella JS. Physicians' empathy and clinical outcomes for diabetic patients. *Acad Med*. 2011;86(3):359–364. doi:10.1097/ACM.0b013e3182086fe1.
  8. Lobchuk MM, Bokhari SA. Linkages among empathic behaviors, physical symptoms, and psychological distress in patients with ovarian cancer: a pilot study. *Oncol Nurs Forum*. 2008;35(5):808–814. doi:10.1188/08.ONF.808-814.
  9. Rakel DP, Hoeft TJ, Barrett BP, Chewning BA, Craig BM, Niu M. Practitioner empathy and the duration of the common cold. *Fam Med*. 2009;41(7):494–501.
  10. Owen S, Shaw J, Mitchell C. What's going on? The effectiveness of communication in undergraduate inter-professional education: the student experience. *Aust Nurse Teachers Soc E-Bull*. 2015;7(3):5–15.
  11. Scherer YK, Myers J, O'Connor TD, Haskins M. Interprofessional simulation to foster collaboration between nursing and medical students. *Clin Simul Nurs*. 2013;9(11):497–505.
  12. Chen DC, Kirshenbaum DS, Yan J, Kirshenbaum E, Aseltine RH. Characterizing changes in student empathy throughout medical school. *Med Teach*. 2012;34(4):305–311. doi:10.3109/0142159X.2012.644600.
  13. Neumann M, Edelhauser F, Tauschel D, Fischer MR, Wirtz M, Woopen C, et al. Empathy decline and its reasons: a systematic review of studies with medical students and residents. *Acad Med*. 2011;86(8):996–1009. doi:10.1097/ACM.0b013e318221e615.
  14. Zenasni F, Boujut E, Woerner A, Sultan S. Burnout and empathy in primary care: three hypotheses. *Br J Gen Pract*. 2012;62(600):346–347. doi:10.3399/bjgp12X652193.
  15. Weiss SC. Humanities in medical education: revisiting the doctor-patient relationship. *Med Law*. 2000;19(3):559–567.
  16. Wershof Schwartz A, Abramson JS, Wojnowich I, Accordino R, Ronan EJ, Rifkin MR. Evaluating the impact of the humanities in medical education. *Mt Sinai J Med*. 2009;76(4):372–380. doi:10.1002/msj.20126.
  17. Brett-Maclean P. Use of the arts in medical and health professional education. *Univ of Alberta Health Sci J*. 2007;4(1):26–29.
  18. Levin SR, Cai F, Noronha N, Wald HS, Daniel MS. Diseases, doctors, and divas: cultivating reflective capacity in preclinical medical students through a critical examination of opera. *J Learn Arts*. 2017;13(1). doi:10.21977/D913128321.
  19. Blasco PG, Moreto G, Levits MR. Teaching humanities through opera: leading medical students to reflective attitudes. *Fam Med*. 2005;37(1):18–20.
  20. Watson K, Fu B. Medical improv: a novel approach to teaching communication and professionalism skills. *Ann Intern Med*. 2016;165(8):591–592. doi:10.7326/M15-2239.
  21. Di Lillo M, Cicchetti A, Scalzo A, Taroni F, Hojat M. The Jefferson scale of physician empathy: preliminary psychometrics and group comparisons in Italian physicians. *Acad Med*. 2009;84(9):1198–1202. doi:10.1097/ACM.0b013e3181b17b3f.
  22. Ghetti C, Chang J, Gosman G. Burnout, psychological skills, and empathy: Balint training in obstetrics and gynecology residents. *J Grad Med Educ*. 2009;1(2):231–235. doi:10.4300/JGME-D-09-00049.1.
  23. Balint M. *The Doctor, His Patient and the Illness*. London, England: Pitman; 1957.



**Fei Cai, MD**, is Resident Physician, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Women & Infants Hospital of Rhode Island/Warren Alpert Medical School of Brown University; **Merima Ruhotina, MD**, is Resident Physician, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Women & Infants Hospital of Rhode Island/Warren Alpert Medical School of Brown University; **Melissa Bowler, BA**, is a Professional Actor and Co-Founder, Providence Improv Guild; **Elisabeth Howard, CNM, PhD**, is Division Director for Department of Nurse Midwifery, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Women & Infants Hospital of Rhode Island/Warren Alpert Medical School of Brown University; **Phinnara Has, MS**, is Statistician, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Women & Infants Hospital of Rhode Island/Warren Alpert Medical School of Brown University; **Gary N. Frishman, MD**, is Professor in Obstetrics and Gynecology, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Women & Infants Hospital of Rhode Island/Warren Alpert Medical School of Brown University; and **Kyle Wohlrab, MD**, is Associate Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Women & Infants Hospital of Rhode Island/Warren Alpert Medical School of Brown University.

Funding: The authors report no external funding source for this study.

Conflict of interest: The authors declare they have no competing interests.

The authors would like to thank the residents of the Obstetrics and Gynecology Residency Program at Women & Infants Hospital of Rhode Island/Warren Alpert Medical School of Brown University for agreeing to participate in this study.

This work was presented at the Council on Resident Education in Obstetrics and Gynecology (CREOG) and the Association of Professors of Gynecology and Obstetrics (APGO) Annual Meeting, New Orleans, Louisiana, February 27–March 2, 2019.

Corresponding author: Fei Cai, MD, Women & Infants Hospital of Rhode Island, 101 Dudley Street, Providence, RI 02905, 626.825.2729, fei\_cai@brown.edu

Received March 12, 2019; revisions received June 6, 2019, and July 1, 2019; accepted July 29, 2019.