Similar Efficacy and Tolerability of Double-Dose Chloroquine and Artemether-Lumefantrine for Treatment of Plasmodium falciparum Infection in Guinea-Bissau: A Randomized Trial
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Background. In 2008, Guinea-Bissau introduced artemether-lumefantrine for treatment of uncomplicated malaria. Previously, 3 times the standard dose of chloroquine, that was probably efficacious against Plasmodium falciparum with the resistance-associated chloroquine-resistance transporter (pfcrt) 76T allele, was routinely used. The present study compared the efficacy and tolerability of a double standard dose of chloroquine with the efficacy and tolerability of artemether-lumefantrine.

Methods. In a randomized open-label clinical trial, artemether-lumefantrine or chloroquine (50 mg/kg) were given as 6 divided doses over 3 days to children aged 6 months - 15 years who had uncomplicated P. falciparum monoinfection. Drug concentrations were measured on day 7. P. falciparum multidrug resistance gene N86Y and pfcrt K76T alleles were identified.

Results. The polymerase chain reaction–adjusted day 28 and 42 treatment efficacies were 162 (97%) of 168 and 155 (97%) of 161, respectively, for artemether-lumefantrine and 150 (95%) of 158 and 138 (94%) of 148, respectively, for chloroquine. When parasites with resistance-associated pfcrt 76T were treated, the day 28 efficacy of chloroquine was 87%. No severe drug-related adverse events were detected. Symptom resolution was similar with both treatments.

Conclusions. Both treatments achieved the World Health Organization–recommended efficacy for antimalarials that will be adopted as policy. High-dose chloroquine treatment regimes should be further evaluated with the aim of assessing chloroquine as a potential partner drug to artemisinin derivatives.

Clinical trials registration. NCT00426439

Resistance of Plasmodium. falciparum to previously used monotherapies, such as sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine and chloroquine is widespread [1]. Most African countries therefore recommend using either artemether-lumefantrine or artesunate-amodiaquine (artemisinin-based combination therapy) for the treatment for uncomplicated P. falciparum malaria, whereas quinine is used for severe malaria [2]. However, tolerance and/ or resistance to artesunate [3, 4], lumefantrine [5, 6], and amodiaquine has already been reported, and the effectiveness of a 7-day course of quinine was only 64% in a recent trial [7]. These findings highlight the continuous need for other efficacious antimalarial combinations.

In Guinea-Bissau, West Africa, ~3 times the standard dose of chloroquine has been routinely used for many years, and chloroquine-resistant P. falciparum strains...
have not accumulated [8–13]. Furthermore, a double standard dose of chloroquine was more efficacious than was a standard dose of chloroquine (78% vs 38%) for the treatment of uncomplicated malaria caused by P. falciparum with the resistance-associated haplotype [8, 12]. These findings indicate that higher doses of chloroquine can be efficacious against P. falciparum strains resistant to standard-dose chloroquine.

Artemether-lumefantrine has been shown to be highly efficacious in Africa; however, in Guinea-Bissau, alleles associated with increased lumefantrine tolerance are highly prevalent (70%–80%) [9]. Furthermore, chloroquine and lumefantrine appear to select for opposite resistance-associated alleles, suggesting that chloroquine might delay the development of lumefantrine resistance [14–18]. Therefore, the aim of the present study was to assess and compare the efficacy and tolerability of artemether-lumefantrine with those of a double standard dose of chloroquine in Guinea-Bissau before the introduction of artemether-lumefantrine as first-line therapy. A secondary aim was to determine whether the 2 treatments selected for opposite resistance-associated alleles. We conducted a randomized, comparative clinical trial with artemether-lumefantrine and a double standard dose of chloroquine.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Participants
From December 2006 through September 2008, children were enrolled at the government health centers that serve the population (~102,000) that reside in the Projecto de Saúde de Bandim health and demographic surveillance site (Bissau, Guinea-Bissau). P. falciparum has been meso endemic, but the prevalence appears to have decreased [19]. Inclusion criteria were monoinfection with P. falciparum without signs of severe malaria or danger signs, parasitaemia of 800–200,000 trophozoites/µL, axillary temperature >37.5°C or a history of fever during the previous 24 h, age 6 months–15 years, hemoglobin level ≥50 g/L, no other significant illness, no reported intake of antimalarials during the previous week, and residence in the study area. The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and Good Clinical Practice. Informed consent was obtained from all parents or legal guardians. Because most of the consenting adults were illiterate, the responsible nurse signed a document indicating that the information had been provided and informed consent had been obtained. The Ministério da Saúde Pública in Guinea-Bissau (064/DGSP/2006) and the regional ethics committee in Stockholm, Sweden (2006/1151-31/1) provided ethical approval. The study was registered at ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT00426439).

Randomization and Treatment
Mothers drew a sealed card with either “chloroquine” or “coartem” (artemether-lumefantrine) written on it from an envelope containing 10 of each card. The study nurses allocated treatment according to the drawn card. Cards and envelopes were prepared by the investigators. Treatment was not blinded. Medication was given and supervised by an experienced nurse. Tablets were crushed for young children unable to swallow whole tablets. Mothers were encouraged to continue breastfeeding.

Artemether-lumefantrine tablets (Coartem; Novartis) contained 20 mg of artemether and 120 mg of lumefantrine. Tablets were initially provided by the World Health Organization (WHO). After 1 year, the tablets expired and new tablets were purchased from Novartis. One to 4 tablets per dose were prescribed according to weight and were given at 0 h, 8 h, 24 h, 36 h, 48 h, and 60 h. Approximately 200 mL of milk was given with the drug.

Chloroquine phosphate tablets containing 100 mg of chloroquine base were provided by Recip AB. Fifty milligrams per kilogram of chloroquine base was given as 2 daily doses of ~10 mg/kg on days 0 and 1 and 2 doses of 5 mg/kg on day 2.

The dose was given again to children who vomited within 30 min after treatment. If a child vomited again during the next 30 min, the child was given quinine intramuscularly and withdrawn. Concomitantly prescribed drugs were administered and recorded. P. falciparum infections detected after day 7 were treated with the other study drug (ie, artemether-lumefantrine if treatment at enrollment was chloroquine).

Procedures
Children were seen twice daily on days 0, 1, and 2 and once on day 3 and then were visited weekly at home on days 7–70. Each time, clinical condition was assessed, temperature was measured, and mothers were questioned about their child’s general condition and the occurrence of convulsions, fever, other symptoms, or hospital admission. On days 0–3, children and mothers were asked daily about tinnitus, impaired hearing, headache, sleep disturbance, dizziness, vomiting, diarrhea, stomach pain, fluid intake, anorexia, rash, itch, cough, joint pain, and palpitations. If a child was not seen during a weekly visit, a health care worker revisited the house on the subsequent 2 days. Children were given free medication and were encouraged to return at any time if their health deteriorated. If a child was admitted to the hospital, the diagnosis and treatment was recorded, and he or she was withdrawn. Each day that a child was seen, including unscheduled visits to the health centers, Giemsa-stained thick and thin smears were evaluated to quantify asexual parasitemia (per 200 white blood cells). A slide was considered to show negative results after examination of 100 high-power fields. A blood sample was placed on filter paper (Whatman 3 MM) for later genotyping whenever a slide was made, except for on days 2 and 3 and when no parasites were found during unscheduled visits. Hemoglobin level was measured using a HaemoCue (Ångelholm) on days 0, 42, and 70. On
day 7, exactly 100 µL of capillary blood was placed on filter paper for analysis of chloroquine or lumefantrine concentration. Pretreated filter papers (not available for the first 31 children randomized to artemether-lumefantrine) for analyses of lumefantrine concentrations were stored at −20 °C. Filter papers were placed in separate sealed plastic bags to avoid contamination. Drug concentrations were determined by high-performance liquid chromatography [20, 21]. Minimum detectable lumefantrine and chloroquine concentrations were 100 nmol/L and 50 nmol/L, respectively.

DNA was extracted from filter papers with use of an ABI Prism 6100 Nucleic Acid Prepstation (Applied Biosystems). DNA was frozen in aliquots at −20 °C until amplification by polymerase chain reaction (PCR). Reinfections and recrudescences were distinguished using a nested PCR protocol according to WHO recommendations [22]. P falciparum glutamate-rich protein, followed by merozoite surface protein 2 and, then, merozoite surface protein 1 were analyzed sequentially in reappearing parasitemias and matching day-0 samples. The chloroquine resistance transporter (pfcrt) 76K and the multidrug resistance (pfmdr1) 86N alleles associated with chloroquine susceptibility and in vivo and in vitro tolerance to lumefantrine [15–17] and the 76T and 86Y alleles associated with chloroquine resistance [23] were determined on day 0 and on the day of reappearing parasitemia. We used PCR-based methods that are described elsewhere [22, 24]. PCR and restriction products were resolved on agarose gels (Amresco), stained with ethidium bromide, and visualized under UV transillumination (GelDoc; Biorad).

**Objectives and Outcomes**

The primary end point was PCR-adjusted adequate clinical and parasitological response (ACPR) on day 42. Our secondary end points were PCR-adjusted ACPR on days 28 and 70; PCR-unadjusted ACPR on days 28, 42, and 70; hemoglobin recovery; fever and parasite clearance; protection from reinfection; drug tolerability; and selection of resistance-associated alleles.

We used the WHO criteria to identify early treatment failure, late clinical failure, and late parasitological failure. The follow-up period was extended to 70 days to enable follow-up of retreated children and to determine the duration that the drugs would exert a selective pressure on P. falciparum genotypes.

**Statistics**

We aimed to detect a cure rate difference of 10% with a power of 90%. We assumed a type I error of 5%, a power of 90%, and that 20% of the children would be lost to follow-up by day 70. Sample size was calculated to be 496. For 80% power, 382 children would be needed.

Data analysis was performed using Stata, version 9.0 (Stata). ACPR was assessed using survival analysis. Hazard ratio was calculated using Cox regression, and hazard assumption was tested. Quantile regression with 100 repetitions was used to compare body temperatures, parasite densities, hemoglobin levels, and drug concentrations. Symptoms and genotypes were analyzed using a $\chi^2$ test and logistic regression.

**RESULTS**

**Trial Profile**

The study was stopped when 378 children were included, because artemether-lumefantrine was being introduced as part of the new national malaria treatment policy. Participant flow is shown in Figure 1. Baseline characteristics (Table 1) were similar between groups, even when stratified by age. Table 2 shows treatment outcomes. The PCR-adjusted day-42 ACPRs were 96.6% for artemether-lumefantrine and 93.8% for chloroquine ($P = .28$). The PCR-unadjusted day-42 ACPRs were 94.9% for artemether-lumefantrine and 91.3% for chloroquine ($P = .35$). The PCR-adjusted efficacy of chloroquine against the 60 $P. falciparum$ strains with pfcrt 76T on day 0 was 86.7%, 82.3%, and 79.7% on days 28, 42, and 70. Children who experienced early treatment failure or late treatment failure were not significantly younger and did not have significantly higher parasitemia, compared with children who did not experience treatment failure. There were 10 re-infections in both study arms, with 3 and 4 occurring before day 42 in the artemether-lumefantrine and chloroquine arms, respectively.

Loss to follow-up did not differ significantly between treatment arms. All 3 withdrawals of consent were attributable to parents not wanting more blood samples obtained. The number of children treated outside the study protocol was not significantly different between the chloroquine and artemether-lumefantrine arms. Amongst children classified as receiving treatment outside the study protocol no $P. falciparum$ were detected by microscopy or PCR during the week before and the week of treatment outside the study protocol.

The median lumefantrine concentration was 1408 nmol/L (interquartile range [IQR], 961–2190 nmol/L). Concentrations were higher in children who successfully cleared $P. falciparum$ than in children in whom $P. falciparum$ recrudesced (Figure 2). The median lumefantrine concentration was 813 nmol/L (IQR, 534–1200 nmol/L). Twenty-six children with ACPR on day 42 and 2 children with recrudescence on days 21 and 28 had lumefantrine concentrations <530 nmol/L. Chloroquine and artemether-lumefantrine concentrations were not significantly different between children subsequently reinfected and children with ACPR on day 42. The hemoglobin levels on days 0, 42, and 70 were 110, 117, and 120 g/L, respectively, in the artemether-lumefantrine arm and 112, 117 and 118 g/L, respectively, in the chloroquine arm.
Genotype proportions are shown in Table 3. Pfcr7 76T was associated with chloroquine treatment failure (P<.001). On day 0, Pfcr7 76K and Pfmdr1 86N were linked (odds ratio, 1.76, [95% confidence interval, 1.05–2.93] P = .03), and Pfcr7 76T and Pfmdr1 86Y were probably linked (odds ratio, 1.56, [95% confidence interval, 0.99–2.47] P = .06).

Parasite and fever clearances and assessed clinical conditions are shown in Table 4. Parasite clearance was faster with artemether-lumefantrine than with chloroquine (P < .001)

**Symptom Resolution**
Symptoms resolved similarly in both treatment arms during days 0–3. In the artemether-lumefantrine arm, dizziness (25 of 184 vs 12 of 181; P = .03) and headache (92 of 184 vs 67 of 181; P = .01) were more common on day 1. Sleeping disorders were more common in the chloroquine arm on day 2 (36 of 177 vs 13 of 182; P = .003). Fever was cleared by 130 of 181 and 143 of 188 children by the second dose in the chloroquine and artemether-lumefantrine arms, respectively (P = .78).
Adverse Events after Artemether-Lumefantrine Treatment

One child developed convulsions on day 0 and subsequently died in the hospital. One child was admitted with convulsions on day 1, and 1 child was admitted because of delirium on day 3. Three additional children reported convulsions at home before the fourth dose but were well when assessed and remained in the study.

Adverse Events after Chloroquine Treatment

One child vomited repeatedly on day 0. Three children reported convulsions at home before the fourth dose but were well when assessed and remained in the study. One child who had responded well to treatment was admitted to the hospital on day 2 because of stomach pain, and 1 child was admitted on day 14 because of anemia but did not receive a diagnosis of malaria. One child died of cholera on day 21.

Other possible adverse events reported after the first dose included agitation and asthenia by 3 and 2 children, respectively, in the artemether-lumefantrine arm and by 0 and 2 children, respectively, in the chloroquine arm. Facial oedema was reported on day 3 in 1 child in the artemether-lumefantrine arm. Pruritus occurred in 36 children receiving chloroquine and 10 receiving artemether-lumefantrine ($P < .001$).

DISCUSSION

The $>95\%$ efficacies of artemether-lumefantrine and double-dose chloroquine on days 42 and 28, respectively, fulfill WHO efficacy recommendations for antimalarials that will be adopted as policy and for antimalarials in use [25]. The high prevalence of tolerance associated single-nucleotide polymorphisms did not adversely affect the efficacy of artemether-lumefantrine that was similar to the efficacy reported from nearby countries [26, 27]. Two treatment failures in the artemether-lumefantrine arm were probably caused by low drug concentrations [6] despite intake of milk with artemether-lumefantrine. Unobserved intake of artemether-lumefantrine has resulted in lower lumefantrine concentrations [28], and the 50% inhibitory concentration of lumefantrine has been shown to be 5.5 times higher with the $P. falciparum$ genotypes (pfcr 76K and pfmdr1 86N) that are

Table 1. Baseline Characteristics of Study Participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Chloroquine</th>
<th>Artemether-Lumefantrine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, median (IQR), mo</td>
<td>87 (48–123)</td>
<td>78 (52–123)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male, No. (%)</td>
<td>99 (55)</td>
<td>108 (58)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female, No. (%)</td>
<td>82 (45)</td>
<td>78 (42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight, median (IQR), kg</td>
<td>20 (14.5–28.5)</td>
<td>19.4 (15.1–26.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemoglobin level, median (IQR), g/L</td>
<td>112 (100–122)</td>
<td>110 (99–123)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature, median (IQR), ºC</td>
<td>38.0 (36.8–39)</td>
<td>38.1 (37–39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parasite density, median (IQR), parasites/µL</td>
<td>26400 (11360–57143)</td>
<td>22346 (6800–62500)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE. IQR, interquartile range.

Table 2. Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR)–Adjusted and Unadjusted Treatment Outcomes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Reparasitemia Classification</th>
<th>PCR-Unadjusted ACPR</th>
<th>PCR-Adjusted ACPR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ETF</td>
<td>LPF</td>
<td>LCF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 28</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloroquine</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artemether-Lumefantrine Day 42</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloroquine</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artemether-Lumefantrine Day 70</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloroquine</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE. ACPR, adequate clinical and parasitological response; CI, confidence interval; ETF, early treatment failure; HR, hazard ratio; LCF, late clinical failure; LPF, late parasitological failure.

*ACPR percentages were calculated using a survival analysis and, therefore, differ from the percentages calculated by dividing ACPR by ACPR and treatment failures.
most common in Guinea-Bissau [29], emphasizing the importance of effectiveness studies.

The 95% efficacy of chloroquine by day 28 is contrary to the situation in all other African countries that have continued to use chloroquine. The high efficacy is attributable to the 87% efficacy of double-dose chloroquine against \textit{P. falciparum} strains with \textit{pfcrt} 76T (potentially resistant to standard-dose chloroquine) and to the low proportion of \textit{P. falciparum} strains with \textit{pfcrt} 76T; the latter may be an effect of the routine use of high doses of chloroquine in clinical practice [9, 10]. Chloroquine was given as monotherapy but, if the drug was combined with a rapidly acting artemisinin, efficacy would probably improve. The efficacy of chloroquine was greater than the minimum amodiaquine monotherapy efficacy (80%) required for artesunate and amodiaquine combination therapy to be recommended [25].

Standard doses of chloroquine are well tolerated, but peak concentrations are toxic [30] and can cause circulatory collapse [31]. Smoother concentration profiles that avoid toxic peak concentrations are achieved when repeated smaller doses are given [30, 32]. Because chloroquine has a very large volume of distribution [30], a large total amount of chloroquine may be received this way before toxic concentrations are reached. Our results confirm that double-dose chloroquine is well tolerated [11, 12, 33]. Only pruritus, a well-known adverse effect of chloroquine, was attributed to chloroquine. Artemether-lumefantrine was also generally well tolerated, in line with published data [34].

The 95% efficacy of double-dose chloroquine cannot be generalized to areas with high prevalence of \textit{pfcrt} 76T. However, the 87% efficacy against \textit{P. falciparum} strains with \textit{pfcrt} 76T can be generalized to most of Africa, because chloroquine

![Table 3. Proportions of \textit{pfcrt} 76T and 76K and \textit{pfmdr1} 86Y and 86N Alleles on Day 0 and by Day 42](https://academic.oup.com/jid/article-abstract/203/1/109/878687)
A double dose of chloroquine is efficacious and well tolerated. Furthermore, both drugs provided similar protection from recrudescent infections and selected for opposite tolerance- and resistance-associated haplotypes in Guinea-Bissau.

Table 4. Median Temperatures and Clinical Condition from Day 0 through Day 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>1st Dose</th>
<th>2nd Dose</th>
<th>3rd Dose</th>
<th>4th Dose</th>
<th>5th Dose</th>
<th>6th Dose</th>
<th>After Treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of <em>Plasmodium falciparum</em> isolates/µL, median</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CQ</td>
<td>26,667</td>
<td>9080</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL</td>
<td>22,346</td>
<td>680</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P$ value</td>
<td>.40</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature, median (95% CI), ºC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CQ</td>
<td>38.0</td>
<td>36.7</td>
<td>36.4</td>
<td>36.3</td>
<td>36.3</td>
<td>36.2</td>
<td>36.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL</td>
<td>38.1</td>
<td>36.7</td>
<td>36.5</td>
<td>36.3</td>
<td>36.2</td>
<td>36.2</td>
<td>36.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P$ value</td>
<td>.54</td>
<td>.93</td>
<td>.46</td>
<td>&gt;.99</td>
<td>.09</td>
<td>&gt;.99</td>
<td>.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportion of Children with Poor or Moderate Clinical Condition</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CQ</td>
<td>.93</td>
<td>.83</td>
<td>.60</td>
<td>.54</td>
<td>.46</td>
<td>.38</td>
<td>.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL</td>
<td>.93</td>
<td>.83</td>
<td>.65</td>
<td>.53</td>
<td>.40</td>
<td>.29</td>
<td>.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P$ value</td>
<td>.74</td>
<td>.99</td>
<td>.38</td>
<td>.94</td>
<td>.24</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTE.** AL, artemether-lumefantrine; CI, confidence interval; CQ, chloroquine.

resistance appears to have a common genetic basis in Africa [35, 36]. Because chloroquine concentrations were lower in children experiencing treatment failure, an even higher dose is likely to further increase chloroquine efficacy. In support of this hypothesis, chloroquine resistance is mediated by a saturable efflux mechanism [37, 38], and double-dose chloroquine had a higher efficacy than did standard-dose chloroquine [12]. Furthermore, parasite clearance was shown to be faster when multiple daily doses were given, suggesting that the dosage regime also affects efficacy [39]. In Guinea-Bissau, ~3 times the standard dose of chloroquine is frequently administered as multiple doses without obvious adverse events [13]. It is therefore likely that doses >50 mg/kg, as used in the present study, can be well tolerated and result in even higher levels of efficacy than the 87% seen in the present study.

The >90% efficacy of both treatments on day 42, when all children with recurrent parasitemia were considered to have experienced treatment failure, is considerably higher than the expected efficacy of chloroquine. The same number of re-infections occurred at approximately the same time, suggesting that the dosage regime also affects efficacy [39]. In Guinea-Bissau, ~3 times the standard dose of chloroquine is frequently administered as multiple doses without obvious adverse events [13]. It is therefore likely that doses >50 mg/kg, as used in the present study, can be well tolerated and result in even higher levels of efficacy than the 87% seen in the present study.

Considering the limited number of available and affordable antimalarials, the high efficacy of a double standard dose of chloroquine should not be ignored. The development of artemisinin resistance [3, 4], rapid selection of genotypes associated with artemether-lumefantrine tolerance and/or resistance [16, 17, 29], and overuse of artemether-lumefantrine for unproven clinical malaria [19] are of concern. High-dose chloroquine (with artemisinin) that selects for opposite genotypes to artemether-lumefantrine could be a treatment option for concurrent use with artemether-lumefantrine and might decrease the total amount of artemether-lumefantrine used and delay resistance development [14, 40].

In summary, we report that artemether-lumefantrine and a double dose of chloroquine are efficacious and well tolerated. Furthermore, both drugs provided similar protection from reinfection and selected for opposite tolerance- and resistance-associated haplotypes in Guinea-Bissau.
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