Long-Term Reduction in Peripheral Blood HIV Type 1 Reservoirs Following Reduced-Intensity Conditioning Allogeneic Stem Cell Transplantation
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Background. The long-term impact of allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (HSCT) on human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1) reservoirs in patients receiving combination antiretroviral therapy (cART) is largely unknown.

Methods. We studied the effects of a reduced-intensity conditioning allogeneic HSCT from donors with wild-type–CCR5+ cells on HIV-1 peripheral blood reservoirs in 2 patients heterozygous for the ccr5Δ32 mutation. In-depth analyses of the HIV-1 reservoir size in peripheral blood, coreceptor use, and specific antibody responses were performed on samples obtained before and up to 3.5 years after HSCT receipt.

Results. Although HIV-1 DNA was readily detected in peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) before and 2–3 months after HSCT receipt, HIV-1 DNA and RNA were undetectable in PBMCs, CD4+ T cells, or plasma up to 21 and 42 months after HSCT. The loss of detectable HIV-1 correlated temporally with full donor chimerism, development of graft-versus-host disease, and decreases in HIV-specific antibody levels.

Conclusions. The ability of donor cells to engraft without evidence of ongoing HIV-1 infection suggests that HIV-1 replication may be fully suppressed during cART and does not contribute to maintenance of viral reservoirs in peripheral blood in our patients. HSCTs with wild-type–CCR5+ donor cells can lead to a sustained reduction in the size of the peripheral reservoir of HIV-1.
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The main challenge in curing human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1) infection is the persistence of latently infected cells, which are established by permanent integration of the viral genome into host cell chromosomes [1, 2]. Long-term remission of HIV-1 infection has been reported in a patient who received a myeloablative allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplant (HSCT) for acute myeloid leukemia, using cells from a donor homozygous for the Δ32 mutation in the gene encoding CCR5, a coreceptor for HIV-1 entry [3, 4]. No evidence of active HIV-1 replication has been demonstrated in this patient after 5 years of follow-up in the absence of combination antiretroviral therapy (cART) [5]. The lack of functional CCR5 on donor stem cells likely played an important role in achieving virologic control in this patient, but other factors, including graft-versus-host effects, may have contributed
to reduction in the viral reservoir and to long-term HIV-1 control [3, 4]. Aside from this one example, few other longitudinal studies have explored the effect of allogeneic HSCT on viral reservoirs and HIV-1–specific immune responses.

Cytoreductive conditioning is unlikely to be sufficient to eliminate HIV-1 reservoirs alone, as HIV-1 DNA persists after receipt of chemotherapy and/or an autologous HSCT for hematologic malignancies [6–9]. Short-term reductions in HIV-1 reservoirs have been described in 4 patients who were not receiving cART after receipt of an allogeneic HSCT that involved myeloablative conditioning regimens with total body irradiation and/or anti-lymphocyte immunotherapy, but all 4 died ≤10 months after transplantation [10–14]. HIV-1 was not detected in various tissues obtained at autopsy for 2 patients [10, 11]. HIV-1 DNA became undetectable in peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) after transplantation in 2 patients who received cART, and HIV-1 RNA and DNA became detectable during a brief treatment interruption 114 days after transplantation [11]. HIV-1 DNA became undetectable in peripheral blood mononuclear cells obtained at autopsy for 2 patients [10, 11]. HIV-1 DNA became undetectable in tissues obtained at autopsy for 2 patients [10, 11].

We examined the impact of allogeneic HSCT on the peripheral blood viral reservoir size in 2 HIV-1–infected patients and studied the protective effects of cART on donor cells. We used highly sensitive assays to detect and quantify the presence of HIV-1 before and up to 3.5 years after RIC HSCT, and we explored longitudinal changes in HIV-1 coreceptor use and HIV-specific antibody responses.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

**Study Subjects, Tissue Processing, and Molecular Chimerism Testing**

The Dana-Farber Cancer Institute/Harvard Cancer Center Institutional Review Board approved the study, and signed informed consent was obtained from subjects. Medical record information, stored plasma, and PBMCs were obtained at 1 pretransplantation and 3 posttransplantation time points. Fresh PBMCs and plasma specimens were collected for the final study time point. Molecular chimerism testing was performed by genotypic analysis of 10 genomic loci (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA).

**Quantification of HIV-1 DNA and 2–Long Terminal Repeat (LTR) Circles**

Purified CD4+ T cells were isolated from PBMCs, using MACS cell separation columns (Miltenyi Biotec, Auburn, CA). DNA was extracted from PBMCs or purified CD4+ T cells, using the QIaAmp DNA Blood Mini Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). Episomal DNA was extracted from 5 million PBMCs, using the QIAprep Miniprep Kit (Qiagen) in accordance with instructions for the isolation of plasmids with a low number of copies. Chromosomal DNA was subsequently recovered from the Miniprep sodium dodecyl sulfate precipitate as described elsewhere [15]. HIV-1 DNA from total PBMCs or isolated CD4+ T cells was quantified using a Taqman real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR) method as described elsewhere [16]. The assay involves amplification of a conserved region in the LTR/gag that is specific to nearly all group M HIV-1 sequences. Assays were performed in triplicate wells, and experiments were repeated for all samples with negative results.

The 2-LTR circles were quantified from 5 million PBMCs by reverse transcription PCR (RT-PCR) as described elsewhere [17]. A 149-bp sequence of the highly conserved human mitochondrial gene ND4 was quantified from each sample to estimate the efficiency of episomal DNA extraction, using primers MitoND4F 5′-ACCCTGACATGACTTTCCA and MitoND4R 5′-GTTAGGGGTCCGGAGAA and Fam-MGB labeled probe 5′-CAACCACCCCACAGCCTAATT.

**Plasma HIV-1 RNA Measurement by Single-Copy Assay**

An ultrasensitive assay capable of detecting plasma HIV-1 RNA at a lower limit of detection of 0.5–1.8 copies/mL was performed as described elsewhere [18, 19]. To rule out primer/probe sequence mismatch, sequence homology between the assay primers/probe and the target sequence in HIV-1 gag was confirmed from pretransplantation proviral DNA. Recombinant avian retrovirus gag RNA was used as an internal standard; samples from elite controllers served as positive controls.

**Viral Outgrowth Assay**

To determine whether CD4+ T cells harbored replication-competent HIV-1, a viral coculture assay was performed as previously described [20, 21]. Briefly, 8 and 10 million purified CD4+ T cells (from patients A and B, respectively) were activated with anti-CD3 antibody (Miltenyi Biotec) and irradiated PBMCs from HIV-negative donors. Cells were incubated in the presence of recombinant interleukin 2, and CD8-depleted PBMC blasts were added [21]. HIV-1 p24 antigen was assayed in culture supernatant by an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (Alliance HIV-1, PerkinElmer). CD4+ T cells from HIV-negative donors served as negative controls. CD4+ T cells from an HIV-1–infected patient receiving cART served as a positive control.

**Determination of HIV-1 Coreceptor Expression and Use and Demonstration of PBMC Susceptibility to HIV-1**

Surface expression of CCR5 was assessed in cryopreserved PBMCs by multicolor flow cytometry, using monoclonal antibodies α-CD3-Pacific Blue and α-CCR5-APC (BD Pharmingen). Full-length env was amplified by nested PCR from proviral DNA
at each time point as described elsewhere [22]. An in-house, validated phenotypic assay to determine coreceptor use was performed using pseudoviruses incorporating full-length env from PBMC proviral DNA obtained at the pretransplantation and first posttransplantation time points [23]. To demonstrate that the engrafted donor cells could be infected with HIV-1 ex vivo, patient PBMCs from the last posttransplantation time point were inoculated with a laboratory-derived CCR5-using pseudovirus.

HIV-1 Specific Antibody Quantitation
A limiting-antigen enzyme immunoassay was used to measure the avidity of HIV-1 antibodies from serial plasma samples as described elsewhere [24]. This assay incorporates a multisubtype recombinant protein covering the immunodominant region of group M HIV-1 gp41 that allows binding of only high-avidity antibodies by limiting the amount of available antigen. In addition, the same samples underwent analysis by standard and less sensitive (LS) versions of the VITROS Anti-HIV-1 + 2 assay, using 1:400 dilutions of plasma.

RESULTS

Clinical Course and Donor Cell Chimerism
Patient A is a male with perinatally acquired infection who received a diagnosis of stage IV, nodular sclerosing Hodgkin lymphoma in 2006. After therapy with adriamycin, bleomycin, vinblastine, and dacarbazine (ABVD), he experienced disease relapse and underwent autologous stem cell transplantation after ifosfamide, carboplatin, and etoposide (ICE) salvage chemotherapy 1 year after initial presentation. Lymphoma recurred again, and 1 year after autologous HSCT he received salvage therapy with gemcitabine, navelbine, and doxorubicin. After the patient achieved complete remission, he received a single HLA-C–mismatched (7/8 match) RIC (intravenous busulfan 3.2 mg/kg and fludarabine 120 mg/m²) HSCT from an unrelated donor. Stem cells were mobilized from peripheral blood by granulocyte colony-stimulating factor without further manipulation. Subsequently, he received short-course mini-methotrexate (on days 1, 3, and 6 after transplantation), sirolimus, and tacrolimus to prevent graft-versus-host disease (GVHD), as shown in Figure 1A. Tissue typing showed that 100% of peripheral blood granulocytes were donor derived by day 143 after transplantation and that 100% of CD3⁺ lymphocytes were donor derived by day 216 after transplantation (donor lymphocyte chimerism on day 167 after transplantation was 73%); full donor chimerism persisted through the most recent study time point, on day 1266 after transplantation. Nine months after HSCT receipt, patient A developed chronic GVHD with skin, eye, and liver involvement and was treated with prednisone, with good initial clinical response (Figure 1A). Two years after HSCT receipt, he required extracorporeal photopheresis for sclerodermatous chronic GVHD and had an excellent response. The patient received cART with tenofovir, emtricitabine, and efavirenz for 3–4 years prior to transplantation and continued to receive the same regimen throughout the peritransplantation and posttransplantation period. He received various cART regimens prior to the current regimen.

Patient B is a male with sexually acquired HIV-1 diagnosed in the mid-1980s and subsequent slow progression of HIV disease over the following 20 years. The plasma HIV-1 RNA levels from the late 1990s until treatment initiation in 2003 ranged from 13,000 to 60,000 copies/mL; the CD4⁺ T-cell count ranged from 481 to 668 cells/mm³. In 2003, he received a diagnosis of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. Cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine, and prednisone with rituximab (R-CHOP) were administered, along with efavirenz-based cART. In 2006, he received a diagnosis of new stage IV mixed-cellularity Hodgkin disease. After the patient received ABVD therapy in 2006, he received ICE salvage therapy for disease recurrence, followed by autologous HSCT receipt in 2007. Persistent thrombocytopenia and anemia developed, and myelodysplastic syndrome with multilineage dysplasia was diagnosed. In 2010, patient B received a matched, sibling-donor RIC allogeneic HSCT by means of the same mobilization and busulfan and fludarabine regimen described for patient A. Sirolimus, tacrolimus, and mini-methotrexate were administered following HSCT receipt, to prevent GHVD (Figure 1B). Because of poor initial engraftment, a donor lymphocyte infusion was given on day 171 after transplantation. Development of GVHD of the skin, liver, and oropharynx on day 220 required intermittent prednisone therapy (Figure 1B). Tissue typing on days 220 and 652 after transplantation showed 100% donor chimerism of all white blood cells, including lymphocytes (92% leukocyte chimerism on day 206 after transplantation). The initial efavirenz-based cART regimen had been changed to tenofovir, emtricitabine, nelfinavir, and abacavir approximately 3 years after initiation in 2003 (but before RIC HSCT) because of detectable viremia and the development of drug resistance mutations. Plasma HIV-1 RNA levels were known to be below the quantifiable range during the year prior to receipt of the allogeneic HSCT (Figure 1B), but cART was changed to tenofovir, emtricitabine, and raltegravir prior to and after HSCT, to minimize drug-drug interactions, with excellent adherence reported by the patient and his physician. HLA typing data for each patient are shown in Table 1.

Patient A experienced a significant reduction in absolute CD4⁺ T-cell counts following allogeneic HSCT receipt, with eventual return to levels similar to those of the pretransplantation sample (Figure 1A). In contrast, patient B had an initial decline in the absolute CD4⁺ T-cell count, followed by a plateau (Figure 1B).

HIV-1 DNA in PBMCs
Patient A had low levels of detectable HIV-1 DNA in PBMCs immediately prior to and 64 days after allogeneic HSCT (144
Figure 1. Clinical course after allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplant (HSCT) receipt, including longitudinal changes in plasma levels of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1), cell-associated HIV-1 DNA, absolute CD4+ T-cell counts, and graft-versus-host disease therapy for patient A (A) and patient B (B). Viral load measurements are shown above and below the solid line on top of each figure. Results from clinical viral load testing, using the Cobas Taqman real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR) assay (v. 1), are shown above the line (solid circles represent time points when RNA target was detected, whereas open circles denote time points when no target was detected; <48, detectable virus load below the assay.

Stem Cell Transplantation and HIV Reservoirs • JID 2013:207 (1 June) • 1697
and 87 copies/10⁶ PBMCs, respectively), but no DNA was detected 244, 587, and 1266 days after transplantation, despite repeated testing of each specimen (Figure 1). No HIV-1 DNA was detected from purified CD4⁺ T cells on day 1266 after transplantation. Likewise, patient B had detectable HIV-1 DNA in PBMCs prior to and immediately after allogeneic HSCT receipt (96 copies/10⁶ PBMCs before transplantation and 281 copies/10⁶ PBMCs on day 92 after transplantation), but no HIV-1 DNA could be detected in PBMCs on days 281, 519, and 652 after transplantation or in purified CD4⁺ T cells on day 652 after transplantation (Figure 1). The relative sensitivity of the HIV-1 DNA assay, estimated from the number of cells tested at each time point, ranged from approximately 6–18 copies/10⁶ PBMCs in the banked samples to 2–2.5 copies/10⁶ PBMCs in the most recently collected sample for both patients (>5 million cells were tested for each patient). The relative sensitivity for experiments that used purified CD4⁺ T cells at the last time point was approximately 4 copies/10⁶ cells (2–3 copies/10⁶ cells with repetitive sampling).

HIV-1 complementary DNA can enter the cell nucleus but fail to integrate, resulting in circularized molecules containing 1 or 2 copies of the LTR [17]. No 2-LTR circles were detected at any time point tested (through day 587 after transplantation) from both patients, with an assay sensitivity of approximately 1 copy/million PBMCs.

Viral Outgrowth

No HIV-1 p24 antigen was detected in CD4⁺ T cells obtained on posttransplantation days 1266 (for patient A) and 652 (for patient B) after 14–21 days of culture. The detection threshold was approximately 0.3–0.4 infectious units per million CD4⁺ lymphocytes. A sufficient number of cells was available for a single experiment for each study patient. By comparison, an exponential increase in HIV-1 p24 antigen was observed upon culture of purified CD4⁺ T cells from a control patient with hematologic malignancy who was receiving cART and had low levels of measurable HIV-1 DNA (45 copies/10⁶ PBMCs).

Plasma HIV-1 RNA

Plasma HIV-1 RNA measurements were available from routine clinical testing, using the Roche Cobas Taqman RT-PCR assay (v.1), with an approximate lower limit of detection of 10–40 copies/mL. For patient A, the PCR target was not detected on pretransplantation day 6; was 104 and 65 copies/mL on post-transplantation days 29 and 143, respectively; and was not detected on posttransplantation days 827 and 1077 (Figure 1A). For patient B, HIV-1 RNA was not detected on pretransplantation day 5 and posttransplantation day 59 and was detected, but at a level of <48 copies/mL, on posttransplantation day 150 (Figure 1B). No low-level, residual HIV-1 RNA was detected using the ultrasensitive single-copy assay at any of the 5 study time points for either patient (Figure 1). The assay had lower limits of detection of 1.8 copies/mL for banked pretransplantation and posttransplantation samples and 0.5 copies/mL for samples from the final time point. Viral RNA was detected on the same assay plate in 3 of 4 samples from elite controllers with viral loads that were undetectable by clinical assays.

CCR5 Genotyping, HIV-1 Coreceptor Use, and PBMC Susceptibility to HIV-1 Infection

Figure 2 shows the electrophoretic pattern of wild-type and mutant (ccr5Δ32) CCR5 gene fragments amplified from PBMC DNA obtained at time points before and after transplantation. Both patients were heterozygous for the ccr5Δ32 mutation, but genotypic evidence of the mutation was lost in both patients after full donor chimerism. Phenotypic expression of CCR5 on CD3⁺ T cells obtained after HSCT receipt was confirmed by flow cytometry for both patients. Infectious pseudoviruses could be constructed from HIV-1 DNA in the pretransplantation and first posttransplantation samples from patient A and

| Table 1. HLA of Patients A and B Corresponding to the Class I Major Histocompatibility Complex |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Patient | HLA-A | HLA-B | HLA-C |
| A | A*0201 | B*4403 | Cw*0418 |
| A*2301 | B*5101 | Cw*0202 |
| B | A*02 | A*24 | Cw*07 |
| A*0201 | B*08 | Cw*07 |
| B*4403 | B*1517 (B63) | |

* Unrelated stem cell donor was HLA C mismatched (Cw*0401).
from the first posttransplantation sample from patient B; HIV-1 envelope DNA could not be amplified from samples obtained at later time points. Pseudoviruses from both patients exclusively used CCR5 for entry. Posttransplantation PBMCs from both patients could be infected by CCR5-using pseudoviruses, and viral entry was completely inhibited by maraviroc (data not shown).

### HIV-1–Specific Antibody Quantification

The level of HIV-1 antibodies decreased after transplantation, according to findings of standard and LS VITROS assays. A 5-fold decrease in the level HIV antibody, detected by the LS-VITROS assay, was observed in patient A (signal/cutoff [S/C] ratio, 4.84 on day 167 after transplantation and 0.88 on day 1266 after transplantation). Patient B had a relatively low level of antibody before transplantation (S/C ratio, 9.57, which is consistent with levels seen during early seroconversion to new infection), which decreased nearly 10-fold by day 652 after transplantation (S/C ratio, 1.08). Decreases in the titer and avidity of HIV-specific antibodies after transplantation were also observed for both patients, using a limiting-antigen avidity enzyme immunoassay (Figure 3).
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**Figure 2.** Agarose gel electrophoresis of peripheral blood mononuclear cell polymerase chain reaction DNA products from a 225-bp segment of the gene encoding human CCR5 before and after hematopoietic stem cell transplant (HSCT) receipt by patients A and B. Control patients with a known homozygous wild-type genotype (CCR5+/+), a heterozygous Δ32 genotype (CCR5+/Δ32), and a homozygous Δ32 genotype (CCR5Δ32/Δ32) are shown on the right for comparison. The 193-bp band represents the presence of a Δ32 mutant sequence. Both patients were CCR5Δ32/Δ32 prior to HSCT receipt, but only the gene encoding wild-type CCR5 was detected by full-length CCR5 sequencing following donor cell chimism. The image has been cropped and brightened, but the bands shown have not been individually modified or repositioned, and no nonlinear adjustments have been made.
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**Figure 3.** Human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1)–specific antibody (Ab) levels and antigen avidity decreased following hematopoietic stem cell transplant receipt by patients A and B. A, Ab levels were measured using the VITROS Anti-HIV-1 + 2 enhanced chemiluminescence detection assay, as well as a less sensitive (LS) version with 1:400 diluted plasma (B). C, Avidity was measured using a limiting-antigen (LAg) avidity enzyme immunoassay. Abbreviations: ODn, normalized optical density; S/C, sign to cutoff.
DISCUSSION

Allogeneic HSCT by 2 HIV-1–infected patients during cART led to a substantial reduction in the peripheral blood reservoir of HIV-1 following full donor chimerism and recovery of CD4+ T-cell counts. The loss of detectable HIV-1 after full donor chimerism strongly suggests that latently infected host cells were replaced by donor cells that were protected from HIV-1 infection by cART. Although the initial decline in the proviral DNA load paralleled the decline in the CD4+ T-cell count in patient A, the CD4+ T-cell count declined only 4-fold, whereas the proviral DNA load declined by 2 \log_{10}. Moreover, proviral DNA remained undetectable despite the subsequent rebound in CD4+ T-cell counts to pretransplantation levels. Similarly in patient B, the 2-fold decline in CD4+ T-cell count could not account quantitatively for the 2-\log_{10} decline in proviral DNA load.

Whether virus replication persists in lymphocytes in the setting of suppressive cART remains controversial, as does the question of whether such persistent replication is necessary to maintain the reservoir of latently infected CD4+ T cells [25–28]. The apparent ability of susceptible donor cells to engraft without becoming infected provides evidence that HIV-1 replication may be fully suppressed during receipt of cART and that residual viral replication during cART is not a major contributor to the maintenance of the HIV-1 reservoir in our patients’ PBMCs. Transient low-level viremia observed immediately after transplantation in patient A may represent release of virus from existing reservoirs in the setting of immune activation from conditioning chemotherapy. No viral RNA was detected following reduction in the HIV-1 DNA load, but it is possible that circulating PBMCs are not in equilibrium with tissue compartments (eg, gut mucosa and brain) in which persistent viral replication may be taking place.

Long-term suppression of viral replication in the absence of cART has been reported in an HIV-infected patient heterozygous for ccr5Δ32 who remained without cART after receipt of an allogeneic HSCT with cells from a homozygous CCR5Δ32/Δ32 donor [4]. Our patients are also heterozygous for the ccr5Δ32 allele but received donor cells that expressed wild-type CCR5. Our results suggest that it is possible to achieve significant reductions in the reservoir of latently infected PBMCs following transplantation of wild-type CCR5+ stem cells as long as effective cART is continued. It is interesting to speculate whether being heterozygous for the ccr5Δ32 mutation prior to transplantation contributed to clearance of the PBMC HIV-1 DNA reservoir. Heterozygosity is associated with lower plasma HIV-1 RNA levels and slower disease progression in HIV-infected patients, but little is known about the effects of heterozygosity on latent reservoir size [29–32]. Patient B had been infected for 20 years with preserved CD4+ T-cell counts without cART until diagnosis of large B-cell lymphoma, suggesting that host factors may have contributed to slower disease progression. The contributions of pretransplantation host genetics to viral reservoir reduction after HSCT receipt merit further study.

Another important difference between our patients and those previously reported is that our subjects received a RIC regimen in preparation for their HSCT and did not receive total body irradiation or antithymocyte globulin. Memory T cells are activated by chemotherapy [33], and it is possible that the conditioning regimen played a role in reducing the proviral DNA level by nonselectively activating latently infected cells. Nevertheless, proviral HIV-1 DNA was detectable in PBMCs in the first posttransplantation samples from both patients, suggesting that chemotherapy did not have a durable effect on the size of the proviral DNA reservoir. Both patients experienced clinically significant episodes of GVHD that required treatment with immunosuppressive therapy. An antilymphopoietic effect of GVHD may have played a significant role in peripheral viral reservoir reduction by helping to clear infected host cells. This graft-versus-host effect may clear cells that are either latently infected or actively producing virus.

The significant decrease in HIV-1–specific antibody levels and avidity following full donor chimerism in both patients may be partly due to host B-cell depletion by the conditioning regimen, clearance of host B cells by the donor graft, and/or altered expansion of donor B cells during immune reconstitution [34–37]. HIV-specific antibody levels decline after long-term suppressive cART [38, 39]. Elite controllers have similar antibody responses as patients receiving cART, but elite controllers and viremic individuals have also been observed to have similar antibody levels [40–42]. Our patients had been receiving cART for several years before HSCT receipt, so the marked decline in HIV antibody levels and avidity after transplantation cannot be explained by cART alone. The decline in levels of anti-HIV antibodies after HSCT receipt suggests that there may be insufficient HIV-1 antigen presentation to induce a robust humoral response in the engrafted lymphocytes.

This study has a number of limitations. Insufficient material was available from previously banked samples to perform viral outgrowth assays from pretransplantation time points. Because of safety concerns, we have not yet had an opportunity to obtain samples from the gastrointestinal tract to determine whether proviral DNA or infectious virus persist in tissues outside of the peripheral blood. Similarly, we have not had an opportunity to collect larger quantities of plasma or cells through leukapheresis to probe specific reservoirs, such as the resting memory CD4+ T-cell population, in greater detail. Rare infected cells may be present at levels below the threshold of sensitivity of the HIV-1 DNA assay used in this study [43]. Of note, rebound of plasma viremia following cessation of cART has been observed in several individuals with very low levels of cellular HIV-1 [21], and drug-free remission has not been demonstrated in our patients, as they continue to receive cART.
In conclusion, we demonstrate sustained reduction of the HIV-1 proviral DNA level in PBMCs of 2 HIV-1–infected patients who received an allogeneic HSCT with CCR5+ donor cells during protective cART. The ability of susceptible donor cells to engraft without evidence of infection suggests that HIV-1 replication may be fully suppressed during cART and does not significantly contribute to maintenance of viral reservoirs in the peripheral blood of our patients. Tissue sampling and carefully monitored interruption of cART will be necessary to understand the extent and clinical significance of HIV-1 reservoir reduction after allogeneic HSCT. Although receipt of a HSCT is not a practical curative strategy for HIV infection in most individuals, our findings confirm that RIC allogeneic HSCT is a safe treatment in HIV-infected patients with hematologic malignancies whose infection is well controlled by cART. Detailed study of the effects of HSCT on viral reservoirs will provide a deeper understanding of viral persistence and aid the development of novel therapeutics aimed at eliminating HIV infection.

We subsequently studied the effects of a reduced-intensity conditioning allogeneic HSCT with wild-type–CCR5+ donor cells in a third male patient on suppressive cART who had previously undergone autologous HSCT for Hodkin lymphoma. HIV-1 DNA levels in PBMCs were 545 copies/10^6 PBMC on pretransplantation day −16 and 186 copies/10^6 PBMC on posttransplantation day +178. A >50% decrease in HIV-1–specific antibody levels and antigen avidity were observed after transplantation. Bone marrow sampling on day +178 revealed 94% donor chimerism. The patient died from recurrent lymphoma on transplantation day +188.
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