Shiga Toxin 2a–Induced Endothelial Injury in Hemolytic Uremic Syndrome: A Metabolomic Analysis
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Abstract

Background. Endothelial dysfunction plays a pivotal role in the pathogenesis of postenteropathic hemolytic uremic syndrome (HUS), most commonly caused by Shiga toxin (Stx)–producing strains of Escherichia coli.

Methods. To identify new treatment targets, we performed a metabolomic high-throughput screening to analyze the effect of Stx2a, the major Stx type associated with HUS, on human renal glomerular endothelial cells (HRGEC) and umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVEC). Cells were treated either with sensitizing tumor necrosis factor α (TNF-α) or Stx2a, a sequence of both or remained untreated.

Results. We identified 341 metabolites by combined liquid chromatography/tandem mass spectrometry and gas chromatography/mass spectrometry. Both cell lines exhibited distinct metabolic reaction profiles but shared elevated levels of free fatty acids. Stx2a predominantly altered the nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NAD) cofactor pathway and the inflammatory response to Stx is highly cell-type specific.

Conclusions. We conclude that disruption of energy metabolism and depletion of glutathione contributes to Stx-induced injury of the renal endothelium and that the inflammatory response to Stx is highly cell-type specific.
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The postenteropathic hemolytic uremic syndrome (HUS) is the leading cause of acute renal failure in children beyond the neonatal period. Most of the cases are caused by infection with Shiga toxin (Stx)–producing strains of Escherichia coli (STEC). There is currently no specific treatment available for Stx-mediated HUS. The role of antimicrobial therapy has been discussed frequently in the past, but several studies identified antibiotic use as a significant risk factor for the subsequent development of HUS. This is because antibiotics increase the release of Stx from E. coli. Despite its sporadic occurrence, recent large-scale outbreaks, most notably the German 2011 epidemic [1], have demonstrated an urgent need for new approaches to HUS therapy development.

Stx-induced endothelial dysfunction, leading to thrombotic microangiopathy, plays a pivotal role in the pathogenesis of HUS [2–4]. Of the 2 major types of this toxin, Stx1a and Stx2a[5], the latter is most commonly associated with a clinical course of postenteropathic HUS [6, 7]. Stx particularly injures the microvascular beds of the gut, kidneys, and brain, causing bloody diarrhea, renal failure, and neurological complications [4, 8, 9].

Stx is a potent inhibitor of protein translation and acts on a broad range of cellular stress pathways [10], inducing proinflammatory, proapoptotic, and prothrombotic responses. To appreciate the complexity of interaction between Stx and the host cell, high-throughput so-called -omics methods offer the possibility of parallel assessment of multiple pathways and the chance of an unbiased discovery of new relevant cellular targets. For instance, proteomics and genomics approaches have quite recently been successful in better characterizing the Stx-vector E. coli and have shed light on its interaction with the host environment [11]. Metabolomics provides an integrated view of cellular subsystems, as changes in genetic, epigenetic, posttranscriptional, and proteomic regulation all affect the metabolome of a cell. Altemations to this distinct biochemical signature indicate points of interaction between the host system and a drug or infectious agent, as already shown for Dengue virus [12]. In the present study, we therefore investigated the metabolic signature of Stx2a, in the presence or absence of presensitizing tumor necrosis factor α (TNF-α), in 2 human cell lines commonly used as an in vitro model of HUS, primary human renal glomerular
endothelial cells (HRGEC) and umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVEC).

MATERIAL AND METHODS
For detailed Material and Methods, please see Supplementary Data.

Cell Culture and Treatments
We obtained HRGEC from ScienCell Research Laboratories (Carlsbad, California), and HUVEC were isolated from fresh umbilical cords or purchased commercially (Promocell, Heidelberg, Germany). Stx2a was purified as previously described [13]. The purified toxin was free of lipopolysaccharide, as determined with the Limulus assay (Bactimm, Nijmegen, the Netherlands; detection limit, 0.006 ng/mL); its protein concentration was 7.6 mg/mL, and its 50% cytotoxic dose for Vero cells was 0.072 ng/mL. TNF-α was acquired from Sigma (Deisenhofen, Germany). Preliminary dose-response experiments were performed on HUVEC and HRGEC to establish a minimal in vitro concentration needed to induce a full response to Stx (Supplementary Figure 1). HRGEC and HUVEC were treated with 1 µg/L TNF-α for 24 hours, with 1 µg/L Stx2a for 48 hours, or sequentially by pretreatment with TNF-α for 24 hours, followed by a change of medium and incubation with 1 µg/L Stx2a for an additional 48 hours. Unstimulated cells served as controls.

Sample Preparation for Metabolic Profiling
The metabolic profiling analysis combined 3 independent platforms: ultra-high-performance liquid chromatography/tandem mass spectrometry (UHPLC/MS-MS2) optimized for basic species, UHPLC/MS-MS2 optimized for acidic species, and gas chromatography/mass spectrometry (GC/MS). Samples were processed using the automated MicroLab STAR system (Hamilton Robotics, Reno, Nevada).

LC/MS
The LC/MS2 portion of the platform was based on a Waters ACQUITY UPLC (Waters, Milford, Massachusetts) and a Thermo-Finnigan LTQ mass spectrometer (San Jose, California), which consisted of an electrospray ionization source and a linear ion-trap mass analyzer. The MS analysis alternated between MS and data-dependent MS scans, using dynamic exclusion.

GC/MS
The samples destined for GC/MS analysis were redried under vacuum desiccation for a minimum of 24 hours prior to being derivatized under dried nitrogen, using bis trimethylsilyl trifluoroacetamide. The GC column was 5% phenyl, and the temperature was ramped starting at 40°C. Derivatized samples for GC/MS were separated on a 5% diphenyl/95% dimethylpolysiloxane-fused silica column with helium as the carrier gas and a temperature ramps from 60°C to 340°C. Samples were then analyzed on a Thermo-Finnigan Trace DSQ MS (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, Massachusetts) operated at unit mass resolving power with electron impact ionization and a 50–750 atomic mass unit scan range (57).

Bioinformatics
Metabolites were identified by automated comparison of the ion features in the experimental samples to a reference library of chemical standard entries that included retention time, molecular weight (m/z), preferred adducts, and in-source fragments, as well as associated MS spectra, and were curated by visual inspection for quality control by using software developed at Metabolon (Durham, North Carolina).

Statistical Analysis
Missing values were assumed to be below the limits of detection, and these values were imputed with the compound minimum. Primary statistical analysis of log-transformed data was performed using R (available at: http://cran.r-project.org/). For agglomerative hierarchical clustering analysis, the fold change of each measured metabolite against the metabolite-specific median across all samples was calculated, and the resulting data set was submitted to complete-linkage hierarchical clustering with Pearson correlation distance. Further analyses were performed using Microsoft Excel (Microsoft; Redmond, Washington) and GraphPad Prism, version 6.00 for Windows (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, California), and the data set was transformed to ratios of treatment condition divided by mean of controls. Welch t tests and 2-way analysis of variance with the post hoc Dunnett correction for multiple testing were used to estimate the statistical significance of metabolite changes.

RESULTS
Global Metabolic Profile of HUVEC and HRGEC
A total of 341 different metabolites were identified across the spectrum of cellular biochemicals (Figure 1A). We performed agglomerative hierarchical clustering of metabolite changes to assess the global impact of treatment conditions on the metabolic profile. Irrespective of treatment, both cell lines could be clearly distinguished by hierarchical clustering and showed distinctive patterns of metabolite levels (Figure 1B). Only a minority of significant metabolite changes was shared between both cell lines (Figure 1C).

For most of the major metabolite groups and Stx2a-treatment conditions (Stx2a or TNF-α + Stx2a), we observed a net increase in detectable metabolite levels for both HUVEC and HRGEC (Figure 2). A significant increase in lipid levels was shared between HUVEC and HRGEC for all treatment conditions (TNF-α + Stx2a, 77.9% lipids of all shared, significantly changed metabolites; TNF-α, 42.9%; Stx2a, 42.9%; compared to 36.1% lipids in the overall metabolite set). A major fraction of these lipids consisted of free fatty acids (FFA).

Metabolite groups containing alterations by Stx2a or TNF-α + Stx2a were further analyzed according to inclusion of those metabolites in common pathways. We identified 2 major pathways...
associated with lipid metabolism in which several clustered metabolic changes were mapped: (1) cellular energy metabolism linked to lipid-shuttling carnitine species, the nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NAD) cofactor pathway, and energy substrate acetyl coenzyme A (CoA); and (2) the inflammation-modulating eicosanoid pathway. Additional, yet more-ambiguous metabolic signatures of Stx were also found for carbohydrate (inhibition of glycolysis) and amino acid use (inhibition of mitochondrial oxidation of branched-chain amino acids and elevation of γ-glutamyl amino acid levels; Supplementary Figures 2–4 and Supplementary Tables 1–3).

Pathway Analysis: NAD/Lipid Energy Metabolism

In HUVEC, lipid-shuttling carnitine species responded with a minor net decrease after exposure to TNF-α alone but not to Stx2a alone or after sequential TNF-α + Stx2a treatment (Figure 3A). In HRGEC, a similar response to TNF-α was noticed. While Stx2a alone had no effect, sequential stimulation with TNF-α + Stx2a significantly decreased carnitine levels across all detectable species (Figure 3B).

Levels of acetyl CoA reflect the availability of lipid-derived substrates for cellular energy metabolism. HUVEC responded to lipid mobilization by increasing levels of acetyl CoA that were most pronounced after sequential stimulation with TNF-α + Stx2a (280.7% ± 85.2%) (Figure 4). On the other hand, HRGEC displayed strongly reduced levels of acetyl CoA when exposed to Stx2a and especially to TNF-α + Stx2a (<19.3% ± 6.1%), where levels of acetyl CoA dropped below the detection limit.

Several of the metabolites significantly altered by Stx2a or TNF-α + Stx2a in HRGEC were identified as members...
Both cell lines treated with TNF-α + Stx2a strongly accumulated kynurenine (HUVEC, 380.9% ± 54.0% \(P < 0.05\); HRGEC, 3173.9% ± 1870.1% \(P < 0.01\)). In HRGEC, levels of NAD+ and derivative NADP were diminished after treatment with Stx2a (NAD+, 22.3% ± 20.1% \(P < 0.05\); NADP, 12.3% ± 0.0% \(P < 0.01\)) or TNF-α + Stx2a (NAD+, 12.3% ± 0.0% \(P < 0.01\)). Under the latter condition, NADH was reduced below the detection threshold. In HUVEC, only the NAD+ level was significantly reduced by treatment with TNF-α (69.6% ± 9.4%; \(P < 0.01\)) or TNF-α + Stx2a (61.6% ± 5.9%; \(P < 0.01\)) but not with Stx2a alone (98.8% ± 8.7%).

**Pathway Analysis: Eicosanoid Pathway**

Several key metabolites of inflammatory eicosanoid synthesis were significantly altered by Stx2a or TNF-α + Stx2a (Figure 5 and Table 2). HUVEC and HRGEC displayed different patterns of mediator generation. In HUVEC, treatment with TNF-α or TNF-α + Stx2a increased levels of both precursor linoleate and the early noninflammatory derivatives 13-HODE/9-HODE. In addition, a heterogeneous increase in levels of vasodilatory PGE1 (TNF-α + Stx2a, 338.6% ± 90.5%; \(P < 0.01\)) and PGF2 (represented by its stable breakdown product 6-keto-PGF1\(\alpha\)), as well as the inflammation-modulating PGE2 (TNF-α + Stx2a, 1038.2% ± 136.1%; \(P < 0.01\)) and PGA2 (TNF-α + Stx2a, 1238.4% ± 112.4%; \(P < 0.01\)), was noticed. Stimulation with Stx alone did not elicit significant changes, apart from an increase in 6-keto-PGF1\(\alpha\) (197.3% ± 22.9%; \(P < 0.01\)).

In HRGEC, TNF-α did not induce significant changes in eicosanoids. In contrast to HUVEC, the eicosanoid metabolism response to TNF-α + Stx2a in HRGEC was mostly...
Figure 4. Shiga toxin 2a (Stx2a) reduces acetyl coenzyme A (CoA) and glutathione in human renal glomerular endothelial cells (HRGEC) via depletion of NAD cofactors. The simplified diagram depicts major reactions in the NAD de novo synthesis and salvage pathways. Metabolites detected and identified are highlighted in light blue, and enzymes are abbreviated and highlighted in gray. Insets show normalized levels of detected metabolites, summarized again in Table 1 as mean percentage (±SD) of control. Data are from 4 experiments. *P < .05 and **P < .01. Abbreviations: HUVEC, human umbilical vein endothelial cells; NAD, nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide. This figure is available in black and white in print and in color online.

Table 1. Shiga Toxin 2a (Stx2a) Reduces Acetyl Coenzyme A (CoA) and Glutathione Levels in Renal Glomerular Endothelial Cells (HRGEC) via Depletion of NAD Cofactors, by Treatment Condition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell Type, Metabolite</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>TNF-α</th>
<th>Stx2a</th>
<th>TNF-α + Stx2a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>HUVEC</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetyl CoA</td>
<td>100.0 ± 16.1</td>
<td>186.7 ± 31.7</td>
<td>206.7 ± 29.0&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>280.7 ± 85.2&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glutathione</td>
<td>100.0 ± 7.0</td>
<td>63.9 ± 3.8&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>85.6 ± 6.8&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>69.4 ± 4.0&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kynurenine</td>
<td>100.0 ± 20.3</td>
<td>87.2 ± 12.5</td>
<td>80.0 ± 33.2</td>
<td>380.9 ± 54.0&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAD&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>100.0 ± 16.1</td>
<td>69.6 ± 9.4&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>98.8 ± 8.7&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>61.6 ± 5.9&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NADH</td>
<td>100.0 ± 30.7</td>
<td>69.7 ± 9.0</td>
<td>88.3 ± 19.0</td>
<td>80.6 ± 69.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NADP</td>
<td>100.0 ± 53.2</td>
<td>74.1 ± 51.0</td>
<td>83.1 ± 51.7</td>
<td>54.1 ± 53.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicotinamide</td>
<td>100.0 ± 12.6</td>
<td>106.3 ± 19.3</td>
<td>106.0 ± 13.0</td>
<td>120.0 ± 15.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HRGEC</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetyl CoA</td>
<td>100.0 ± 87.9</td>
<td>101.1 ± 37.2</td>
<td>31.4 ± 13.4</td>
<td>19.3 ± 6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glutathione</td>
<td>100.0 ± 51.6</td>
<td>84.0 ± 12.7</td>
<td>44.9 ± 18.4&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>30.7 ± 13.3&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kynurenine</td>
<td>100.0 ± 28.9</td>
<td>318.1 ± 168.0</td>
<td>212.7 ± 128.5</td>
<td>3173.9 ± 1870.1&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAD&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>100.0 ± 36.1</td>
<td>48.9 ± 9.6&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>55.2 ± 8.2&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.7 ± 1.0&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NADH</td>
<td>100.0 ± 31.8</td>
<td>56.8 ± 20.3</td>
<td>85.9 ± 38.1</td>
<td>46.6 ± 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NADP</td>
<td>100.0 ± 48.5</td>
<td>12.3 ± 0.0&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>22.3 ± 20.1&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>12.3 ± 0.0&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicotinamide</td>
<td>100.0 ± 20.8</td>
<td>186.4 ± 75.8</td>
<td>143.8 ± 49.3</td>
<td>159.2 ± 43.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are mean % (±SD) of control for 4 experiments.

Abbreviations: HUVEC, human umbilical vein endothelial cells; NAD, nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide; TNF-α, tumor necrosis factor α.

<sup>a</sup> P < .05.
<sup>b</sup> P < .01.
restricted to increases in the levels of PGL2/6-keto-PGF1α (Stx2a, 347.3% ±87.6% \[P < .05\]; TNF-α + Stx2a, 465.3 ± 37.4 \[P < .01\]), along with the precursors linoleate and arachidonic acid. HRGEC treated with Stx2a alone mirrored the response to TNF-α + Stx2a numerically but reached statistical significance only for 6-keto-PGF1α.

**DISCUSSION**

Here, we report the first study to investigate the global metabolomic profile of primary HRGEC and HUVEC in response to Stx2a, the major Stx type involved in the pathogenesis of post-enteropathic HUS [6, 7, 14, 15].

We observed that the global metabolomic profile under baseline conditions and in response to Stx2a strongly differed between HUVEC and HRGEC. Despite these differences, both cell lines shared elevated levels of lipids in response to treatment with Stx2a, especially of FFA. FFA may be released from cellular membranes as a byproduct of Stx-induced increased expression and activity of phospholipase PLA2, promoting its retrograde transport [16]. FFA thus released may then be recruited as...
substrate for energy metabolism or provide the scaffold for lipid-derived mediators, such as eicosanoids. However, the metabolic signatures of carnitines, NAD derivatives, and acetyl CoA indicate that, in HRGEC treated with TNF-α + Stx2a, lipid energy metabolism is disrupted. Carnitine species facilitate the transmembrane transport of FFA to the mitochondrial compartment for beta-oxidation. Because of this crucial function, their levels are physiologically maintained within narrow limits and may therefore be used as surrogate markers of lipid metabolism activity [17]. Among the significantly regulated metabolites following treatment with TNF-α + Stx2a, levels of carnitines across all detected species were diminished in HRGEC, reflecting a perturbation of FFA use for energy metabolism.

Further disturbance of lipid metabolism may be caused by the Stx2a-induced depletion of the NAD cofactor family, NAD(H) and NADP(H). Adequate levels of NADH are essential for sustaining effective energy metabolism. In HRGEC, where NAD+ and NADH were severely depleted following treatment with Stx2a or TNF-α + Stx2a, acetyl CoA was concomitantly diminished down to detection threshold. In HUVEC, however, where the impact of Stx2a on NAD+ or NADH levels was less pronounced, the cellular acetyl CoA content even increased under these conditions. NADPH is required for regeneration of reduced glutathione (GSH). In the cell, GSH is the primary nonprotein radical scavenger protecting proteins, lipids, and DNA from oxidative damage by, for example, reactive oxygen species [18]. Oxidative stress and renal depletion of GSH play a role for in vivo models of HUS. In mice, Stx2 induces strong oxidative stress, exceeding the antioxidant capacity and depleting the renal GSH content. Treatment with GSH precursors 48 hours before or concomitant with Stx2 injection partially improved survival and blood parameters [19]. In humans, oxidative stress was reported in a study of 18 patients with STEC-HUS [20], and Stxs produce reactive oxygen species in vitro in human blood [21]. Indicators of oxidative stress could also be detected in our study: 9- and 13-HODE, derivatives of arachidonic acid, were elevated in both HUVEC and HRGEC. Levels of HODE are generally reported to be increased in oxidative environments and have been proposed as biomarkers of oxidative stress [22]. In HRGEC, depletion of NADP by Stx2a and TNF-α + Stx2a was mirrored by depletion of GSH, compromising cellular antioxidant capacity. In addition to GSH depletion, both cell lines had reduced levels of antioxidative sulfur-containing amino acids (data not shown).

Therefore, exhaustion of cellular GSH stores, either directly through increased production of reactive oxygen species or indirectly by means of NADH cofactor depletion, might expose Stx-sensitive cells to oxidative damage. Depletion of GSH is also a key event in early apoptosis, where GSH serves as a critical gatekeeper both in extrinsic and intrinsic apoptotic signaling cascades [23–25]. Of note, GSH depletion has been reported for other members of the bacterial A-B toxin family, ricin and cholera toxin. Ricin induces apoptosis by depleting cells of intracellular NAD+, ATP, and GSH [26, 27]. In one study, specific PARP inhibitor 3-aminobenzamide prevented NAD+ and ATP depletion and protected U937 cells from ricin-induced cytolsis [26]. Cholera toxin decreases GSH content of intestinal mucosa

### Table 2. Shiga Toxin 2a (Stx2a) Induces Cell-Line–Specific Patterns of Eicosanoid Generation in Human Umbilical Vein Endothelial Cells (HUVEC) and Renal Glomerular Endothelial Cells (HRGEC), by Treatment Condition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell Type, Metabolite</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>TNF-α</th>
<th>Stx2a</th>
<th>TNF-α + Stx2a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HUVEC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13-9-HODE</td>
<td>100.0 ± 17.5</td>
<td>373.8 ± 23.3*</td>
<td>130.7 ± 21.1</td>
<td>973.2 ± 131.4*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-keto-PGF1α</td>
<td>100.0 ± 16.7</td>
<td>172.8 ± 15.4*</td>
<td>197.3 ± 22.9*</td>
<td>368.3 ± 26.1*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arachidonate</td>
<td>100.0 ± 23.4</td>
<td>134.3 ± 18.2</td>
<td>123.8 ± 20.4</td>
<td>106.6 ± 27.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linoleate</td>
<td>100.0 ± 13.5</td>
<td>192.8 ± 28.3*</td>
<td>120.4 ± 14.1</td>
<td>151.4 ± 31.2*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGA2</td>
<td>100.0 ± 18.0</td>
<td>321.5 ± 22.8*</td>
<td>123.1 ± 38.3</td>
<td>1238.4 ± 112.4*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGE1</td>
<td>100.0 ± 9.7</td>
<td>338.6 ± 90.5*</td>
<td>101.2 ± 13.2</td>
<td>1038.2 ± 136.1*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGE2</td>
<td>100.0 ± 17.7</td>
<td>192.8 ± 28.7*</td>
<td>93.5 ± 21.3</td>
<td>544.3 ± 54.8*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRGEC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13-9-HODE</td>
<td>100.0 ± 85.3</td>
<td>401.7 ± 241.4</td>
<td>218.4 ± 26.2</td>
<td>266.6 ± 85.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-keto-PGF1α</td>
<td>100.0 ± 179.9</td>
<td>151.7 ± 93.7</td>
<td>347.3 ± 87.6*</td>
<td>465.3 ± 37.4*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arachidonate</td>
<td>100.0 ± 74.1</td>
<td>124.4 ± 19.7</td>
<td>198.5 ± 33.4</td>
<td>279.9 ± 76.8*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linoleate</td>
<td>100.0 ± 51.0</td>
<td>110.9 ± 17.0</td>
<td>141.2 ± 10.0</td>
<td>219.9 ± 53.6*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGA2</td>
<td>100.0 ± 38.5</td>
<td>190.6 ± 128.6</td>
<td>131.6 ± 67.6</td>
<td>103.0 ± 44.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGE1</td>
<td>100.0 ± 34.9</td>
<td>128.2 ± 91.4</td>
<td>99.0 ± 28.2</td>
<td>107.7 ± 29.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGE2</td>
<td>100.0 ± 179.4</td>
<td>315.2 ± 123.2</td>
<td>241.3 ± 94.1</td>
<td>184.1 ± 90.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are mean % (±SD) of control for 4 experiments.

Abbreviation: TNF-α, tumor necrosis factor α.

* P < .01.

b P < .05.
in rats, although toxin-induced fluid secretion was not influenced by either depletion or supplementation of thiols [28].

On the basis of our findings, we conclude that the observed reduction in cellular NAD(P) and GSH content by Stx compromises both cellular energy metabolism and antioxidative and antiapoptotic capacity of HRGEC.

Levels of eicosanoid inflammatory mediators were strongly elevated in both HUVEC and HRGEC. This is in line with previous reports that Stx-exposed human cells mount an eicosanoid response. In the macrophage-like cell line THP-1, Stx1 increased PGE₂ and thromboxane levels in culture supernatant [29]. Similar results were obtained for isolated glomerular epithelial cells [30]. Yet, although both are of endothelial origin, the inflammatory signatures of Stx2a in HUVEC and HRGEC were quite different, as HRGEC increased only PGI₂ synthesis. HRGEC had previously been shown to release PGI₂ after Stx treatment [31]. Although macrovascular rat aortic rings were reported to reduce PGI₂ synthesis in response to Stxs [32], we found that macrovascular HUVEC displayed an increase in PGI₂ level in response to Stx similar to that seen in HRGEC. The clinical implications of PGI₂ levels in HUS are unclear. Children with HUS display an elevated PGI₂ plasma level [33–35] but a reduced 6-keto-PGF₁α level in urine during the acute phase [36]. Furthermore, in a baboon model of HUS, an increased PGI₂ level did not protect from death [37], and intravenous administration of PGI₂ in HUS has produced inconsistent results [38, 39]. The role of eicosanoids, especially PGI₂, in HUS therefore remains controversial [40, 41].

When looking at inflammatory mediators, both cell lines in our study treated with TNF-α + Stx2a strongly accumulated kynurenine. Activation of the kynurenine pathway has been associated with endothelial dysfunction and the progression of atherosclerosis in patients with chronic kidney disease [42]. Plasma kynurenine pathway metabolites accumulated in experimental chronic renal failure [43] and in patients with uremia [44] and have been associated with inflammation and infection [45], representing an early mediator of leukocyte recruitment to vascular endothelium [46]. Whether the accumulation of kynurenine is simply a nonspecific result of the proinflammatory stimulation by TNF-α and Stx2a or whether it has a specific pathogenic role in Stx-mediated HUS can only be speculated and needs further examination.

Of the published transcriptomics data mapping the regulation of up to 14 500 genes [16–19], only few genes (up to 369) were found to be affected by Stx. Most of the genes affected in these studies are involved in the regulation of chemokines, cytokines, and cellular adhesion molecules, as well as signaling molecules and transcription factors related to immune response and the induction of apoptosis. Only very few transcriptional targets (prostaglandin metabolism, PPARA, and SLC6A15) seem to be related to the control of cellular metabolism. This seeming discrepancy may in part be due to different experimental settings and approaches (ie, choice of cell lines, Stx type, and sub-inhibitory vs proapoptotic concentrations) but may also be related to metabolic regulatory signaling on a nontranscriptional level.

All 3 studies that have used high-throughput microarrays reported the upregulation of prostaglandins or related enzymes and receptors, supporting our observation that increased prostaglandin metabolism is a central feature of the host response to Stx.

One study reported induction of PPARA, a regulator of the peroxisomal β-oxidation pathway of fatty acids, whose plethora of transcriptional targets support the uptake, use, and catabolism of fatty acids [18]. PPARA is activated in cells deprived of energy or exposed to increased levels of fatty acid metabolites, such as arachidonic acid or derivatives of linoleic acid, with both conditions observed in our model. Therefore, PPARA upregulation as a cellular countermeasure fits well within our model of fatty acid metabolism disruption, energy depletion, and upregulation of prostaglandin metabolism by Stx.

SLC6A15 encodes a transporter for transporter for neutral, preferably branched-chain amino acids [18]. We did not, however, observe any relevant changes in the cellular levels of these amino acids in either of the cell lines studied.

Several caveats need to be addressed in the interpretation of our study. For most of the analyzed metabolites, the overall effect of the different treatment conditions remained subtle. Levels of only a few metabolites changed in excess of 2-fold above or below control, although some displayed dramatic changes, such as kynurenine. The focus of this study was on the intracellular metabolome, since we anticipated that intracellular metabolites would be most affected by Stx ± TNF-α, but in fact many of the most-affected metabolites are soluble or secreted factors. It would therefore be interesting to perform metabolomics on the supernatant from the cells, which will be the focus of future studies. Possibly, the changes found there are even more striking than those already reported.

Serum values for Stx2 have only been reported in 3 children in a large Argentinean cohort study, with the highest baseline levels ranging from 10.5 to 17.3 pg/mL [6], roughly corresponding to a hundredth of the dose used in our study (1 μg/L). However, since a peak value for Stx2 could not be established in any of these patients, the effective serum concentration of Stx2 necessary to initiate HUS in humans remains unclear. No other study so far has directly measured blood levels of Stx in time in HUS patients, most likely owing to the relatively late presentation of patients at the time of systemic disease onset and/or rapid sequestration of the toxin by an as yet unknown mechanism. In addition, it has been proposed that Stx targeting the endothelium might be bound to cellular carriers like polymorphonuclear leukocytes, thus potentially reaching much higher effective concentrations at the endothelial target site [7].

The dose of Stx2 used in our study lies well within the range used by other studies (0.068–3.4 μg/L) investigating the effects of Stx2 on human endothelial and tubular cell lines [9–13].
For some of the pathways investigated in our screening, stimulation with proinflammatory TNF-α alone induced metabolic response patterns similar to those induced by Stx2a or TNF-α + Stx2a. TNF-α renders HUVEC and HRGEC adequately responsive to in vitro Stx receptor upregulation [47] but may induce inflammatory responses and induce or block apoptosis in endothelial cells [48]. To reduce the potential influence of TNF-α on the metabolic profile, we opted for a low TNF-α concentration of 1 µg/L for prestimulation purposes, between the orders of magnitude reported in vivo (0.1 µg/L) [49] and those commonly used in vitro (10 µg/L) [50], and have found this level to adequately induce sensitivity for Stx in our investigated cell types. In the future, use of microvascular endothelial cell lines that constitutively express Stx receptor in the absence of prestimulating factors may improve specificity in investigating Stx-induced cell damage.

In conclusion, this is, to our knowledge, the first study to investigate the global impact of Stx2a on human host cell metabolism. We observed discrete but distinct patterns of metabolic response to Stx2a in HUVEC and HRGEC. Recruitment of fatty acids was shared by both cell lines. However, whereas in HUVEC this led to increases in energy rich acetyl CoA and a range of inflammatory eicosanoids (PGF₂, PGE₂, and PGA₂), use of FFA for oxidation in HRGEC was confounded by reduced availability of FFA-shuttling carnitines and dysregulation of NAD metabolism. Consequently, we observed depletion of cellular antioxidant capacity and exhaustion of acetyl CoA. In contrast to HUVEC, HRGEC channeled available eicosanoid precursors primarily into production of PGL₂. Stx2a-induced disruption of NAD-dependent energy metabolism and cellular glutathione levels could provide a new avenue for future investigation and a potential target for pharmacological modulation of Stx-related disease.

Supplementary Data
Supplementary materials are available at http://jid.oxfordjournals.org. Consisting of data provided by the author to benefit the reader, the posted materials are not copyedited and are the sole responsibility of the author, so questions or comments should be addressed to the author.
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