



# Alpha-band Brain Dynamics and Temporal Processing: An Introduction to the Special Focus

Jason Samaha<sup>1</sup> and Vincenzo Romei<sup>2,3</sup> 

## Abstract

■ For decades, the intriguing connection between the human alpha rhythm (an 8- to 13-Hz oscillation maximal over posterior cortex) and temporal processes in perception has furnished a rich landscape of proposals. The past decade, however, has seen a surge in interest in the topic, bringing new theoretical, analytic, and methodological developments alongside fresh controversies. This Special Focus on alpha-band dynamics and temporal processing provides an up-to-date snapshot of the

playing field, with contributions from leading researchers in the field spanning original perspectives, new evidence, comprehensive reviews and meta-analyses, as well as discussion of ongoing controversies and paths forward. We hope that the perspectives captured here will help catalyze future research and shape the pathways toward a theoretically grounded and mechanistic account of the link between alpha dynamics and temporal properties of perception. ■

## BACKGROUND

For nearly a century, the primary functional role that the human alpha rhythm plays in perceptual processing has eluded consensus (Berger, 1929). Although alpha oscillations are nowadays regarded by most to reflect or instantiate some kind of inhibitory process (Dou, Morrow, Iemi, & Samaha, 2022; Iemi et al., 2019, 2022; Samaha, Iemi, Haegens, & Busch, 2020; Haegens et al., 2014; Dugué, Marque, & VanRullen, 2011; Foxe & Snyder, 2011; Klimesch, 2011; Mathewson et al., 2011; Jensen & Mazaheri, 2010; Romei et al., 2008; Klimesch, Sauseng, & Hanslmayr, 2007), the intrinsically rhythmic nature of these excitability fluctuations, with a stereotyped frequency range (Haegens, Cousijn, Wallis, Harrison, & Nobre, 2014), individual stability (Grandy et al., 2013), and developmental trajectory (Freschl, Azizi, Balboa, Kaldy, & Blaser, 2022) remains a deep mystery within the cognitive neurosciences (after all, inhibitory processes need not be rhythmic in nature). Over the decades, various theories linking the alpha rhythm to temporal properties of perceptual and cognitive processing have been proposed (for reviews, see Keitel, Ruzzoli, Dugué, Busch, & Benwell, 2022; Van Wassenhove, Herbst, & Kononowicz, 2019; VanRullen, 2016). Researchers have proposed that alpha reflects cortical excitability cycles (Lindsley, 1952; Bartley, 1940; Bishop, 1932), traveling waves that scan and integrate cortical processes (Harter, 1967), an internal clocking or

pacemaker mechanism (Treisman, 1963, 1984), the fundamental temporal unit or quanta of the psychological moment (Kristofferson, 1967), temporal frames within which features are bound together (Varela, Toro, Roy John, & Schwartz, 1981), or attentional sampling periods (Busch & VanRullen, 2010). This variety of proposals has been met with a variety of sources of evidence, both in favor and against the link between alpha and temporal properties of perception and behavior. However, recent years have seen a marked uptick in research on this topic, bringing increasingly more sophisticated psychophysical techniques, behavioral modeling approaches, electrophysiological data analyses, and larger samples to bear on this 100-year-old mystery. This Special Focus in the *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience* represents a snapshot of current research, theorizing, and controversies regarding the link between alpha-band dynamics and temporal processing.

## NEW FINDINGS

Empirical contributions to the special focus highlight the ways that alpha-band dynamics do and do not contribute to temporal processing. Work by Ronconi, Balestrieri, Baldauf, and Melcher (2024) examines the role of prestimulus phase in determining trial-by-trial temporal integration across two distinct perceptual tasks. The researchers found that the phase of ongoing alpha oscillations in a right-lateralized visual network predicts whether participants perceived two brief, colocalized flashes separated by a short ISI (40 msec) as an integrated single flash or as separate events. In contrast, the percept of apparent motion between two spatially distinct flashes, which

<sup>1</sup>University of California, Santa Cruz, <sup>2</sup>Dipartimento di Psicologia, Alma Mater Studiorum - Università di Bologna, Centro Studi e Ricerche in Neuroscienze Cognitive, Cesena, Italy, <sup>3</sup>Facultad de Lenguas y Educación, Universidad Antonio de Nebrija, Madrid, Spain

occurs at a longer ISI (120 msec), could be predicted by prestimulus phase in a lower theta-range frequency in parietal areas (Ronconi et al., 2024). These results closely replicate prior findings (Ronconi, Oosterhof, Bonmassar, & Melcher, 2017) and highlight the role of alpha oscillations in the two-flash fusion phenomenon.

Corroborating evidence comes from the findings of Deodato and Melcher (2024), who examine the correlation between individual alpha frequency (IAF) and the temporal threshold for fusion in the two-flash paradigm. Replicating prior work (Gray & Emmanouil, 2020; Samaha & Postle, 2015), the authors find that individuals with higher frequency (faster cycling) alpha oscillations perceive two flashes a shorter ISI compared with individuals with lower frequency alpha. Importantly, however, this effect was mediated by the slope of individual's psychometric function, such that the correlation broke down when including data from participants with shallow slopes (indicative of high levels of trial-to-trial variability in the decision process). This points to a possible explanation for prior null results using a similar paradigm (Buegers & Noppeney, 2022).

The contribution from Tarasi and Romei (2024) points toward a role of IAF in one of the most elementary of visual processes: contrast detection. The authors found, in a large sample of 122 participants, that individuals with higher IAF are more sensitive (not merely more biased) at detecting contrast differences, resulting in lower contrast detection thresholds. This invites the intriguing hypothesis that the putative sampling functions of the alpha rhythm may have implications for visual sensitivity to low-level stimulus features or possibly that alpha has a more fundamental role in visual processing beyond temporal processing.

The experiment (Karvat & Landau, 2024) and subsequent perspective piece (Karvat, Ofir, & Landau, 2024) from Karvat, Landau, and colleagues makes the novel distinction between top-down and bottom-up generated alpha activity in the context of temporal binding. They replicate prior findings (Gray & Emmanouil, 2020) showing that the correlation between IAF and two-flash fusion thresholds is attenuated when the two-flash stimuli are presented within a surrounding, high-contrast annulus. They further show that both the presence and the contrast of the surrounding stimulus greatly shortens the typical two-flash fusion threshold, leading to a clear enhancement of temporal sensitivity (Karvat et al., 2024). In their companion piece (Karvat & Landau, 2024), they introduce a model of oscillatory dynamics with lateral inhibition and a refractory period that can account for the link between spontaneous (top-down generated) alpha and temporal resolution as well as the breakdown of this relationship in the presence of a strong stimulus drive (bottom-up alpha).

## SURVEYING THE EVIDENCE

Two contributions to this Special Focus seek to review, synthesize, and undertake a meta-analysis of extant work on the link between alpha frequency and temporal

properties of perception spanning the past 50 years. The review and meta-analysis from Samaha and Romei (2024) surveys experiments that examine the link between IAF and temporal properties of visual and audiovisual perception, broadly defined. Across the 27 studies reviewed, random-effects meta-analysis reveal a medium-to-large association ( $r$  values between .39 and .53) between IAF and temporal perceptual processes. In the review and meta-analysis of Schoffelen, Pesci, and Noppeney (2024), the authors performed a more selective grouping of studies into those they deemed more clearly related to the concept of temporal binding windows, those using clinical population, as well as studies that examined within-subject effects of alpha frequency on temporal binding. Their fixed-effects, meta-analytic approach revealed significant associations ranging from  $r$  values of .26 to .49, depending on the exact grouping of studies. A similar range of effect sizes, although fewer significant effects, were found when using a meta-analytic approach intended to compensate for possible publication bias (Schoffelen et al., 2024). Both contributions provide extensive details about task and analysis parameters across a range of experimental approaches and discuss current controversies and future directions. These two resources combined provide a comprehensive picture and discussion of evidence to date regarding the link between alpha frequency and temporal processing. Readers are also encouraged to conduct their own meta-analysis of studies of their choosing using the free, online tool developed by Samaha and Romei (2024), which can be found at <https://randfxmeta.streamlit.app/>.

## CONTROVERSIES AND PATHWAYS FORWARD

One impetus for this Special Focus was the recent publication of mostly null results from Buegers and Noppeney (2022), which did not replicate several findings in the field (Drewes, Muschter, Zhu, & Melcher, 2022; Noguchi, 2022; Venskus & Hughes, 2021; Gray & Emmanouil, 2020; Cooke, Poch, Gillmeister, Costantini, & Romei, 2019; Keil & Senkowski, 2017; Cecere, Rees, & Romei, 2015; Samaha & Postle, 2015). Several contributions to the Special Focus directly address the findings of Buegers and Noppeney (2022), although see also the discussions within Samaha and Romei (2024) and Schoffelen and colleagues (2024). First, Kawashima, Nakayama, and Amano (2024) consider four possible reasons underlying the varying effects in the literature. The known variety of alpha oscillation generators (Hindriks, Micheli, Mantini, & Deco, 2017) poses an analytic issue if only some alpha rhythms are related to temporal processing. Moreover, the complex relationship between alpha power and alpha frequency (e.g., Nelli, Itthipuripat, Srinivasan, & Serences, 2017) is seldom considered, despite potential implications for temporal processing, which Kawashima and colleagues (2024) unpack. Their article also highlights reasons why considering alpha frequency during stimulus processing (as opposed to

during a resting or prestimulus period) may be important. Lastly, using simulations, they argue against the independent and equal-variance Gaussian assumptions underlying the signal-detection theoretic approach used by Buegers and Noppeney (2022).

In the Perspective by Noguchi (2024), a reanalysis of the author's prior data was conducted to conform to the signal-detection theoretic analysis advocated by Buegers and Noppeney (2022). In prior work, Noguchi (2022) found a medium-to-strong correlation between IAF and the probability of experiencing the sound-induced flash illusion, a paradigm case of audiovisual integration whereby two sounds separated by a ~50-msec ISI onset simultaneously with a single flash and frequently cause the illusory percept of a secondary flash. In the reanalysis presented by Noguchi (2024), both sensitivity ( $d'$ ) and bias were computed by virtue of the author presenting both one and two-flash trials to participants (in the context 0, 1, or 2 sounds). In contrast to the findings of Buegers and Noppeney (2022), Noguchi observes a significant correlation (ranging from 0.4 and 0.5) between occipital IAF and  $d'$  in the sound-induced flash illusion, demonstrating that individuals with faster IAF are more sensitive (higher  $d'$ ) at discriminating one from two flashes in the context of 2 sounds because they were less likely to experience the illusory second flash at the 50-msec ISI that was tested. Noguchi (2024) further provided several analytic demonstrations of why Buegers and Noppeney (2022) may have failed to observe this same result.

Lastly, the Perspective from Venskus (2024) reviews several key findings in the literature and discusses the null effects of Buegers and Noppeney (2022) in the novel context of training effects. Venskus (2024) details the literature on how perceptual learning can shape temporal binding windows and how this may have played a role in the (multiday) experiments of Buegers and Noppeney (2022). Moreover, Venskus proposes an intriguing path forward by combining perceptual training paradigms with alpha measurements to observe whether training-related changes in temporal binding windows manifest alongside concomitant changes in alpha frequency.

## FRESH PERSPECTIVES

The Special Focus contributions discussed so far collectively reflect a vibrant research topic that will likely see continued development and expanse. Where will this line of inquiry take us? Beyond the constructive pathways outlined in nearly every contribution for ironing out lingering discrepancies in the foundational findings and frameworks, several contributors highlighted all-together fresh perspectives that indicate likely heading trajectories.

In the piece by Wutz (2024), our attention is focused on recent findings that go beyond the prevailing view of alpha

oscillations as reflecting a bottom-up sampling mechanisms by showcasing the variety of top-down functions that alpha modulation can serve. For instance, there is now growing evidence for the idea that alpha frequency, although relatively stable within an individual, can be guided by top-down factors such as task demands or spatial attention to adaptively narrow or widen temporal integration processes (Han, Zhang, Shen, Mo, & Chen, 2023; Trajkovic, Gregorio, Avenanti, Thut, & Romei, 2023; Sharp, Gutteling, Melcher, & Hickey, 2022; Wutz, Melcher, & Samaha, 2018). By shaping processing according to “perception sets” (think internally stored prior knowledge or routines for perceptual processes), the temporal organization imposed by brain-wide, alpha-band communication channels could ultimately shape the experience of the passing of time itself, Wutz argues. For more on the distinction between top-down and bottom-up alpha as it related to temporal integration, see also the contribution of Karvat and Landau (2024), which was also discussed in brief detail above.

The Perspective by Alamia and VanRullen (2024) highlights another critical yet less-frequently discussed dimension to alpha: its spatial dynamics. Increasing evidence, reviewed in depth by Alamia and VanRullen, indicates that the alpha rhythm may propagate across cortex as a traveling wave, mediated, perhaps, by higher-order thalamic nuclei. Not only does alpha seem to travel, but the direction and speed of its propagation has been observed to change depending on several cognitive factors. In their contribution, Alamia and VanRullen unpack how understanding the traveling wave nature of alpha presents new neurally grounded predictions that could explain under what circumstances alpha activity might relate to temporal binding, considering both bottom-up and top-down modes of processing.

Finally, a forthcoming Perspective from Noppeney, Pesci, and Schoffelen (2024) will respond to the arguments and evidence raised throughout this Special Focus.

## CONCLUDING REMARKS

The connection between alpha-band brain dynamics and temporal processing has nearly undergone a centuries worth of experiment and theorizing. It may very well continue for another. However, the state of affairs today, as reflected in this Special Focus, foregrounds the tremendous progress that has been made in the last decade while also highlighting our blind spots. We hope that the contributions in this Special Focus help set our field into a firmer theoretical and methodological foothold while also clarifying what shape the path forward may take.

Corresponding author: Jason Samaha, University of California Santa Cruz, 8787, Psychology, 1156 High Street, Santa Cruz, US, or via e-mail: [jsamaha@ucsc.edu](mailto:jsamaha@ucsc.edu).

## Diversity in Citation Practices

Retrospective analysis of the citations in every article published in this journal from 2010 to 2021 reveals a persistent pattern of gender imbalance: Although the proportions of authorship teams (categorized by estimated gender identification of first author/last author) publishing in the *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience (JoCN)* during this period were  $M(\text{an})/M = .407$ ,  $W(\text{oman})/M = .32$ ,  $M/W = .115$ , and  $W/W = .159$ , the comparable proportions for the articles that these authorship teams cited were  $M/M = .549$ ,  $W/M = .257$ ,  $M/W = .109$ , and  $W/W = .085$  (Postle and Fulvio, *JoCN*, 34:1, pp. 1–3). Consequently, *JoCN* encourages all authors to consider gender balance explicitly when selecting which articles to cite and gives them the opportunity to report their article's gender citation balance.

## REFERENCES

- Alamia, A., & VanRullen, R. (2024). A traveling waves perspective on temporal binding. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, 36, 721–729. [https://doi.org/10.1162/jocn\\_a\\_02004](https://doi.org/10.1162/jocn_a_02004), PubMed: 37172133
- Bartley, S. H. (1940). The relation between cortical response to visual stimulation and changes in the alpha rhythm. *Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 27, 624–639. <https://doi.org/10.1037/h0054429>
- Berger, H. (1929). Über das elektroencephalogramm des menschen. *Archiv für Psychiatrie und Nervenkrankheiten*, 87, 527–570. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01797193>
- Bishop, G. H. (1932). Cyclic changes in excitability of the optic pathway of the rabbit. *American Journal of Physiology*, 103, 213–224. <https://doi.org/10.1152/ajplegacy.1932.103.1.213>
- Buergers, S., & Noppeney, U. (2022). The role of alpha oscillations in temporal binding within and across the senses. *Nature Human Behaviour*, 6, 732–742. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41562-022-01294-x>, PubMed: 35210592
- Busch, N. A., & VanRullen, R. (2010). Spontaneous EEG oscillations reveal periodic sampling of visual attention. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, U.S.A.*, 107, 16048–16053. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1004801107>, PubMed: 20805482
- Cecere, R., Rees, G., & Romei, V. (2015). Individual differences in alpha frequency drive crossmodal illusory perception. *Current Biology*, 25, 231–235. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2014.11.034>, PubMed: 25544613
- Cooke, J., Poch, C., Gillmeister, H., Costantini, M., & Romei, V. (2019). Oscillatory properties of functional connections between sensory areas mediate cross-modal illusory perception. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 39, 5711–5718. <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3184-18.2019>, PubMed: 31109964
- Deodato, M., & Melcher, D. (2024). Correlations between visual temporal resolution and individual alpha peak frequency: Evidence that internal and measurement noise drive null findings. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, 36, 590–601. [https://doi.org/10.1162/jocn\\_a\\_01993](https://doi.org/10.1162/jocn_a_01993), PubMed: 37043238
- Dou, W., Morrow, A., Iemi, L., & Samaha, J. (2022). Pre-stimulus alpha-band phase gates early visual cortex responses. *Neuroimage*, 253, 119060. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2022.119060>, PubMed: 35283286
- Drewes, J., Muschter, E., Zhu, W., & Melcher, D. (2022). Individual resting-state alpha peak frequency and within-trial changes in alpha peak frequency both predict visual dual-pulse segregation performance. *Cerebral Cortex*, 32, 5455–5466. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhac026>, PubMed: 35137008
- Dugué, L., Marque, P., & VanRullen, R. (2011). The phase of ongoing oscillations mediates the causal relation between brain excitation and visual perception. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 31, 11889–11893. <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1161-11.2011>, PubMed: 21849549
- Foxe, J. J., & Snyder, A. C. (2011). The role of alpha-band brain oscillations as a sensory suppression mechanism during selective attention. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 2, 154. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2011.00154>, PubMed: 21779269
- Freschl, J., Azizi, L. A., Balboa, L., Kaldy, Z., & Blaser, E. (2022). The development of peak alpha frequency from infancy to adolescence and its role in visual temporal processing: A meta-analysis. *Developmental Cognitive Neuroscience*, 57, 101146. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dcn.2022.101146>, PubMed: 35973361
- Grandy, T. H., Werkle-Bergner, M., Chicherio, C., Schmiedek, F., Lövdén, M., & Lindenberger, U. (2013). Peak individual alpha frequency qualifies as a stable neurophysiological trait marker in healthy younger and older adults. *Psychophysiology*, 50, 570–582. <https://doi.org/10.1111/psyp.12043>, PubMed: 23551082
- Gray, M. J., & Emmanouil, T. A. (2020). Individual alpha frequency increases during a task but is unchanged by alpha-band flicker. *Psychophysiology*, 57, e13480. <https://doi.org/10.1111/psyp.13480>, PubMed: 31573080
- Haegens, S., Cousijn, H., Wallis, G., Harrison, P. J., & Nobre, A. C. (2014). Inter- and intra-individual variability in alpha peak frequency. *Neuroimage*, 92, 46–55. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2014.01.049>, PubMed: 24508648
- Haegens, S., Vázquez, Y., Zainos, A., Alvarez, M., Jensen, O., & Romo, R. (2014). Thalamocortical rhythms during a vibrotactile detection task. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, U.S.A.*, 111, E1797–E1805. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1405516111>, PubMed: 24733899
- Han, B., Zhang, Y., Shen, L., Mo, L., & Chen, Q. (2023). Task demands modulate pre-stimulus alpha frequency and sensory template during bistable apparent motion perception. *Cerebral Cortex*, 33, 1679–1692. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhac165>, PubMed: 35512283
- Harter, M. R. (1967). Excitability cycles and cortical scanning: A review of two hypotheses of central intermittency in perception. *Psychological Bulletin*, 68, 47–58. <https://doi.org/10.1037/h0024725>, PubMed: 4859873
- Hindriks, R., Micheli, C., Mantini, D., & Deco, G. (2017). Human resting-state electrophysiological networks in the alpha frequency band: Evidence from magnetoencephalographic source imaging. *bioRxiv*. <https://doi.org/10.1101/142091>
- Iemi, L., Busch, N. A., Laudini, A., Haegens, S., Samaha, J., Villringer, A., et al. (2019). Multiple mechanisms link prestimulus neural oscillations to sensory responses. *eLife*, 8, e43620. <https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.43620>, PubMed: 31188126
- Iemi, L., Gwilliams, L., Samaha, J., Auksztulewicz, R., Cycowicz, Y. M., King, J.-R., et al. (2022). Ongoing neural oscillations influence behavior and sensory representations by suppressing neuronal excitability. *Neuroimage*, 247, 118746. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2021.118746>, PubMed: 34875382
- Jensen, O., & Mazaheri, A. (2010). Shaping functional architecture by oscillatory alpha activity: Gating by inhibition. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*, 4, 186. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2010.00186>, PubMed: 21119777
- Karvat, G., & Landau, A. N. (2024). A role for bottom-up alpha oscillations in temporal integration. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, 36, 632–639. [https://doi.org/10.1162/jocn\\_a\\_02056](https://doi.org/10.1162/jocn_a_02056), PubMed: 37713671
- Karvat, G., Ofir, N., & Landau, A. N. (2024). Sensory drive modifies brain dynamics and the temporal integration

- window. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, *36*, 614–631. [https://doi.org/10.1162/jocn\\_a\\_02088](https://doi.org/10.1162/jocn_a_02088), PubMed: 38010294
- Kawashima, T., Nakayama, R., & Amano, K. (2024). Theoretical and technical issues concerning the measurement of alpha frequency and the application of signal detection theory: Comment on Buegers and Noppeney (2022). *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, *36*, 691–699. [https://doi.org/10.1162/jocn\\_a\\_02010](https://doi.org/10.1162/jocn_a_02010), PubMed: 37255466
- Keil, J., & Senkowski, D. (2017). Individual alpha frequency relates to the sound-induced flash illusion. *Multisensory Research*, *30*, 565–578. <https://doi.org/10.1163/22134808-00002572>, PubMed: 31287091
- Keitel, C., Ruzzoli, M., Dugué, L., Busch, N. A., & Benwell, C. S. Y. (2022). Rhythms in cognition: The evidence revisited. *European Journal of Neuroscience*, *55*, 2991–3009. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ejn.15740>, PubMed: 35696729
- Klimesch, W. (2011). Evoked alpha and early access to the knowledge system: The P1 inhibition timing hypothesis. *Brain Research*, *1408*, 52–71. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brainres.2011.06.003>, PubMed: 21774917
- Klimesch, W., Sauseng, P., & Hanslmayr, S. (2007). EEG alpha oscillations: The inhibition-timing hypothesis. *Brain Research Reviews*, *53*, 63–88. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brainresrev.2006.06.003>, PubMed: 16887192
- Kristofferson, A. B. (1967). Successiveness discrimination as a two-state, quantal process. *Science*, *158*, 1337–1339. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.158.3806.1337>, PubMed: 6058011
- Lindsley, D. B. (1952). Psychological phenomena and the electroencephalogram. *Electroencephalography and Clinical Neurophysiology*, *4*, 443–456. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0013-4694\(52\)90075-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0013-4694(52)90075-8), PubMed: 12998592
- Mathewson, K. E., Lleras, A., Beck, D. M., Fabiani, M., Ro, T., & Gratton, G. (2011). Pulsed out of awareness: EEG alpha oscillations represent a pulsed-inhibition of ongoing cortical processing. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *2*, 99. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2011.00099>, PubMed: 21779257
- Nelli, S., Itthipuripat, S., Srinivasan, R., & Serences, J. T. (2017). Fluctuations in instantaneous frequency predict alpha amplitude during visual perception. *Nature Communications*, *8*, 2071. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-02176-x>, PubMed: 29234068
- Noguchi, Y. (2022). Individual differences in beta frequency correlate with the audio–visual fusion illusion. *Psychophysiology*, *59*, e14041. <https://doi.org/10.1111/psyp.14041>, PubMed: 35274314
- Noguchi, Y. (2024). Audio–visual fission illusion and individual alpha frequency: Perspective on Buegers and Noppeney (2022). *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, *36*, 700–705. [https://doi.org/10.1162/jocn\\_a\\_01987](https://doi.org/10.1162/jocn_a_01987), PubMed: 36951569
- Noppeney, U., Pesci, U. G., & Schoffelen, J.-M. (2024). The influence of alpha frequency on temporal binding across the senses: Response to the special focus. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, *36*, 730–733. [https://doi.org/10.1162/jocn\\_a\\_02112](https://doi.org/10.1162/jocn_a_02112), PubMed: 38307128
- Romei, V., Brodbeck, V., Michel, C., Amedi, A., Pascual-Leone, A., & Thut, G. (2008). Spontaneous fluctuations in posterior  $\alpha$ -band EEG activity reflect variability in excitability of human visual areas. *Cerebral Cortex*, *18*, 2010–2018. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhm229>, PubMed: 18093905
- Ronconi, L., Balestrieri, E., Baldauf, D., & Melcher, D. (2024). Distinct cortical networks subserve spatio-temporal sampling in vision through different oscillatory rhythms. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, *36*, 572–589. [https://doi.org/10.1162/jocn\\_a\\_02006](https://doi.org/10.1162/jocn_a_02006), PubMed: 37172123
- Ronconi, L., Oosterhof, N. N., Bonmassar, C., & Melcher, D. (2017). Multiple oscillatory rhythms determine the temporal organization of perception. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, U.S.A.*, *114*, 13435–13440. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1714522114>, PubMed: 29203678
- Samaha, J., Iemi, L., Haegens, S., & Busch, N. A. (2020). Spontaneous brain oscillations and perceptual decision-making. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, *24*, 639–653. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2020.05.004>, PubMed: 32513573
- Samaha, J., & Postle, B. R. (2015). The speed of alpha-band oscillations predicts the temporal resolution of visual perception. *Current Biology*, *25*, 2985–2990. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2015.10.007>, PubMed: 26526370
- Samaha, J., & Romei, V. (2024). Alpha-band frequency and temporal windows in perception: A review and living meta-analysis of 27 experiments (and counting). *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, *36*, 640–654. [https://doi.org/10.1162/jocn\\_a\\_02069](https://doi.org/10.1162/jocn_a_02069), PubMed: 37856149
- Schoffelen, J.-M., Pesci, U. G., & Noppeney, U. (2024). Alpha oscillations and temporal binding windows in perception—A critical review and best practice guidelines. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, *36*, 655–690. [https://doi.org/10.1162/jocn\\_a\\_02118](https://doi.org/10.1162/jocn_a_02118), PubMed: 38330177
- Sharp, P., Gutteling, T., Melcher, D., & Hickey, C. (2022). Spatial attention tunes temporal processing in early visual cortex by speeding and slowing alpha oscillations. *Journal of Neuroscience*, *42*, 7824–7832. <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0509-22.2022>, PubMed: 36100397
- Tarasi, L., & Romei, V. (2024). Individual alpha frequency contributes to the precision of human visual processing. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, *36*, 602–613. [https://doi.org/10.1162/jocn\\_a\\_02026](https://doi.org/10.1162/jocn_a_02026), PubMed: 37382485
- Trajkovic, J., Gregorio, F., Avenanti, A., Thut, G., & Romei, V. (2023). Two oscillatory correlates of attention control in the alpha-band with distinct consequences on perceptual gain and metacognition. *Journal of Neuroscience*, *43*, 3548–3556. <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1827-22.2023>, PubMed: 37019621
- Treisman, M. (1963). Temporal discrimination and the indifference interval. Implications for a model of the “internal clock.” *Psychological Monographs*, *77*, 1–31. <https://doi.org/10.1037/h0093864>, PubMed: 5877542
- Treisman, M. (1984). Temporal rhythms and cerebral rhythms. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, *423*, 542–565. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-6632.1984.tb23458.x>, PubMed: 6588814
- Van Wassenhove, V., Herbst, S. K., & Kononowicz, T. W. (2019). Timing the brain to time the mind: Critical contributions of time-resolved neuroimaging for temporal cognition. In S. Supek & C. J. Aine (Eds.), *Magnetoencephalography: From signals to dynamic cortical networks* (pp. 855–905). Cham, Switzerland: Springer. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-00087-5\\_67](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-00087-5_67)
- VanRullen, R. (2016). Perceptual cycles. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, *20*, 723–735. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2016.07.006>, PubMed: 27567317
- Varela, F. J., Toro, A., Roy John, E., & Schwartz, E. L. (1981). Perceptual framing and cortical alpha rhythm. *Neuropsychologia*, *19*, 675–686. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0028-3932\(81\)90005-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0028-3932(81)90005-1), PubMed: 7312152
- Venskus, A. (2024). Perceptual training as means to assess the effect of alpha frequency on temporal binding window. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, *36*, 706–711. [https://doi.org/10.1162/jocn\\_a\\_01982](https://doi.org/10.1162/jocn_a_01982), PubMed: 36877055
- Venskus, A., & Hughes, G. (2021). Individual differences in alpha frequency are associated with the time window of multisensory integration, but not time perception. *Neuropsychologia*, *159*, 107919. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2021.107919>, PubMed: 34153304
- Wutz, A. (2024). Alpha oscillations create the illusion of time. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, *36*, 712–720. [https://doi.org/10.1162/jocn\\_a\\_02029](https://doi.org/10.1162/jocn_a_02029), PubMed: 37432738
- Wutz, A., Melcher, D., & Samaha, J. (2018). Frequency modulation of neural oscillations according to visual task demands. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, U.S.A.*, *115*, 1346–1351. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1713318115>, PubMed: 29358390