It doesn’t matter whether you’re a child, it doesn’t matter whether you’re pregnant, it doesn’t matter whether you’re a woman, it doesn’t matter whether you’re an unaccompanied minor, it doesn’t matter if you have a health condition, if you’re fit enough to get on a boat then you can expect you’re fit enough to end up in offshore processing.1

—Scott Morrison, Australian minister of immigration

Situated 800 kilometers from the capital of Papua New Guinea, the Manus Island Regional Processing Centre is a remote outpost far from the political dispatches of the Australian government in Canberra. Manus Island became an active base for US soldiers during World War II. Littered throughout the area are dilapidated Quonset huts first erected on the site by the Americans; some of these structures are still being salvaged to house new detainees and offices. Along the island’s first paved road connecting the airport to the detention center, building contractors ensured that the footprint of the former military base was reused. Located on state land, the current detention facility on Manus is a collection of enclosed compounds in which canvas tents, shipping containers, and other prefabricated units have been erected on flood-prone terrain (Figure 1). Two prominent entry points guarded by men with machine guns are located behind at least three additional layers of chain-link fencing. Between each of the compounds is a narrow corridor that reduces interactions among detainees. At the heart of the center stand two long elevated prefabricated buildings whose windows have been obscured by plastic tarpaulin; a small sign indicates that the shower, toilet, and entertainment area are nearby.

More striking, however, is the initial sitework under way for a purported 10,000-person detention facility at the heart of Manus Island cut from the jungle near the village of Lorengau (Figure 2); this administrative center has been described as the future “first stop” for asylum seekers.
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After landing by boat on Christmas Island, they will be flown directly to Manus to be held indefinitely, bypassing any customary wait for filing asylum and refugee applications. While plans for this site have not been publicly announced, upon completion it will become the largest of Australia’s immigration detention centers, surpassing the 3,000-person facility at Christmas Island and the sixteen garrisons on the continent. This tremendous growth of detention infrastructure is changing the face of Manus Island and, as a consequence, the greater landscape of Australia. Lorengau will no longer remain a sequestered village but will materialize as an epicenter of a Pacific network of detention centers stretching from Perth to Sydney.

**Landscapes of Detention**

At Manus, the death of Iranian asylum seeker Reza Barati on 17 February 2014 is a reminder of Australian aggression toward foreigners who seek respite from oppressive regimes. According to initial journalists’ reports from inside the encampment, Barati was thrown from his bed, possibly beaten with a blunt object, and kicked by guards and local Papuan men who had forcibly entered the compound.2

Newly released documents imply that 130 extra guards were flown in by the security company G4S “to man the fence line” prior to the riots that resulted in Barati’s death, after nearly nine months of warnings about the inadequate
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Figure 1 Manus Island Regional Processing Centre, Manus Island, Papua New Guinea, October 2013. After taking this photograph, both authors were interrogated by the detention center director and local armed guards (authors’ photo).

Figure 2 View of construction site, Lorengau, Manus Island, Papua New Guinea, October 2013. This site marks the primary entrance to the new 10,000-person facility on the island with administrative offices in the foreground (authors’ photo).
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Australia is the only Western country to employ mandatory detention for asylum seekers, reinforcing its reputation for neocolonial acts of hostility. Under the brutal logic of excision enforced by former prime minister John Howard, individuals who attempt to enter the country by boat never set foot in Australia in the first place. Over an indeterminate period of time, an asylum seeker is processed, given an identification number, and delivered to one of three facilities on Christmas Island or flown directly to Manus Island or Nauru. This policy, known as the “Pacific Solution,” initiated a trajectory of human capital away from domestic territories such as Christmas Island and toward Manus Island, the Pacific island nation of Nauru, Indonesia, and potentially other nations, such as Cambodia, as proxies for Australian stewardship.

The circulation of human capital across continental geographies in Australasia fuels these immigration and fiscal policies that have radicalized carceral suppression for 20,857 “illegal maritime arrivals,” 18,119 of whom submitted asylum applications from 2012 to 2013. Many of these persons are now being held in Australian immigration processing and detention centers, including 3,738 men, women, and children in three offshore facilities. The global industrialization of detention for asylum seekers such as Barati has quietly emerged as a corporate endeavor in which the construction of facilities such as those on Manus Island is being relegated to private multinational contractors. Establishing elements of shelter is a secondary concern for governments and security companies alike. Techniques of incarceration have blatantly negated the primacy of shelter and are symptomatic of the growing “security-industrial complex” that devalues human existence and evades international scrutiny. From boats to buildings, survival strategies amid the tenements of detention centers on Christmas Island, Manus, and Nauru speak of the Australian government’s increased reliance on a system committed to suspending the political rights of asylum seekers.

Carceral Networks

Architects who have been engaged to design and build detention centers make up only a small minority of global specialists responsible for the erection of secure facilities in isolated locales such as Manus and Nauru. G4S and Transfield Holdings/Wilson Security, in fact, are two corporations that specialize in the high-risk management of prisons and detention centers. As the “world’s policeman,” G4S began in the nineteenth century as a twenty-man nightwatch company and continues to sustain varied operations around the world. Transfield likewise possesses a scattered history of global enterprises that stretch from the on-site management of the Christmas Island and Nauru offshore processing centers—and, as of April 2014, Manus Island—to cultural endeavors such as the longtime sponsorship of the Sydney Art Biennale, which was recently criticized by local and international artists. Due to Transfield’s controversial involvement in the detention industry, CEO Luca Belgiorno-Nettis quietly stepped down from his post amid threats from several artists to boycott the festival. Belgiorno-Nettis stated of his own company, “[Transfield Holdings] is doing facilities management. They are basically doing catering, engineering services, plumbing, electrical. Yes, security as well, but basic services. And they’re looking to improve those services.” In light of this comment, the demand for security services only reinforces the political leverage behind the industrialization of mandatory detention.

Incarceration is governed by corporal acts of immobilization. The Christmas Island Reception and Immigration Processing Centre and its overflow facilities for women and children embody a high-security penitentiary, with closed-circuit television, listening posts for audio surveillance, electrified fences, and armed guards (Figure 3). By comparison, the Manus Island offshore processing center is an explicitly temporary facility where detainees are contained in static, flimsy tents on land next to crocodile-infested water. Our contact on Manus confirmed that Australian security measures such as carrying a Hoffman knife at all times (to cut down detainees who attempt to hang themselves) are often paralleled by informal agreements among Papuan men who work as local guards. This inherent distrust of Australian staff led to Papuan security teams creating their own strategies for containing disorderly detainees, including forming human chains with their bodies to keep men apart.

The chronic uncertainty about the status of refugee applications fashioned an aggressive environment within the center’s confines. Detainees have described that following their processing, they remain anonymous individuals within the heavily secured facilities on the islands, in violation of key rulings of the 1951 United Nations Convention Relating to the Status of Refugees, to which Australia is a signatory. After a perilous journey on open seas, asylum seekers are given the option of going back to where they came from,
a choice that constitutes illegal refoulement. Yet, most choose to stay at these centers in the dim hope that their waiting will outlast the legal machinations of the Australian government. Entrenched monotony thus begets the violence that led to the Manus riots. Conversely, well-behaved detainees are offered “cultural tours” of Lorengau conducted in locked white buses in an effort to demonstrate the desolate nature of Manus Island (Figure 4).

In contrast to the conditions in which detainees are forced to live, moored offshore, approximately 150 meters from the present facility on Manus, a seven-story British vessel named the Bibby Progress is used to house Australian and G4S workers. The Bibby Progress is outfitted with individual rooms, a modern cafeteria, gym, movie room, and other creature comforts (Figure 5). From a population of approximately 60,000, 437 Manus Islanders were initially hired after the local government demanded more representation among employees at the site. A report from the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR) reveals, however, that many of these staff members often do not show up for work, thus creating an imbalance between Australians and local employees, who are paid far less.

**Sovereign Borders**

Oceania is no longer Australia’s sole property “to cultivate, beautify, and protect” as described by the language of the Pacific Solution. Current Australian immigration policy falls under a 2013 dictate known as “Operation Sovereign Borders,” whereby boats are intercepted by military warships
and turned back toward Indonesia.12 Regardless, uninformed asylum seekers continue to navigate treacherous waters for a better life abroad. Financial compensation flowing into security corporations such as G4S, Transfield, and Serco serving at the behest of governments intensifies how the architecture of detention is defined by the fraught alliances between Australia and its neighbors. Forms of incarceration acting as shelter, from the boat to the detention center, reveal the negotiable character of mandatory detention structured as militarized zones of control and excess.

Proposals that seek the release of asylum seekers into the general population of Papua New Guinea have also been met with criticism. The UNHCR noted in 2010 that “non-Melanesian” refugees and asylum seekers are subject to further cruelty by “Melanesian” criminals and gangs; the burden of responsibility for Manus Island detainees both inside and outside the center remains unclear.13 Given the racial and cultural violence being perpetrated on Manus, the mounting friction between detainees, governments, and security corporations will no doubt become more severe. As the UNHCR stated in 2010, “Harassment [in Papua New Guinea] is experienced by the majority of asylum seekers and refugees, including non-Asian refugees. The involvement of the police and the very poor record they have with regard to human rights also represents a risk of escalation to urban warfare.”14

Our discipline of architecture—the history and forms we study—points to a certain degree of complicity in the maintenance of these operations. The built environment has become an instrument by which governments are foreshortening the fundamental needs of human beings. In its multifarious iterations, the Australian immigration detention center has become what historian Peter Gatrell terms a “modern site of enumeration, categorization, and assessment by officials and relief workers.”15 Under Australia’s current Liberal–National Coalition, the directive “More Jakarta, Less Geneva,” a colloquial reference to asylum seeker policy meetings held among eleven nations in October 2013, signals what may become the “illegalization” of individual struggles for a place to call home. Policies that define the humane treatment of asylum seekers are simply not applicable to Australia, and as a result, the regulations that reinforce attitudes of insularity will ultimately govern the territories of the Pacific. It is critical that architectural historians identify the sociopolitical significance of these centers and encampments in order to recognize their positioning in the extensive history of carceral and border landscapes.
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Five actors move around an almost empty theater stage carrying ubiquitous gray building bricks; they are costumed in jeans, skirts, shirts, and blouses that have been dyed to produce a whitish mottled coloring, as if covered in brick dust from construction work. Gradually, at center-front stage, they collectively construct a single-layer square platform of bricks—a stage on a stage. Standing side by side behind the square of bricks, the actors leap into the air in unison, gesturing upward. Together they take a step onto the brick stage, facing the audience square on.

---

It was the day of the fiesta of our lord Huitzilopochtli. We were celebrating in the temple… The plaza was full of people…

---

There were snipers on the roofs.

---

Those who were going to kill us covered one hand with a white glove.

---

Suddenly there was a gunshot in the distance. We didn’t know where it came from.

---

“Get down!” someone shouted. “They’re going to kill us!”

---

They closed the eagle entrance in the smaller palace… and when it was closed, they all took their positions.

---

The plaza was a mousetrap.

---

The actors gaze out into the darkness of the auditorium, staring directly at the audience, as intensive bright lights shine in their faces, illuminating expressions of fear and terror.