Risk assessment of possible impacts of climate change and irrigation on wheat yield and quality with a modified CERES-Wheat model
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ABSTRACT

The effects of climate change on yield and quality in different climate regions have high uncertainty. Risk assessment is an effective measure to assess the seriousness of the projected impacts for decision-makers. A modified quality model was used to simulate integrated impacts of climate change, environment, and management on wheat yield and quality. Then, the Canadian Earth System Model version 5 (CanESM5) was used to forecast the daily meteorological data, and the Statistical Downscaling Model (SDSM V5.2) was used for downscaling. The modified CERES-Wheat was combined with the forecasted meteorological data to simulate the future wheat yield and grain protein concentration (GPC). The risk to wheat yield and quality in three climatic regions in Northwest China under two climate change scenarios of the CanESM5 was assessed. The average temperature increased by 0.22–3.34 °C, and precipitation increased by 10–60 mm from 2018 to 2100. Elevated temperature and precipitation had positive effects on the yields. The risk to yield in most regions with climate change decreased by 3.8–25.1%. The risk to GPC in all regions with climate change decreased by 7.3–27.2%. Irrigation decreased the risk to yield greatly but had different effects in the three climatic regions. The risk to yield with irrigation decreased by 37.7–52.1%. In contrast to previous studies, in this study, the risk to GPC with irrigation substantially increased by 25.8–28.9% in humid regions and 3.9–8.8% in subhumid regions and decreased by 37.7–52.1% in semiarid regions. The irrigation should be discreetly applied for different climatic regions to combat climate change.
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HIGHLIGHTS

- A nitrogen-to-protein conversion factor was added to CERES-Wheat to simulate winter wheat grain protein concentration.
- The combination of general circulation models and CERES-Wheat makes it possible to simulate wheat grain quality with the climate change in landscape levels.
- An irrigation scenario was also used as part of a strategy for responding to climate change in different climatic regions.
INTRODUCTION

In monsoon climates where the annual precipitation fluctuates greatly, the quality and yield of grain are seasonally variable because rainfall is unreliable and there is a significant risk of heat waves during the grain-filling phase (Pleijel et al. 2018; Ahmad et al. 2020). It is anticipated that under climate change, the growing-season rainfall in many arable cropping regions will change (Dubey et al. 2020), and there will be a greater incidence of extreme climatic events (IPCC 2013). The precipitation change in different climatic regions will not be the same because of climate change, but more extreme precipitation events will likely occur (Xu & Liu 2014). At the same time, climate change can result in entirely different effects on grain protein concentration (GPC) (Garofalo et al. 2019). GPC is a function of genes, environment, and management. GPC has important effects on nutrition, flour yield, and processing quality (Meng et al. 2016). A GPC of approximately 8% is suitable for pastry and cookies, and a GPC of 13–14% is suitable for leavened bread and pasta. Steamed bread and white, salted noodles (Chinese style noodles) should have a medium protein content (approximately 10%) (Addo et al. 1991). When the environment or management is less than optimal for wheat, the resulting GPC may not match the intended end use of the wheat (Albert et al. 2003).

The artificial climate chamber, open top chamber, and free-air carbon dioxide enrichment methods are the most widely used methods to study the effect of climate change on crop growth (Allen et al. 2020). However, these artificial methods are different from the natural environment or are very expensive. The combination of general circulation models (GCMs) and crop models assesses the effects of climate change on cropping systems and is more comprehensive and convenient, but it depends on the progress of the theory (Gilardelli et al. 2018). Crop models have often been used to evaluate the effect of climate change on yield (Jabeen et al. 2017), but their effect on quality has been rarely reported (Nuttall et al. 2017). CERES-Wheat can interpret and simulate nitrogen movement and transfer along the soil–plant–atmosphere continuum, but it cannot output parameters related to quality such as GPC. Many crop models only simulate GPC by a harvest index or the constant nitrogen-to-protein conversion factor (Fp), 5.70 (Zhang et al. 2020). It is necessary to add a quality module for crop models to simulate grain quality with different stresses.

GCMs are used for climate prediction (Gaffin et al. 2004) but cannot be directly used for climatic studies because of their coarse spatial resolution (Rajabi & Shabanlou 2015). The Canadian Earth System Model version 5 (CanESM5) (Swart et al. 2019), based on the Coupled Model Inter-comparison Project Phase 5 (CMIP5), and reanalysis from the National Center for Environmental Prediction (NCEP) have been verified in China (Qing et al. 2017). The simulation of precipitation and temperature had relatively better results (Song et al. 2013). Downscaling is necessary to extract location-scale information from the climatic data downloaded from GCMs (Meenu et al. 2013). Statistical downscaling methodologies and dynamical downscaling approaches are common downscaling methods (Schmidli et al. 2006). Statistical downscaling methodologies have several advantages, such as low cost, easy operation, and rapid calculation (Timbal et al. 2005). The statistical downscaling model (SDSM V5.2) is a statistical downscaling methodology that has been widely used in China (Zhai et al. 2016).

Risk is a combination of two factors: the probability that an adverse event will occur and the consequences of the adverse event. Risk assessment encompasses an analysis phase and an implementation phase, risk treatment (Ommen 1997). By comparing the outputs of a multisimulation with a critical threshold, it is possible to evaluate the risk related to future climate conditions. Climate change has important effects on grain quality, but adaptation to climate change has long been neglected in terms of quality. The most commonly used methods to alleviate the impact of climate change are changing the sowing date, using newly cultivated varieties, and implementing reasonable irrigation (Li et al. 2011; Worku et al. 2018). Irrigation is the most common and simple management approach. Irrigation can improve the nitrogen use efficiency of crops, but it has an adverse effect on winter wheat protein accumulation (Rodríguez-Félix et al. 2014).

The wheat yield and quality response to climate change can also vary depending on the location and climate change scenario. Simulation modeling provides an opportunity to understand the broad-scale feedback between climate change
and agro-production systems at a regional level. In comparison to other models, the modified CERES-Wheat model could better respond GPC to stresses, and the modified CERES-Wheat model was able to simulate GPC with abiotic stress from climate change more precisely. The aims of this study are to assess the risk of climate change to wheat yield and quality and address the risk from irrigation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experimental location and treatments

The field experiment, conducted from October 2014 to June 2017, was located in the Guanzhong irrigation area, and where the average annual precipitation is 580 mm, the average precipitation in the wheat growing season, the average annual temperature, and the annual sunshine duration are 203 mm, 13 °C, and 2,196 h, respectively. The wheat variety ‘Xiaoyan 22’ (Triticum aestivum L.) is widely grown in northwestern and northern China. The four irrigation treatments (I0, rainfed; I1, 60 mm; I2, 120 mm; and I3, 180 mm) involved the whole plots. The four fertilization treatments (N0, 0 kg N ha⁻¹; N1, 105 kg ha⁻¹; N2, 210 kg N ha⁻¹; and N3, 315 kg N ha⁻¹) were the split-plot treatments. Wheat grain samples weighed from 0.1000 to 0.20000 g, and the grain nitrogen content was determined by a Kjeldahl apparatus (JELTEC 2300, Sweden FOSS). The soil samples were collected and dried in an oven to measure the soil water content.

Simulation of GPC based on the modified CERES-Wheat model

The modified CERES-Wheat model is a widely used crop model to simulate wheat development, growth, and yield (Figure 1) (Jones et al. 2005). The potential kernel nitrogen accumulation was driven by temperature (Equation (1)) (Ritchie & Otter 1985).

\[
\Delta K_{N_{pot}} = \begin{cases} \frac{0.49 \times T_{mean}}{4.83 + 1.06 \times T_{mean} + 0.25 \times (T_{max} - T_{min})} & T_{mean} \leq 10 ^\circ C \\ \frac{T_{mean}}{T_{mean} > 10 ^\circ C} & \end{cases}
\]

(1)

where \( \Delta K_{N_{pot}} \) is the potential kernel nitrogen accumulation, g N kernel⁻¹ d⁻¹; \( T_{max} \), \( T_{min} \), and \( T_{mean} \) are daily maximum, minimum, and mean temperatures (°C), respectively.

Reference evapotranspiration (ET₀) was calculated by the Priestley–Taylor method (Equations (2) and (3)) (Priestley & Taylor 1972).

\[
ET_0 = aE_{EQ} 
\]

(2)

\[
E_{EQ} = SR \times 2.04 \times 10^{-4} - \text{ALBEDO} \times 1.83 \times 10^{-4} 
\times (T_{MAX} \times 0.6 + T_{MIN} \times 0.4 + 29.0)
\]

(3)

where \( a \) is a coefficient of advection, dimensionless; \( SR \) is the daily total solar radiation, MJ m⁻² d⁻¹; \( \text{ALBEDO} \) is the crop albedo, dimensionless; \( E_{EQ} \) is equilibrium evapotranspiration, MJ m⁻² d⁻¹.

The modified CERES-Wheat could simulate the wheat grain yield at maturity (YIELD), grain nitrogen yield at maturity (GNAM), water stress (\( S_W \)), and nitrogen stress (\( S_N \)). The calculation of \( F_p \) (Equation (4)) was determined by \( S_W \) and \( S_N \) in the previous study, which increased the precision of the simulation of GPC (Liu et al. 2018). The dynamic \( F_p \) reflected the influence of water and nitrogen stress more accurately, and the accuracy of GPC improved 26.5%. GPC (Equation (5)) was simulated by the GNAM, yield, and \( F_p \), as follows (McKenzie et al. 2006):

\[
F_p = -5.46 \times \text{MIN}(S_W, S_N) + 5.72
\]

(4)

\[
\text{GPC} = \frac{\text{GNAM}}{\text{YIELD}} \times F_p \times 100
\]

(5)

where \( F_p \) is the nitrogen-to-protein conversion factor, dimensionless; \( S_W \) is the water stress factor, dimensionless; and \( S_N \) is the nitrogen stress factor, dimensionless.

Weather data

Daily maximum and minimum temperature (°C), precipitation (mm), and solar radiation (MJ m⁻² d⁻¹) are the essential weather data for CERES-Wheat (Jones et al. 2003). The weather data included historical weather data and climate scenario data.

Historical weather data

Historical weather data (1957–2017) were obtained from the China Meteorological Data Network (http://data.cma.cn/site/index.html), including daily maximum and minimum temperature, precipitation, and sunshine hours. The daily solar radiation data required by the crop model were calculated at the site level using the Angstrom–Prescott equation (Wong & Chow 2001).
Climate scenario data

The future climate scenario data were produced from global climate models (GCMs) from the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project phase 6 (CMIP6) (Eyring et al. 2016). These future climate scenario data will be driven by CMIP6 models under combined SSPs and RCPs (O’Neill et al. 2014). In this study, we considered two integrated scenarios (combining SSP2 with RCP4.5 which is defined by SSP2-4.5, and combining SSP5 with RCP8.5 which is defined by SSP5-8.5). The two intermediate scenarios were more suitable for China because of its economic development, greenhouse gas emissions, and land use (Xin 2017). SSP2 presupposes a central pathway in which trends continue their historical patterns without substantial deviations. SSP5 presupposes relatively optimistic trends for human development, with substantial investments in education and health, rapid economic growth, and well-functioning institutions (Bai et al. 2021). RCP4.5 is radiative forcing 4.5 Watts m⁻², and RCP8.5 is radiative forcing 8.5 Watts m⁻². The climate change scenario was obtained using CanESM5 at a grid size of 2.8125°C. The reanalysis weather data of the climatic scenario were downloaded from the NCEP. These data included 26 atmospheric predictor variables (e.g., mean sea level pressure, 850 hPa zonal velocity, total precipitation, and mean temperature at 2 m) for 1961-2017 and GCM projections for the SSP2-4.5 and 5-8.5 from 2018 to 2100.

Calibration and validation

Calibration was completed running GLUE (He et al. 2009). Related data were from a treatment of sufficient irrigation and sufficient fertilization (I3N3) in 2014.

Model validation was completed by comparing measured and simulated soil water content, yield, and GPC for 2015-
Three evaluation indicators were used to test the accuracy. The percent deviation ($d$) was smaller, and the simulated values were better. The smaller the relative root mean square error (RRMSE) (Equation (6)) was, the smaller the difference in the measured and simulated values (0–10%, excellent; 10–20%, good; 20–30%, fair and >30%, poor). In addition, $r^2$ was used to assess the downscaled climatic projection, and the best index was 1.

$$\text{RRMSE} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (s_i - o_i)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} o_i}} \times 100\%$$

(6)

where $s_i$ is the simulated value, $o_i$ is the observed value, and $n$ is the sample size.

**Scenarios**

Due to the long and narrow topography in Shaanxi Province, different cropping systems are used in different regions. To ensure the results were explanatory and uniform, the management parameters were set as follows: fertilization was $210 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1}$ before sowing; the sowing dates were 1–15 October when the daily average temperature was above $20 ^\circ \text{C}$ and precipitation was less than 2 mm; the cultivation method was rotary tillage; the plant population density was $240 \text{ seeds m}^{-2}$; and the harvesting time occurred when winter wheat had matured. The scenarios included irrigation (60 mm during wheat wintering and 60 mm during the elongation stage) and rainfed (no irrigation). Soil data from 12 locations were used for modified CERES-Wheat and collected from the China Soil Database (http://vdb3.soil.csdb.cn/) (Table 1). The change in CO$_2$ concentration was not considered. A greater uncertainty in simulating wheat yields under climate change was due to the response mechanism of rising CO$_2$ concentrations than to the variations among the downscaled GCMs (Asseng et al. 2015).

**Risk assessment**

To estimate the risk to wheat yield and quality, the yield and GPC were compared with a critical threshold, which is calculated as the 60-year mean yield and GPC for the historical period (1957–2017). The risk to wheat yield and GPC shortfall was then defined as the relative frequency of yield and GPC below the threshold, representing the likelihood of future yield and GPC being lower than the historical mean yield and GPC. The risk to yield (Equation (7)) and GPC (Equation (8)) were estimated as:

$$M_i = \begin{cases} 1, & Y_i \leq \bar{Y} \\ 0, & Y_i > \bar{Y} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad R = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} M_i}{n} \times 100\%$$

(7)

$$M'_i = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{GPC}_i \leq \text{GPC} \\ 0, & \text{GPC}_i > \text{GPC} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad R' = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} M'_i}{n} \times 100\%$$

(8)

where $R$ and $R'$ are the risk to yield and GPC, respectively, \%; $M$ and $M'$ are the determination factors of yield and GPC.
GPC, respectively; \( Y \) is yield, kg ha\(^{-1} \); is the \( \overline{Y} \) 60-year mean yield for the historical period (1957–2017), kg ha\(^{-1} \); GPC is the grain protein content, %; \( 
abla \text{GPC} \) is the 60-year mean GPC for the historical period (1957–2017), %.

### RESULTS

Calibration and validation

Modified CERES-Wheat

The percent deviation values between the simulated and observed phenological period, yield, grain nitrogen concentration, and evapotranspiration (ET) were all less than 10%, implying that the model simulated the phenology, wheat growth, nitrogen transformation, and water transformation well (Table 2). The genetic coefficients were relatively stable and could be used in the same or similar 12 sites.

Comparing the simulated values with the observed values of ET (Figure 2(a)), yield (Figure 2(b)), and GPC (Figure 2(c)) in the 16 treatments from 2015 to 2016, the data were distributed near the 1:1 line; the RRMSE values were 9.6, 3.5 and 7.0%, respectively. The values were all less than 10%, so modified CERES-Wheat simulated water and nitrogen stress against winter wheat ET, yield, and GPC well. However, modified CERES-Wheat underestimated the effects of severe nitrogen stress (N0), as the observed values were greater than the simulated values. GPC was overestimated with slight nitrogen stress (N1).

Statistical downscaling model

The daily maximum temperature, daily minimum temperature, monthly total precipitation, and monthly total radiation downscaling were selected as the predictor variables. The SDSM was calibrated from 1961 to 1990 using these predictors. To validate the reliability of the calibrated SDSM, the simulated result from 1991 to 2017 was compared with the observed data from 1991 to 2017. The coefficient of determination \( (\overline{p}) \) for these linear relationships varied from 0.64 to 0.95 (Table 3). A very significant power correlation between the predictand and predictor variables \( (p < 0.001 \text{ level}) \) was evident.

Analysis of historical and future climate

The terrain of Shannxi is long and narrow, and the latitude range is very large. The annual average temperature (1957–2017) is 2.8–11.6 °C during the wheat growing season. The temperature to the south is higher and gradually decreases from south to north (Figure 3(a)). The subhumid and semiarid regions warmed relatively faster and increased by 0.92 °C in SSP2-4.5. Tongchuan in the subhumid region experienced a 1.01 °C increase. The smallest increase for a location occurred in Fengxiang in the subhumid region, which increased by 0.22 °C. The speed of increase was consistent throughout Shaanxi in SSP5-8.5 where the temperature increased by 0.85–0.98 °C compared with that under SSP2-4.5. The temperatures of Yanan, Wuqi, and Tongchuan in the subhumid region increased the most rapidly by 1.80, 2.05, and 3.34 °C, respectively. The situations in the humid and semiarid regions were the same, as the average temperature increased by 1.70 °C.

The precipitation during the growth period gradually decreased from south to north. The available precipitation in the semiarid region during the growth period was scarcer than that in the other regions (Figure 3(b)). Precipitation in all regions increased under SSP2-4.5. However, for some locations, such as Wuqi, precipitation decreased very little. The precipitation situation was similar to SSP5-8.5. The average precipitation during the growth period increased

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anthesis (DAS)</th>
<th>Maturity (DAS)</th>
<th>Yield (kg ha(^{-1}))</th>
<th>GNC (kg N ha(^{-1}))</th>
<th>ET (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sim.</td>
<td>Obs.</td>
<td>( d(%) )</td>
<td>Sim.</td>
<td>Obs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>199</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>233</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Sim., simulated values; Obs., observed values; \( d \), percent deviation values; DAS, days after sowing; GNC, grain nitrogen concentration; ET, evapotranspiration.
Phenology was derived by accumulated temperature in CERES-Wheat; therefore, higher temperatures always mean a quicker growth. The flowering stage and the mature stage under SSP2-4.5 and SSP5-8.5 occurred relatively earlier (Figure 4(a) and 4(b)). The performances of the three climatic regions were similar. The grain-filling duration in the humid region was almost constant with climate change (Figure 4(c)). However, the grain-filling duration in the subhumid and semiarid regions under SSP2-4.5 and SSP5-8.5 occurred earlier.

Winter wheat yield and risk

Winter wheat yield with latitude increasingly showed a tendency of increasing first and then decreasing. The average historical yield at the 12 locations ranged from 3,849 to 9,434 kg ha$^{-1}$ and had extreme variation under rainfed conditions. The maximum yields were 9,434 and 9,025 kg ha$^{-1}$ in Fuping and Shangluo in the humid region, respectively. The minimum yield was 3,849 kg ha$^{-1}$ in Wuqi in the semiarid region (Figure 5).

The average yield in the humid region decreased by 0.2–14.1% under SSP2-4.5. In comparison to that in the eastern area, the average yield in the western area of the humid region significantly declined more. The yield in the southern part of the subhumid region also decreased by 0.4–1.0%, but the yield in the northern part increased by 16.1–52.7%. The yield in the semiarid region increased slightly by 7.5–10.3%. The average yield under SSP5-8.5 in the humid region and the southern subhumid region increased slightly, but both yields were lower than the historical yield; the yields of the northern subhumid region and the semiarid region increased significantly.

Irrigation significantly changed the impact of climate change on yield. However, with the coupling effects of irrigation and climate change, the yield in the humid region decreased with increasing radiative forcing, but the yield in the semiarid region increased. The yield of the subhumid region remained approximately the same.
Table 3  Number and $r^2$ of calibration periods and validation periods for temperature, precipitation, and radiation between predicted variables and predictor variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predicted variables</th>
<th>Predictor variables (NCEP reanalysis)</th>
<th>Calibration periods</th>
<th>Validation periods</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>$r^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{\text{max}}$</td>
<td>Mean sea level pressure, specific humidity at 850 hPa, wind strength at 850 hPa, southerly wind at 850 hPa, the surface zonal velocity component, 850 hPa height zonal velocity component</td>
<td>10,957</td>
<td>0.8718</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{\text{min}}$</td>
<td>Mean sea level pressure, the surface zonal velocity component, 500 hPa height geostrophic air-flow velocity, 500 hPa geopotential height, 850 hPa height zonal velocity component, near-surface specific humidity</td>
<td>10,957</td>
<td>0.9429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precipitation</td>
<td>The surface meridional velocity component, 500 hPa height meridional velocity component, specific humidity at 500 hPa height, near-surface specific humidity</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>0.6373</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiation</td>
<td>Mean sea level pressure, 500 hPa height meridional velocity component, 500 hPa geopotential height, 500 hPa height wind direction, 850 hPa geopotential height, mean temperature at 2 m</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>0.8857</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3  Average temperature (a) and precipitation (b) under the historical climate over 60 years (1957–2017) (first column), radiative forcing at 4.5 Watts m$^{-2}$ for 82 years (2018–2100) (SSP2-4.5) (second column), and radiative forcing at 8.5 Watts m$^{-2}$ for 82 years (2018–2100) (SSP5-8.5) (third column).

Figure 4  Flowering stage (a), mature stage (b), and grain-filling duration (c) under the historical climate over 60 years (1957–2017) (●), radiative forcing at 4.5 Watts m$^{-2}$ for 82 years (2018–2100) (SSP2-4.5) (▲), and radiative forcing at 8.5 Watts m$^{-2}$ for 82 years (2018–2100) (SSP5-8.5) (▲).
The risk to yield in the humid region increased 3.8% under SSP2-4.5 (Figure 6(a)). The risk to yield in the subhumid region and semiarid region under SSP2-4.5 decreased by 12.8 and 5.9%, respectively. The risk to yield in the humid region, subhumid region, and semiarid region under SSP5-8.5 decreased by 12.8, 15.7, and 25.1%, respectively. The yield risk with irrigation decreased by 52.1% under the historical climate, by 50.5% under SSP2-4.5, and by 37.7% under SSP5-8.5 (Figure 6(b)).

Grain protein content and risk

The historical GPC decreased from south to north first and then increased (Figure 7(a)). That of the humid and semiarid regions increased to 11.69 and 11.88% under SSP2-4.5, respectively. However, the GPC in the subhumid region decreased to 10.94% (Figure 7(b)). With the continuously increasing radiative forcing, the GPC of the humid and semiarid regions unexpectedly decreased to 11.49 and 11.27% under SSP5-8.5, respectively (Figure 7(c)). The GPC in the subhumid region increased to 10.97%.

Irrigation had a negative effect on the GPC in the humid and subhumid regions and a positive effect on GPC in the semiarid region (Figure 8). The GPC of the humid and subhumid regions decreased by 1.11 and 0.81%, respectively. However, the GPC of the semiarid region increased 0.77% (Figure 8(a)). The historical GPCs of Fuping, Shangluo, and Xi’an in central Shaanxi were the lowest.

With the coupling effect of irrigation and climate change, the GPC of the three regions had a different response. The average historical GPC of the semiarid region was 11.76%. However, the average historical GPC of the humid and subhumid regions was only 10.09 and 10.45%, respectively. The GPC of the humid and subhumid regions was only slightly different. However, the GPC of the humid region increased 10.09–10.60% with the increase in radiative forcing, whereas the GPC of the subhumid region
decreased from 10.45 to 10.22%. The GPC of the semiarid region was much greater than that of the humid and subhumid regions. First, it increased from 11.76 to 12.41%, and it then decreased to 11.77%.

The risk to GPC in the humid region, subhumid region, and semiarid region decreased under SSP2-4.5 by 14.8, 9.9, and 23.8%, respectively (Figure 9(a)). The risk to GPC in the humid region, subhumid region, and semiarid region decreased under RCP by SSP5-8.5 27.2, 21.6 and 7.3%, respectively. The risk to GPC in the humid regions with irrigation increased greatly by 26.0% under the historical climate, 28.9% under SSP2-4.5 and 25.8% under SSP5-8.5 (Figure 9(b)). The risk to GPC in the subhumid areas with irrigation only increased 3.9% under the historical climate, 5.9% under SSP2-4.5 and 8.8% under SSP5-8.5. The risk to GPC in the semiarid regions with irrigation decreased by 24.25% under the historical climate, by 20.1% under SSP2-4.5 and by 9.9% under SSP5-8.5.
DISCUSSION

Future wheat yield and quality in China will be threatened by climate change. A decline in GPC across fields from 1988 to 2012 has been attributed to climate change (Peltonen-Sainio et al. 2015). Rising temperatures that shortened the growing period were the main impact on wheat yields (Asseng et al. 2015). However, Asseng et al. (2015) also reported that GPC and yield increased in the warmer climates.

In this study, we first used modified CERES-Wheat to simulate GPC using the nitrogen-to-protein conversion factor. Then, CanESM5 was selected to simulate daily meteorological data, and SDSM was used to downscale the data. Modified CERES-Wheat was combined with the simulated meteorological data to simulate the future wheat yield and quality in Shaanxi. The temperature and precipitation increased under SSP2-4.5 and SSP5-8.5. It is generally acknowledged that a temperature increase is beneficial to GPC, whereas increases in precipitation and irrigation are beneficial to yield but harmful to GPC (Horstmann 2008). How yield and GPC change still needs further study. An irrigation scenario was also used as part of the strategy for responding to climate change. In the CERES-Wheat and other crop models, there was a correlation between photosynthetic intensity and CO2 (Jones et al. 2005). Therefore, the yield was too high, and the GPC was too low, which differed from the actual situation.

The mechanism by which crop growth responds to increases in CO2 is uncertain (Asseng et al. 2015). Thus, increasing CO2 was not considered as a factor in this study, which may make the results uncertain.

Evaluation of the simulation of GPC and yield

An accurate simulation of phenology ensured wheat-filling duration, which is the foundation of the accumulation of protein and dry matter, and the simulated yield was underestimated without nitrogen fertilization (N0). Yao et al. (2015) revealed that CERES-Wheat undervalues the capacity to resist stress.

The simulation of GPC was very good with severe water and nitrogen stress because GPC is the ratio of grain yield to grain nitrogen yield. The accumulation of nitrogen and dry matter during the grain-filling stage is more affected by temperature than by water and nitrogen stress (Ritchie & Otter 1985). However, the simulated results of the GPC with slight nitrogen stress (N1) were overestimated. Asseng et al. (2002) found that the accumulation rate of nitrogen was overestimated when the average temperature was less than 10 °C.

Effects of climate change and irrigation on yield and risk

Precipitation and temperature increased with climate change, but the yields of the different climatic regions

Figure 9 | Risk to GPC under rainfed conditions (a) and irrigation (b) under the historical climate over 60 years (1957–2017), radiative forcing at 4.5 Watts m⁻² for 82 years (2018–2100) (SSP2-4.5), and radiative forcing at 8.5 Watts m⁻² for 82 years (2018–2100) (SSP5-8.5).
responded differently to climate change (Mubeen et al. 2019). Water supply had an extremely significant positive correlation with yield for all of the climatic regions. Water supply will play a dominant role in determining future winter wheat yield (Wang et al. 2011). The rising temperature could cause an increase in ET, and if the increase in precipitation is insufficient, then drought stress will be stronger (Panozzo et al. 2014).

In comparison to the other regions, the humid region had greater water and heat resources. Temperature and water were not the most important limiting factors for this region. The temperature and precipitation increased, but the yield only slightly decreased or increased. The reason may be that the yield in the humid region was at a relatively higher level and had largely reached the potential yield, and the excess precipitation decreased radiation. The study of Wang et al. (2012) showed similar results: reference crop evapotranspiration and crop-water requirements significantly decreased under climate change. Irrigation was still good for yield but only played a limited role. The temperature in the subhumid region increased and precipitation decreased under SSP2-4.5, while the winter wheat yields increased. Zhang & Yan (2003) recognized that although precipitation decreased, the appropriate increase in temperature promoted the growth of winter wheat. Radiation and temperature had higher suitability for yield in the subhumid region than in the other regions, and these factors increased under climate change (Jing & Fu-ning 2013). Decreasing precipitation became the main limiting factor for wheat yield, and water could not match the increasing temperature. Much radiation was wasted. Thus, the increasing yield was mainly due to irrigation.

The main limiting factors on yield in the semiarid region were low temperature and a shortage of water. Climate and cultivation conditions were not conducive to the growth of winter wheat. Climate change could compensate for these inadequacies. Winter wheat is suitable for high altitude and high latitude areas under the effects of climate change (Zheng et al. 2017). The influence of irrigation was greater than that of the other factors. The effects of climate change increased the yield only slightly, but irrigation exponentially increased the yield. Water was still the greatest limiting factor in terms of yield.

The decrease in precipitation in the humid regions was the main reason that the yield risk increased. The increasing temperature and precipitation remained stable, and the risk to yield in the other regions decreased. Furthermore, the changes in the frequency of extreme climatic events also increased yield risk (Semenov et al. 2007). Water was still the main limiting factor affecting yield risk (Moriondo et al. 2011), and of the agricultural measures to adapt crop growth to climate change, irrigation was the best option in all regions.

Effects of climate change and irrigation on GPC

Temperature and precipitation increased with increasing latitude, but the GPC decreased first and then increased. High temperatures can affect the formation of carbohydrates and proteins, influencing both the GPC and yield. The optimum temperature for grain dry matter accumulation is 20.7 ± 1.4 °C during the grain-filling period (Porter & Gawith 1999), and the optimum temperature for grain nitrogen accumulation is 35 °C (Jones et al. 2003). At temperatures >30 °C, the rate of starch accumulation decreases, resulting in a higher GPC (Jenner 1994a). The humid region was relatively more suitable for the accumulation of protein, and the subhumid region was more suitable for the accumulation of dry matter. The semiarid region had temperature and precipitation that were lower than optimal, and elevated temperature and precipitation were beneficial to the accumulation of protein and dry matter in this region.

The GPC of the humid and semiarid regions increased slightly under SSP2-4.5 and SSP5-8.5, but the reasons for the increase were not the same. A relatively higher temperature in a humid region accelerates the rate of nitrogen accumulation in the grain and shortens the period of starch accumulation (Singh & Majumdar 2017). However, in a semiarid region, a relatively lower temperature and less precipitation limit the accumulation of nitrogen and dry matter. Climate change eases cold stress and drought stress and promotes both yield and GPC (Stone & Nicolas 1996; Wesley et al. 2001). In the subhumid region, the GPC decreased under SSP2-4.5 and SSP5-8.5. The increasing yield in the subhumid region was the greatest because of the suitable climate under SSP2-4.5 and SSP5-8.5.
GPC was diluted by the excess dry matter in the grain (Li et al. 2011).

Irrigation further promoted yield, and GPC continuously decreased. The GPC of the subhumid region decreased slightly with climate change, and the GPC of the humid and subhumid regions declined markedly with irrigation. Thus, the commercial and economic aspects of the GPC were poor. Thus, it was recommended to reduce the amount of irrigation in the humid and subhumid regions. Adequate water could mitigate the effects of heat stress. Irrigation improved the rate of nitrogen uptake, but the GPC decreased with increasing yield (Zhang 2008). The accumulation of protein is independent of grain dry matter accumulation, but additional dry matter dilutes GPC (Groos et al. 2003). The GPC had a negative correlation with yield in the humid and subhumid regions, making it difficult to develop an effective management strategy to maximize profit. Irrigation was not suitable in the humid region. The contribution of irrigation to yield was limited, but the GPC decreased in the humid region. Irrigation was the primary reason for NO3-N leaching (Min et al. 2000), and irrigation had a dilution effect on GPC (Yan et al. 2011).

Irrigation in the semiarid region promoted yield and GPC. Xu and Yu recognized that severe water stress decreases the photosynthetic rate and nitrogen concentration and that irrigation plays an important role in the improvement of grain quality and yield in semiarid regions (Xu & Yu 2006).

The risk to GPC in all the regions decreased with climate change. The accumulation of grain protein and starch during the grain-filling period was two independent processes (Vos 1981). The starch accumulation rate decreased when the temperature was above 50 °C, while the grain protein accumulation rate was largely unaffected (Jenner 1994b; Altenbach et al. 2003). The grain protein accumulation was determined by temperature (Jones et al. 2003), so the GPC risk under SSP5-8.5 was less than that under SSP2-4.5. Irrigation increased grain starch, and increasing temperature increased grain protein. The comprehensive effects of irrigation and increasing temperature caused different results in the three climatic regions. Of the factors, irrigation had the greatest effect on the yield of the humid region, and irrigation and increasing temperature had the same effect on the yield of the semi-humid region.

Low temperature was the main limiting factor for the semiarid region.

CONCLUSIONS

This study simulated and evaluated the risk of climate change and irrigation to yield and quality using a crop model and representative concentration pathways. The GPC and yield risks had different responses to climate change and irrigation, and irrigation should be discretely applied for different climatic regions to combat climate change. The methodology allowed us to assess the risk to wheat yield and quality shortfalls from climate change and irrigation by assigning probability estimates as opposed to providing only uncertainty ranges.

1. Temperature and precipitation increased. Elevated temperature and precipitation had positive effects on yield in all regions. The risk to yield in most regions with climate change decreased by 3.8–25.1%.
2. In the humid and semiarid regions, GPC increased under SSP2-4.5 and SSP5-8.5. However, in the subhumid region, it decreased. The risk to GPC in all regions under SSP2-4.5 and SSP5-8.5 decreased 7.3–27.2%.
3. Irrigation decreased the risk to yield greatly in all regions but had totally different effects in the three climatic regions. The risk to yield with irrigation decreased 37.7–52.1% in different climates. The risk to GPC with irrigation increased greatly by 25.8–28.9% in the humid region and by 3.9–8.8% in the subhumid region and decreased by 37.7–52.1% in the semiarid region.
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