

Original article

Risk of coronavirus disease 2019 hospitalization and mortality in rheumatic patients treated with hydroxychloroquine or other conventional disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs in Italy

Stefania Spila Alegiani^{1,*}, Salvatore Crisafulli^{2,3,*}, Paolo Giorgi Rossi⁴, Pamela Mancuso⁴, Carlo Salvarani^{5,6}, Fabiola Atzeni⁷, Rosa Gini⁸, Ursula Kirchmayer⁹, Valeria Belleudi⁹, Peter Konstantin Kurotschka^{10,11}, Olivia Leoni¹², Monica Ludergrani¹², Eliana Ferroni¹³, Susanna Baracco¹³, Marco Massari^{1,**} and Gianluca Trifirò^{3,14,**}; and the ITA-COVID-19 Network

Abstract

Objectives. To ascertain if the use of hydroxychloroquine(HCQ)/chloroquine(CLQ) and other conventional DMARDs (cDMARDs) and rheumatic diseases per se may be associated with COVID-19-related risk of hospitalization and mortality.

Methods. This case-control study nested within a cohort of cDMARD users was conducted in the Lombardy, Veneto, Tuscany and Lazio regions and Reggio Emilia province. Claims databases were linked to COVID-19 surveillance registries. The risk of COVID-19-related outcomes was estimated using a multivariate conditional logistic regression analysis comparing HCQ/CLQ vs MTX, vs other cDMARDs and vs non-use of these drugs. The presence of rheumatic diseases vs their absence in a non-nested population was investigated.

Results. A total of 1275 patients hospitalized due to COVID-19 were matched to 12 734 controls. Compared with recent use of MTX, no association between HCQ/CLQ monotherapy and COVID-19 hospitalization [odds ratio (OR) 0.83 (95% CI 0.69, 1.00)] or mortality [OR 1.19 (95% CI 0.85, 1.67)] was observed. A lower risk was found when comparing HCQ/CLQ use with the concomitant use of other cDMARDs and glucocorticoids. HCQ/CLQ was not associated with COVID-19 hospitalization as compared with non-use. An increased risk for recent use of either MTX monotherapy [OR 1.19 (95% CI 1.05, 1.34)] or other cDMARDs [OR 1.21 (95% CI 1.08, 1.36)] vs non-use was found. Rheumatic diseases were not associated with COVID-19-related outcomes.

Conclusion. HCQ/CLQ use in rheumatic patients was not associated with a protective effect against COVID-19-related outcomes. The use of other cDMARDs was associated with an increased risk when compared with non-use and, if concomitantly used with glucocorticoids, also vs HCQ/CLQ, probably due to immunosuppressive action.

Key words: hydroxychloroquine, chloroquine, anti-rheumatic agents, COVID-19, outpatients

¹Pharmacoepidemiology Unit, National Centre for Drug Research and Evaluation, Istituto Superiore di Sanità, Rome, ²Department of Biomedical and Dental Sciences and Morphofunctional Imaging, University of Messina, Messina, ³Italian Society of Pharmacology, Milan, ⁴Epidemiology Unit, Azienda Unità Sanitaria Locale-IRCCS di Reggio Emilia, Reggio Emilia, ⁵Unit of Rheumatology, Azienda USL-IRCCS di Reggio Emilia, Reggio Emilia, ⁶Department of Surgery, Medicine, Dentistry and Morphological Sciences with Interest in Transplant, Oncology and Regenerative Medicine, University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, Modena, Emilia-Romagna, ⁷Rheumatology Unit, Department of Experimental and Internal Medicine, University of Messina, Messina, ⁸Agenzia Regionale di Sanità della Toscana, Florence, ⁹Department of Epidemiology ASL Roma 1, Lazio Regional Health Service, Rome, ¹⁰Department of Medical Sciences and Public Health, Faculty of Medicine and Surgery, University of Cagliari, ¹¹Regional Health Trust of Sardinia Region, Cagliari, ¹²Epidemiology Observatory-Department of Health

of Lombardy Region, Milan, ¹³Azienda Zero of the Veneto Region, Padua and ¹⁴Department of Diagnostics and Public Health, University of Verona, Verona, Italy

Submitted 9 February 2021; accepted 7 April 2021

Correspondence to: Marco Massari, Pharmacoepidemiology Unit, National Centre for Drug Research and Evaluation, Istituto Superiore di Sanità, Viale Regina Elena 299, 00161 Rome, Italy. E-mail: marco.massari@iss.it

*Equal contribution as first author.

**Equal contribution as senior author.

Rheumatology key messages

- Exposure to hydroxychloroquine/chloroquine is not associated with a protective effect against COVID-19-related outcomes.
- Exposure to other cDMARDs is associated with an increased risk of COVID-19-related outcomes.
- Concomitant use of glucocorticoids and cDMARDs might increase the risk of COVID-19-related outcomes.

Introduction

Between the end of December 2019 and 11 March 2021, the global coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic due to severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2) caused >2.5 million deaths and >117.1 million infected patients [1]. To accelerate the identification of drugs potentially preventing or curing COVID-19, there has been great interest in repositioning drugs that have already been approved for other indications. Among these drugs, chloroquine (CLQ) and HCQ, two molecules with a long-standing history in the prophylaxis and treatment of malaria and the treatment of chronic inflammatory diseases such as SLE and RA, gained particular attention. *In vitro* studies demonstrated that these drugs may exert antiviral activity against several viruses, including Zika virus [2], Ebola virus [3] and SARS-CoV-2, probably by blocking endosomal transport [4, 5], even though there was no evidence that HCQ/CLQ is beneficial in any acute viral infection in humans. In addition, a recently published *in vivo* study questioned the actual antiviral effect of HCQ/CLQ against SARS-CoV-2 [6].

Nevertheless, it has been hypothesized that HCQ/CLQ may be effective in COVID-19 treatment thanks to its immunomodulatory activity, by reducing cytokine production, especially IL-1 and IL-6, and inhibiting toll-like receptor signalling [7–9]. However, an increasing body of evidence derived from both experimental and observational studies seems to suggest that HCQ/CLQ are not beneficial (or may even be detrimental) in COVID-19 treatment [10–12], including among COVID-19 hospitalized patients [13, 14] and outpatients [15, 16] as well as high-risk patients recently exposed to SARS-CoV-2, where HCQ was used as post-exposure prophylaxis [17–20].

Three recent cohort studies investigated the effects of HCQ on the prevention of SARS-CoV-2 infection [21, 22] and COVID-19 mortality [21, 23] in patients who received this drug for the treatment of rheumatic diseases before the pandemic. These studies reported conflicting findings regarding the role of HCQ in preventing mortality due to COVID-19 [21, 23].

Despite the controversial evidence on the efficacy of HCQ/CLQ in the COVID-19 treatment and prevention and their known side effects (e.g. retinopathy, hypoglycaemia and cardiomyopathy), these drugs have been used as self-medication during the first wave of the pandemic [24]. Moreover, HCQ/CLQ self-medication is

particularly hazardous in patients for whom HCQ/CLQ are contraindicated, such as patients with glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase deficiency, in whom these drugs can trigger haemolytic crises.

On the other hand, it has been demonstrated that exposure to other conventional DMARDs (cDMARDs) in patients with autoimmune diseases is associated with an increased risk of COVID-19-related hospitalization and mortality [25]. Likewise, an increased risk of COVID-19 hospitalization has been reported with moderate-high doses of glucocorticoids in patients with rheumatic diseases [25], as a result of an immunosuppressant action. Therefore the aim of the present study was to investigate the potential decrease in risk of COVID-19-related hospitalization and mortality in patients with rheumatic diseases or other immune-mediated inflammatory diseases treated with HCQ/CLQ as compared with other cDMARDs. Secondary objectives of this study were to explore the risk of COVID-19-related hospitalization and mortality related to HCQ/CLQ or other cDMARDs for rheumatic diseases or immune-mediated inflammatory diseases vs non-use as well as the presence of rheumatic diseases vs absence.

Patients and methods

A large-scale nested case-control study in a cohort of cDMARD users was conducted in the Lombardy, Veneto, Tuscany and Lazio regions and the Reggio Emilia (Emilia Romagna) Local Health Unit (LHU) that cover an overall population of 25.1 million persons (42% of the Italian population).

Data source

In Italy, residents have access to universal healthcare services that are provided by the National Health System (NHS). Use of these services is retrievable through administrative claims databases that are widely used for clinical research. The claims data used in this study were routinely collected using systems that were in place prior to the start of the study. These databases provide information on hospital admissions, co-payment exemptions and pharmacy claims. The latter were updated as of 31 December 2019 in all catchment areas. Medical diagnoses were coded using the International Classification of Diseases, 9th Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD-CM-9). Exposure to cDMARDs was assessed using pharmacy claims in the last

available 12 months. Drug data were recorded using the Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical (ATC) classification system and the National Drug Code (NDC) and the defined daily dose (DDD) was used as the unit of measure for drug exposure. Co-payment exemption databases were also searched to identify diseases exempting patients from healthcare co-pays. All these claims databases were linked to regional/local COVID-19 surveillance registries available in each catchment area through unique fully anonymized patient identifiers. These registries were used to identify patients testing positive for SARS-CoV-2 RNA by PCR of nasopharyngeal/throat swabs and who were hospitalized or subsequently died due to COVID-19. The registries' data were updated to 21 May 2020 for Lombardy, Veneto, Lazio and Reggio Emilia and 10 June 2020 for Tuscany. To perform distributed analyses, the Italian National Institute of Health developed TheShinISS, an R-based tool (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria) tailored for the main epidemiological multidatabase study designs: descriptive, cohort, case-control, case-cohort and self-controlled case series. TheShinISS was employed by each centre for elaborating and processing, at the local level, data on COVID-19 patients and health archives through a common data model, performing data quality control, matching cases and controls, executing record linkage and, finally, creating the anonymized dataset to be shared for centralized data analyses (Supplementary Fig. S1, available at *Rheumatology* online) [26–28].

Study cohort

The study cohort comprised patients ≥ 18 years of age who received at least one prescription of cDMARDs for rheumatic diseases or other immune-mediated inflammatory diseases including mycophenolic acid (ATC: L04AA06), leflunomide (ATC: L04AA13), sulfasalazine (ATC: A07EC01), ciclosporin (ATC: L04AD01), MTX (ATC: L04AX03, L01BA01), azathioprine (ATC: L04AX01), auranofin (ATC: M01CB03), sodium aurothiosulfate (ATC: M01CB02), tacrolimus (ATC: L04AD02), CLQ (ATC: P01BA01) and HCQ (ATC: P01BA02) in the period between 1 January 2019 and 31 December 2019 within the catchment areas of the centres participating in the study.

Cases and controls

From the study cohort we identified as cases those testing positive for SARS-CoV-2 RNA by PCR of nasopharyngeal/throat swabs and who were hospitalized due to COVID-19 as recorded in the COVID-19 surveillance registries. In addition, as the primary outcome we specifically evaluated those patients who died within 30 days since hospital admission due to COVID-19. For each case, up to 10 controls were randomly selected from the cohort of cDMARD users not affected by COVID-19 and matched for catchment area, sex and age at the date of hospital admission of the case.

Exposure definition

Exposure of interest was the use of any cDMARD, grouped into the following mutually exclusive categories: HCQ/CLQ monotherapy; MTX monotherapy (main comparator); other cDMARDs, except for HCQ/CLQ (secondary comparator); other cDMARDs, except for MTX and HCQ/CLQ; and other cDMARDs plus MTX or HCQ/CLQ. Patients were considered to be exposed to each of these categories if they had at least one pharmacy claim within 3 months prior to 31 December 2019, i.e. the index date (ID). In addition, we classified patients exposed to the study drugs only during a period ranging from 12 to 3 months prior to the ID as past users of any cDMARDs. Exposure to corticosteroids was assessed in the 3 months prior to the ID (October–December 2019). Corticosteroids were classified as high cumulative doses (>40 DDD) and low cumulative doses (≤ 40 DDD) during the exposure period.

Covariates

The following covariates were considered: age, sex, catchment area (matching factors); Charlson comorbidity index, evaluated within 10 years prior to the ID; number of drug claims in the last 12 months; number of hospitalizations in the last 10 years; prior use of drugs for acid-related disorders, lipid-modifying agents, anticoagulants, platelet aggregation inhibitors, anti-arrhythmics, antibiotics, anti-HIV drugs, anti-Parkinson's drugs, anti-epileptics, antipsychotics and antidepressants; recent use of NSAIDs, corticosteroids, targeted DMARDs and biologic DMARDs; chronic comorbidities (e.g. cerebro- and cardiovascular diseases, hepatopathies, diabetes, dementia, hypertension, chronic kidney failure, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, cancer) and rheumatic diseases (overall and specifically restricted to those approved for HCQ/CLQ treatment, i.e. RA and SLE). Information on comorbidities was extracted from hospital discharge, co-payment exemption and pharmacy claims databases within the last 10 years (Supplementary Table S1, available at *Rheumatology* online). Prior and recent drug use was considered as having drug claims within the last available 12 and 3 months, respectively.

Statistical analysis

Categorical and continuous variables were reported as frequencies and medians along with interquartile ranges (IQRs). We compared the characteristics of cases and controls through descriptive analysis. In the primary analysis, risks of COVID-19 hospitalization and/or mortality were estimated as odds ratios (ORs) along with 95% CIs, using a multivariate conditional logistic regression analysis, by comparing HCQ/CLQ vs MTX (primary comparator) and other cDMARDs (secondary comparator). Covariates significantly associated with COVID-19-related outcomes (potential confounders) were selected following a stepwise procedure based on the Akaike information criterion method and subsequently included in

the final multivariate models. All statistical analyses were performed using Stata version 16 (StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA) and R version 3.6. Statistical significance was set up at $P < 0.05$.

Subgroup and sensitivity analyses

To evaluate the consistency of the results and to better assess the potential confounding effects on the risk estimates, we conducted a number of subgroup and sensitivity analyses. First, we restricted the analysis to patients with RA or SLE only, approved indications for HCQ/CLQ. Second, we assessed risk estimates in those patients concomitantly treated with corticosteroids (as either any use or high cumulative dosage, i.e. greater than the median cumulative DDD within 3 months prior to ID). Moreover, in a sensitivity analysis we conducted a case-control study in the general population (i.e. not nested in a cohort of cDMARD users) of Lombardy, Veneto and Lazio regions and Reggio Emilia LHM to evaluate COVID-19-related outcomes associated with recent use of HCQ/CLQ, MTX and other cDMARDs (individually) vs non-use and the presence of rheumatic diseases (RA and SLE specifically) vs absence of these diseases.

Results

Overall, from 21 February 2020 (date of the first COVID-19 diagnosed patient in Italy) to 21 May 2020 in Lombardy, Veneto, Lazio and Reggio Emilia and to 10 June 2020 in Tuscany, 1275 cases were included in the study, with Lombardy accounting for 78.9% of them. Cases were matched to 12 734 controls (Fig. 1). The

median age at ID was 70.0 years (IQR 60.0–78.0) and 51% were women (matching factors).

Overall, cases were more likely to have worse pre-existing health conditions, as documented by a more frequent history of hospitalizations, and a higher frequency of a Charlson comorbidity index ≥ 3 and of several relevant comorbidities such as cardiovascular diseases (e.g. heart failure and ischaemic heart disease), chronic pulmonary diseases and chronic kidney disease. Moreover, among others, recent use of corticosteroids, as well as past use of drugs for acid-related disorders, lipid-modifying agents, platelet aggregation inhibitors, anti-arrhythmics, antibiotics and antidepressants were also associated to COVID-19-related hospitalization and mortality (Table 1).

In the primary analysis, we found a trend towards a lower risk of COVID-19 hospitalization associated with recent HCQ/CLQ monotherapy vs recent use of MTX [OR 0.83 (95% CI 0.69, 1.00)], which did not reach statistical significance. Instead, a statistically significant slight reduction of COVID-19 hospitalization risk with HCQ/CLQ as monotherapy was observed when comparing recent use of HCQ/CLQ vs recent use of other cDMARDs [OR 0.82 (95% CI 0.69, 0.98)]. Nevertheless, recent use of HCQ/CLQ was not associated with any difference in the risk for COVID-19-related mortality as compared to recent use of MTX [OR 1.19 (95% CI 0.85, 1.67)] or other cDMARDs [OR 1.08 (95% CI 0.79, 1.46)]. Similarly, we found no increased risk for COVID-19 mortality when HCQ/CLQ was compared to MTX as monotherapy and other cDMARDs (Table 2).

In the subgroup analysis restricted to patients with RA or SLE, we did not observe any statistically significant difference in the risk of COVID-19 hospitalization or mortality associated with recent use of HCQ/CLQ as

Fig. 1 Selection of cases and controls from cDMARD users identified in the data sources used in the study




TABLE 1 Demographic and clinical characteristics of patients who were hospitalized or died because of COVID-19 and their matched controls

Characteristics	COVID-19-related hospitalization			COVID-19-related mortality		
	Cases (n = 1275)	Controls (n = 12 734)	OR (95% CI)	Cases (n = 369)	Controls (n = 3684)	OR (95% CI)
Centre, n (%)						
Lombardy	1006 (78.9)	10 045 (78.9)		302 (81.8)	3014 (81.8)	
Lazio	42 (3.3)	420 (3.3)		8 (2.2)	80 (2.2)	
Reggio Emilia	30 (2.4)	300 (2.4)		11 (3.0)	110 (3.0)	
Tuscany	78 (6.1)	779 (6.1)		21 (5.7)	210 (5.7)	
Veneto	119 (9.3)	1190 (9.3)		27 (7.3)	270 (7.3)	
Gender, n (%)						
Female	650 (51.0)	6496 (51.0)		160 (43.4)	1599 (43.4)	
Age, median (IQR), years						
Age (years), n (%)						
18–49	70.0 (60.0–78.0)	70.00 (60.0–78.0)		76.0 ()	76.0 (69.0–82.0)	
50–59	108 (8.5)	1076 (8.4)		2 (0.5)	20 (0.5)	
60–69	194 (15.2)	1939 (15.2)		29 (7.9)	289 (7.8)	
70–79	307 (24.1)	3066 (24.1)		68 (18.4)	679 (18.4)	
80–89	389 (30.5)	3885 (30.5)		136 (36.9)	1356 (36.8)	
≥90	257 (20.2)	2568 (20.2)		124 (33.6)	1240 (33.7)	
	20 (1.6)	200 (1.6)		10 (2.7)	100 (2.7)	
Charlson comorbidity index, n (%)						
0	602 (47.2)	8030 (63.1)		143 (38.8)	2158 (58.6)	
1–2	511 (40.1)	3989 (31.3)		155 (42)	1262 (34.3)	
≥3	162 (12.7)	715 (5.6)		71 (19.2)	264 (7.2)	
Hospitalizations in the previous 2 years, n (%)						
0	677 (53.1)	8822 (69.3)		178 (48.2)	2472 (67.1)	
1	258 (20.2)	2139 (16.8)		72 (19.5)	626 (17.0)	
≥2	340 (26.7)	1773 (13.9)		119 (32.2)	586 (15.9)	
Comorbidities in the previous 10 years, n (%)						
Cerebrovascular diseases	94 (7.4)	736 (5.8)		41 (11.1)	290 (7.9)	
Ischaemic heart disease	161 (12.6)	1043 (8.2)		67 (18.2)	366 (9.9)	
Atrial fibrillation	84 (6.6)	596 (4.7)		32 (8.7)	232 (6.3)	
Heart failure	117 (9.2)	529 (4.2)		59 (16)	194 (5.3)	
Hypertension	964 (75.6)	8187 (64.3)		312 (84.6)	2678 (72.7)	
Hepatopathies	64 (5.0)	466 (3.7)		19 (5.1)	119 (3.2)	
Chronic kidney disease	222 (17.4)	1001 (7.9)		67 (18.2)	282 (7.7)	
Diabetes mellitus	296 (23.2)	2223 (17.5)		110 (29.8)	779 (21.1)	
Chronic pulmonary disease	193 (15.1)	772 (6.1)		74 (20.1)	246 (6.7)	
Cancer	260 (20.4)	1895 (14.9)		87 (23.6)	635 (17.2)	
Dementia	25 (2.0)	156 (1.2)		13 (3.5)	65 (1.8)	

(continued)

TABLE 1 Continued

Characteristics	COVID-19-related hospitalization			COVID-19-related mortality		
	Cases (n = 1275)	Controls (n = 12 734)	OR (95% CI)	Cases (n = 369)	Controls (n = 3684)	OR (95% CI)
Rheumatic diseases approved for HCQ/CLQ use ^a	463 (36.3)	5075 (39.9)	0.85 (0.75, 0.96)	127 (34.4)	1420 (38.5)	0.83 (0.66, 1.04)
Other rheumatic diseases ^b	153 (12.0)	1569 (12.3)	0.97 (0.81, 1.16)	34 (9.2)	404 (11.0)	0.82 (0.57, 1.19)
Drug claims in the previous year, median (IQR)	49 (29.00–74.00)	34 (19.00–54.00)	1.01 (1.01, 1.02)	53 (37–81)	40 (24, 59)	1.02 (1.01, 1.02)
Prior drug use, n (%) ^c						
Drugs for acid-related disorders	970 (76.1)	7933 (62.3)	1.99 (1.73, 2.28)	297 (80.5)	2473 (67.1)	2.07 (1.58, 2.71)
Lipid-modifying agents	486 (38.1)	3711 (29.1)	1.54 (1.36, 1.74)	148 (40.1)	1230 (33.4)	1.35 (1.08, 1.68)
Anticoagulants	300 (23.5)	2042 (16.0)	1.64 (1.42, 1.88)	99 (26.8)	686 (18.6)	1.62 (1.27, 2.08)
Platelet aggregation inhibitors	406 (31.8)	3172 (24.9)	1.44 (1.26, 1.63)	143 (38.8)	1117 (30.3)	1.47 (1.18, 1.85)
Anti-arrhythmics, class I and III	72 (5.6)	484 (3.8)	1.53 (1.18, 1.98)	29 (7.9)	177 (4.8)	1.71 (1.13, 2.60)
Antibiotics	754 (59.1)	6392 (50.2)	1.44 (1.28, 1.62)	224 (60.7)	1860 (50.5)	1.52 (1.22, 1.89)
Anti-HIV drugs	46 (3.6)	285 (2.2)	1.65 (1.20, 2.28)	12 (3.3)	84 (2.3)	1.45 (0.78, 2.07)
Anti-Parkinson's drugs	24 (1.9)	169 (1.3)	1.43 (0.93, 2.21)	8 (2.2)	58 (1.6)	1.4 (0.66, 2.94)
Anti-epileptics	164 (12.9)	1166 (9.2)	1.47 (1.23, 1.75)	46 (12.5)	352 (9.6)	1.35 (0.97, 1.88)
Antipsychotics	38 (3.0)	295 (2.3)	1.30 (0.92, 1.83)	15 (4.1)	89 (2.4)	1.73 (0.98, 3.03)
Antidepressants	240 (18.8)	1969 (15.5)	1.28 (1.10, 1.49)	73 (19.8)	590 (16.0)	1.32 (0.99, 1.74)
Recent drug use, n (%) ^d						
NSAIDs	192 (15.1)	1803 (14.2)	1.08 (0.91, 1.27)	49 (13.3)	514 (14.0)	0.94 (0.69, 1.30)
Corticosteroids for systemic use	544 (42.7)	3882 (30.5)	1.71 (1.52, 1.92)	163 (44.2)	1240 (33.7)	1.56 (1.26, 1.94)
Targeted DMARDs	11 (0.9)	122 (1.0)	0.90 (0.48, 1.67)	3 (0.8)	32 (0.9)	0.93 (0.28, 3.10)
Biologic DMARDs	61 (4.8)	732 (5.7)	0.82 (0.63, 1.08)	12 (3.3)	172 (4.7)	0.68 (0.37, 1.24)

^aHospitalization on or exemption code: RA, SLE, other connectivitis (i.e. systemic sclerosis and unspecified diffuse connective tissue disease). ^bHospitalization or exemption code: giant cells arteritis, polymyalgia rheumatica, psoriatic arthropathy, ankylosing spondylitis and other inflammatory spondylopathies. ^cEvaluated using pharmacy claims within the last available 12 months prior to ID. ^dEvaluated using pharmacy claims within the last available 3 months prior to ID.

TABLE 2 Association between recent use of HCQ/CLQ vs MTX and other cDMARDs and COVID-19-related hospitalization and mortality

Drug use	COVID-19-related hospitalization				COVID-19-related mortality			
	Cases (n = 1275)	Controls (n = 12 734)	Unadjusted ^a OR (95% CI)	Adjusted ^b OR (95% CI)	Cases (n = 369)	Controls (n = 3684)	Unadjusted ^a OR (95% CI)	Adjusted ^b OR (95% CI)
Recent use of								
MTX monotherapy	300 (23.5)	3335 (26.2)	Ref.	Ref.	75 (20.3)	1084 (29.4)	Ref.	Ref.
HCQ/CLQ monotherapy	225 (17.6)	2773 (21.8)	0.88 (0.73, 1.05)	0.83 (0.69, 1.00)	81 (22.0)	864 (23.5)	1.32 (0.95, 1.84)	1.19 (0.85, 1.67)
Other cDMARDs (except MTX or HCQ/CLQ)	400 (31.4)	2716 (21.3)	1.70 (1.45, 2.00)	1.15 (0.96, 1.37)	112 (30.4)	700 (19.0)	2.40 (1.75, 3.28)	1.46 (1.02, 2.08)
Other cDMARDs (with MTX or HCQ/CLQ)	67 (5.3)	541 (4.2)	1.37 (1.04, 1.82)	1.20 (0.90, 1.60)	18 (4.9)	134 (3.6)	1.93 (1.12, 3.33)	1.78 (1.02, 3.10)
Past use of any cDMARDs	283 (22.2)	3369 (26.5)	0.94 (0.79, 1.11)	0.93 (0.78, 1.10)	83 (22.5)	902 (24.5)	1.33 (0.96, 1.85)	1.19 (0.86, 1.67)
Recent use of								
Other cDMARDs (except HCQ/CLQ)	712 (55.8)	4546 (69.3)	Ref.	Ref.	191 (70.2)	1347 (68.5)	Ref.	Ref.
HCQ/CLQ monotherapy	225 (17.6)	2016 (30.7)	0.69 (0.58, 0.81)	0.82 (0.69, 0.98)	81 (29.8)	619 (31.5)	0.93 (0.70, 1.24)	1.08 (0.79, 1.46)

^aUnivariate conditional logistic model matched for centre, age and gender. ^bMultivariate conditional logistic regression model (stepwise forward based on Akaike information criterion K = 3.8415) matched for centre, age and gender and adjusted for the following eligible variables: number of hospitalizations, Charlson comorbidity index, number of prescriptions, drugs for peptic ulcer, anticoagulants, platelet aggregation, lipid-modifying agents, antibiotics, anti-HIV drugs, anti-Parkinson drugs, anti-epileptics, antipsychotics, antidepressants, anti-arrhythmics, NSAIDs, corticosteroids, targeted DMARDs, biologic DMARDs, hypertension, cerebrovascular diseases, hepatopathies, diabetes, dementia, chronic kidney failure, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, neoplasms, artery cardiac disease, rheumatic diseases (with or without indication for cDMARDs).

TABLE 3 Subgroup analysis of the risk of COVID-19-related hospitalization and mortality associated with the recent use of HCQ/CQL vs MTX and other cDMARDs

Drug use	COVID-19-related hospitalization				COVID-19-related mortality			
	Cases, n (%)	Controls, n (%)	Unadjusted ^a OR (95% CI)	Adjusted ^b OR (95% CI)	Cases, n (%)	Controls, n (%)	Unadjusted ^a OR (95% CI)	Adjusted ^b OR (95% CI)
Patients affected by RA or SLE								
MTX monotherapy	132 (60.3)	543 (58.6)	Ref.	Ref.	29 (47.5)	155 (59.6)	Ref.	Ref.
HCQ/CQL monotherapy	87 (39.7)	383 (41.4)	0.87 (0.61, 1.22)	0.82 (0.57, 1.16)	32 (52.5)	105 (40.4)	1.60 (0.84, 3.06)	1.65 (0.80, 3.40)
Other cDMARDs	167 (68.2)	441 (62.7)	Ref.	Ref.	38 (55.9)	123 (64.4)	Ref.	Ref.
HCQ/CQL monotherapy	78 (31.8)	262 (37.3)	0.77 (0.56, 1.07)	0.75 (0.54, 1.06)	30 (44.1)	68 (35.6)	1.33 (0.74, 2.04)	1.73 (0.84, 3.56)
Recent use of corticosteroids ^c								
MTX as monotherapy	143 (61.9)	509 (55.8)	Ref.	Ref.	42 (55.3)	183 (58.8)	Ref.	Ref.
HCQ/CQL monotherapy	88 (38.1)	404 (44.2)	0.83 (0.58, 1.17)	0.78 (0.54, 1.13)	34 (44.7)	128 (41.2)	1.35 (0.73, 2.49)	1.23 (0.63, 2.37)
Other cDMARDs	306 (78.1)	686 (68.2)	Ref.	Ref.	85 (72.0)	227 (69.4)	Ref.	Ref.
HCQ/CQL monotherapy	86 (21.9)	320 (31.8)	0.63 (0.48, 0.85)	0.68 (0.51, 0.92)	33 (28.0)	100 (30.6)	0.94 (0.58, 1.54)	0.92 (0.53, 1.57)
Recent use of high-dose corticosteroids (>40 DDD) ^c								
MTX as monotherapy	61 (67.8)	106 (54.1)	Ref.	Ref.	15 (60.0)	29 (57.7)	Ref.	Ref.
HCQ/CQL monotherapy	29 (32.2)	90 (45.9)	0.52 (0.26, 1.06)	0.37 (0.15, 0.93)	10 (40.0)	25 (46.3)	0.62 (0.11, 3.34)	^d
Other cDMARDs	129 (83.8)	175 (70.6)	Ref.	Ref.	34 (81.0)	51 (72.9)	Ref.	Ref.
HCQ/CQL monotherapy	25 (16.2)	73 (29.4)	0.41 (0.23, 0.72)	0.45 (0.23, 0.86)	8 (19.0)	19 (27.1)	0.45 (0.13, 1.60)	^d

^aUnivariate conditional logistic model matched for centre, age and gender. ^bMultivariate conditional logistic regression model (stepwise forward based on Akaike information criterion K = 3.8415) matched for centre, age and gender and adjusted for the following eligible variables: number of hospitalizations, Charlson comorbidity index, number of prescriptions, drugs for peptic ulcer, anticoagulants, platelet aggregation, lipid-modifying agents, antibiotics, anti HIV drugs, anti-Parkinson's drugs, anti-epileptics, antipsychotics, antidepressants, anti-arrhythmics, NSAIDs, corticosteroids, targeted DMARDs, biologic DMARDs, hypertension, cerebrovascular diseases, hepatopathies, diabetes, dementia, chronic kidney failure, COPD, neoplasms, artery cardiac disease, rheumatic diseases (with or without indication for cDMARDs). ^cExposure to corticosteroids was assessed from October 2019 to December 2019. ^dMultivariate model not converged or too few discordant observations.

TABLE 4 Sensitivity analysis of the association between risk of COVID-19-related hospitalization/mortality and HCQ/CLQ, MTX or other cDMARDs use (vs non-use) and the presence of rheumatic diseases or RA/SLE specifically (vs absence) in the population-based cohort

Variable	COVID-19-related hospitalization				COVID-19-related mortality			
	Cases (n = 60 175)	Controls (n = 601 750)	Unadjusted ^a OR (95% CI)	Adjusted ^b OR (95% CI)	Cases (n = 14 171)	Controls (n = 141 710)	Unadjusted ^a OR (95% CI)	Adjusted ^b OR (95% CI)
No cDMARDs	59 113 (98.2)	596 110 (99.1)	Ref.	Ref.	13 883 (98.0)	140 262 (99.0)	Ref.	Ref.
HCQ/CLQ as monotherapy	245 (0.4)	1759 (0.3)	1.41 (1.23, 1.61)	1.04 (0.91, 1.20)	87 (0.6)	508 (0.4)	1.73 (1.38, 2.18)	1.27 (1.00, 1.61)
MTX as monotherapy	320 (0.5)	2000 (0.3)	1.62 (1.44, 1.82)	1.19 (1.05, 1.34)	73 (0.5)	540 (0.4)	1.37 (1.07, 1.75)	0.99 (0.77, 1.27)
Other cDMARDs	436 (0.7)	1540 (0.3)	2.86 (2.57, 3.18)	1.21 (1.09, 1.36)	111 (0.8)	315 (0.2)	3.57 (2.87, 4.44)	1.43 (1.13, 1.82)
(except MTX or HCQ/CLQ)								
Other cDMARDs	61 (0.1)	341 (0.1)	1.81 (1.38, 2.37)	1.19 (0.90, 1.57)	17 (0.1)	85 (0.1)	2.02 (1.20, 3.41)	1.30 (0.76, 2.24)
(with MTX or HCQ/CLQ)								
Rheumatic disease, no	58 739 (97.6)	592 181 (98.4)	Ref.	Ref.	13 758 (97.1)	139 100 (98.2)	Ref.	Ref.
Rheumatic disease, yes	1436 (2.4)	9569 (1.6)	1.52 (1.43, 1.60)	1.00 (0.94, 1.07)	413 (2.9)	2610 (1.8)	1.60 (1.44, 1.78)	0.94 (0.83, 1.06)
RA or SLE, no	58 739 (98.8)	592 181 (99.2)	Ref.	Ref.	13 758 (98.5)	139 100 (99.0)	Ref.	Ref.
RA or SLE, yes	741 (1.2)	4934 (0.8)	1.53 (1.41, 1.65)	0.98 (0.89, 1.07)	211 (1.5)	1351 (1.0)	1.59 (1.37, 1.84)	0.88 (0.74, 1.05)

^aUnivariate conditional logistic model matched for centre, age and gender. ^bMultivariate conditional logistic regression model (stepwise forward based on Akaike information criterion K = 3.8415) matched for centre, age and gender and adjusted for the following eligible variables: number of hospitalizations, Charlson comorbidity index, number of prescriptions, drugs for peptic ulcer, anticoagulants, platelet aggregation, lipid-modifying agents, antibiotics, anti-HIV drugs, anti-Parkinson's drugs, anti-epileptics, antipsychotics, antidepressants, anti-arrhythmics, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, corticosteroids, targeted DMARDs, biologic DMARDs, hypertension, cerebrovascular diseases, hepatopathies, diabetes, dementia, chronic kidney failure, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, neoplasms, artery cardiac disease, rheumatic diseases (with or without indication for cDMARDs).

compared with either recent MTX monotherapy [OR 0.82 (95% CI 0.57, 1.16) and OR 1.65 (95% CI 0.80, 3.40), respectively] or recent use of other cDMARDs [OR 0.75 (95% CI 0.54, 1.06) and OR 1.73 (95% CI 0.84, 3.56), respectively], even though an opposite trend for the two outcomes was reported. The restriction of the analysis to patients concomitantly treated with high doses of corticosteroids before 3 months of the ID showed that, compared with MTX monotherapy, HCQ/CLQ monotherapy was associated with a statistically significant reduction in COVID-19 hospitalization [OR 0.37 (95% CI 0.15, 0.93)] (Table 3).

The sensitivity analysis carried out in the general population showed no increased risk of COVID-19 hospitalization among recent users of HCQ/CLQ as compared with non-use, whereas a mild statistically significant increased risk for recent use of MTX as monotherapy [OR 1.19 (95% CI 1.05, 1.34)] or other cDMARDs, except MTX or HCQ/CLQ [OR 1.21 (95% CI 1.08, 1.36)], vs non-use was found. The slight increase in the risk for mortality was confirmed only for recent use of other cDMARDs, except MTX or HCQ/CLQ, vs non-use [OR 1.43 (95% CI 1.12, 1.82)]. Finally, we found that rheumatic diseases in general and RA/SLE specifically were not associated with the risk of COVID-19 hospitalization [vs absence; OR 0.98 (95% CI 0.89, 1.07)] as well as mortality [vs absence; OR 0.88 (95% CI 0.74, 1.05)] (Table 4).

Discussion

The main finding of this large-scale Italian nested case-control study was that recent exposure to HCQ/CLQ was not associated with a protective effect regarding COVID-19-related hospitalization and mortality compared with MTX monotherapy in rheumatic patients. This finding was confirmed when assessing those risks in association with HCQ/CLQ vs non-use in a non-nested population. The absence of a protective effect of HCQ/CLQ against COVID-19-related severe outcomes is in line with a large body of evidence from both in- and outpatient settings accumulated so far [29], especially in rheumatic patients [21, 23].

Conversely, we observed an increased risk of COVID-19-related hospitalization and mortality among other cDMARD users vs HCQ/CLQ, as well as vs MTX. Other cDMARDs include several compounds that are used in indications other than autoimmune disease, such as transplanted patients, who may also be more likely to develop severe COVID-19. Accordingly, differences in the risk of COVID-19-related negative outcomes between HCQ/CLQ and other cDMARDs disappeared when the analysis was restricted to RA/SLE patients. The association between the use of other cDMARDs and the increased risk of COVID-19-related hospitalization and mortality has also been documented in a recent systematic review and meta-analysis of both experimental and observational studies assessing the risk and prognosis of COVID-19 in immune-mediated

inflammatory diseases [25] and in a Danish observational study that found an increased risk of being hospitalized for COVID-19 in patients treated with ciclosporin, tacrolimus and thiopurines [30].

Nevertheless, we found a statistically significant marginal increase in risk of COVID-19-related hospitalization (and mortality only for other cDMARDs) with both MTX as well as other cDMARDs when compared with non-use in the general population. This finding may be due to the immunosuppressive effects of these drugs, which are known to be associated with an increased risk of infections [31]. Instead, no increase in such a risk with HCQ/CLQ was observed, as these drugs exert immunomodulatory and not immunosuppressant action. Accordingly, a statistically significant protective effect against COVID-19-related hospitalization and mortality for HCQ/CLQ vs MTX and other cDMARDs in rheumatic patients was only observed in patients who were concomitantly treated with high cumulative dosages of corticosteroids, thus emphasizing an even more pronounced risk of severe COVID-19 in the presence of synergistic immunosuppressant effects, as reported by several recently published papers [30, 32–35].

In general, being affected by rheumatic diseases, and RA/SLE specifically, was not found to be a risk factor for COVID-19 hospitalization and mortality, thus indicating the absence of confounding by indication, when assessing the risks associated with drugs used for the treatment of these diseases. However, MTX and other cDMARDs may be used in more severe forms of rheumatic diseases (and other immune-mediated inflammatory diseases) than HCQ/CLQ and as such we cannot rule out that the slight increased risk of COVID-19 hospitalization with use vs non-use is partly due to confounding by severity and not to immunosuppressive effect alone.

The strengths of our study include the use of a large multicentre database network with real-world data for >200 000 cDMARD users from five Italian regions. This large sample size allowed us to perform a number of subgroup and sensitivity analyses, increasing the robustness of our findings. The use of the COVID-19 patient registries, which are daily updated by the Italian NHS, leverages accurately collected data on patients testing positive for SARS-CoV-2 RNA by PCR on nasopharyngeal/throat swabs. Linking Italian claims databases to the COVID-19 registries at the individual patient level, we were able to adjust the analyses for a large number of potential confounders. Furthermore, since MTX is the reference drug in the treatment of arthropathies and it has a similar use pattern as HCQ/CLQ, we selected this drug as the main comparator as well as carrying out a subgroup analysis in patients with SLA/RE (approved indications for HCQ) to better control for confounding effects. However, this study also has some limitations. As we assessed drug exposure until 31 December 2019 and identified COVID-19 cases until June 2020, we may have misclassified drug exposure if patients stopped/switched therapies in the period preceding COVID-19-related hospitalization or mortality. However, it is

reasonable to suppose that it is unlikely that patients with rheumatic diseases changed or stopped treatment after the beginning of the pandemic, as recommended by the current guidelines of international societies of rheumatology [36, 37]. Information on known risk factors for death in COVID-19 patients, such as obesity and smoking, was not available; however, the adjustment of the analysis for comorbidities strongly correlated to these variables (e.g. diabetes mellitus and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease), potentially accounting for their confounding effect. Moreover, since some chronic comorbidities are not a frequent cause of hospitalization, they may have been partly underestimated, as they were mainly identified from hospital discharge diagnoses.

Conclusion

In this large Italian nested case-control study, recent exposure to HCQ/CLQ in rheumatic patients was not associated with a protective effect against COVID-19-related hospitalization and mortality compared with MTX. A slight statistically significant increased risk for recent use of MTX as monotherapy or other cDMARDs, except MTX or HCQ/CLQ, when compared with non-use was found. Furthermore, when compared with HCQ/CLQ, we observed an increased risk of COVID-19 hospitalization and mortality in patients receiving other cDMARDs, especially if concomitantly treated with high-dose glucocorticoids. This is likely attributable to a synergistic immunosuppressive effect of those drugs, leading to an increased risk of severe SARS-CoV-2 infection rather than to an HCQ/CLQ protective effect.

Acknowledgement

Members of ITA COVID-19-HCQ Group: Stefania Spila Alegiani, Marco Massari, Francesca Menniti Ippolito, Roberto Da Cas (Istituto Superiore di Sanità); Gianluca Trifirò (University of Verona, Società Italiana di Farmacologia); Salvatore Crisafulli, Valentina Ientile, Janet Sultana, Fabiola Atzeni (University of Messina); Antonio Addis, Ursula Kirchmayer, Valeria Belleudi, Francesca Romana Poggi (Department of Epidemiology ASL Roma 1, Lazio Regional Health Service); Francesco Vairo (National Institute for Infectious Disease 'Lazzaro Spallanzani' IRCCS); Olivia Leoni, Monica Ludergrani (Regione Lombardia); Paolo Giorgi Rossi, Pamela Mancuso, Carlo Salvarani (AUSL Reggio Emilia); Rosa Gini, Francesco Innocenti, Giuseppe Roberto (Regione Toscana); Peter Konstantin Kurotschka (University of Cagliari); Susanna Baracco, Eliana Ferroni, Manuel Zorzi, Ugo Fedeli, Mario Saia, Monica Troiani (Azienda Zero of The Veneto Region).

Disclosure statement: G.T. has served on a number of advisory boards organized by pharmaceutical companies on topics that are not related to the topic of this systematic review and is the principal investigator of observational studies funded by several pharmaceutical companies (e.g. Amgen, AstraZeneca and Daiichi Sankyo) for the University of Messina/Academic Spin-

Off INSPIRE. All other authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Italian National Institute of Health.

Funding: No specific funding was received from any bodies in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors to carry out the work described in this article.

Data availability statement

The dataset generated for the present study is not available for sharing.

Supplementary data

Supplementary data are available at *Rheumatology* online.

References

- European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. COVID-19 situation update worldwide. <https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/en/covid-19-pandemic> (11 March 2021, date last accessed).
- Han Y, Pham HT, Xu H, Quan Y, Mesplède T. Antimalarial drugs and their metabolites are potent Zika virus inhibitors. *J Med Virol* 2019;91:1182–90.
- Madrid PB, Chopra S, Manger ID *et al.* A systematic screen of FDA-approved drugs for inhibitors of biological threat agents. *PLoS One* 2013;8:e60579.
- Liu J, Cao R, Xu M *et al.* Hydroxychloroquine, a less toxic derivative of chloroquine, is effective in inhibiting SARS-CoV-2 infection in vitro. *Cell Discov* 2020;6:16.
- Yao X, Ye F, Zhang M *et al.* In vitro antiviral activity and projection of optimized dosing design of hydroxychloroquine for the treatment of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2). *Clin Infect Dis* 2020;71:732–9.
- Kaptein SJF, Jacobs S, Langendries L *et al.* Favipiravir at high doses has potent antiviral activity in SARS-CoV-2-infected hamsters, whereas hydroxychloroquine lacks activity. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2020;117:26955–65.
- Schrezenmeier E, Dörner T. Mechanisms of action of hydroxychloroquine and chloroquine: implications for rheumatology. *Nat Rev Rheumatol* 2020;16:155–66.
- Ben-Zvi I, Kivity S, Langevitz P, Shoenfeld Y. Hydroxychloroquine: from malaria to autoimmunity. *Clin Rev Allergy Immunol* 2012;42:145–53.
- Bonam SR, Muller S, Bayry J, Klionsky DJ. Autophagy as an emerging target for COVID-19: lessons from an old friend, chloroquine. *Autophagy* 2020;16:2260–6.
- Zang Y, Han X, He M, Shi J, Li Y. Hydroxychloroquine use and progression or prognosis of COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Naunyn Schmiedeberg Arch Pharmacol* 2021;394:775–82.
- Kashour Z, Riaz M, Garbati MA *et al.* Efficacy of chloroquine or hydroxychloroquine in COVID-19 patients:

- a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2021;76:30–42.
- 12 Gandhi RT, Lynch JB, del Rio C. Mild or moderate Covid-19. *N Engl J Med* 2020;383:1757–66.
 - 13 RECOVERY Collaborative Group. Effect of hydroxychloroquine in hospitalized patients with Covid-19. *N Engl J Med* 2020;383:2030–40.
 - 14 Cavalcanti AB, Zampieri FG, Rosa RG *et al.* Hydroxychloroquine with or without azithromycin in mild-to-moderate Covid-19. *N Engl J Med* 2020;383:2041–52.
 - 15 Skipper CP, Pastick KA, Engen NW *et al.* Hydroxychloroquine in nonhospitalized adults with early COVID-19. *Ann Intern Med* 2020;173:623–31.
 - 16 Mitjà O, Corbacho-Monné M, Ubals M *et al.* Hydroxychloroquine for early treatment of adults with mild Covid-19: a randomized-controlled trial. *Clin Infect Dis* 2020;doi: 10.1093/cid/ciaa1009.
 - 17 Boulware DR, Pullen MF, Bangdiwala AS *et al.* A randomized trial of hydroxychloroquine as postexposure prophylaxis for Covid-19. *N Engl J Med* 2020;383: 517–25.
 - 18 Abella BS, Jolkovsky EL, Biney BT *et al.* Efficacy and safety of hydroxychloroquine vs placebo for pre-exposure SARS-CoV-2 prophylaxis among health care workers. *JAMA Intern Med* 2021;181:195–202.
 - 19 Mitjà O, Corbacho-Monné M, Ubals M *et al.* A cluster-randomized trial of hydroxychloroquine for prevention of Covid-19. *N Engl J Med* 2021;384:417–27.
 - 20 Rajasingham R, Bangdiwala AS, Nicol MR *et al.* Hydroxychloroquine as pre-exposure prophylaxis for coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in healthcare workers: a randomized trial. *Clin Infect Dis* 2020;https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciaa1571.
 - 21 Gentry CA, Humphrey MB, Thind SK *et al.* Long-term hydroxychloroquine use in patients with rheumatic conditions and development of SARS-CoV-2 infection: a retrospective cohort study. *Lancet Rheumatol* 2020;2: e689–97.
 - 22 Jung SY, Kim MS, Kim MC *et al.* Effect of hydroxychloroquine preexposure on infection with SARS-CoV-2 in rheumatic disease patients: a population-based cohort study. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2021;27:611–7.
 - 23 Rentsch CT, DeVito NJ, MacKenna B *et al.* Effect of pre-exposure use of hydroxychloroquine on COVID-19 mortality: a population-based cohort study in patients with rheumatoid arthritis or systemic lupus erythematosus using the OpenSAFELY platform. *Lancet Rheumatol* 2020;3:e19–27.
 - 24 Sachdeva M, Shah M, Ziv M, Leshem E, Dodiuk-Gad RP. Risks of hydroxychloroquine use for COVID-19 prophylaxis. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 2020;83:e73–4.
 - 25 Akiyama S, Hamdeh S, Micic D, Sakuraba A. Prevalence and clinical outcomes of COVID-19 in patients with autoimmune diseases: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2020;doi: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2020-218946.
 - 26 Massari M, Spila Alegiani S, Da CR, Menniti IF. “TheShinISS”: an open-source tool for conducting distributed analyses within pharmacoepidemiological multi-database studies. *Boll Epidemiol Naz* 2020;1: 39–45.
 - 27 Trifirò G, Massari M, Da CR *et al.* Renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system inhibitors and risk of death in patients hospitalised with COVID-19: a retrospective Italian cohort study of 43,000 patients. *Drug Saf* 2020; 43:1297–308.
 - 28 Ferroni E, Giorgi RP, Spila AS *et al.* Survival of hospitalized COVID-19 patients in northern Italy: a population-based cohort study by the ITA-COVID19 Network. *Clin Epidemiol* 2020;12:1337–46.
 - 29 Lewis K, Chaudhuri D, Alshamsi F *et al.* The efficacy and safety of hydroxychloroquine for COVID-19 prophylaxis: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized trials. *PLoS One*. 2021;16:e0244778.
 - 30 Nørgård BM, Nielsen J, Knudsen T *et al.* Hospitalization for COVID-19 in patients treated with selected immunosuppressant and immunomodulating agents, compared to the general population: a Danish cohort study. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* 2021;87: 2111–20.
 - 31 Winthrop KL, Novosad SA, Baddley JW *et al.* Opportunistic infections and biologic therapies in immune-mediated inflammatory diseases: consensus recommendations for infection reporting during clinical trials and postmarketing surveillance. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2015;74:2107–16.
 - 32 Gianfrancesco M, Hyrich KL, Hyrich KL *et al.* Characteristics associated with hospitalisation for COVID-19 in people with rheumatic disease: data from the COVID-19 Global Rheumatology Alliance physician-reported registry. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2020;79: 859–66.
 - 33 Brenner EJ, Ungaro RC, Geary RB *et al.* Corticosteroids, but not TNF antagonists, are associated with adverse COVID-19 outcomes in patients with inflammatory bowel diseases: results from an international registry. *Gastroenterology* 2020;159:481–491.e3.
 - 34 Haberman RH, Castillo R, Chen A *et al.* COVID-19 in patients with inflammatory arthritis: a prospective study on the effects of comorbidities and disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs on clinical outcomes. *Arthritis Rheumatol* 2020;72:1981–9.
 - 35 Yang SC, Lai YY, Huang MC, Tsai CS, Wang JL. Corticosteroid dose and the risk of opportunistic infection in a national systemic lupus erythematosus cohort. *Lupus* 2018;27:1819–27.
 - 36 Mikuls TR, Johnson SR, Fraenkel L *et al.* American College of Rheumatology guidance for the management of rheumatic disease in adult patients during the COVID-19 pandemic: version 1. *Arthritis Rheumatol* 2021;73: e1–12.
 - 37 British Society for Rheumatology. COVID-19 guidance. <https://www.rheumatology.org.uk/practice-quality/covid-19-guidance> (March 2021, date last accessed).