Cadmium-Induced Differential Toxicogenomic Response in Resistant and Sensitive Mouse Strains Undergoing Neurulation
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Common inbred mouse strains, such as the C57BL/6 (C57) and the SWV, display differences in sensitivity to environmental teratogens during gestation. For example, the C57 is more sensitive than the SWV to cadmium (Cd) exposure during neurulation, inducing a higher incidence of neural tube defects (NTDs). Here, we report, using Cd as a model teratogen, the first large scale toxicogenomic study to compare teratogen-induced gene expression alterations in C57 and SWV embryos undergoing neurulation, identifying toxicogenomic responses that associate with developmental toxicity and differential sensitivity. Using a systems-based toxicogenomic approach, comparing Cd-exposed and control C57 and SWV embryos (12- and 24-h postinjection [p.i.][gestational day 8.0, ip]), we examined differentially expressed genes at multiple levels (biological process, pathway, gene) using Gene Ontology (GO) analysis, pathway mapping and cross-scatter plots. In both C57 and SWV embryos, we observed several gene expression alterations linked with cell cycle–related classifications, however, only in the C57 we observed upregulation of p53-dependent mediators Ccnfg1 and Pmaip1, previously associated with cell cycle arrest, apoptosis and NTD formation. In addition, we also identified a greater reduction in expression of nervous system development-related genes (e.g., Zic1, En2, Neurog1, Elav14, Metnr, Ntr2f1, Ntr2f2) in the C57 compared to the SWV (12-h p.i.). In summary, our results indicate that differences in Cd-induced gene expression profiles between NTD resistant and sensitive strains within enriched biological processes (including developmental and cell cycle–related categories) associate with increased sensitivity to developmental toxicity as determined by observations of increased NTD formation, mortality (resorptions) and reduced fetal growth. Such observations may provide more detailed and useful mechanistic clues for identification of differences in life-stage specific teratogenic response.
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Neurulation represents the beginning of neurogenesis, occurring approximately during gestational days (GD) 8–10 in the mouse and GD 21–26 in humans. Perturbations in this complex conserved process result in neural tube defects (NTDs), representing the second most common birth defect in the human population (Nassau and Drotar, 1997). A majority of NTDs appear to be the result of both genetic predisposition and environmental exposure (Frey and Hauser, 2003). Studies comparing differences in sensitivity to environmental teratogens between inbred mouse strains suggest a multifaceted challenge to identify factors that confer sensitivity. Teratogen-dependent differences in sensitivity have been observed between the C57BL/6 (C57) and the SWV mouse strains when exposed during early development (GD 7–10). Specifically, the SWV strain is more sensitive to NTDs caused by phenobarbital, valproic acid, and hyperthermia as compared to the C57 strain (Finnell et al., 1986, 1987; Naruse et al., 1988), whereas the C57 is more sensitive than the SWV to cadmium (Cd) and arsenic exposures (Hovland et al., 1999; Machado et al., 1999). Follow-up genome linkage studies comparing C57 and SWV strains exposed to specific teratogens during development suggest that both maternal and fetal components underlie observed differences in sensitivity between these two strains (Hovland et al., 2000; Lundberg et al., 2003, 2004).

Cd is ubiquitous in the environment due to both natural and anthropogenic sources. Although chronic exposure to Cd is associated with cancer and bone, lung, and renal damage, classification of Cd as a human teratogen remains controversial. Developmental effects, such as reduced birth weight, have been associated with Cd exposure in utero in humans (Ronco et al., 2005), however, recent epidemiological studies have not demonstrated the potential ability of Cd to induce birth defects such as NTDs (Brender et al., 2006). Nevertheless, in rodent models, Cd is a developmental teratogen able to induce lethality and a wide range of teratogenic effects (Ferm, 1971), dependent on strain, dose, and time of administration (Hovland et al., 1999). In studies using doses (≥ 2 mg/kg body weight (BW)) and injection methods (ip, sc), maternal exposure(s) to Cd...
during the period of neurulation results in disruption of neural tube closure in the cranial region, causing the NTD, exencephaly (Fern and Carpenter, 1968).

Although the mechanistic etiology of how Cd induces exencephaly is poorly understood, it likely comprises a complex series of molecular events dependent on several factors. In animal models, Cd accumulates in maternal extraembryonic tissues (visceral yolk sac and choriallantoic placenta) (Dencker, 1975) resulting in changes in nutrient transport and zinc distribution critical for the neurulation period (Danielsson and Dencker, 1984). Cd may also directly impact the embryo, localizing in cells of the neural tube, limb buds and gut in a time and dose dependent manner (Christlsey and Webster, 1983). Similar to other known teratogens (valproic acid, hyperthermia, arsenic), exposure to Cd during neurulation has been linked to a wide range of cellular and biochemical alterations, including markers of oxidative stress (lipid peroxidation and DNA damage) (Fernandez et al., 2004; Paniagua-Castro et al., 2007), cell cycle perturbations marked by upregulation of cell cycle regulators (p53, Cdkn1a) (Fernandez et al., 2003), apoptosis (Fernandez et al., 2003), and altered expression of key developmental signaling molecules (Fernandez et al., 2004).

The emergence of microarray technologies and other genomic approaches has rapidly advanced the field of toxicology, providing a more efficient means to explore the mechanistic effects of chemicals and to investigate the etiology of genetically susceptible populations. In this study, using Cd as a model teratogen, we report the first microarray study to assess teratogen-induced alterations in gene expression coinciding with cranial neural tube closure comparing sensitive and resistant mouse embryos to identify toxicogenomic responses that underlie NTD development and potential mechanisms of differential sensitivity between these two strains. Assessing gene expression changes 12- and 24-h maternal postinjection (p.i.) (GD 8.0, ip), we report Cd to induce common and unique gene expression alterations representing several biological processes (GO classifications). We demonstrate that differences in Cd-induced gene expression profiles within development and cell cycle–related categories associate with developmental toxicity and discuss the potential for specific genes involved in nervous system development (i.e., Zic1, En2, Nr2f2, Elav14) and cell cycle regulation (i.e., p53, Cdkn1a, Ccng1, Pmaip1) to associate with observed differences in Cd sensitivity.

METHODS

Animals and cadmium exposure. Colonies of C57BL/6j (C57) and SWV strains were maintained at the University of Washington, Department of Environmental and Occupational Health Sciences. C57 mice were supplied from Jackson laboratories and SWV colonies were established from mice acquired from Dr Phillip Mirkes (University of Washington, Department of Pediatrics), originally provided by Dr. Richard Finnell (Texas A&M University). Animal care and all experiments were conducted in agreement with the University of Washington Institutional Animal Care Committee. Housed in filter covered transparent plastic cages, animals were maintained in climate-controlled rooms under an alternating 12-h light/dark cycle. Water and food were available ad libitum. Timed matings were produced by placing individual male mice into cages containing two females. Copulatory plugs were identified in the early morning (8:00 A.M. ± 0.5 h) the following day and designated as GD 0. Pregnant mice were administered single doses via ip injection on GD 8.0, 8:00am (± 1 h), with either Cd chloride (4 mg/kg/BW, Alfa Aesar, Ward Hill, MA) dissolved in deionized water (working concentration of 2mM), or water (control) (10 μl/g). The administrative time and dose were selected based on previous observations indicating exposure to Cd on GD8 results in increased incidence of NTDs (exencephaly) in C57 and SWV fetuses and furthermore, the C57 displays higher sensitivity to Cd-induced NTDs (> 2×) compared to the SWV (Hovland et al., 1999).

Developmental assessment (GD 18). Pregnant C57 and SWV mice were euthanized on GD18 via inhalation of isoflurane and cervical dislocation. The uterus was removed from each dam. Fetuses were sacrificed by overexposure to isoflurane. The number of total fetuses, implantation sites, and resorptions were recorded. Individual fetuses were removed and recorded for observations of gross malformations, body weight, head diameter (length from front to back) and crown rump length.

RNA isolation. Separate pregnant C57 and SWV females were euthanized on GD 8.5 and GD 9.0, 12 and 24-h p.i., respectively. The uterine horns were extracted from the abdomen region and placed in cold CMF-EBSS (Gibco, Carlsbad, CA). Embryos were isolated, washed in cold CMF-EBSS, placed in liquid nitrogen, and stored at −80°C. Prior to storage, embryos were quickly assessed for closure of the neural tube in the mid/hind brain region. Complete pooled litters were kept separate. Embryos were placed in 500 μl of RTL Cell Lysis buffer (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) and lysed with a 30G needle to homogenize the tissue. RNA was purified using the RNAeasy kit (Qiagen). Quality was assessed using the “6000” assay on the 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA). Time points were chosen to reflect closure of the cranial neural tube (Table 2) and correspond with previous morphological and molecular observations of Cd toxicity (Fernandez et al., 2003, 2004; Webster and Messerle, 1980).

Oligonucleotide microarrays. We assessed for Cd-induced alterations in gene expression 12- and 24-h p.i. by using the Mouse Codelink Uniset I platform. Oligonucleotide microarray analysis was completed at the Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center Functional Genomic Laboratory following the manufacturer suggested protocol for the Codelink Mouse Uniset I 20K oligonucleotide array (GE Healthcare Life Sciences, Uppsala, Sweden). For each treatment (Cd or vehicle), three (GD 8.5, 12 h) or four (GD 9.0, 24 h) independent litters were collected (28 total). One separate pooled litter was used for each sample. First and second strand cDNA synthesis was completed using 1 μg of total RNA. Mixed with bacterial control mRNAs and T7-ddT24 primers, samples were denatured at 70°C for 10 min. Dithiotreitol, deoxyribonucleotide triphosphates (dNTPs), and Superscript II RnaseH reverse transcriptase (Gibco, Carlsbad, CA) were added and samples were incubated at 42°C. Additional dNTPs, RnaseH, and DNA polymerase were added to the mix and incubated for 2 h at 16°C. Double-stranded cDNA was purified using a QIAquick spin column (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). cRNA synthesis was completed by in vitro transcription which consisted of mixing purified ds cDNA, ATP, guanosine triphosphate (GTP), cytidine triphosphate, uridine triphosphate (UTP), biotin-11-UTP, and the enzyme mixture and incubating at 37°C for 14 h (Amersham Biosciences, Piscataway, NJ). cRNA was purified using the RNAeasy kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). RNA quality was assessed using the Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies). Less than 10% of the amplified product, 10 μg was used for hybridization. cRNA was fragmented at 94°C for 20 min and then, loaded into its respective slide chamber. Hybridization was performed for 18 h at 300 rpm (shaker-incubator speed) and 37°C. Arrays were washed with 0.75× (0.10M Tris-HCl, pH 7.6; 0.15M NaCl;
and cellular component) within significantly differently expressed genes representation of GO gene categories (biological process, molecular function, or Model 2, respectively.

Model 2 (p < 0.01, Models 1–3). In addition, GO analysis was completed for genes identified to be significantly impacted by Cd in Model 3 (p < 0.01), thus, we labeled genes first by Model 3 and then, Model 1

Secondary confirmation of RNA expression using real-time quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction. To verify Cd-induced gene expression alterations identified by the Codelink microarray platform, we conducted real-time quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) (TaqaM, Applied Biosystems, Inc., Foster City, CA) for four genes of interest and one housekeeping gene: Cdkn1a, Pmaip1, En2, Neurog2, and Gapdh. Total RNA from litters of C57 and SWV embryos was collected and purified under the same experimental conditions as in our microarray study (12-h p.i. only). cDNA synthesis was completed using lug of total RNA using Oligo(dT)12–18 and Superscript II Reverse Transcriptase (Gibco, Carlsbad, CA). PCR reactions were performed using 2 μl of cDNA, forward and reverse primers (250nM), TaqMan probe (167nM) and TaqMan Fast Universal Master Mix (Pmaip1) or TaqMan Gene Expression Master Mix (Applied Biosystems, Inc., Foster City, CA). Amplification and detection was conducted using the ABI PRISM 7700 system (Applied Biosystems, Inc., Foster City, CA), with the following PCR reaction profile: 1 cycle of 95°C for 15 s, 40 cycles of 95°C for 1 s, and 60°C for 20 min. Values reported represent fold change comparisons between raw intensity values adjusted by Gadph of Cd-exposed and control C57 or SWV embryos. Two-sided t-tests were completed between control and Cd-exposed embryos to identify significant effects. All probes are listed in Supplementary Table 2.

RESULTS

Effects of Cd on Growth and Development (GD 18)

Table 1 summarizes developmental characteristics of C57 and SWV fetuses exposed to Cd versus control assessed on
GD18. In the C57, Cd exposure led to a higher rate of resorptions compared to control ($p < 0.05$). In addition, Cd-treated C57 embryos showed a reduction in overall development, including significant decreases in body weight and head diameter ($p < 0.05$). In the SWV, we did not observe any significant impact on embryonic development based on the endpoints assessed.

**Cd-Induced Exencephaly**

The mean percentage of fetuses with exencephaly on GD18 in C57 litters (33.1%) is greater compared to SWV litters (4.6%, $p < 0.005$) (Table 1), reflecting a significant ($p < 0.005$) difference between strains.

**The Effect of Cd on Cranial Neural Tube Closure**

As shown in Table 2, Cd exposure altered neural tube closure (mid-hind/brain region) in both C57 and SWV embryos. At 12-h p.i., we observed a significant increase ($p < 0.05$) in the percentage of neural tubes open in the C57 with Cd (93.8 ± 4.1%), compared to control (63.5 ± 13.1%), whereas in the SWV, no significant changes were observed. At 24-h p.i., we observed a 74% or 19× increase in open neural tubes in the C57 with Cd compared to control ($p < 0.0005$) and a 48% or 6× increase in the SWV with Cd compared to control ($p < 0.05$).

**Cd-Induced Gene Expression Alterations and in C57 and SWV Embryos Undergoing Neurulation**

In Figure 2 we show the distribution of significant Cd-induced gene expression alterations in C57 and SWV embryos over time (12-, 24-h p.i.). Venn diagrams (Fig. 2A) indicate gene expression alterations identified due to each effect (i.e., time, treatment, strain, interaction variables) within linear ANOVA Models (1–3).

In Models 1 and 2, we observed a total of 374 (148†, 226‡) and 739 (500†, 139‡) genes to be significantly altered by Cd exposure ($B_{Cd} < 0.01$) in C57 and SWV embryos across time (12, 24 h), respectively. Within the 374 genes identified to be significantly altered by Cd exposure in the C57, our Venn diagram shows 20 (14 + 6) genes to also show a significant time effect ($B_{Time} < 0.01$) and 84 (14 + 70) genes to show a significant Time_Cd interaction effect ($B_{Time_Cd} < 0.01$). Similarly in the 739 genes identified to be altered by Cd in the SWV, we observed 450 and 453 to display significant Time and/or Time_Cd interaction effects ($p < 0.01$).

In our three-way ANOVA model assessing the effect of time, treatment, strain and their respective interactions (Fig. 2A, Model 3), we identified 658 genes to be commonly altered due to Cd treatment ($B_{Cd} < 0.01$, in either Model 1, 2, or 3) presenting the fold change difference in gene expression comparing Cd-exposed and control C57 and SWV embryos using a log 2 scale (1 = 21× fold change) scale. Labeled genes represent a selection of genes with large Cd effect(s) in terms of magnitude of response (~2× fold change or greater in one of the two strains). In total, we identified 1212 genes to be altered due to Cd exposure in C57 and/or SWV embryos ($B_{Cd} < 0.01$, in either Models 1, 2, or 3). Cross-scatter plots suggest several significant common (⊂) up-and downregulated gene expression alterations as well as unique Cd-induced alterations in either the C57 (Δ) or SWV ([⊂]) based on the significance of Cd effect (p value, symbol), magnitude (fold change) and directionality of response. Following 12-h exposure (Fig. 2B [quadrant I]), we observed upregulation of Cebpz in both C57 (†2.1×) and SWV (†1.4×) strains. Additionally, in Figure 2B we identified genes that were significantly altered in only one of the two strains, such as Mglap and Fabp7 which were significantly altered in only the C57 (Δ) (†7.3×) (quadrant IV) and (†7.4×) (quadrant III), respectively. Although in Figure 2B, Pcdeh4 (†6.6×) (quadrant I) was significantly altered only in the SWV (⊂). At 24-h p.i. (Fig. 2C), we observed upregulation of Cdkn1a (†1.9×) in the C57 (Δ) (quadrant I)

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Exposure</th>
<th># F</th>
<th>Imp/litter</th>
<th>RR/litter</th>
<th>BW (g)</th>
<th>HD (mm)</th>
<th>CR (mm)</th>
<th>NTD (exencephaly)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C57</td>
<td>Control  (n = 12)</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>8.2 ± 0.3</td>
<td>8 ± 3%</td>
<td>1.08 ± 0.02</td>
<td>10.3 ± 0.1</td>
<td>20.8 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cadmium  (n = 8)</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>9.9 ± 0.6</td>
<td>32 ± 4%*</td>
<td>0.99 ± 0.03*</td>
<td>9.7 ± 0.2*</td>
<td>20.6 ± 0.3</td>
<td>33.1 ± 9.2%*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWV</td>
<td>Control  (n = 8)</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>12.8 ± 0.7</td>
<td>4 ± 3%</td>
<td>0.93 ± 0.03</td>
<td>10.5 ± 0.1</td>
<td>20.3 ± 0.6</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cadmium  (n = 7)</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>13.1 ± 0.3</td>
<td>5 ± 2%</td>
<td>0.97 ± 0.05</td>
<td>10.3 ± 0.1</td>
<td>20.5 ± 0.5</td>
<td>4.6 ± 3.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. Following exposure (GD 8.0), on GD 18, individual fetuses (# F) were isolated and examined for gross malformations (i.e., exencephaly), body weight (BW), and growth characteristics (head diameter [HD] and crown rump length [CR]). In addition, implantation sites (Imp) and resorption rates (RR) for each litter were recorded. All measurements are based on litter averages. Data are shown as mean ± SEM. An asterisk (*) signifies significance between Cd-treated and control ($p < 0.05$, one-sided t-test). The hash (#) indicates differently affected by Cd exposure between strains ($p < 0.005$).
and upregulation of Olfr45 (↑3.1×) (quadrant II). In general, in terms of magnitude of response, we observed more increased alterations in gene expression due to Cd at 12-h p.i. compared to 24-h p.i. (B_{Cd, p} < 0.01, Models 1–3).

In Figures 3A and 3B, we present cross-scatter plots of all 123 genes identified to be significantly differentially impacted by Cd between C57 and SWV embryos (B_{Cd, Strain, p} < 0.01, Model 3). At 12-h p.i., we observed several genes to be upregulated in SWV and downregulated in the C57 (quadrant II). Examples include Lhx9, Ecel1, H28, Zwim5, and Shd. Likewise, we observed genes to be downregulated in the SWV and upregulated in the C57 (e.g., Mglap, Hmgcs2, 3830408610Rik, Ccl19, Npn3) (Fig. 3A, quadrant IV). At 24 h (Fig. 3B), the magnitude of Cd response did not differ between C57 and SWV to the degree observed at 12 h within this subset of genes.

GO Analysis of Cd-Induced Gene Expression Alterations

As shown in Table 3, enriched biological processes were identified within genes impacted by Cd in C57 or SWV embryos (Models 1 and 2, B_{Cd, p} < 0.01). For example, in the C57, we identified the biological process “nervous system development” (Path://0.0.12.13.16.8/GOID: 7399) to be overrepresented, with 21 nervous system development genes/374 genes identified to be significantly altered with Cd exposure (B_{Cd, p} < 0.01, Model 3). We identified one biological process to be significantly enriched within this subset (regulation of transcription, DNA dependent) (not shown).

We conducted GO Analysis for the 123 genes identified to be differentially impacted by Cd between the two strains (B_{Cd, Strain, p} < 0.01, Model 3). We identified one biological process to be significantly enriched within this subset (regulation of transcription, DNA dependent) (not shown). The corresponding 18 genes are listed with fold change ratios in Supplemental Table 1.

We further investigated genes within nervous system development (GO:7399) and cell cycle (GO:7049, 45786) related GO categories via cross-scatter plots for all genes identified to be significantly altered with Cd exposure (B_{Cd, p} < 0.01, Models 1–3). These categories were of interest due to differential representation in Cd-altered genes comparing C57 and SWV embryos, GO analysis suggesting overrepresentation in the C57 (development, cell cycle arrest) or SWV (cell cycle) and prior findings associating these gene-linked processes with NTDs (Harris and Juriloff, 2007).

Impact of Cd on Nervous System Development Gene Expression

As shown in Figures 4A and 4B, we examined Cd-induced gene expression changes within genes involved in nervous system development using cross-scatter plots. Following 12-h p.i. (Fig. 4A, quadrant I), Cd-induced upregulation of Celsr3, Semad4d, Catnb, and Map3k7 in both strains represented by (□). In the C57 (Δ), Cd significantly downregulated expression of Pard3b, Elavl4, En2, Olig3, Crym, Slit3, Neurog1, Foxp2, Metn, Nr2f1, Nr2f2, Zic1, and Zic2 (Fig. 4A, quadrant III). At 12-h p.i. (Fig. 4A, quadrant II), Ecel1 was significantly altered in both strains (□), however, significantly differed in response between C57 and SWV (Model 3, B_{Cd, Strain, p} < 0.01). In the C57, Ecel1 (12-h p.i.) was downregulated (↓2.5×), whereas in the SWV Ecel1 was upregulated (↑2.9×). Whereas at 24-h p.i. (Fig. 4B, quadrant III), we observed approximately equal reduction of Ecel1 in C57 (↓1.6×) and SWV (↓1.5×).

### Table 2

The Impact of Cadmium Exposure on Cranial Neural Tube Closure in C57 and SWV Embryos

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Exposure</th>
<th>GD 8.0 + 12 h</th>
<th>GD 8.0 + 24 h</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C57</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>63.5 ± 13.1%</td>
<td>4.2 ± 2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cadmium</td>
<td>93.8 ± 4.1%*</td>
<td>77.9 ± 10.0%***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWV</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>71.1 ± 16.7%</td>
<td>10.3 ± 7.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cadmium</td>
<td>66.3 ± 16.7%</td>
<td>58.2 ± 11.3%*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note.* The mean percentage of open neural tubes (mid/hind brain region) in each litter of Cd-exposed and control C57 and SWV embryos was assessed for closure on GD 8.5 and GD 9.0 corresponding with GD 8.0 + 12-h p.i. and GD 8.0 + 24-h p.i., respectively. All measurements are based on litter averages (n = 6–10 for each group). Data are shown as mean ± SEM. Significant effects were identified between control and Cd-exposed values at each time point using a two-sided t-test (*p < 0.05, ***p < 0.0005).
In addition, at 24-h p.i., we observed downregulation of Slit3, Crym, and Neurog1 (24-h p.i.) in the C57, with a similar response in the SWV (Fig. 4B, quadrant III). As shown in Figure 4C, using GO-Quant, we calculated the average fold change (Cd/Con) associated with all nervous system development-related up (or down) regulated genes stratified by strain and time. In total, we observed 54 genes to be significantly altered by Cd in either of the two strains (Models 1–3, \( p < 0.01 \)) which were linked with the GO nervous system development classification. At 12-h p.i., we observed 30/54 and 31/54 upregulated genes in the C57 and SWV, respectively. The SWV showed a greater average increase in expression within this subset of genes (\( \sim 1.6 \times \)) compared to the C57 (1.3×). In contrast, in genes identified to be downregulated (12-h p.i.), we observed a larger degree of inhibition on average in the C57 (-\( \sim 2.0 \times \)) compared to the SWV (-\( \sim 1.3 \times \)). At 24-h p.i., differential Cd effects between strains were not observed (magnitude and the amount of up-/downregulated genes). In general, we observed an increase Cd response (magnitude of fold change) in nervous system development-related gene expression 12-h p.i. compared to 24-h p.i. for both up- and downregulated genes.

Impact of Cd on Cell Cycle Gene Expression

As illustrated in Figure 5, Cd-induced gene expression alterations in cell cycle–related genes in C57 and SWV embryos. At 12-h p.i. (Fig. 5A) we observed common (□) upregulation of p53, Ccne2, Wee1, Cables1, Eif2ak1, and Hdac7a (quadrant I). In only the C57 (△) (12-h p.i.), Cd-induced Ak1, Cdkn1a, Pmaip1, and Ccn1 gene expression (quadrant I, IV). Within the SWV (○), we observed alterations in H2afx and Sipa1 (quadrant II). At 24-h p.i., we observed sustained downregulation of Ccn1 and Cdkn1a in the C57 (Fig. 5B, quadrant I). Ccn1 and Ak1 were identified to be significantly differentially expressed between C57 and SWV embryos with Cd exposure (Model 3, \( B_{Cd,Strain} \), \( p < 0.01 \)).
Based upon observations of p53 and Cdkn1a Cd-induced gene expression changes, we evaluated gene expression changes in context of the p53 Signaling pathway to explore possible interactions between p53 and downstream mediators which regulate key processes such as angiogenesis, cell cycle arrest and apoptosis (Fig. 6). In this figure, we observed Cd-induced upregulation of p53 in both strains (shaded yellow) (Model 3, $B_{\text{Cd}}$, $p < 0.01$). In contrast, we observed upregulation of p53-downstream mediators Cdkn1a, Ccng1, and Pmaip1 in only the C57 (shaded red) (Model 1, $B_{\text{Cd}}$, $p < 0.01$). Additionally, this pathway revealed possible roles of p53 regulation via alterations in Akt2, Ctnnb1, Pik3cd, and Pten transcriptional changes. These genes were observed to be significantly altered in the C57 and the SWV (shaded yellow) (Model 3, $B_{\text{Cd}}$, $p < 0.01$). No genes were identified to be significantly altered in only the SWV linked with the canonical Ingenuity p53 Signaling pathway (shaded orange).

Validation of En2, Cdkn1a, and Pmaip1 Expression Using qRT-PCR
In Table 4, we validated microarray observations of Cd-induced changes in En2, Cdkn1a, Pmaip1, and Neurog2 expression using qRT-PCR. Similarly to our microarray results, which showed increased, but insignificant effects for Cd-induced Cdkn1a expression in the SWV, we observed a significant $-3 \times$ increase in Cdkn1a expression at 12-h p.i. ($p < 0.05$). Using microarray and qRT-PCR, we did not observe significant alterations in Neurog2 expression in either strain.

DISCUSSION
Similar to observations in C57BL/6NCrlBR and SWV/Fnn embryos exposed to Cd during neurulation (Hovland et al., 1999), we observed differences in sensitivity between C57 (sensitive) and SWV (resistant) fetuses for endpoints of growth, resorptions, cranial neural tube closure, and the NTD exencephaly (Tables 1, 2). With this comparative mouse model, we investigated the impact of Cd on gene expression (GD 8.0 + 12 and 24 h) in parallel with cranial neural tube closure. We identified significantly altered genes and enriched biological processes via ANOVA and GO analysis to identify common and strain specific toxicogenomic responses that associated with developmental toxicity and sensitivity.

Correlated with Cd sensitivity, and thus also the incidence of NTD formation, we observed differential Cd-induced toxico-genomic responses between C57 and SWV embryos, corresponding with differential enriched GO terms and unique gene expression alterations. GO analysis suggested a more enriched response in nervous system development and cell cycle arrest-
### Table 3

Cd-Induced Alterations in Gene Expression-Linked GO Biological Processes in C57 and SWV Embryos

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Path GO ID</th>
<th>GO name</th>
<th>#M</th>
<th>#GO</th>
<th>Model 1 ($B_{Cd}, p &lt; 0.01$)</th>
<th>Model 2 ($B_{Cd}, p &lt; 0.01$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0.1.0.1.3</td>
<td>Regulation of hydrolase activity</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>$C_{C57}$</td>
<td>$Z_{C57}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0.1.0.1.3.4</td>
<td>Regulation of GTPase activity</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$0.005$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0.1.0.1.11</td>
<td>Negative regulation of progression through cell cycle</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>$0.011$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0.1.1.0.1.11.0</td>
<td>Cell cycle arrest</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$0.013$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0.1.1.0.1.5</td>
<td>Negative regulation of cell proliferation</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>$0.011$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0.1.1.0.9.0.0.2.0</td>
<td>DNA damage response, signal trans induction of apop</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$-0.5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0.1.1.1</td>
<td>Regulation of cellular process</td>
<td>2528</td>
<td>3752</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0.1.1.3.0.0</td>
<td>Nuclear transport</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0.1.1.3.0.0.1.0.2</td>
<td>Nuclear import into nucleus, translocation</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$-0.6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0.1.1.4.16</td>
<td>Regulation of osteoblast differentiation</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0.1.1.20</td>
<td>Regulation of gene expression, epigenetic</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0.3.10</td>
<td>Cell fate specification</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0.3.17</td>
<td>Cellular component organization and biogenesis</td>
<td>1640</td>
<td>2656</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0.3.17.2.0.4.11</td>
<td>Nuclear transport</td>
<td>51169</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>$0.013$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0.3.17.2.0.4.7.0</td>
<td>Intracellular protein transport across a membrane</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$-0.6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0.3.17.2.0.4.7.3</td>
<td>Protein targeting</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0.3.17.3</td>
<td>Cellular developmental process</td>
<td>352</td>
<td>485</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0.3.17.3.0</td>
<td>Cell morphogenesis</td>
<td>352</td>
<td>485</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0.3.17.3.0.0</td>
<td>Cell projection organization and biogenesis</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0.3.17.3.0.2</td>
<td>Cell projection morphology during differentiation</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0.3.17.3.1</td>
<td>Cell part morphogenesis</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0.3.17.8</td>
<td>Organelle organization and biogenesis</td>
<td>679</td>
<td>1201</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0.3.17.8.1</td>
<td>Chromosome organization and biogenesis</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>399</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>$-0.1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0.3.17.8.1.11</td>
<td>Chromosome organization and biogenesis (Eukaryota)</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0.3.18</td>
<td>Cellular developmental process</td>
<td>1528</td>
<td>2098</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0.3.18.1</td>
<td>Cell differentiation</td>
<td>1528</td>
<td>2098</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0.3.3.3.0.1.2.7</td>
<td>Platelet-derived growth factor receptor signaling pathway</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$-0.3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0.3.3.3.2.6</td>
<td>Protein kinase cascade</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$-1.8$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0.3.3.3.2.6.2.4</td>
<td>JNK cascade</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$-0.9$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0.3.3.3.2.9</td>
<td>Stress-activated protein kinase signaling pathway</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$-0.9$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0.3.4</td>
<td>Cell cycle$</td>
<td>492</td>
<td>762</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0.3.4.0</td>
<td>Cell cycle process$</td>
<td>394</td>
<td>646</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0.3.4.0.0</td>
<td>Cell cycle phase$</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>289</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0.4</td>
<td>Developmental process</td>
<td>2316</td>
<td>3292</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0.4.2</td>
<td>Anatomical structure development</td>
<td>1406</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0.5.2.2.2</td>
<td>Protein import</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$-1.2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0.11</td>
<td>Metabolic process</td>
<td>5409</td>
<td>8113</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>$-1.3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0.11.3</td>
<td>Cellular metabolic process</td>
<td>4047</td>
<td>7311</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>$-0.7$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0.11.3.11.2.10</td>
<td>Protein modification process</td>
<td>1094</td>
<td>1609</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>$-0.4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0.11.3.11.2.10.1</td>
<td>Post-translational protein modification</td>
<td>950</td>
<td>1375</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>$-0.6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0.11.3.11.2.10.1.14</td>
<td>Protein amino acid phosphorylation</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>646</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>$-0.4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0.11.3.19</td>
<td>Nucleoside/nucleotide metabolism and nucleic acid metabolism</td>
<td>2277</td>
<td>3483</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
related genes within the C57 compared to the SWV (Table 3). Using cross-scatter plots and pathway mapping, we further explored relationships between strains within genes in these related categories.

Early nervous system development evolves due to the precise coordination of several gene networks which regulate processes such as neuronal proliferation, differentiation and migration. In this study, in only the C57, Cd significantly inhibited several genes which encode for factors critical for early nervous system development and neuronal differentiation (i.e., Zic 1) (Figs. 4A and 4B). Quantitative analysis (GOQuant) of downregulated nervous system development genes (Fig. 4C), suggested a greater reduction in these genes in the C57 compared to the SWV (12-h p.i.). These genes included, members of the Zic family (Zic1, Zic2) which mediate several aspects of early development, including neurogenesis and body patterning, En2, recognized to be essential for normal cerebellum development via Zic1/Wnt-
mediated signaling (Merzdorf and Sive, 2006; Nagai et al., 1997), nuclear receptors (Nr2f2 and Nr2f1) important for proper axonal growth/migration and forebrain development (Armentano et al., 2006; Tripodi et al., 2004), Neurogenin 1 (Neurog1) involved in neuronal differentiation and neuronal survival through regulation of neuroD2 expression (Lin et al., 2004) and the transcription factor, Olig3 which regulates oligodendrocyte differentiation and is expressed in the dorsal neural tube (Takebayashi et al., 2002). Our gene expression results imply that Cd disrupts early neurogenesis/neurulation through disruption of transcription factors critical for nervous system development and furthermore, suggests that reduction in expression of specific genes critical for CNS development contributes to greater adverse developmental effects observed in the C57.

Metals such as Cd produce oxidative stress by interacting with cellular macromolecules resulting in lipid peroxidation, protein damage, DNA modifications and reactive oxygen species production (Bertin and Averbeck, 2006). Teratogen-induced forms of oxidative stress (including with Cd exposure) have been associated with NTDs (Li et al., 2005; Paniagua-Castro et al., 2007; Zhao and Reece, 2005), suggesting that the production of oxidative stress is highly detrimental to the neurulation process. In response to changes in redox status, several alterations in cellular homeostasis may result, including the disruption of cellular proliferation status (Hansen, 2006). As suggested by an extensive review (Copp, 2005), the exact mechanism by which alterations in cellular proliferation result in exencephaly is unclear, yet perturbed cell division may result in premature differentiation, disturbances in adhesion, changes in mechanical flexibility of the neural tube, suspended neural crest migration and other detrimental effects. Genetically deficient mouse models (Sah et al., 1995) as well as environmental teratogens, such as Cd, arsenic and valproic acid (Dawson et al., 2006; Fernandez et al., 2003; Wlodarczyk et al., 1996), suggest that alterations in cell cycle regulation

FIG. 4. Cd-induced gene expression alterations (Cd/con) in genes associated with Nervous System Development in C57 and SWV embryos. Cross-scatter plots of common and unique Cd-induced gene expression alterations in C57BL/6J and SWV embryos 12-h (A) and 24-h (B) p.i. (GD 8.0). The average Cd-induced fold change in all up- and downregulated nervous system development-related-genes (significant in either or both C57 and SWV embryos, Models 1–3; \( p < 0.01 \)) (C). Genes labeled with an asterisk were identified to be significantly differentially altered by Cd between C57 and SWV embryos across time (Model 3, BCd_Strain, \( p < 0.01 \)).
correlate with disturbances in neurulation and the formation of encephaly. In this study, in both strains, we observed Cd to induce significant changes in genes involved in cell cycle regulation (Table 3, Figs. 5, 6). Furthermore, within genes linked with cellular proliferation-related categories, we observed common and differential effects on expression correlating with differences in incidence of the NTD phenotype between the C57 and SWV (Figs. 5, 6).

The tumor suppressor gene p53 regulates the transcription of several genes involved in DNA repair, cell cycle arrest and apoptosis in response to environmentally mediated stress (Fig. 6). Several teratogens which induce NTDs activate p53 and p53-downstream mediators in embryos undergoing neurulation (Fernandez et al., 2003; Hosako et al., 2007; Wlodarczyk et al., 1996). In the cranial region of the neural tube, p53 (RNA and protein) and Cdkn1a (RNA) expression have been identified to be significantly increased at 24-h p.i. in C57 embryos (Fernandez et al., 2003). In this study, we observed a significant increase in p53 and Cdkn1a and other genes known to interact with p53, including Wee1, Parp1, Trp53bp1, Ccn1, and Pmaip1 (Fig. 5). However, these responses differed between the two strains. Genes known to be involved in p53-mediated DNA Repair (Parp1, Trp53bp1) and cell cycle arrest (Wee1) were observed to be upregulated (GD 8.0 + 12 h) in both strains (Fig. 5A), whereas Cdkn1a, Pmaip1, and Ccn1 were observed to be significantly altered in only the C57 strain (Figs. 5, 6). Follow-up validation using qRT-PCR revealed Cdkn1a to be significantly upregulated in both strains and confirmed differential expression of Pmaip1 (12-h p.i.) (Table 3).

Cdkn1a, Pmaip1, and Ccn1 may partake in differing roles in response to stress (Fig. 6). Cdkn1a induces cell cycle arrest by inhibiting cdk/cyclin complexes which promote G1/S and G2/M cell cycle transitions (Bartek and Lukas, 2001; Taylor and Stark, 2001), Pmaip1 advances cytochrome c release by interacting with bcl-like proteins on the mitochondrial membrane leading to caspase activation and apoptotic programming (Akhtar et al., 2006), whereas Ccn1 expression is associated with both cell cycle arrest and apoptosis (Okamoto and Prives, 1999; Zhao et al., 2003). A possible early indicator of stress in developing embryos, Cd induces Ccn1 RNA as early as 5 h in C57 embryos (p.i. on GD 8.0) (Kultima et al., 2006) and may be indicative of NTD formation as other teratogens, cyclophosphamide, and hyperthermia, induce elevation as well (Hosako et al., 2007). Our study suggests that genes involved in cell cycle regulation are affected in both strains, but that differential changes occur within this subset of genes, implicating that downstream mediators of p53 (i.e., Ccn1, Pmaip1) may correlate with differential response to Cd as observed between these two strains. Differential expression of these genes may be indicative of increased cell cycle arrest and apoptosis occurring in the C57 compared to the SWV.

In contrast to the GO-based approach to identify biological processes differentially impacted between strains as discussed above, additionally, we observed 123 genes to be significantly differentially impacted by Cd between strains using ANOVA to determine significant interactions between primary effects (Model 3, BCd_Strain, \( p < 0.01 \)). Four of these genes were linked with nervous system development or cell cycle GO terms (Ak1, Ccn1, Olig3, Ecel1), with several more implicated in development-related processes, including transcription regulators Lim/homeobox genes (Lhx9, Lhx2) and RAR-related orphan receptor alpha (Rora) (Bertuzzi et al., 1999; Dussault et al., 1998) (Supplemental Table 1). Differential impact on these genes may also manifest differential toxicogenomic responses observed between C57 and SWV strains.

In general, across both strains, we observed greater effects on gene expression due to Cd at 12-h p.i. compared to 24-h p.i. (Figs. 2, 3). Our observations associate with studies indicating...
greater morphological changes (increased pyknotic nuclei) in cells along the neural tube at 10- to 12-h p.i. (GD 8.0, Cd) compared to later assessments (24-h, 48-h p.i.) (Webster and Messerle, 1980). Interestingly, most molecular studies have identified Cd-induced molecular perturbations at 24-h p.i., including markers of CNS development (Sox2), cell cycle regulation (p53, Cdkn1a) and apoptosis (bax, bcl2, c-casp3) (Fernandez et al., 2003, 2004). Earlier assessments may be of interest to identify sensitive markers of toxicity and upstream mechanisms which initially drive these potential responses.

Yet, under similar exposure conditions as our study (Cd, 4 mg/kg BW, GD 8.0, C57) a recent microarray study only identified nine genes to be significantly altered at 5-h p.i. with Cd ($p < 0.05$, Abs fold change $> 1.4$) (Kultima et al., 2006), implying a small window to detect robust changes using current methodology and providing insight in combination with our study into the temporal response associated with Cd toxicity.

In this study, the observed differential embryonic gene expression response to Cd between C57 and SWV may be driven by multiple embryonic and maternal factors. Initial studies should address potential Cd toxicokinetic and metabolic differences between strains and regulators of ion homeostasis (Zn$^{2+}$), such as metallothioneins and/or ion transporters, which may influence placental or embryonic Cd accumulation.

Furthermore, although the process of neurulation is highly conserved between strains, subtle developmental differences may exist which underlie strain sensitivity. We observed no significant differences in closure of the neural tube (mid/hind brain region) between GD 8.5 and 9.0 in these two strains (Table 2). Likewise, earlier studies suggest similar developmental progress (somite counts) between these two strains during neurulation (Kuczuk and Scott, 1984). Future studies should examine for potential developmental temporal differences in gene expression across neurulation between inbred strains to search for clues which may correlate with sensitivity to teratogens.

Follow-up molecular studies assessing RNA and/or protein will be needed to establish localization and potential

**FIG. 6.** Cd-induced alterations within the ingenuity canonical p53-signaling pathway in C57BL/6J and SWV embryos. Cd-induced alterations in C57 and SWV embryos were mapped to the p53 Signaling canonical ingenuity pathway (w/modifications). Genes are color coded based on Cd-effect specificity. Shapes of genes indicate known functions.
interactions of the proposed targets in this study. Integrating results from this dataset with pre-established gene expression databases such as the EMAGE library (Christiansen et al., 2006) may provide clues of localization of targets during early neurulation and CNS development. For example, expression of nervous system development targets (En2, Zic 1) identified to be downregulated following Cd exposure in the C57 are normally expressed in several regions of the embryo, including the neuroectodermal layer of the cranial neural tube (not shown), supporting the hypothesis that expression of these genes are affected by Cd in regions of the cranial neural tube.

In this study, we present a toxicogenomic approach to methodically identify teratogenic responses which associate with differential sensitivity using resistant and sensitive mouse embryos. In conclusion, this study extends previous observations associating alterations in development, cell cycle and apoptotic processes with environmental teratogens and developmental toxicity (NTDs, mortality, growth) and furthermore, provides insight into the key mechanisms and specific genes that underlie differences in response to teratogens. Results from this study will support future studies investigating time-dependent and dose-response analyses, proteomic alterations, and localization of expression of identified targets and their potential link with NTD formation as well as gene-environment interactions associated with sensitivity.

**SUPPLEMENTARY DATA**

Supplementary data are available online at http://toxsci.oxfordjournals.org/

**TABLE 4**

Secondary Validation of Gene Expression Alterations Using qRT-PCR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>C57 (log₂ ratio, Cd/Con)</th>
<th>SWV (log₂ ratio, Cd/Con)</th>
<th>C57 (log₂ ratio, Cd/Con)</th>
<th>SWV (log₂ ratio, Cd/Con)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>En2</td>
<td>−1.30 ± 0.36*</td>
<td>−0.06 ± 0.38</td>
<td>−1.92 ± 0.40†</td>
<td>−0.48 ± 0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cdkn1a</td>
<td>1.13 ± 0.23*</td>
<td>1.60 ± 0.25*</td>
<td>1.14 ± 0.35†</td>
<td>0.37 ± 0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pmaip1</td>
<td>2.17 ± 0.07*</td>
<td>−0.40 ± 0.18</td>
<td>0.89 ± 0.19†</td>
<td>−0.17 ± 0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurog2</td>
<td>−0.55 ± 0.32</td>
<td>0.26 ± 0.35</td>
<td>−1.46 ± 0.56</td>
<td>0.33 ± 0.42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. To validate Cd-induced gene expression alterations identified using the Codelink platform, we conducted qRT-PCR for En2, Cdkn1a, Pmaip1, and Neurog2. All initial intensity values were adjusted by GADPH intensity, used as an internal housekeeping gene. Log 2 ratios represent comparisons between Cd-exposed and control C57 and SWV embryos 12-h p.i. (n = 3–4). Asterisks signify significant effects assessed using two-sided t-test (p < 0.05). Crosses indicate genes identified as significantly altered (p < 0.01) by Cd across the two time points assessed in our microarray study (12–24-h p.i.). Neurog2 was assessed to compare fold change values in a gene which was not identified to be significantly altered in our microarray study.
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