Acetaminophen-NAPQI Hepatotoxicity: A Cell Line Model System Genome-Wide Association Study
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Acetaminophen is the leading cause of acute hepatic failure in many developed nations. Acetaminophen hepatotoxicity is mediated by the reactive metabolite N-acetyl-p-benzoquinonimine (NAPQI). We performed a “discovery” genome-wide association study using a cell line–based model system to study the possible contribution of genomics to NAPQI-induced cytotoxicity. A total of 176 lymphoblastoid cell lines from healthy subjects were treated with increasing concentrations of NAPQI. Inhibiting concentration 50 values were determined and were associated with “glutathione pathway” gene single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) and genome-wide basal messenger RNA expression, as well as with 1.3 million genome-wide SNPs. A group of SNPs in linkage disequilibrium on chromosome 3 was highly associated with NAPQI toxicity. The \( p \) value for rs2880961, the SNP with the lowest \( p \) value, was \( 1.88 \times 10^{-7} \). This group of SNPs mapped to a “gene desert,” but chromatin immunoprecipitation assays demonstrated binding of several transcription factor proteins including heat shock factor 1 (HSF1) and HSF2, at or near rs2880961. These chromosome 3 SNPs were not significantly associated with variation in basal expression for any of the genome-wide genes represented on the Affymetrix U133 Plus 2.0 GeneChip. We have used a cell line–based model system to identify a SNP signal associated with NAPQI cytotoxicity. If these observations are validated in future clinical studies, this SNP signal might represent a potential biomarker for risk of acetaminophen hepatotoxicity. The mechanisms responsible for this association remain unclear.
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Acetaminophen is widely used as an over-the-counter analgesic and antipyretic agent. Although it is considered a “safe” drug, acetaminophen is the leading cause of acute liver failure in the United States (Larson et al., 2005; Lee, 2004). As a result, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration has recently recommended a stronger warning on the acetaminophen label (2009). Acetaminophen use can also result in elevations in serum aminotransferase levels in healthy adults when administered at the upper limit of currently recommended dosages (Harrill et al., 2009; Watkins et al., 2006). Mortality rates for patients with acetaminophen-induced hepatotoxicity who present with hepatic failure range from 20 to 40% (Makin et al., 1995; Schiodt et al., 1997).

When taken in therapeutic doses, acetaminophen is metabolized primarily by sulfation and glucuronidation (Vermeulen et al., 1992). However, cytochrome P450 (CYP) enzymes, including CYP2E1, CYP1A2, and CYP3A4, convert 5–9% of acetaminophen to a highly reactive metabolite, N-acetyl-p-benzoquinonimine (NAPQI) (Corcoran et al., 1980; Dahlin et al., 1984) (Fig. 1). NAPQI detoxification occurs primarily by glutathione (GSH) conjugation. After GSH depletion, it is thought that NAPQI causes hepatotoxicity by binding to cellular macromolecules, although the exact mechanism of cellular toxicity remains a subject of controversy (Coles et al., 1988; Kaplowitz, 2004; Mitchell et al., 1973; Rogers et al., 1997). N-acetylcysteine treatment can prevent or limit liver injury by restoring hepatic GSH concentrations. Therefore, N-acetylcysteine is used to treat acetaminophen overdose (Mitchell et al., 1973; Prescott et al., 1974). Unfortunately, after hepatic failure has developed, N-acetylcysteine administration is associated with only a 21–28% reduction in mortality (Harrison et al., 1990; Keays et al., 1991). Recent studies have even suggested that delayed administration of N-acetylcysteine may impair hepatic regeneration (Athuraliya and Jones, 2009; Yang et al., 2009). In the clinic, the major predictor for hepatotoxicity is plasma acetaminophen concentration. The Rumack-Matthew nomogram is used clinically to determine whether a patient who presents within 24 h after a single acute acetaminophen drug ingestion should be treated with N-acetylcysteine by predicting the likelihood of hepatotoxicity.
Acetaminophen Metabolism

Based on plasma acetaminophen concentration (Rumack and Matthew, 1975). However, this nomogram is not useful if the time of ingestion is unknown or if toxicity is the result of repeated supratherapeutic doses (Heard, 2008).

Inheritance may contribute to individual variation in susceptibility to acetaminophen hepatotoxicity. However, “pharmacogenetic” studies of acetaminophen hepatotoxicity have generally focused on drug metabolism, e.g., genetic variation in sulfation, glucuronidation, or CYP-mediated transformation (Adjei et al., 2008; Court et al., 2001; Herd et al., 1991; Miners et al., 1986, 1990; Patel et al., 1992). In the present study, we set out to test the hypothesis that genetic variation “downstream” of the formation of NAPQI might contribute to variation in cytotoxicity risk and, as a result, provide insight into mechanisms responsible for acetaminophen-induced hepatotoxicity. To test that hypothesis, we used a “Human Variation Panel” of lymphoblastoid cell lines (LCLs), a pharmacogenomic model system with a demonstrated potential to generate valid pharmacogenomic hypotheses as well as novel mechanistic insights (Li et al., 2008a; Niu et al., 2010; Pei et al., 2009). Studying associations between NAPQI-induced cytotoxicity and single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in this cell line-based model system could also identify biomarkers for predicting the severity of acetaminophen cytotoxicity and to help individualize the therapy of overdose. Our use of NAPQI rather than acetaminophen itself allowed us to directly study variation in the toxicity of the active metabolite, rather than the parent drug. Specifically, we obtained NAPQI IC_{50} values, genome-wide SNPs, and basal messenger RNA (mRNA) expression microarray data for 176 LCLs. After adjusting for race and gender, genotype-phenotype association analyses and mRNA expression-IC_{50} association analyses were performed. We were able to use this model system to study both variation in the “GSH pathway,” the major metabolic pathway known to be responsible for NAPQI detoxification, as well as the possible association of genome-wide SNPs with NAPQI IC_{50} values. These studies resulted in the identification of a “SNP signal” composed of a group of SNPs in linkage disequilibrium (LD) on chromosome 3 that was highly associated with cytotoxicity in this cell line–based pharmacogenomic model system.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell lines. LCLs derived from 60 Caucasian-American (CA), 56 African-American (AA), and 60 Han Chinese-American (HCA) healthy subjects were obtained from the Coriell Cell Repository (Camden, NJ) (sample sets HD100CAU, HD100AA, and HD100CH). The National Institute of General Medical Sciences had anonymized these cell lines before deposit, and all subjects provided written informed consent for the use of their samples for research purposes. These cell lines have been used previously to perform multiple pharmacogenetic studies, with the data deposited in public databases. Our studies were reviewed and approved by the Mayo Clinic Institutional Review Board.

NAPQI cytotoxicity experiments. NAPQI was purchased from Dalton Pharma Services (Toronto, ON, Canada) and was dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) immediately prior to use. Upon receipt, the NAPQI was aliquoted into single-use airtight vials under nitrogen. The aliquots were stored in the dark at −80°C. Cytotoxicity experiments were performed as previously described (Li et al., 2008a). Specifically, after plating each cell line at a concentration of 5 × 10^4 cells per well, the cells were incubated with NAPQI for 24 h at seven concentrations ranging from 0 to 100 μM dissolved in 1% DMSO. The growth inhibitory effect of NAPQI was evaluated by determining the concentration required to inhibit cell growth by 50% (IC_{50}) with the CellTiter Blue assay (Promega, Madison, WI). All experiments were performed in triplicate, and the IC_{50} values reported are averages of those three determinations.

SNP genotyping. DNA from each cell line studied was obtained from the Coriell Cell Repository. Over 1.6 million genome-wide SNPs were genotyped in this DNA for each LCL using Illumina Infinium HumanHap 550K and 510S Bead Chips and Affymetrix 6.0 GeneChips. SNPs within five GSH pathway genes, GSTT1, GSTM1, GSTP1, GSTO1, and GSTO2, had been determined in these same cell lines previously by in-depth gene resequencing, and those SNPs were also included in the analysis (Moyer et al., 2007, 2008; Mukherjee et al., 2006). Specifically, analysis of the GSH pathway included 763 SNPs obtained during the in-depth gene resequencing studies and during genome-wide SNP genotyping. The GSH pathway was defined, as in our previous studies (Moyer et al., 2010), to include genes involved in GSH synthesis (GSS, GCLC, and GCLM), GSH redox status (GSR, GPX1, GPX2, GPX3, GPX4, GPX5, and GPX7), GSH S-transferases (GSTA1, GSTA2, GSTA3, GSTA4, GSTA5, GSTM1, GSTM2, GSTM3, GSTM4, GSTM5, GSTO1, GSTO2, GSTP1, GSTT1, GSTT2, and GSTZ1), and GSH conjugate transporters (ABCC1, ABCC2, ABCC3, and ABCC4).

mRNA microarray analysis. mRNA microarray analysis was performed as described previously (Li et al., 2008a). Specifically, total RNA was extracted from LCLs at baseline using the RNeasy kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). Prior to microarray analysis, RNA quality assessment was performed using the Agilent 2100 bioanalyzer. All RNA samples had Agilent RNA Integrity Number values greater than 9.0. The RNA was then reverse transcribed and biotin labeled for hybridization with Affymetrix U133 Plus 2.0 GeneChips (Affymetrix, Santa Clara, CA). The microarray images were analyzed using quality control techniques established in the Mayo Clinic Microarray Core Facility. Fifty-two probe sets for GSH pathway genes, as described above, were utilized for the pathway analysis. There were no probe sets available for GPX6, GSTA2, or GSTA5.
**Chromatin immunoprecipitation assays.** Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assays were performed using the ChampionChIP one-day kit (SABiosciences, Frederick, MD) for the genomic region containing the rs2880961 SNP. Both real-time and standard PCR were used to monitor ChIP assay results. The following DNA sequences were used to design PCR primers that amplified 275 bp of sequence flanking rs2880961 (Forward primer: 5’-tgtggtttttgtcgtggattatg-3’ and Reverse primer: 5’-ttgcacagcagaacctttgg-3’). ChIP-grade antibodies for transcription factors HSF1 (SC-17756X) and HSF2 (SC-8062X) were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc. (Santa Cruz, CA), whereas nuclear factor (NF)-kappaB antibody (ab7970) was purchased from Abcam (Cambridge, MA). Two microliters DNA from each ChIP assay was used as template for the PCR reactions, and 20 μl of the PCR products were loaded on 1.2% agarose gels for electrophoresis.

**Data analysis.** The cytotoxicity data were fitted to dose-response curves using the R package (R Development Core Team, 2010), and IC_{50} values were estimated using the Cedergreen-Ritz-Streibig five-parameter model (Cedergreen et al., 2005). This model, which is a modification of the four-parameter logistic curve, was utilized to take into account the hormesis observed with NAPQI. SNPs were excluded from the analysis if the call rate was less than 95%, if the minor allele frequency (MAF) was less than 5%, or if the SNP was out of Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) (p < 0.001). One sample for an AA subject was removed from the analysis because the SNP genotype call rate across the sample was less than 95%. After quality control, a total of 1,348,798 SNPs were included in the analysis. To control for population stratification, eigen analysis of the SNP data was performed within each race (Price et al., 2006). The top five eigenvectors for each race were used together with race to adjust the genotype data. The estimated IC_{50} values were log transformed and adjusted for gender, race, and the top five eigenvectors. Association between adjusted IC_{50} values and adjusted genotypes was computed using the test statistic F = (r^2/n - r^2) × df, where r represents the correlation coefficient and df represents the degrees of freedom, a function of the sample size. Finally, p values were calculated based on the F distribution. Pairwise LD was estimated using LD r^2 statistics and was displayed graphically using the Haplovew software (Barrett et al., 2005). To refine the chromosome 3 region associated with NAPQI IC_{50} genotype imputation was performed using MACH 1.0 (Li et al., 2008b, 2009), with HapMap data (http://hapmap.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/) as the reference panel. Specifically, SNPs for AAs were imputed using both CEU and YRI data, SNPs for CAs were imputed based on CEU data, and SNP markers for HCA subjects were imputed based on the Chinese Han Beijing and JPT data.

mRNA expression data were normalized on a log2 scale using GCRMA (Bolstad et al., 2003; Wu et al., 2004). The normalized expression data were regressed on gender and race. Pearson correlation coefficients were calculated for the adjusted IC_{50}, expression levels, and the test statistic, given by t = (r/√(1 - r^2))√(n - 2), where r represents the Pearson correlation coefficient used to test for a nonzero correlation. This test statistic follows a t distribution, with degrees of freedom of n - 2 under the null hypothesis. Q values, a type of false discovery rate control, were computed for each SNP (Storey, 2002). The percentage of variation in IC_{50} explained by GSH pathway variation was calculated based on the coefficient of determination, r^2, using

**FIG. 2.** Cytotoxicity curves and IC_{50} distribution by ethnic group. Two representative NAPQI cytotoxicity dose-response curves are shown on the left in (A) and (B). Each point in (A) and (B) represents the mean ± SEM for three separate determinations. The percentage of viable cells after a 24-h incubation with NAPQI is plotted on the y-axis, with the concentration of NAPQI on the x-axis. IC_{50} values represent the concentration of NAPQI at which 50% of the cells, relative to control, were viable. The top curve in (A) shows an example of the apparent “hormesis” observed with some cell lines. The panel on the right shows the distribution of IC_{50} values for all 176 cell lines studied, with the bars for each sample color coded by ethnic group (AA, red; CA, blue; HCA, yellow). The height of each bar represents the IC_{50} value for that cell line.
a multiple regression model between IC$_{50}$ values and expression of individual probe sets.

RESULTS

NAPQI Cytotoxicity

NAPQI cytotoxicity studies were performed for each cell line to determine the extent of interindividual variation in IC$_{50}$ values as a measure of NAPQI toxicity and as a phenotype for association studies designed to identify potential biomarkers for the prediction of toxicity risk. Figure 2 shows representative NAPQI cytotoxicity curves for two LCLs (Fig. 2A and 2B) as well as a graphical representation of the IC$_{50}$ values calculated for all 176 cell lines (Fig. 2C). Large individual variations in NAPQI IC$_{50}$ values were observed (Fig. 2C). The average NAPQI IC$_{50}$ for these cell lines was $6.5 \pm 4.5 \mu M$ (mean $\pm$ SD). No differences were observed in NAPQI IC$_{50}$ values between males and females, $p = 0.63$, or among ethnic groups, $p = 0.24$. At low concentrations of NAPQI, a slight increase in proliferation, suggestive of hormesis, was observed in many of the cell lines (see Fig. 2A). Hormesis refers to a dose-response relationship that is characterized by increased proliferation in response to low doses of toxic substances that normally cause growth inhibition at higher doses (Calabrese, 2010).

GSH Pathway Analyses

Although GSH conjugation is the only known mechanism for the biotransformation of NAPQI, variation in the basal expression of GSH pathway genes could explain only 37.3% of the variation in NAPQI IC$_{50}$ in this Human Variation Panel model system. Specifically, 716 SNPs in 31 genes in the GSH pathway were examined. Of these SNPs, 41 had $p$ values < 0.05 (Supplementary table 1). Particularly striking was the heavy representation of SNPs in the ATP-binding cassette transporter gene, ABCC4. When the 52 expression probe sets for 28 of these genes (there were no probe sets available for GPX6, GSTA2, or GSTA5) were examined, five had $p$ values for association with NAPQI cytotoxicity that were less than 0.05 (Fig. 3A, red dots; Supplementary table 2). However, none of these associations remained significant after correction for multiple comparisons. Therefore, we moved beyond the known GSH pathway to an “unbiased” genome-wide approach in an attempt to identify SNPs and/or mRNA expression that might be associated with NAPQI toxicity.

Genome-Wide SNP Analyses

Correlations between SNP genotypes and NAPQI IC$_{50}$ values were determined in an attempt to identify biomarkers that might help to predict risk for NAPQI-induced cytotoxicity. In total, 1,348,798 SNPs passed quality control checks and were included in the analysis. Specifically, 48,754 SNPs were excluded because of call rates < 95%, 277,598 were excluded for MAFs less than 0.05, and 14,244 were excluded because of deviation from HWE, with $p$ values < 0.001. Table 1 lists the top 15 SNPs for NAPQI IC$_{50}$ associations (all SNPs with $p$ values < $10^{-4}$ are listed in Supplementary table 3), and Figure 3B shows a Manhattan plot for all the SNPs studied. A $Q-Q$ plot for these data is included as Supplementary figure 1. The SNP with the lowest $p$ value was rs2880961 on chromosome 3, with $p = 1.88 \times 10^{-7}$. Ten of the top 15 SNPs with the lowest $p$ values among the 1.3 million SNPs analyzed were located in the same region of chromosome 3 (see Table 1). This group of SNPs was in high LD, and the top SNPs were located in a region of chromosome 3 without known genes, 275 kb downstream from C3orf38 and 624 kb upstream of EPHA3 (Fig. 4). The most highly associated SNP, rs2880961, had a permutation $p$ value of 0.107. The MAF for this SNP varied by population, with AA = 0.19, CA = 0.35, and HCA = 0.44. In addition to SNPs represented on the genotyping platforms used to study the DNA from these cell lines, we also imputed the SNPs in this region (Fig. 4). Although this region contained no known genes, examination of the region with the Vista Genome Browser (Frazer et al., 2004) revealed many evolutionarily conserved segments. The region encompassing rs2880961, corresponding to chromosome 3 positions 88,606,732 to 88,606,874, is very highly conserved with the

![NAPQI IC$_{50}$ Value Associations with Expression Probe Sets and SNPs](https://academic.oup.com/toxsci/article-abstract/120/1/33/1664692/91)

**FIG. 3.** Manhattan plots for expression and SNPs. The y-axis of each graph represents the $-\log_{10}(p$ value) for the association, whereas the x-axis gives the chromosomal location of the expression array probe set or SNP. (A) Expression array data versus IC$_{50}$. Red dots represent “GSH pathway” probe sets. (B) SNP versus IC$_{50}$ associations.
rhesus macaque. The area in which the chromosome 3 SNP signal is located also contains multiple clusters of predicted transcription factor binding sites that are conserved with the dog, mouse, and chicken. One of those conserved regions, predicted to be a portion of an unidentified gene with ATP-binding cassette domains, was located only about 7 kb upstream from rs2880961, the SNP with the strongest signal in our genome-wide association study (GWAS).

**Genome-Wide Basal mRNA Expression Analysis**

In an attempt to better understand baseline characteristics of the cells that might predispose to NAPQI-mediated cytotoxicity, basal mRNA expression was also correlated with IC\textsubscript{50} values. Nineteen probe sets were associated with NAPQI IC\textsubscript{50} with \( p < 0.0001 \) (Table 2). None of the genes close to the chromosome 3 SNPs identified during the genome-wide SNP analysis had transcripts that were associated with IC\textsubscript{50}. However, that does not rule out the possibility that the SNPs may be related to expression through \textit{trans} effects.

**Characterization of rs2880961**

The conserved segments near the chromosome 3 SNPs associated with NAPQI IC\textsubscript{50} values could potentially represent binding sites for proteins, such as transcription factors. Therefore, a transcription factor binding site search was performed with TFSEARCH (Heinemeyer \textit{et al.}, 1998) to identify possible alterations by rs2880961 of transcription factor binding motifs. When the nucleotide present at that locus was the wild type (WT), CCAAT enhancer-binding protein (C/EBP) was predicted to bind (score = 86.2 out of 100). However, when the variant nucleotide was present, HSF2 (score = 90.4), NF-kappaB (89.6), and HSF1 (87.7) were predicted to bind, but the C/EBP binding site was predicted to remain intact (score = 86.2). Therefore, as the next step in our analysis, ChIP assays for possible binding of HSF1, HSF2, and NF-kappaB were performed. We observed, as predicted, binding of all three of these transcription factors (Fig. 5). However, there was not a striking difference in binding between WT and variant sequences for any of the three transcription factors tested.

Finally, the binding of transcription factors at this locus could potentially affect the expression of other genes either close to this location or elsewhere in the genome. Because no candidate genes were located near this position, we also...
performed a GWAS of genotype at rs2880961 with genomewide mRNA expression probes. However, none of the 54,000 Affymetrix probe sets displayed significant variation in expression that was associated with rs2880961 genotype.

**DISCUSSION**

Acetaminophen is metabolized to form NAPQI in vivo, and NAPQI is primarily responsible for the cytotoxic effects of this widely used drug. It is known that GSH conjugation plays an important role in the biotransformation of NAPQI (Mitchell et al., 1973). However, very little is known with regard to genes that might influence susceptibility or resistance to this highly reactive metabolite. Therefore, we utilized a Human Variation Panel cell line–based model system that included both genome-wide expression and SNP data to perform a study of the association of NAPQI IC50 values with genomic biomarkers. By studying NAPQI rather than acetaminophen, we were able to focus exclusively on genes and pathways related to the metabolism and toxicity of this highly reactive acetaminophen metabolite rather than its metabolic generation, which is subject to variation as a result of interindividual differences in sulfation, glucuronidation, and the activity of CYP enzymes.

It had been reported previously that proliferation is one way in which hepatocytes may respond to toxic stimuli (Bell et al., 1988; Calabrese and Mehendale, 1996; Mehendale, 1991) and that low concentrations of acetaminophen stimulate cellular proliferation (Gadd et al., 2002; Schonberg and Skorpen, 1997). Of interest is the fact that we observed proliferation at low doses of NAPQI (Fig. 2A). Variation in ability to stimulate proliferation among the cell lines in our model system may be one factor contributing to the variation in NAPQI IC50 values that we observed.

As mentioned previously, the GSH pathway has been implicated as an important drug-metabolizing system for the detoxification of NAPQI (Coles et al., 1988; Mitchell et al., 1973; Rogers et al., 1997). Therefore, we began by studying the contribution of this important pathway to variation in NAPQI toxicity. Although GSH pathway genes play an important role in the detoxification of NAPQI, individually they did not appear to be useful biomarkers for variation in NAPQI-dependent cytotoxicity. Although variation in the expression of the GSH pathway as a whole, i.e., the combined effect of variation in expression of all these genes, could explain 37.3% of the variation in NAPQI IC50 values, no individual probe set or SNP had extremely low p values or would have been selected as a biomarker for NAPQI cytotoxicity in this cell line–based model system. These observations may be due, in part, to the fact that the GSH pathway includes many genes and gene families with overlapping substrate specificities. As a result, even after analysis of the GSH pathway, the majority of the variation in IC50 values remained unexplained.

In an attempt to identify novel candidates that might potentially be useful biomarkers for risk of NAPQI-induced cytotoxicity, we next performed genome-wide analyses of SNP-IC50 associations. The striking SNP signal that we observed on chromosome 3 (Figs. 3 and 4) did represent a potential biomarker for NAPQI-dependent cytotoxicity. The association of NAPQI IC50 with genotype at rs2880961 and the group of SNPs in LD with rs2880961 shown in Figure 4 had very low p values and warrant further evaluation. However, the identification of mechanisms by which this group of SNPs might be associated with IC50 values remains a challenge. These SNPs are located approximately 275 kb away from the nearest gene, C3orf38. Understanding of mechanisms responsible for this association could result in the identification of novel drug targets and/or the development of new treatment modalities and/or protocols for treating acetaminophen overdose. However, as has been demonstrated by many other GWAS, when a “signal” is detected in a region devoid of annotated genes, it is difficult to determine underlying

**TABLE 2**

Expression Array Probe Sets Associated with NAPQI IC50 with p < 10^-4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Probe set</th>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Chromosome</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>q</th>
<th>Correlation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>226989_at</td>
<td>RGMG</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8.00 x 10^-6</td>
<td>0.25039</td>
<td>-0.32948</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>229016_s_at</td>
<td>TREF1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.77 x 10^-5</td>
<td>0.25039</td>
<td>-0.31736</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>206037_at</td>
<td>CCB1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2.46 x 10^-5</td>
<td>0.25039</td>
<td>-0.31225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>229017_s_at</td>
<td>RIPK5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.97 x 10^-5</td>
<td>0.25039</td>
<td>-0.30924</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>212698_s_at</td>
<td>SEPT10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.27 x 10^-5</td>
<td>0.25039</td>
<td>-0.30765</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202741_at</td>
<td>PRKACB</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.43 x 10^-5</td>
<td>0.25039</td>
<td>0.306891</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>277339_at</td>
<td>RGMG</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.64 x 10^-5</td>
<td>0.25039</td>
<td>-0.30595</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>205270_s_at</td>
<td>LCP2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.73 x 10^-5</td>
<td>0.25039</td>
<td>-0.30554</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>225792_at</td>
<td>HOOK1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.17 x 10^-5</td>
<td>0.25039</td>
<td>0.303737</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>205204_at</td>
<td>NMB</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4.64 x 10^-5</td>
<td>0.25101</td>
<td>-0.30197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>244063_at</td>
<td>BTN2A1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.09 x 10^-5</td>
<td>0.27044</td>
<td>-0.29745</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>205965_at</td>
<td>BATF</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>6.22 x 10^-5</td>
<td>0.27044</td>
<td>-0.2971</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>225835_s_at</td>
<td>OTP</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7.18 x 10^-5</td>
<td>0.27044</td>
<td>-0.2947</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>228583_at</td>
<td>C14orf46</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>7.70 x 10^-5</td>
<td>0.27044</td>
<td>0.293519</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>212270_s_at</td>
<td>RPL17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>8.78 x 10^-5</td>
<td>0.27044</td>
<td>-0.29313</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>227370_at</td>
<td>FAM171B</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8.00 x 10^-5</td>
<td>0.27044</td>
<td>-0.29286</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>214472_at</td>
<td>HIST1H3D</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8.91 x 10^-5</td>
<td>0.27941</td>
<td>0.291016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>219976_at</td>
<td>HOOK1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9.46 x 10^-5</td>
<td>0.27941</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>241813_at</td>
<td>MBDD1</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>9.82 x 10^-5</td>
<td>0.27941</td>
<td>-0.28936</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FIG. 5**

rs2880961 ChIP assays. The figure shows ChIP assays performed using lymphoblastoid cells with known genotypes at rs2880961. V, variant genotype; WT, wild-type genotype.
mechanisms responsible for that signal (Ghoussaini et al., 2008; McPherson et al., 2007). We were able to detect transcription factor binding to the region immediately surrounding rs2880961, but we did not observe striking differences in binding to WT and variant SNP sequences based on ChIP assays performed with three transcription factors (Fig. 5). We also attempted to identify variation in the basal expression of genes that might be associated with rs2880961. However, no transcripts were identified that were significantly associated with genotype at rs2880961. Because GWAS utilize tagging SNPs, it is unclear whether rs2880961, the observed SNP with the lowest $p$ value, is the causal SNP or if a linked SNP—one not on the genotyping platform—might be responsible for the association with NAPQI IC$_{50}$.

A recent study by Harrill et al. (2009) identified CD44 as a potential biomarker for acetaminophen-induced liver injury in humans. We were unable to replicate this finding in our study, which included 12 expression array probe sets for CD44 (lowest $p$ value $1.3 \times 10^{-3}$). However, our study differed significantly from the study of Harrill et al. (2009) both in the model system that we utilized and the compound studied—acetaminophen for the study of Harrill et al. (2009) versus NAPQI in our experiments.

Acetaminophen overdose and resultant hepatotoxicity is a common, life-threatening medical problem, but the algorithm utilized in the clinical setting to direct treatment is not optimal in many situations. Therefore, the identification of valid biomarkers that might predict risk for toxicity could help to improve patient care. Those biomarkers might also lead to the identification of novel drug targets, better treatment strategies, and a more complete understanding of sensitivity to drug-induced hepatotoxicity. In the present “discovery” study, we have performed GSH pathway–based and genome-wide analyses of SNP genotypes versus IC$_{50}$ values, utilizing 176 Human Variation Panel LCLs. The strongest association detected involved a group of SNPs in tight LD on chromosome 3. Those SNPs, polymorphisms that are not located near any known genes, map to a region that displays significant evolutionary conservation and that may contain transcription factor binding sites or encode transcripts that are involved in the trans-regulation of the transcription of distant genes or the regulation of not yet identified genes. Future studies will be required to confirm the association of rs2880961 and the surrounding SNPs with NAPQI toxicity, to understand the mechanisms underlying that association, and to elucidate the possible relevance of this region of chromosome 3 for drug-induced hepatic injury.
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