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Obesity is of global health concern. There are well-described inverse relationships between female pubertal timing and obesity. Recent genome-wide association studies of age at menarche identified several obesity-related variants. Using data from the ReproGen Consortium, we employed meta-analytical techniques to estimate the associations of 95 a priori and recently identified obesity-related (body mass index (weight (kg)/height (m)²), waist circumference, and waist:hip ratio) single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) with age at menarche in 92,116 women of European descent from 38 studies (1970–2010), in order to estimate associations between genetic variants associated with central or overall adiposity and pubertal timing in girls. Investigators in each study performed a separate analysis of associations between the selected SNPs and age at menarche (ages 9–17 years) using linear regression models and adjusting for birth year, site (as appropriate), and population stratification. Heterogeneity of effect-measure estimates was investigated using meta-regression. Six novel associations of body mass index loci with age at menarche were identified, and 11 adiposity loci previously reported to be associated with age at menarche were confirmed, but none of the central adiposity variants individually showed significant associations. These findings suggest complex genetic relationships between menarche and overall obesity, and to a lesser extent central obesity, in normal processes of growth and development.
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Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; GIANT, Genetic Investigation of Anthropometric Traits; GWAS, genome-wide association study(ies); SNP, single-nucleotide polymorphism.
There have been dramatic changes in the prevalence of obesity worldwide (1). Although higher-income countries have been at the forefront of the obesity epidemic, developing countries have recently shown striking trends in obesity (1, 2). Given that obesity may influence multiple physiological functions in children and adolescents (3, 4), recent trends may have lasting health impacts.

Age at menarche is considered a marker of hypothalamic-pituitary-driven pubertal development in girls. Secular trends towards earlier age at menarche began during the late 19th century in European countries (5). Early menarche is a risk factor for increased adult adiposity (5), type 2 diabetes (6, 7), breast cancer (8, 9), adolescent risk-taking behaviors (10) and all-cause mortality (11).

Global and local obesogenic changes in the environment and gene-environment interactions are hypothesized to account for recent shifts in the distributions of both age at menarche and adult obesity (5). A negative association between childhood obesity and timing of menarche has been described (12), and a recent Mendelian randomization study suggested a possible causal relationship for this association (13). Another study has shown that earlier menarche is associated with adult obesity and predicts faster growth tempo among a woman’s children (14).

Although the biological mechanisms of the relationship(s) among increased childhood/adolescent adiposity, menarcheal timing, and adult obesity have not been elucidated (3, 5), there is evidence for genetic influences (15, 16). For instance, the fat mass and obesity-associated gene (FTO) has been associated with a decrease in age at menarche and increased body mass index (BMI; weight [kg]/height [m]²) in childhood and adulthood (17). Recent genome-wide association studies (GWAS) have identified several loci for age at menarche (18–22), several of which were also associated with measures of obesity over the life course (18, 23, 24). In a candidate single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) study, Elks et al. (18) recently described 11 adiposity-related (BMI and waist circumference) loci that were also associated with age at menarche (P < 0.05) among women of European ancestry.

Two recent large GWAS from the Genetic Investigation of Anthropometric Traits (GIANT) Consortium have substantially expanded the number of validated overall (BMI) and central adiposity (waist:hip ratio adjusted for BMI) loci by reporting divergent sets of novel loci (18 and 13, respectively) for these traits in persons of European ancestry (25, 26). Genetic and environmental factors may contribute to increased prepubertal adiposity, resulting in early menarche, shorter stature, and increase postpubertal weight gain in women (5). Thus, understanding the relationship between age at menarche and obesity may have important implications for public health. Therefore, we aimed to systematically investigate the association between newly identified BMI-, waist circumference-, and waist:hip ratio-related SNPs and age at menarche in 92,116 women of European descent.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Population and outcome

Data from 38 studies in the ReproGen Consortium (see Web Table 1 and the Web Appendix, available at http://aje.oxfordjournals.org/) were included (18). Each study consisted of women with genome-wide association genetic information who identified themselves as being of European descent and who reported their age at menarche as being between 9 and 17 years of age (n = 92,116). Exclusion of women with extreme ages at menarche was intended to capture the normal range of pubertal variation by excluding precocious or late-onset puberty or amenorrhea, which might be due to rare genetic variants with large effect sizes (e.g., Mendelian disorders).

The institutional review board of the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill reviewed and approved this research.

SNP selection

An a priori list of adiposity SNPs was compiled using the National Human Genome Research Institute GWAS catalog (27) for reported associations in the literature (P < 1 × 10⁻⁵) with adult BMI, waist circumference, and waist:hip ratio as of August 11, 2011 (25, 26, 28–37). Sixty-eight of the resulting 95 candidate SNPs were previously reported to be genome-wide significant (P < 5 × 10⁻⁸; Web Table 2). When information on direction of effect was absent in the literature, the direction of each SNP-adiposity association was supplemented by publicly available data from overall (BMI) or central (waist:hip ratio adjusted for BMI) adiposity analyses, as appropriate (25, 26). Further information on SNP selection is presented in Web Figure 1, parts A–D.

Linkage disequilibrium among variants

Because of our reliance on multiple sources to generate our candidate SNP list, some loci contained more than 1 SNP or were associated with more than 1 obesity-related phenotype. The 11 adiposity SNPs previously reported to be associated with menarche in the literature are presented in Web Table 3 (18). An additional 14 SNPs at these 11 loci and 70 SNPs at 60 loci not previously described as being associated with menarche were then assessed for dependence with respect to phenotype using publicly available information derived from HapMap CEU data (38). Variants that were within 500 kilobases of one another and in low linkage disequilibrium with each other (r² < 0.2) were considered to not represent the same underlying signal. If 2 or more SNPs in linkage disequilibrium (r² ≥ 0.2) were available, the most replicated SNP in the GWAS literature (27) was selected to represent the region (Table 1, Web Table 4). The other SNPs are shown in Web Table 5.

Only 1 locus (defined as ±500 kilobases in size) had evidence of 2 signals based on our above methodology: the brain-derived neurotrophic factor gene (BDNF) (Table 1). Multiple SNPs at the melanocortin 4 receptor (MC4R) and neurexin-3 (NRXN3) loci have been reported in association with various adiposity phenotypes (25, 31). rs489693 and rs12970134 at the MC4R locus were considered to represent waist circumference and BMI, respectively (Web Table 4), whereas NRXN3 was considered to represent BMI only (31). Therefore, rs10150332 is presented in Web Table 4 and a second NRXN3 variant, rs10146997, in perfect linkage...
Table 1. Associations Between Body Mass Index* and Single-Nucleotide Polymorphisms at Novel Age-at-Menarche Loci and Loci Previously Reported to Be Associated With Age at Menarche ($P < 0.05/95^b$) Among Women ($n \leq 92,105$) From 38 Studies in the ReproGen Consortium, 1970–2010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference SNP No.</th>
<th>Chromosome</th>
<th>Position,* base pairs</th>
<th>Nearest Gene</th>
<th>No. of Studies</th>
<th>No. of Women</th>
<th>Allele Coded</th>
<th>Other Coded</th>
<th>Coded Frequency (SE), daysd</th>
<th>Estimate (SE), daysd</th>
<th>$P$ Value</th>
<th>$P$ for Heterogeneity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Novel AAM Loci</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A G</td>
<td>T C</td>
<td>−12.2 (2.4) 4.0 $\times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs1514175</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>74,764,232</td>
<td>TNNI3K</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>89,922</td>
<td>A G</td>
<td>T C</td>
<td>11.7 (2.4) 8.4 $\times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs713586</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>25,011,512</td>
<td>RBJ</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>92,078</td>
<td>T C</td>
<td>T C</td>
<td>−11.1 (2.7) 3.8 $\times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs889792</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>59,156,381</td>
<td>FANCL</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>92,063</td>
<td>T C</td>
<td>T C</td>
<td>9.6 (2.4) 7.8 $\times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs10769908d</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8,440,665</td>
<td>STK33</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>86,344</td>
<td>T C</td>
<td>T C</td>
<td>−12.7 (2.9) 1.3 $\times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs2241423</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>65,873,892</td>
<td>MAP2K5</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>89,085</td>
<td>A G</td>
<td>T C</td>
<td>13.1 (2.9) 6.1 $\times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs12444979</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>19,841,101</td>
<td>GPRC5B</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>88,557</td>
<td>T C</td>
<td>T C</td>
<td>13.5 (3.7) 2.6 $\times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previously Reported AAM Loci</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A G</td>
<td>T C</td>
<td>−13.4 (2.4) 3.3 $\times 10^{-8}$</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs2568958</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>72,537,704</td>
<td>NEGR1</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>92,071</td>
<td>A G</td>
<td>T C</td>
<td>19.2 (3.1) 6.7 $\times 10^{-10}$</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs543874</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>176,156,103</td>
<td>SEC16B</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>92,053</td>
<td>A G</td>
<td>A G</td>
<td>18.4 (3.2) 8.5 $\times 10^{-9}$</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs7561317e</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>634,953</td>
<td>TMEM18</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>92,083</td>
<td>A G</td>
<td>A G</td>
<td>12.5 (3.1) 7.0 $\times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs9816226</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>187,317,193</td>
<td>ETV5</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>92,067</td>
<td>A T</td>
<td>T C</td>
<td>−16.7 (2.4) 9.5 $\times 10^{-12}$</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs7481311e</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>27,539,705</td>
<td>BDNF</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>89,927</td>
<td>T C</td>
<td>T C</td>
<td>13.6 (3.2) 1.7 $\times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs6265d,e</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>27,636,492</td>
<td>BDNF</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>89,934</td>
<td>T C</td>
<td>T C</td>
<td>11.4 (2.6) 9.3 $\times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs8050136e</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>52,373,776</td>
<td>FTO</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>89,844</td>
<td>A C</td>
<td>A C</td>
<td>−16.2 (2.4) 9.5 $\times 10^{-12}$</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs29941</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>39,001,372</td>
<td>KCTD15</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>92,065</td>
<td>A G</td>
<td>A G</td>
<td>−12.2 (2.4) 4.0 $\times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: AAM, age at menarche; BMI, body mass index; GIANT, Genetic Investigation of Anthropometric Traits; SE, standard error; SNP, single-nucleotide polymorphism.

* Weight (kg)/height (m)$^2$.

b All associations shown had a $P$ value less than a Bonferroni correction for 95 tests or $P < 0.00053$.


d The T allele for rs6265 at $BDNF$ has been associated with increases in both menarche and BMI. All other SNP associations in the table have inverse associations for the same coded allele with menarche and BMI from the literature. However, information on direction of association with BMI for rs10769908 at $STK33$ was supplemented by publicly available data from the GIANT Consortium as well as information from another SNP (rs4929949 at $RPL27AI$, shown in Web Table 4) in linkage disequilibrium ($r^2 = 0.97$).

e Unlike those listed in Web Table 3, these SNPs have not previously been reported to be associated with age at menarche. However, they were generally in linkage disequilibrium ($r^2 \geq 0.2$) with other SNPs previously reported to be associated with both adiposity traits and menarche (18) (see Web Table 3). Nonetheless, the associations presented here are larger by up to 5,389 additional samples and now include heterogeneity $P$ values. Additional SNP associations at 3 of these previously reported menarche-adiposity loci are reported in Web Table 4 ($TMEM18$, $BDNF$, and $FTO$), because they were in linkage disequilibrium with the noted SNPs ($r^2 \geq 0.2$).

f The $BDNF$ locus had evidence of 2 signals (represented here by rs7481311 and rs6265) based on linkage disequilibrium ($r^2 < 0.2$).
disequilibrium with rs10150332 ($r^2 = 1.0$) is presented in Web Table 5.

**Quality control**

This study used existing genetic data from the ReproGen Consortium. Study-specific call rates, minor allele frequencies, Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium, and other quality control measures are described briefly in the Web Appendix and more in depth elsewhere (18). Imputed SNPs used in analyses were required to have a quality score greater than 0.3 (MACH software, variable rsq [https://mathgen.stats.ox.ac.uk/impute/impute.html]) or greater than 0.4 (IMPUTE software, proper_info [https://mathgen.stats.ox.ac.uk/impute/impute.html]).

**Meta-analytical techniques**

Investigators in each participating study performed a linear regression of age at menarche (in years) on the number of coded alleles per SNP, while adjusting for birth year, population stratification, and study center (as applicable). We tested between-study heterogeneity for all SNP associations and considered a given association potentially heterogeneous when the heterogeneity $P$ value was less than 0.10.

Study-specific results were meta-analyzed using inverse variance weighting fixed-effect meta-analysis (METAL) (39). All estimated changes in age at menarche per allele (i.e., slopes) were then converted to represent changes in menarche onset in days per allele ($365.25 \times \hat{\beta}_{\text{year}} = \hat{\beta}_{\text{days}}$) and are referred to as effect estimates.

In the presence of potential heterogeneity, we used random-effects meta-analysis and performed meta-regression using restricted maximum likelihood in Stata 11 (StataCorp LP, College Station, Texas). The following study-specific characteristics were used (Web Table 1): population-based cohort study of unrelated individuals (vs. non-population-based/family study), population isolate (vs. nonendogamous source population), European country (vs. United States/Australia) with an obesity epidemic (vs. without an obesity epidemic, defined as <20% of the adult female population being obese in the most recent publicly available survey (40)), coded allele frequency (0–1), imputed SNP (vs. genotyped SNP), imputation quality score (0–1 for imputed SNP, 1 for genotyped SNP), age at report (mean and standard deviation), and birth year (mean and standard deviation). We also performed a meta-regression of age at menarche on birth year to account for differences in the precision of study-level average menarcheal ages.

**Multiple testing**

We performed a Bonferroni correction for all estimated SNP associations ($n = 95$) without consideration of the adiposity phenotypes, genetic signal dependence, or previous reports of significance in the literature (18). Therefore, we considered SNP associations not previously described as involving additional associations with menarche as significant if their 2-sided $P$ value was below 0.0005. For the 11 adiposity SNPs previously reported to be associated with menarche, we considered directionally consistent effects as confirmatory of the results of Elks et al. (18), because of the overlap of approximately 87,800 stage 1 samples in this study and the previous report.

Alternative multiple testing methods were considered comprising 1 additional family-wise error rate method and 2 false discovery rate methods (Web Appendix).

**Cumulative genetic effects**

Genetic risk scores for overall (BMI) and central (waist circumference/waist:hip ratio) adiposity were calculated using comparable methods (Web Appendix) to investigate the cumulative effects of these variants on age at menarche (41, 42).

**Pathway and protein-protein interaction analyses**

As described in the Web Appendix, we used 3 methods to further investigate biological processes, based on observed patterns of association (25, 43–45).

**RESULTS**

**Menarche secular trends and birth cohort effects**

The mean age at menarche among the 38 studies, which collected data between 1970 and 2010, ranged from 12.4 years in the Women’s Genome Health Study to 13.7 years in the CROATIA-Korcula and KORA studies (Web Table 1). The average age at which women reported having undergone menarche ranged between 12.7 years (Western Australian Pregnancy Cohort) and 69.6 years (Rotterdam Study I). No study measured age at menarche prospectively in the entire sample. However, through parent-assisted reports, investigators in the Western Australian Pregnancy Cohort assessed menarche prospectively in the majority of its sample (596 of 614 girls) at an average age of 12.7 years. Similarly, in the 1958 British Birth Cohort, researchers assessed menarche during adolescence at an average of 16.1 years—however, they did so retrospectively.

Secular trends at the population level exist for age at menarche (5). A similar trend is shown in the ReproGen Consortium (Figure 1), in which studies with more recent birth years also had lower average ages of menarche (a 1.0-day decline in age at menarche per decade; estimated in a meta-regression not shown). The oldest birth cohort was the Nurses’ Health Study, and the youngest was the Western Australian Pregnancy Cohort (Web Table 1).

**Genetic findings**

Among the 60 adiposity loci not previously associated with age at menarche, 6 BMI loci contained at least 1 SNP that was significantly associated with age at menarche after adjustment for multiple testing (Table 1; Web Table 6), whereas 54 loci showed weaker evidence of association ($P \geq 0.0005$; Web Figure 1B and Web Tables 4 and 5). No
waist circumference or waist:hip ratio SNPs were significantly associated with age at menarche.

All 11 adiposity loci previously reported to be associated with age at menarche were also associated with menarche in this study (P < 0.05), of which 9 SNP associations were significant after Bonferroni correction (Web Table 3). Seven loci contained at least 1 additional statistically significant SNP (P < 7 × 10^-5; Table 1) in high linkage disequilibrium with the index SNP.

Description of 6 BMI loci with novel menarche associations

Of the significant BMI variants (shown in Table 1 by chromosomal location and in Web Figure 2 by decreasing magnitude of effect), GPRCSB on chromosome 16 showed the strongest magnitude of effect on age at menarche (rs12444979-T; a 13.5-day increase (Web Figure 2A)). A signal at MAP2K5 on chromosome 15 had the next strongest effect (rs2241423-A; a 13.1-day increase (Web Figure 2B)). Both coded alleles confer an increase in age at menarche and a decrease in BMI (25).

rs1514175-A at TNNI3K, previously reported to increase adult BMI (25), was associated with menarche onset that was decreased by 12.2 days, but the locus showed evidence of between-study heterogeneity (P = 0.07). As compared with the fixed-effect estimate (Web Figure 2C), the random-effects estimate was larger and more imprecise (decreases in menarche per A allele were 12.2 days (95% confidence interval: -16.8, -7.7) and 14.6 days (95% confidence interval: -21.2, -8.0), respectively). In a meta-regression with study-specific characteristics, we estimated the variance between studies (τ^2) to be zero across the 37 studies with information on rs1514175. Moreover, it indicated that population-based studies or those with genotyped data tended to have smaller effect estimates as compared with non-population-based designs or studies with imputed data (P ≤ 0.01). No other study characteristics appeared to significantly predict study-specific effect estimates (P ≥ 0.05).

The association of each rs713586-T allele at the RBJ locus was estimated to reflect an 11.7-day increase in menarche (Web Figure 2D) and a decrease in BMI (25). rs887912 at FANCL was associated with an 11.1-day decrease in menarche per T allele (Web Figure 2E) and has been reported to be associated with increased BMI (25). Lastly, rs10769908 (near STK33) was associated with an increased age at menarche of 9.6 days for each T allele (Web Figure 2F). Although the direction of effect of rs10769908 on BMI was not published (37), publicly available data from the GIANT Consortium indicated that each additional T allele was associated with decreased BMI (25). Moreover, rs10769908 was in high linkage disequilibrium (r^2 = 0.97) with another SNP, rs4929949, which had a similar magnitude and precision (Web Table 5) as rs10769908 and has a documented negative effect on BMI (25). Each of these SNPs (or a proxy thereof) showed inverse associations with BMI and age at menarche.

Loci with no statistically significant evidence of association

Fifty-four adiposity loci containing 55 independent genetic signals and 8 dependent genetic signals (Web Tables 4 and 5, respectively) were not associated with age at menarche after the Bonferroni correction. Of these 54 loci, 12 BMI and waist circumference loci contained at least 1 nominally significant SNP association (P < 0.05; Web Tables 4 and 5)—10 loci having inverse associations and 2 loci having parallel effects on adiposity and menarche.

Previously reported menarche-adiposity loci

Of the 11 previously reported adiposity-menarche loci (18), all index SNPs were significant (P < 0.05), were directionally consistent, and had inverse or unknown (e.g., rs11084753) effects on adiposity and menarche (Web Table 3). Nine index SNPs also had evidence of association with age at menarche below a Bonferroni correction (P < 0.0005), and an additional index SNP at ETV5, rs7647305, was in tight linkage disequilibrium (r^2 = 0.79) with another ETV5 SNP with strong evidence of association (rs9816226; Table 1).

In addition to the 11 index SNPs previously described (18), 7 loci (NEGR1, SEC16B, TMEM18, BDNF, FTO, and KCTD15) contained 14 SNP associations (8 are presented in Table 1 (P < 7 × 10^-5) and 6 in Web Table 5 (P < 0.03)). Notably, all additional BMI SNPs at BDNF were associated with similar magnitudes of effect (P < 1.7 × 10^-5; Table 1 and Web Table 5), but linkage disequilibrium patterns indicated that rs10767664 and rs6265 (r^2 = 0.77, P < 0.021) and rs925946 and rs7481311 (r^2 = 0.57, P < 0.017) were in tighter linkage disequilibrium within themselves than with the other group (r^2 < 0.15). Similar to previous work (18), 4 index SNPs at or near GNPDA2, TFA0P2B,
MSRA, and FAIM2 were confirmed to be associated with age at menarche (Web Table 3), and 4 BMI loci (MTCH2, MC4R, SH2B1, NRXN3) were not associated with age at menarche.

Multiple testing

Because of the highly nonuniform distribution of $P$ values among the 95 candidate SNP associations (Web Figure 3, parts A and B), various approaches were contrasted. Holm $P$ values were comparable to Bonferroni’s (Web Tables 7 and 8). In contrast, the false discovery rate and positive false discovery rate, which yielded less conservative $P$ values, increased the number of significant associations to 35 and 45, respectively.

Cumulative genetic effects

Both overall (BMI) and central (waist circumference/waist:hip ratio) genetic risk scores were normally distributed in the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities and Women’s Health Genome studies (Web Figure 4, parts A–F). The BMI genetic risk score was associated with a larger decline in menarche than the waist circumference/waist:hip ratio genetic risk score after adjustment for birth year, population stratification, and center (as appropriate) (Figure 2). Although the association between BMI genetic risk score and menarche was significant in each study and when we used SNP-level data to estimate the genetic risk score ($P < 4 \times 10^{-8}$), the waist circumference/waist:hip ratio genetic risk score was not significant in the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities Study, probably because of imprecision ($P = 0.3$). Results did not change considerably in either of the 2 studies when no adjustments for population stratification were made (Web Figure 4, parts A and D).

Pathway and protein-protein interaction analyses

MAGENTA pathway analyses (http://www.broadinstitute.org/mpg/magenta/) identified several genes in pathways near BMI loci that were associated with menarche in our study (Web Table 9), but genes near these loci did not significantly cluster in particular BMI pathways according to g:Profiler (http://biit.cs.ut.ee/gprofiler/) (Web Tables 10–13). Protein-protein interaction analysis revealed 6 direct interactions among the proteins coded by nearby genes of menarche-associated adiposity loci ($P < 0.0005$; Web Figure 5); none of these genes overlapped with any of the genes identified by the MAGENTA pathway analysis.

DISCUSSION

Recent studies of the genetics of menarche have agnostically focused on the discovery of novel loci (18–22). We implemented a candidate-SNP approach to test the hypothesis that adiposity loci are also associated with age at menarche. We aimed to characterize the SNP effects and evaluate potential heterogeneous effects that may be represented by loci influencing early growth and development and thus both adiposity and menarche.

Figure 2. Effect estimates for the association between overall and central adiposity genetic risk scores (GRS) and age at menarche in the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) Study ($n = 4,775$; bars with vertical thin stripes) (52), the Women’s Genome Health Study (WGHS) ($n = 22,863$; white bars) (53), and 38 studies in the ReproGen Consortium, using SNP-level fixed-effect estimates from a sample of up to 92,105 women (bars with diagonal thick stripes) (for references, see Web Appendix). The ARIC and WGHS studies were conducted in the United States in 1987–1989 and 1994–1992, respectively; other ReproGen studies included in the SNP-level fixed-effect estimates were conducted in Europe, the United States, and Australia between 1970 and 2010 (see Web Table 1). Cumulative genetic risk was defined as the sum of the menarche risk alleles per individual. Genetic risk scores were calculated for overall (BMI) and central adiposity (WC/WHR) variants separately. Adjustments were made for birth year, population stratification, and center (appropriate for the ARIC Study only). Further details about the use of SNP-level fixed-effect estimates can be found in the Web Appendix. Bars, 95% confidence interval. BMI, body mass index; SNP, single-nucleotide polymorphism; WC, waist circumference; WHR, waist:hip ratio.

We identified 6 adiposity loci (7 SNPs; Web Figure 1A) associated with age at menarche that have been described in a recent GWAS of adult BMI as part of the GIANT Consortium (25), which overlaps substantially with the cohorts of the ReproGen Consortium (approximately 82,000 samples). Eleven previously described adiposity-menarche loci (11 SNPs; Web Table 3) were confirmed (18), and an additional 14 SNPs were found to be associated with age at menarche at these loci (Web Figure 1C). Interestingly, all 17 loci exhibited inverse relationships with adiposity and menarche timing and were related to BMI (or waist circumference unadjusted for BMI). One such locus showed evidence of heterogeneity, which appeared to be primarily driven by data quality. Therefore, our study brings the known number of such loci to 17 (32 SNPs) and implies that there are extensive genetic influences on overall adiposity and pubertal development.

Because of the known relationship between central adiposity, elevated androgen exposure, and reduced ovulatory function, as in polycystic ovary syndrome (46), we had predicted that genetic variants associated with increased central adiposity would be associated with menarche timing. Genetic loci associated with the distribution of body fat in gluteal/femoral regions may possibly influence menarche, since fat in these
regions is more readily mobilized for reproductive function than abdominal fat depots (47, 48). However, our study shows a lack of individual SNP associations of central adiposity loci (independent of BMI) with age at menarche but some evidence of a cumulative association using the genetic risk score (Figure 2). The 2 waist circumference loci (TFAP2B, MSRA) previously reported to be associated with age at menarche were both unadjusted for BMI and therefore may inadvertently represent BMI genetic signals (33). In fact, the TFAP2B locus has recently also been associated with adult BMI (25). These findings may suggest that the high energy cost of pregnancy and lactation (which becomes an issue as soon as a female reaches menarche and can conceive) drives a stronger shared genetic basis between overall adiposity and menarche than with fat distribution (49, 50).

Because of the candidate-SNP design of our study, we observed a skewed distribution towards lower P values. Therefore, we applied alternative multiple testing adjustments to our data, including the false discovery rate, which appeared to be the best alternative to Bonferroni correction. Using this method, we could expect 1–2 of our 35 positive findings to be false. Nonetheless, such less conservative multiple correction methods may be advantageous in prioritizing specific genetic variants for future studies of the genetics of early growth and development.

Searching known biological pathways and protein-protein interactions for loci influencing both adiposity and menarche was inconclusive, since there was no overlap between the outcomes of the various approaches. In part, this may be due to limited knowledge of the relevant biology. Temporal action earlier in the life course could also drive the association with menarche of some adiposity variants over others in the same pathways.

Our study had several strengths, including its large sample size, availability of detailed study characteristics, and evaluation of between-study heterogeneity. We used a conservative threshold for significance but also investigated the impact of alternative multiple testing adjustments.

However, our study was restricted to women of European descent, and thus the results may not be generalizable to pubertal timing in males or other ancestral populations. Our study may have been subject to the “winner’s curse,” and the findings should be replicated in future studies. Even though we had greater than 80% power to detect 93 of 95 nominal associations (see Web Appendix and Web Figure 6, parts A and B), this number decreased substantially after Bonferroni correction—especially for variants of low frequency or weak effects. This study primarily relied on a retrospective measure of age at menarche. However, the reliability of self-reported menarche is generally considered to be good as a major milestone for girls (51). The resulting misclassification is probably independent of both genotype and childhood BMI. Given the effect of earlier menarche on all-cause mortality, there is potential for selection bias among older cohorts of women in this meta-analysis. Nonetheless, we observe that these common genetic variants have modest effects on age at menarche (<20-day decline in menarche per risk allele) generally with little heterogeneity across studies. Moreover, at TNNI3K, age at report was not a significant predictor of effect heterogeneity. Lastly, we were unable to assess whether a variant’s effects on menarche and adiposity resulted from pleiotropic or mediated effects, since data on adiposity measures were not available for most studies at the time of menarche.

Our study expands current knowledge on menarche-associated SNPs and may help to increase understanding of how adiposity variants play a role in growth and development during puberty. Its findings do not strongly support the existence of associations between menarche and central obesity, a risk factor for metabolic disease. Instead, they indicate that overall adiposity is a phenotype more closely related to normal growth and development. Because loci that increase adiposity and decrease age at menarche may have particularly strong effects on adult-onset outcomes related to cumulative estradiol and fatness exposures, this study and others like it may contribute to the identification of genetic variants that are important for outcomes such as breast cancer (8) or cardiovascular diseases.

Future researchers can investigate the biological mechanisms of these variants using observational and animal studies. In addition, longitudinal study designs may help to identify temporal relationships of pre- and postpubertal BMI with age of menarche as well as optimal intervention windows. Understanding the complex interface between childhood/adolescent adiposity, early menarche, and adult obesity may help with the investigation of growth and developmental trajectories, which influence disease throughout the life course.
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