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West Nile virus (WNV) has become established across the Americas with recent heightened activity causing significant human illness. Surveillance methods to predict the risk of human infection are urgently needed to initiate timely preventative measures and justify the expense of implementing costly or unpopular control measures, such as aerial spraying or curfews. We quantified the links between mosquito surveillance data and the spatiotemporal patterns of 3,827 human WNV cases reported over 5 years in Colorado from 2003 to 2007. Mosquito data were strongly predictive of variation in the number of human WNV infections several weeks in advance in both a spatiotemporal statewide analysis and temporal variation within counties with substantial numbers of human cases. We outline several ways to further improve the predictive power of these data and we quantify the loss of information if no funds are available for testing mosquitoes for WNV. These results demonstrate that mosquito surveillance provides a valuable public health tool for assessing the risk of human arboviral infections, allocating limited public health resources, and justifying emergency control actions.
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mosquito trapping and testing, monitoring the serostatus of sentinel chicken flocks, and monitoring of equine infections as sentinels of mammalian WNV transmission. A key challenge is to recommend “trigger points” based on animal and human case surveillance for initiating public health and mosquito control actions.

Several challenges and limitations in data interpretation have become apparent with 3 of the 4 surveillance methods. First, although dead bird testing and reporting were effectively used to monitor the spread of WNV across the United States (10, 14), converting data from dead bird reports into a risk index requires large numbers of dead bird reports, and the mathematical tools required to analyze the data are challenging for local public health agencies to use (15). In addition, declining public interest in WNV, decreased corvid densities in many areas due to direct WNV mortality (16, 17), and the labor-intensive work required by local agencies to collect and submit dead birds have decreased the utility of this surveillance technique (18). In Colorado, for example, the number of bird carcasses tested dropped from 889 and 1,575 in 2002 and 2003, respectively, to 42 in 2010 (Colorado Department Public Health and Environment, unpublished data). Second, although sentinel chicken flocks have been shown to be effective in some areas, they were ineffective for WNV detection in many others, including Colorado, and were eliminated from the Colorado state surveillance program in 2004 (19). Finally, although many health departments passively collect results of equine antibody testing from veterinary diagnostic laboratories, equine cases decreased greatly because of immunity from natural infections and equine WNV vaccines and an increasing number of veterinarians making clinical diagnoses without submitting serum samples. Thus, these 3 surveillance methods have severe limitations in their ability to quantify the risk of WNV transmission to humans in a timely and meaningful manner.

The remaining method, mosquito surveillance, offers several advantages, allowing rapid measurements of vector mosquito population densities and infection rates and determinations of whether these are stable, increasing, or decreasing. Additionally, integration of mosquito abundance and infection data into what has been called a “vector index” that measures the abundance of WNV-infected mosquitoes (the entomological risk) should theoretically provide a quantitative measure of the risk of human WNV infection (20). If a predictive relationship can be established and a threshold value can be determined that signals impending human risk, this could be readily conveyed and understood by the public and elected officials when deciding whether to undertake WNV prevention efforts. Elected officials frequently request a trigger point, or threshold, above which they can report to their constituents that a specified level of human risk has been reached, and the actions being taken and expenditures being made are justified. Thus, a transparent and simple risk index is often useful to gain support for public health recommendations.

Although many health agencies collect mosquito surveillance data, including Culex population abundance and minimum infection rates, and some have integrated these into a “vector index” that is used as a trigger point for action, there has been little validation of the correlation between mosquito surveillance data and the spatiotemporal risk of human WNV infection. The key challenge is to determine the power of mosquito surveillance data to predict the number of human cases far enough in advance to allow the initiation of timely, preventative measures and to develop thresholds for action. Four studies have attempted to assess the predictive power of mosquito surveillance data for the risk of human WNV infection. Two studies examined temporal variation in a vector index (the product of the abundance and WNV prevalence of a single mosquito species) and human WNV cases in a single region and found they were highly correlated (18, 21). A third study used an environmental model to predict spatial variation in mosquito abundance and then used this to generate a categorical index of mosquito abundance to examine spatial variation in human WNV infection within and between counties (22). Positive associations existed between categorical estimates of mosquito abundance and the risk of human infection in some regions, but unexpected negative relationships occurred in others. The fourth study, in the mid-Atlantic region of the United States (23), integrated the following 4 aspects of mosquito ecology and epidemiology into a risk index: mosquito abundance, WNV infection prevalence, vector competence, and mosquito feeding patterns (20). This index estimates the abundance of WNV-transmitting mosquitoes that will feed on humans and was highly correlated with temporal variation in human WNV cases in the mid-Atlantic region, with 60% of the variance explained (23). One advantage of this risk index is that it translates mosquito surveillance data into a direct measurement of spatiotemporal entomological risk (with advantages over the simpler “vector index” (21), if data on spatial or temporal variability in feeding patterns or vector competence are available) and provides a method for combining data from diverse mosquito species into a single continuous index. One difficulty with using this measure across different locations is that local data for mosquito vector competence or feeding patterns are rarely available, and both factors may be spatially variable (24–26), partly because of mosquito genetic factors (27, 28).

An additional recent challenge in predicting the risk of human WNV infection is the substantial decrease in federal and local funding for WNV surveillance. This raises the possibility that further cuts will result in little or no funds for WNV testing. Here, we attempt to provide validation and guidance for the use of mosquito surveillance data by determining their predictive power, with and without current WNV prevalence data, in 15 counties in Colorado, where 3,726 human WNV cases were reported between 2003 and 2007.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

In 15 counties in Colorado (Web Figure 1 available at http://aje.oxfordjournals.org/), carbon dioxide–bailed CDC light traps and CDC gravid traps baited with a hay infusion were run for 1 night per week between May and October from 2003 to 2007 with some variability in starting and ending dates between counties; however, mosquito trapping took place in nearly all counties between June and September in the years when surveillance was active. Mosquitoes caught in traps were killed and identified by species, and Culex mosquitoes (including Culex tarsalis, Culex pipiens, Culex restuans, Culex nigripalpus, Culex molestus, Culex tarsalis, Culex pipiens, Culex restuans,

and Culex erythrothorax) were pooled in groups of up to 50 and tested for WNV by reverse transcriptase–polymerase chain reaction (29). Mosquitoes from the 2 trap types were combined to reduce testing costs, and this practice may have added variability that reduced correlations and predictive power.

Records of human WNV infections were obtained from the Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment, and cases were aggregated to the county level to protect individual privacy. In our analyses, we included all types of WNV infection for a total of 3,726 reported cases. Most WNV cases were classified as fever (78.3%), followed by meningitis (11.8%), encephalitis (6.69%), asymptomatic status (1.58%), meningoencephalitis (1.14%), and unknown status (0.49%). Although West Nile neuroinvasive disease is the most severe outcome, fever caused by WNV can last for several months and have lasting sequelae (12) and, thus, can also present a significant health burden at the individual and community levels.

We determined the utility of the mosquito surveillance data by correlating a vector risk index with the number of human WNV cases. Although a risk index that incorporates data on abundance, infection, feeding patterns, and vector competence (30) would be more accurate in predicting human cases, only limited data exist on spatial and temporal variations in mosquito feeding patterns in Colorado and none exist for vector competence (a limitation that many local and state agencies face). Surprisingly, our analyses suggest that human feeding frequency and partial vector competence did not differ significantly among Culex species (Web Figure 2) (31). As a result, we used a simplified vector index that was the sum across n mosquito species of the abundance, Aᵢ (mosquitoes per trap-night), multiplied by the WNV infection prevalence, Pᵢ, for that species, i, for that time step (e.g., weekly) as follows:

\[
\text{Vector index} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} A_i P_i
\]

This generalizes the “vector index” that has been used for single mosquito species in previous studies (21, 32) and by some counties. This simpler index will be almost as accurate as the fuller risk index described above as long as substantial differences in feeding and competence do not exist between the species being combined. However, if both Aedes and Culex mosquitoes are found to be infected, combining them into a risk index should take into account the substantial differences in feeding and vector competence (20).

We performed several analyses to determine the predictive power and quantitative relationship between the vector index (equation 1) and human WNV infections (Web Appendix). These included several spatial and temporal aggregations of testing and abundance data and analyses to determine the impact of not having WNV testing data from the current year, as would be the case under extreme resource limitations.

RESULTS

There were 3,827 cases of WNV reported in the 15 counties we studied in Colorado from 2003 to 2007, with the timing of seasonal peaks varying slightly from year to year and with substantial variability among counties (Web Figure 3, Web Table 2). The total number of cases in a county was not correlated with the population size (r = 0.20; P = 0.48) or density (r = −0.02; P = 0.95). Over the 5 years, 265,391 Culex mosquitoes were trapped and tested for WNV in the 15 study counties, with the majority being C. tarsalis (75%) and C. pipiens (20%); many fewer C. erythrothorax (2.7%), C. restuans (1%), and Culex spp. (1%) were trapped (Web Figure 4, Web Table 2). There were 922 WNV-positive pools, and most were C. tarsalis (77%) and C. pipiens (21%), with only a few positive pools from the other 2 species (Web Table 2).

Within a county, mosquito surveillance data integrated into the vector index described above were correlated with temporal variation in human WNV cases in most counties (Web Table 3, Figures 1 and 2, Web Figure 5), and correlations were higher in counties where large numbers of human cases occurred and where large numbers of mosquitoes were trapped (e.g., Larimer, Boulder, and Weld counties) (Figure 2). Overall, the 2 best methods to estimate prevalence and explain numbers of human WNV infections were the statewide weekly and local 2-week prevalence estimates (Web Appendix). Both of these measures were significantly correlated with human WNV cases 1–3 weeks in advance of the date of onset of illness (which occurs 3–14 days after infection) in 11–14 of the 15 counties, which accounted for 88%–98% of reported cases in the study area (Web Table 3). Both measures also had strong explanatory power up to 3 weeks in advance of the date of onset (pseudo-R² = 0.45–0.53). The vector index that used the statewide weekly prevalence estimate was frequently a better predictor than the local prevalence measures because it enabled risk estimates early and late in the season when few (or no) mosquitoes were trapped and prevalence could not be estimated locally.

In contrast, when current-year prevalence data were not used (simulating a situation in which funds are lacking to test current-year samples), mosquito surveillance data had very little explanatory power (Web Table 3). This poor fit resulted from substantial year-to-year variations in mosquito prevalence and the timing of peak prevalence that were not captured in the vector index without current-year data.

Figure 1. Vector index (dotted line) and human West Nile virus (WNV) cases (solid line) each week in Weld County, Colorado, 2003–2007. The vector index is the number of WNV-infected mosquitoes per trap-night and, in this figure, is calculated by using a local 2-week estimate of prevalence. Axes are square root–transformed to equalize leverage, linearize the relationship, and facilitate presentation.
Statewide spatiotemporal variation in the number of WNV cases was also strongly correlated with risk indices based on mosquito surveillance data (Figures 3 and 4A). Similar to the local analyses, the most correlated vector indices used the statewide weekly method (pseudo-$R^2 = 0.53$) followed by the local 2-week method (pseudo-$R^2 = 0.44$). Much of the noise...
We also assessed the power of the risk indices to predict future temporal and spatiotemporal variations in human WNV cases by removing 10% of the data, fitting the model, and using this fitted model and the excluded mosquito surveillance data to predict the excluded human WNV case data (Figure 4B, Web Figure 5). The predictive accuracy was highest 1 week in advance for the statewide weekly and local 2-week prevalence methods ($R^2_{pred} > 50\%$) but was still substantial up to 3 weeks in advance of symptom onset. The relationship between the local vector index and human cases could be used to set escalating thresholds for management actions. For example, the statewide data in Colorado (Figure 3) show that at least 1 human case frequently occurred in the week after the square root of the vector index was greater than 0.75 (calculated by using a 3-week moving window and a 2-week local prevalence estimate; positive predictive value = 86%) (Figure 3). Few cases occurred when this risk index was below 0.75 (negative predictive value (0 cases) = 57%; negative predictive value (≤1 cases) = 75%). Epidemic conditions, such as 4 or more cases per week, occurred frequently when the square root of the vector index was greater than 1 (positive predictive value = 69%) (Figure 3) and almost never at vector index values less than 1 (negative predictive value = 90%).

DISCUSSION

Mosquito control programs currently operate with limited resources, and recent WNV epidemics in 2012 are a strong reminder that a lack of effective control can result in substantial human illness. A key challenge has been identifying a surveillance method that would signal impending human infection and be reliable enough to be used to justify costly or unpopular control efforts, such as aerial spraying or curfews. Our results show that standardized mosquito surveillance provides strong predictive power to signal human WNV infection up to several weeks in advance and is a valuable tool for public health officials. Mosquito data were correlated with both temporal variation in human WNV cases at local scales (over time within a county) and spatiotemporal variation at larger scales (e.g., statewide). These analyses build on previous studies of temporal correlations between vector indices and the number of human cases in Maryland in 2004 (23) and spatial correlations of vector indices and human WNV cases in Colorado in 2007 (21). They are a substantial advance over a recent study (18) that focused on predicting the presence or absence of human WNV cases but not their number. Our analyses are fully spatiotemporal and are based on a much larger data set (covering 5 years, a much larger area, and >3,800 reported human WNV cases) than those used in all previous studies and therefore provide greater confidence and justification for using the vector index as a guide for control actions (20, 21, 32). Given the 2012 WNV epidemics, our most promising finding is that high values of the risk index (Figure 3) have extremely high negative predictive value and strong positive predictive value. This suggests that waiting to take costly actions to control WNV when vector risk indices are low will nearly always be prudent, and taking costly actions to control WNV when risk indices are high is strongly warranted. It is worth noting that determining “high” and “low” values of vector indices requires analyses of data from the area where control actions are being considered.
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Figure 4. Statewide analysis of human West Nile virus (WNV) cases versus vector indices. A) Explanatory power (pseudo-$R^2$) of vector indices using various methods for estimating prevalence at 3 different time lags in the statewide analysis. B) Prediction accuracy ($1 – (\text{sum of squared residuals)/(total sum of squares})$) of risk indices when excluding a 10% random subset of the data and then using the fitted model to predict the number of WNV human cases by using the excluded mosquito surveillance data. Mean prediction accuracy within 1 standard deviation from 100 random subsets is shown. For “Local Weekly (No Current Data),” the mean prediction accuracy for lags of 2 and 3 weeks was 0.
Our results also highlight the lost value of letting surveillance efforts lapse. Predictive power was greatly reduced without WNV prevalence data from the current year (Figure 4) and would be far worse without mosquito abundance data from the current year (results not shown). The decay in predictive power that we observed with increasing advance warning (Web Table 3, Figure 4, Web Figure 5) also highlights the need to minimize delays in mosquito processing and testing and in implementing interventions.

Our results also provide guidance on the most effective way to estimate the prevalence of vector infection by using mosquito trapping and testing data, which can be challenging for arboviral surveillance because of the very low prevalence frequently observed. If local trapping efforts yield too few mosquitoes to accurately estimate prevalence, then the vector index should be calculated by using prevalence estimates for each mosquito species from regional or statewide trapping efforts for that week. In contrast, if trapping efforts over a 2-week period within a single county produce sufficient numbers of mosquito pools to adequately estimate WNV infection prevalence (e.g., at least 5 pools of 20 or more mosquitoes each), then a vector index using a 2-week estimate of prevalence can be used to predict human infection up to 3 weeks later. Further, our results suggest that using a “smoothed” or 3-week moving window of the vector index reduces the substantial week-to-week variability in mosquito abundance and prevalence and results in a more accurate risk index.

The strength of the associations between human cases and the vector index is heartening given recent findings suggesting that substantial variation in WNV incidence in humans exists within counties at the census-tract scale (33), and that differences in human behavior can sometimes be more important than differences in entomological risk (32). Our results suggest that, at least in Colorado, the link between WNV entomological risk and human risk is strong enough that predictive relationships are not obscured by unmeasured variation in these other factors. They also suggest that even a single set of mosquito traps placed in each county (as was the case for the data we analyzed) can provide useful information for allocating disease control efforts.

The epidemics of WNV in 2012 highlight an outstanding question that may be slightly more tractable given our results: What drives spatiotemporal variation in WNV infection in humans? The correlations presented here suggest that substantial insight can be gained from understanding the drivers of mosquito abundance and infection rates, although human behavior and immunity should not be ignored. Evidence suggests that both climate (34, 35) and urbanization (36–40) influence WNV transmission, but their relative importance and the quantitative relationships between these factors and WNV transmission are not yet known. Answering these broad-scale questions requires continued local surveillance efforts to track spatiotemporal variation in WNV transmission.

Our findings here, and those of others, indicate that active entomological surveillance provides a robust and valuable method to determine the risk of human infection with arboviruses like WNV. Resources to support these efforts and the trained personnel required to carry them out should not be reduced by budget tightening. To do so in an era of environmental change and rapid international movement of vector-borne pathogens (41) could be perilous.
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