Nucleotide Variation at the runt Locus in Drosophila melanogaster and Drosophila simulans
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Intra- and interspecific nucleotide variation for the major developmental gene runt in Drosophila was studied in D. melanogaster and D. simulans. The 1.5-kb protein-coding region and the 0.4-kb intron of the runt gene were sequenced for 11 alleles in each species. The D. melanogaster alleles originated from east Africa. Estimated parameters of intraspecific variation in D. melanogaster (exons: \( \theta = 0.018, \pi = 0.018 \); intron: \( \theta = 0.014, \pi = 0.014 \)) and D. simulans (exons: \( \theta = 0.007, \pi = 0.005 \); intron: \( \theta = 0.008, \pi = 0.005 \)) were below average for other X-linked genes, while divergence between species (exons: \( D = 0.094 \); intron: \( D = 0.069 \)) fell within the normal range for both silent and replacement changes. This estimate for runt, along with published values for three other genes in regions of normal recombination, show east African D. melanogaster to be roughly twice as polymorphic as D. simulans. The majority of nucleotide variation, silent and replacement, in both species was found to be selectively neutral using various statistical tests (HKA, McDonald-Kreitman, Tajima, and Fu and Li tests). Monte Carlo simulations of the coalescent process significantly rejected a Wright-Fisher model with respect to an amino acid polymorphism and the distribution of polymorphic sites among the D. simulans lines. This indicated an old lineage and may reflect ancestral population substructuring in D. simulans.

Introduction

Studies of nucleotide polymorphism in a variety of genes have revealed generalities about the nature of natural selection and historical population structure in the sibling species Drosophila melanogaster and Drosophila simulans. In D. melanogaster, an important observation has been the association of reduced silent site heterozygosity with regions of low recombination (Agudé, Miyashita, and Langley 1989; Berry, Ajieoka, and Kreitman 1991; Begun and Aquadro 1992). Another observation has been higher levels of restriction site polymorphism associated with collections of D. melanogaster from east Africa in comparison with cosmopolitan populations (Begun and Aquadro 1993). In D. simulans, the number of loci is limited and the sample sizes are smaller, but the main observations have been higher heterozygosity levels and patterns of silent site divergence that argue for historically larger population sizes than those of D. melanogaster (Akashi 1996; Moriyama and Powell 1996). Some of the D. simulans genes also possess curious genealogical features. One early observation was the incidence of shared lineages with its island endemics (Coyne and Kreitman 1986; Hey and Kliman 1993; Kliman and Hey 1993). There are other unusual features. For example, not only is mtDNA sequence diversity in D. simulans low, but there is also a highly derived lineage that accounts for almost all of the polymorphic sites (Ballard and Kreitman 1994). Rand, Dorfsman, and Kann (1994) discovered two distinct lineages for the ND5 gene within a sample of D. simulans mtDNA. Likewise, the Tpi locus in D. simulans shows an old lineage defined by a significantly large number of derived mutations (Hasson et al. 1998). These results, along with the observations on the vermilion locus by Begun and Aquadro (1995b), suggest that D. simulans may possess greater population subdivision than originally envisioned.

In this study, we examine sequence variation in the runt gene in D. melanogaster and D. simulans. We had several original motivations for examining this gene. The earlier study of G6pd (or Zw) showed relatively high levels of polymorphism in D. melanogaster, but notably low levels of polymorphism in D. simulans (Eanes et al. 1996). The G6pd locus is located near the proximal end of the X chromosome, at band 18E12–13, and the suff locus at polytene band 20E shows drastically reduced levels of polymorphism in both cosmopolitan (Langley et al. 1993) and east African populations (Begun and Aquadro 1995a). The runt gene falls roughly halfway between these two genes on the polytene cytological map at 19E1–2, and therefore has relevance to the question of where heterozygosity levels may decrease relative to the centromeric region. Another motivation was the underrepresentation of complex genes involved in early development in the evolutionary studies of genes in this species pair. One such early developmental gene, transformer (tra), has greatly reduced levels of silent polymorphism (Walthour and Schaeffer 1994), yet also exhibits extremely elevated levels of interspecific divergence in amino acid replacements. In contrast, a recent study of the dpp gene, which is also involved in metamorphosis, showed relatively normal levels of silent polymorphism while appearing very highly constrained at the amino acid level (Richter et al. 1997). The runt gene is involved in several major early developmental processes, including segmentation (Nüsslein-Volhard and Wieschaus 1980), sex determination (Duffy and Gergen 1991), and neurogenesis (Duffy, Kania, and Gergen 1991). It is an excellent candidate for an early developmental gene.

Materials and Methods

The D. melanogaster runt alleles originated from seven isofemale lines collected from Zimbabwe (desig-
nated Z) and four isofemale lines from Okavango Delta, Botswana, collected in 1985 (OK). The *runt* gene was made homozygous by crossing a single male from an isofemale line to virgin FM6/N264-84 females and extracting the X chromosome to homozygosity (Eanes et al. 1989). Five *D. simulans* runt alleles originated from Davis Peach Farm, Mount Sinai, N.Y., in 1990 (DPF), three from Montpelier, France, in 1991 (MT), and three from Vera Cruz, Mexico, in 1990 (VC). These were extracted using an attached-X stock provided by J. A. Coyne (University of Chicago).

DNA from isochromosomal OK lines was extracted, and the locus *runt* was PCR-amplified and sequenced using standard protocols (Hasson et al. 1998). Sequencing primers were spaced approximately every 300 bp; all polymorphisms were confirmed on both strands. Occasionally, small gaps of less than 10 nt (less than 1%–2% of the total sequence) were not legible for one strand. One possible PCR-originated error was observed, a deletion of about 20 nt within an exon in one line. Because this was only seen once, it was considered to be an artifact.

All sequences have been deposited in GenBank under accession numbers AF077059–AF077080. The original *D. melanogaster* runt sequence was reported by Kania et al. (1990). Within a 12-alanine homopolymeric stretch (their nucleotide positions 304–339), we found a 13th codon for alanine in all *D. melanogaster* and *D. simulans* lines. Our numbering system also differs from theirs in that we designated the translation initiation site as position +1 and included 414 nt for the intron between exons 1 and 2.

Four standard tests of neutrality of the observed nucleotide variation were used, including the fit of the silent polymorphism frequency spectrum to the neutral expectation (Tajima 1989; Fu and Li 1993) and contrasts of interlocus polymorphism and divergence under the neutral theory (Hudson, Kreitman, and Aguadé 1987; McDonald and Kreitman 1991). For the HKA tests, the *runt* locus was contrasted with the *ver* and *G6pd* loci, because east African sequence data are available for these loci (Eanes et al. 1996; Begun and Aquadro 1995b), both are X-linked, and both are located in regions of relatively normal recombination. For *D. simulans*, the same loci were used, because they constitute the largest data sets for that species and are also X-linked (Eanes et al. 1996; Begun and Aquadro 1995b). In the HKA tests, the divergence between the random pair of alleles designated Z11 and DPF2 was used.

To evaluate the potential impact of amino acid polymorphisms on distortion of the genealogical relationships in our samples of alleles, we carried out Monte Carlo simulations of the Wright-Fisher process to investigate the significance of specific features of the sequence data with respect to observed amino acid mutations (Hudson 1990, 1993). The specific features, defined a priori, were (1) the number of silent polymorphisms associated with subsets of alleles bearing particular derived mutations and (2) the number of “fixed” mutations identified between those same subsets. Singleton amino acid mutations were examined with respect to the latter feature. For example, given *m* observed polymorphisms in a total sample of *n* genes, what is the probability that a subset of *i* alleles (or a singleton allele) will have *j* or more sites uniquely fixed? A large number of replicate trees were generated, each with *m* polymorphic sites, by simulating a coalescent process using an adaptation of the algorithm proposed by Hudson (1990). The proportion of simulated trees with fixed differences equal to or greater than the observed number was determined. A sample of 10,000 replicate trees was generated in each simulation (Eanes et al. 1996).

**Results and Discussion**

The entire 1.53-kb coding region and the 0.41-kb intron (totaling 1,947 nt including insertions/deletions) were sequenced on both strands for 11 *D. melanogaster* and 11 *D. simulans* isochromosomal lines. Nucleotide position 1 (table 1) is the first site of the methionine start codon. Exon 1, the intron, and exon 2 span positions 1–709, 710–1123, and 1124–1947, respectively. Twenty-three polymorphic sites were found in the *D. melanogaster* exons; three of these were amino acid polymorphisms (table 1 and fig. 1). The intron contained 17 nucleotide and three insertion/deletion polymorphisms. The 11 *D. simulans* sequences contained 9 polymorphisms in the exons, including a single amino acid polymorphism, and 13 polymorphic sites in the introns, including 3 indels. Fixed site differences between *D. melanogaster* and *D. simulans* included 16 synonymous differences, 5 nonsynonymous differences, and no indels in the exons, and 16 nucleotide differences and 8 small (1–5 nucleotide) indels in the intron (table 1 and fig. 1).

The estimate of interspecific divergence based on effective numbers of silent sites and using a random pair of sequences (Z11 and DPF2) was 0.094 in the *runt* exons and 0.069 in the intron. These are typical values. Estimates of θ (Watterson 1975) and π (Nei and Li 1979) are given in table 2 and were based on effective numbers of silent sites (Kreitman 1983) of 385 for the exons and 409 and 404 for *D. melanogaster* and *D. simulans* introns, respectively. *Drosophila melanogaster* was more variable than *D. simulans*; however, we used as our sample of *D. melanogaster* isofemale lines from Okavango Delta, Botswana, and Zimbabwe. Begun and Aquadro (1993) have shown these populations to be two to three times as polymorphic as most cosmopolitan populations from temperate climates, and we were interested in focusing on a population that was more likely to be in “genetic equilibrium” than cosmopolitan populations. The original inference of higher polymorphism came from restriction site variation; however, only limited data exist at the direct sequence level of individual genes for east African lines. For the five genes now reported, the *runt*, *G6pd*, *ver*, and *Tpi* loci show levels of polymorphism in east African *D. melanogaster* that are actually higher than those in *D. simulans*. The only exception is the *Gld* locus, but this is in a region of low recombination (Hamblin and Aquadro 1997). Between loci, the observed levels of silent polymorphism at *runt*
Table 1
Polymorphic and Diverged Sites Identified in 11 runt Alleles Each of Drosophila simulans and Drosophila melanogaster

### D. simulans polymorphism

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nucleotide Position</th>
<th>MEL</th>
<th>Z11</th>
<th>VCO90</th>
<th>DPF4</th>
<th>MT5</th>
<th>DPF1</th>
<th>DPF2</th>
<th>DPF3</th>
<th>DPF37</th>
<th>MT5</th>
<th>DPF12</th>
<th>VCO902</th>
<th>VCO903S</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TGA GTATCTCCACGCCTGGCC</td>
<td>G...C...34...TC...T</td>
<td>T...CTATA...A</td>
<td>TAT...A...TAT...C</td>
<td>TTT...TAT...A...TAT...C</td>
<td>TTT...TAT...A...TAT...C</td>
<td>TTT...TAT...A...TAT...C</td>
<td>TTT...TAT...A...TAT...C</td>
<td>TTT...TAT...A...TAT...C</td>
<td>TTT...TAT...A...TAT...C</td>
<td>TTT...TAT...A...TAT...C</td>
<td>TTT...TAT...A...TAT...C</td>
<td>TTT...TAT...A...TAT...C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### D. melanogaster polymorphism

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line</th>
<th>SIM</th>
<th>DPF2</th>
<th>Z16</th>
<th>OK13</th>
<th>OK5</th>
<th>Z27</th>
<th>Z11</th>
<th>Z42</th>
<th>Z55</th>
<th>Z34</th>
<th>Z64</th>
<th>OK17</th>
<th>OK94</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TCCC CGCCACCCAC1 APC ATCTCCCTCCCGCC CGCC CGGC</td>
<td>C...G...C...T2C...C...C...TTA...A...A ATT</td>
<td>C...A...G...T2C...C...C...TTA...A...A ATT</td>
<td>C...A...G...T2C...C...C...TTA...A...A ATT</td>
<td>C...C...C...C...TTG2C...A...T...C...A</td>
<td>A...A...A...A...A...A</td>
<td>A...A...A...A...A...A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fixed diverged sites

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MEL</th>
<th>SIM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MCCATATAAGAATTTTGGTCCTCGTCCGTCCG</td>
<td>TTPC CGCCGTCGACAGACAGACACCG9TA10A3C12TTACCGGTGAGACACACAC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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were substantially lower than levels observed for east African lines sampled for the G6pd (θ = 0.027; Eanes et al. 1996), ver (θ = 0.042; Begun and Aquadro 1995b), and Tpi (θ = 0.038; Hasson et al. 1998), but not Glu (θ = 0.001; Hamblin and Aquadro 1997). Likewise, estimates of θ and π for D. simulans were notably lower than those for genes in regions with normal levels of recombination (Moriyama and Powell 1996). These results suggest that heterozygosity may be reduced in this region of the chromosome, but it must be recognized that all HKA tests of interlocus contrasts were not significant (table 3).

There is no evidence for interspecific selection on amino acid replacements. All amino acid substitutions in D. melanogaster and D. simulans runt loci, both within and between species, differed by two or fewer physicochemical properties and are considered to be conservative changes (Taylor 1986). The ratio of amino acid to silent polymorphisms within D. simulans and D. melanogaster was typical of X-linked loci (Begun 1996). The replacement: synonymous mutation ratios pooled across species were 4:29 for polymorphisms and 5:16 for fixed differences. Neither this contrast nor the individual partitionings of the data by species were statistically significant by the McDonald-Kreitman test (table 3). Considering the results for runt and dpp (Richter et al. 1997), the extremely high amino acid substitution rate at tra (O’Neil and Belote 1992) is not typical of early developmental genes.

A sliding-window analysis was used to explore contrasting patterns of intra- and interspecific variation across a gene (Hudson and Kaplan 1988; Kreitman and Hudson 1991). Because expected polymorphism and divergence within a region share the mutation rate (μ) in the neutral parameter θ, the plot of nucleotide position versus intraspecific polymorphism across a locus should coincide in shape with the corresponding plot of interspecific divergence. Nucleotide position versus mean variation within species (Watterson 1975) and between species (Li and Graur 1991, eq. 3.19) was plotted across the runt coding region (fig. 2). The positions of the intraspecific amino acid polymorphisms are shown. The two measures appear concordant across the D. melanogaster sequence. There was no apparent excess (or deficiency) of heterozygosity in the regions containing amino acid polymorphisms within either species, as might be indicative of selection. There was a stretch of relatively reduced intraspecific variation in D. simulans, approximately between positions 1350 and 1600.

In D. melanogaster, the putative functional regions showed a relatively reduced rate of evolution at silent sites when compared with the remainder of the exons. Polymorphic and diverged sites were scattered throughout the coding region, but the cluster of functional domains in the 5′ end of the gene (codons 1–315; Kania et al. 1990) appeared to be slightly more conserved. Estimates of θ for synonymous sites in codons 1–315 and in codons 316–510 were 0.009 and 0.032, respectively, and estimates of divergence for a random pair of alleles between species for these regions were 0.062 and 0.117, respectively. This conservation of synonymous sites could be caused by selection for translational accuracy within functional domains (Akashi 1994).

The Monte Carlo simulations (Hudson 1990, 1993) were used to address two types of specific null hypotheses that are associated with the distribution of silent-site variation within and between sets of alleles defined by specific amino acid polymorphism (Hudson et al. 1994; Eanes et al. 1996). The distribution of synonymous polymorphisms within and between species can reflect historical selection acting on the amino acid substitution that has resulted in rapid increases in allele frequency or, conversely, the persistence of specific amino acid mutations and the subsequent accumulation of differences between sets. In D. melanogaster, we have three amino acid variants at nucleotide positions 130 (Ala/Thr), 1357 (Pro/Ser), and 1819 (Ala/Ser) to address. There were 37 polymorphisms in the entire set of synonymous polymorphisms within and between species (table 3).

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EXON&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>D. melanogaster</th>
<th>D. simulans</th>
<th>D. simulans</th>
<th>D. simulans</th>
<th>D. simulans</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D. melanogaster</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. simulans</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Because of X-linkage, θ = 3Nμ.

<sup>b</sup> Estimates are based on 385 silent-site equivalents.

---

*Fig. 1.—The distribution of polymorphic and diverged sites across the runt coding region for 11 D. melanogaster and 11 D. simulans alleles. All amino acid polymorphic sites are labeled; triangles indicate insertion/deletion polymorphisms.*
of \( n = 11 \) sequences. In the first case, eight silent polymorphisms were segregating in the four \textit{runt} sequences bearing the Ser change at position 1819, with two “fixed” differences between sets. In the case of the Thr polymorphism at nucleotide 133, there were 18 polymorphisms associated with 5 lines bearing the Thr change (they overlapped with the Ser change; see table 1), and there were zero fixed differences associated with this set. Finally, there were two fixed differences associated with the single line (OK94) bearing the Pro/Ser change at nucleotide 1357. In all cases, the observed distributions of polymorphic sites and fixed differences were commonly observed in simulations of the coalescence process. Thus, there is little evidence for historical selection acting on these particular amino acid polymorphisms. These simulations are predicated

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\caption{Summary Statistics for Tajima, Fu and Li, McDonald-Kreitman, and HKA Tests}
\begin{tabular}{lllll}
\hline
 & \textit{n} & Tajima’s \( D \) & Fu and Li’s \( D \) & McDonald-Kreitman (\( G \)) & HKA \\
\hline
\textit{Drosophila melanogaster} & 11 & +0.002 & +0.239 & 0.807 & runt vs. \textit{G6pdh}, 1.57 \\
 & & & & & runt vs. ver, 0.017 \\
\hline
\textit{Drosophila simulans} & 11 & -1.427 & -1.541 & 0.624 & runt vs. \textit{G6pdh}, 0.148 \\
 & & & & & runt vs. ver, 1.52 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure2}
\caption{Sliding window of heterozygosity within \textit{D. melanogaster} or \textit{D. simulans} (solid line) and divergence between \textit{D. melanogaster} and \textit{D. simulans} (dotted line) \textit{runt}. The window’s size was 100 nt, and it was moved along the x-axis in 10-nt increments. The y-axis values were based on absolute numbers of sites within the window rather than effective numbers.}
\end{figure}
on the assumption of no recombination among lineages, and while the presence of recombination does not invalidate the simulations, it does reduce the power to detect historical selection and its imprint on the diversity of linked sequences.

The collection of 11 sequences from *D. simulans* showed a single amino acid polymorphism (Ser/Ala at nucleotide 133) associated with line VC910. Because *D. melanogaster* possessed a Thr at this position, it was impossible to establish the polarity of this change. In the total sample, there were 22 silent changes, but 11 were contributed as singletons by this line. In our simulations, the occurrence of a single lineage possessing an amino acid mutation and with this number of changes (or greater) was rarely observed ($P = 0.0013$). This suggested that, with respect to the sample of *D. simulans* runt sequences and this amino acid polymorphism, the population was not at Wright-Fisher equilibrium. This indicates the retention of an old lineage in association with the amino acid polymorphism, and the possibility of a hitchhiking event whereby the most derived lineages have increased in frequency sufficiently recently that recombination and mutation have yet to obscure the event.

The HKA (Hudson, Kreitman, and Aguade 1987), Tajima (1989), McDonald-Kreitman (1991), and Fu and Li (1993) tests applied to the *runt* locus failed to reject the null hypothesis that the majority of intraspecific and interspecific nucleotide variation within the locus is selectively neutral (table 3). In contrast, the sample of *D. simulans* runt alleles gave a highly significant result with respect to fixed differences in the Monte Carlo simulation. Both Tajima’s and Fu and Li’s tests gave negative $D$ statistics consistent in direction with the excess of singleton mutations. The apparent contradiction between rejection of the Wright-Fisher neutral population model using Monte Carlo simulation versus the other tests (Tajima’s and Fu and Li’s) is due to both the lack of statistical power in the latter tests and the specific and conditional nature of the hypotheses being tested by simulation.

The significant lack of variation in the set of *D. simulans* runt sequences, along with the unique derived lineage, has several biological explanations. One possibility is the catching of an incipient “selective sweep” event (Kaplan, Hudson, and Langley 1989). The most derived sequences may have recently experienced rapid directional selection acting either directly on the amino acid site or on a closely linked site. Alternatively, this may be an old balanced polymorphism that has recently experienced a population bottleneck. Background selection would not appear to be a viable explanation. Like selective sweeps, background selection reduces steady-state levels of polymorphism; however, it does not produce genealogies or allele frequency spectra that deviate from neutrality (Braverman et al. 1995; Charlesworth, Charlesworth, and Morgan 1995). It would be interesting to examine this polymorphism across a larger geographic scale. It should be noted that hitchhiking and background selection are both associated with regions of low recombination. Despite its proximity to polytene region 20, genetic exchange is usually assumed to be nearly normal in this region (see Kliman and Hey 1993). The inferred minimum number of recombination events (Hudson and Kaplan 1985) in the *D. melanogaster* sample was relatively large (11), as was the estimated recombination parameter ($3Nc = 30$; Hudson 1987). In the *D. simulans* sample, there was no evidence of any recombination event.

Finally, the deep genealogical structure for *runt* in *D. simulans* could also indicate past population structuring. Unfortunately, the data for most gene sequences in *D. simulans* are sparse, averaging four to six sequences per gene (Moriyama and Powell 1996). The probability of recovering the deepest lineage (coalescing at the oldest node) is $(n - 1)/(n + 1)$ (Kliman and Hey 1993), so it is likely that the deepest lineage has been missed in some other data sets. As with *runt*, *Tpj* (Hasson et al. 1998), *ver* (Begun and Aquadro 1995b), and the *ND5* (Rand, Dorfman, and Kann 1994) and *cytochrome b* (Ballard and Kreitman 1994) genes of mtDNA, show deep lineages in *D. simulans* and are generally characterized by asymmetry in the number of derived silent mutations. This is not seen in all loci, not even in some with substantial sample sizes (see Eanes et al. 1996; Hamblin and Aquadro 1996). Nevertheless, it is expected that in a subdivided population, all genes will not diverge equally, nor after admixture will all lineages be retained for all loci. The imbalance in mutation accumulation seen in some genes is possibly a remnant of ancestral subdivision with population-size-specific accumulation of differences (Akashi 1996). Recent examination of the phylogenetic relationships of the island endemic species *Drosophila mauritianiana* and *Drosophila sechellia*, which still share some polymorphic lineages with *D. simulans*, have suggested sequential island hopping because of the number of shared derived mutations between the island endemics (Caccione et al. 1996). However, an ancient subdivision from which both island species are independently founded may also provide an explanation. These results for the *runt* locus add to the growing list of loci suggesting that *D. simulans* is not a species in genetic equilibrium.
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