Modelling Branching Patterns on 1-year-old Trunks of Six Apple Cultivars
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The structure resulting from branching on 1-year-old apple tree trunks was analysed in a set of apple cultivars with diverse branching and fruiting habits. Four different lateral types borne on successive nodes were observed when vegetative and flowering fates, as well as sylleptic and proleptic branching, were taken into account. The location and grouping of lateral types along the trunk were analysed for all cultivars, but are detailed for one cultivar only. This cultivar showed a succession of zones, each zone being characterized by its composition of lateral types. Statistical models—hidden semi-Markov chains—were built to take this structure into account and to characterize the cultivar’s specific branching patterns. The models showed that most of the branching zones had a similar location in the different cultivars, even though zone composition and zone length differed among cultivars. On a more detailed scale, the nodes bearing a lateral, regardless of its type, were frequently followed by latent buds. The validity of the models and their biological interpretation are discussed with respect to parent shoot dynamics, hormonal gradients and competition between neighbouring buds.
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INTRODUCTION

Branching is a complex process with a physiological basis that is inadequately understood (Wilson, 2000). Several studies have demonstrated relationships between the development and fate of axillary buds (vegetative or floral, long or short shoots) and the growth characteristics of their bearing shoot (Crabbé, 1984; Kervella et al., 1995). In particular, sylleptic branching, which occurs in mesotonic locations (Tomlinson and Gill, 1973; Crabbé, 1984) has been shown to result from a fast growth rate (Champagnat, 1954, 1965; Génard et al., 1994). Acrotony, i.e. the decrease in the length of the laterals observed from the top of the bearing shoot (Bell, 1991), is another example of a relationship between the location and fate of axillary buds. This gradient is usually interpreted as resulting from apical dominance and control, both exerted via hormonal gradients (Cline, 1997; Wilson, 2000; Cook et al., 2001).

The branching process in apple trees (Malus domestica Borkh.) has been studied by counting the number of spurs per metre and determining their characteristics (Ketchie, 1997; Wilson, 2000; Cook et al., 2001). All these studies suggest that branching is organized along annual shoots in apple according to particular lateral type locations.

Over several growth periods it has been shown that growth—and consequently the number of laterals—decreases rapidly with ageing (Ouellette and Young, 1995). Such a decrease in the growth and branching characteristics with plant development and age has been described by Gatsuik et al. (1980) and Barthélémy et al. (1997) and has been demonstrated in many woody species, e.g. Prunus armeniaca L. (Costes, 1993), Cedrus atlantica Endl. (Sabatier and Barthélémy, 1999) and Fagus sylvatica L. (Nicolini, 1998). As a consequence, when growing conditions are appropriate, the first annual growth of the stem developing from the grafted bud is the longest in the tree and bears the limbs which will later make up the tree structure. This led us to believe that it might be possible to evaluate tree growth and branching habits by analysing the branching pattern of the first annual shoot of the trunk.

By using appropriate models, we aimed to test the assumption that a specific branching pattern exists in young apple tree trunks. In addition, we also addressed the question of whether such a pattern, if it exists, differs between cultivars with different branching habits. Several classifications are used to categorize individual apple trees. Two main growth habits i.e. spurs and standards, can be considered (Dennis et al., 1996; Lane et al., 1996). Other classifications rely on a qualitative and global evaluation of growth and branching, e.g. UPOV classification of tree forms into columnar, upright, spreading, drooping and weeping classes (UPOV, 1982) or Lespinasse’s (1977)
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classification. In the present paper we refer to the latter classification which uses branching and fruiting habits as well as tree geometry to define four types, I to IV. Trees belonging to types I and II exhibit mainly short laterals and are characterized by an axillary fruiting location, whereas trees belonging to types III and IV exhibit medium and long laterals and are characterized by a terminal fruiting location.

A previous study brought to light remarkable patterns resulting from sylleptic branching (Costes and Guédon, 1997) using statistical models built from samples of sequences measured on 1-year-old trunks (Guédon and Costes, 1999; Guédon et al., 2001). This study extends the previous research by taking account of the proleptic branching that occurs after winter rest, and which is thus delayed with respect to bud development. Sylleptic laterals were called immediate laterals in other studies by Costes and Guédon (1997) and proleptic shoots are sometimes called delayed shoots. These two lateral types differ both in their location and in their contribution to tree function. Since these laterals are not leafy during the same year of growth, their contribution to carbon acquisition is shifted in time. In addition, proleptic branching is organized acrotonically while sylleptic branching develops over the previous growth year, from the bottom to the top. Thus, branching along 1-year-old trunks results from two branching processes, one organized from the bottom (sylleptic laterals) and the other from the top (proleptic laterals). These different development times leading to sylleptic or proleptic lateral types and the vegetative or floral fate were taken into account in the present study.

In what follows, our aim is to analyse branching structures to gain insight into the underlying biological functions. Since measurements were taken at a macroscopic scale, the structure does not express directly the overall complexity of the functions (and interactions) involved. According to Baldi and Brunak (1998) who discuss this issue in the framework of computational molecular biology, the models used for analysing such data should be both structural and probabilistic (see also Durbin et al., 1998). The generic problem of analysing successions of homogeneous zones in discrete sequences is currently addressed in both computational molecular biology and computational plant architecture. Hidden semi-Markov chains emerged independently in these two scientific communities as the reference class of statistical models [see Burge and Karlin (1997) and Lukashin and Borodovsky (1998) for application in gene finding; Guédon and Costes (1999) and Guédon et al. (1999, 2001) for application in the pattern analysis of branching and axillary flowering sequences]. Hidden semi-Markov chains were thus used to characterize the branching patterns and to capture embedded structures in our data sets.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

*Plant material*

The study was carried out on six apple cultivars (*Malus domestica* Borkh.) corresponding to three basic growth and fruiting habits, described as types II–IV by Lespinasse (1977). The six cultivars were: ‘Belrène’ and ‘Reinette Blanche du Canada’ (Type II), ‘Imperial Gala’, ‘Elstar’ and ‘Fuji’ (Type III), and ‘Granny Smith’ (Type IV) (Cliff, 1993). Twenty trees per cultivar, bench-grafted on M.7 rootstock, were planted at the Arboriculture Laboratory of the Institut National de la Recherche Agronomique (INRA), Montpellier, France. After the first year of growth, the shoot that developed from the grafted bud was cut back to one bud and the trees were transplanted in a single block. Spacing was sufficiently large (6 × 4.5 m) to allow each tree to develop without constraints. The trees were then allowed to develop without pruning.

At the end of the first year of growth, the number of metamers (*sensu* White, 1979) and the location and the length of the sylleptic shoots were recorded for the shoot that had developed from the retained bud. Sylleptic shoots were considered as a unique class of laterals, but the distinction between short and long shoots was drawn from the previous study (Costes and Guédon, 1997) and is mentioned when necessary in this paper.

At the end of the second year of growth, three other types of axillary bud fate which led to proleptic development were recorded: (1) Spur or short shoot: the axillary bud develops into a shoot consisting of preformed organs only (i.e. contained in the resting bud; Rivals, 1965), with no or little elongation of the internodes. The terminal meristem remains vegetative. (2) Long shoot: laterals continue to grow after the establishment of the preformed organs. The part of the shoot formed this way is termed ‘neofomed’ according to Rivals (1965, 1966). The corresponding internodes are elongated. This shoot type is vegetative. (3) Bourse: a bourse results from the differentiation of the meristem into an inflorescence, after the development of a few preformed leaves. It is thus a preformed and mixed unit, composed of vegetative organs in its proximal part and floral organs in its distal part. The bourse can also bear a sylleptic auxillary shoot called a ‘bourse shoot’ whose first preformed metamers are contained in the resting bud (Crabbé and Escobedo, 1991). Like other shoots, the bourse shoot can develop into a short or a long shoot, after the establishment of its preformed organs. In the present study, we considered the fate of the axillary buds directly located along the trunks and their floral differentiation into a bourse without considering the fate of the bourse shoot.

*Data analysis and model building*

The first annual shoots on the trunks were described as a succession of metamers and were represented by a sequence of symbols, indexed with the node rank. Each lateral type borne on a node was represented by a symbol: 0 for a latent bud, 1 for a spur, 2 for a long shoot, 3 for a bourse and 4 for a sylleptic shoot. Data were analysed using AMAPmod software (freely available at http://www.cirad.fr/presentation/programmes/amap.shtml) (Godin et al., 1997, 1999), in three main steps: (1) exploratory data analysis and model specification; (2) model building; (3) model evaluation and interpretation.

Exploratory analysis and model specification require a hypothesis concerning the causality of the phenomenon.
studied in order to determine the direction in which the sequences must be described. We chose to describe shoots from the top to bottom to focus on the apical effect. The sequences were thus analysed according to three points of view, ‘intensity’, ‘counting’ and ‘interval’, previously described in Guédon and Costes (1999) and Guédon et al. (2001). Briefly, the ‘intensity’ point of view consists of extracting from the sample of sequences the empirical distribution of the different symbols for each node rank. The ‘interval’ point of view consists of extracting three different types of intervals: (1) time up to the first occurrence of a symbol, i.e. the number of nodes before the first occurrence of this symbol; (2) recurrence time, i.e. the number of internodes between a given symbol occurring and re-occurring; and (3) sojourn time in a symbol (or run length of a symbol), i.e. the number of successive occurrences of a given symbol (in these definitions, ‘time’ is used by convention and refers to the node rank that is used as an index). The ‘counting’ point of view consists of counting the number of occurrences of a given ‘pattern’ for each sequence. The two patterns of interest correspond to the occurrences of a given symbol and its run in a given sequence.

The families of characteristic distributions play different roles in the exploratory analysis. The intensity point of view gives an overview of process dynamics. For instance, the change in the symbol distribution with node rank makes it possible to identify the branching zones and locate them along the stem. This overview is complemented by three other types of characteristic distributions which help to highlight the scattered or aggregated distribution of a given symbol and also to express local dependencies: (1) recurrence time distributions; (2) sojourn time distributions; and (3) the distribution of the number of runs of a symbol per sequence. The characteristic distributions were analysed paying particular attention to the long laterals since these are the most important laterals for evaluating the perennial structure of the trees. The distributions of the number of occurrences of the different symbols per sequence were compared among cultivars using a Kolmogorov–Smirnov non-parametric test, because the variables were not normally distributed and the number of sequences was less than 20. The tests were performed with the non-parametric module of Statistica® software.

The second step consisted of specifying a parametric model to account for the observed sequences. As in a previous study (Costes and Guédon, 1997), a hierarchical model with two levels of representation was chosen. At the first level, a semi-Markov chain represents both the succession of zones and the length of each zone. Each zone is represented by a mathematical object called a state with an associated zone length distribution called a state occupancy distribution. The different states are connected by transitions with associated probabilities summing to one for the transitions leaving a given state. Initial probabilities are also needed to select the initial zones. A semi-Markov chain is defined by the following parameters: initial probabilities (probabilities of being in a given state at the beginning of the sequence); transition probabilities (probabilities of moving from state $i$ at rank $n$–1 to state $j$ at rank $n$); and occupancy distributions. The occupancy distribution represents the length of the corresponding zone in terms of number of metamers and is associated with each state, except the final one.

The second level consists of associating within each zone a discrete distribution representing the composition of the laterals within that zone. For each state, the observation probabilities constitute a non-parametric distribution defined on the set of possible symbols. The whole model is a hidden semi-Markov chain.

Model parameters were estimated by an iterative algorithm, which maximizes the likelihood of the observed sequences (Guédon and Cocozza-Thivent, 1990; Guédon, 1998), within the STAT module of AMAPmod software.

Fig. 1. Probability of the different types of laterals according to the node rank observed on 1-year-old trunks in ‘Reinette Blanche du Canada’.
TABLE 1. Mean (s.d.) number of nodes and lateral types (latent buds and bourses, proleptic and sylleptic shoots) on 1-year-old trunks of six different apple cultivars

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cultivar</th>
<th>Node number</th>
<th>Latent bud</th>
<th>Bourse</th>
<th>Proleptic shoots</th>
<th>Sylleptic shoots</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Long</td>
<td>Spurs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reinette</td>
<td>73.0 (3.78)</td>
<td>36.50 (4.42)</td>
<td>7.13 (0.9)</td>
<td>7.44 (2.8)</td>
<td>11.0 (0.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belène</td>
<td>89.53 (4.41)</td>
<td>47.73 (8.18)</td>
<td>5.87 (2.85)</td>
<td>7.07 (2.25)</td>
<td>10.2 (4.72)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gala</td>
<td>70.0 (4.14)</td>
<td>40.05 (5.65)</td>
<td>9.53 (3.77)</td>
<td>4.17 (2.13)</td>
<td>1.5 (2.12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuji</td>
<td>73.93 (4.56)</td>
<td>45.93 (7.86)</td>
<td>4.06 (3.58)</td>
<td>11.87 (7.89)</td>
<td>5.2 (6.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elstar</td>
<td>66.13 (9.02)</td>
<td>39.56 (12.31)</td>
<td>5.38 (3.30)</td>
<td>7.56 (3.65)</td>
<td>2.6 (3.44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granny</td>
<td>75.06 (5.62)</td>
<td>45.50 (4.35)</td>
<td>6.63 (3.48)</td>
<td>8.63 (2.90)</td>
<td>1.12 (2.92)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For proleptic and sylleptic shoots, long shoots and spurs were distinguished. The total number of long shoots and spurs is the sum of proleptic and sylleptic shoots for these two categories. Within a column, different superscripts indicate significant differences according to the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test ($P < 5\%$).
The theoretical distributions corresponding to the intensity,
interval and counting points of view defined above were
computed from the estimated model and fitted to the
corresponding empirical distributions extracted from the
data (Gue\-don, 1999). This enabled us to evaluate model
accuracy.

The last step consisted of analysing the homogeneity of
cultivar behaviour and comparing the cultivars. This was
performed by examining model parameters, particularly
transition probabilities and observation distributions.

**RESULTS**

The mean length of the sequences varied from 66 to 90
nodes, depending on the cultivar (Table 1). The proportion
of lateral types was consistent with the classification used as
reference since the mean number of total long shoots
increased from type II to type IV cultivars (Table 1).
Conversely, the mean number of lateral spurs, either
sylleptic or proleptic, and the mean number of bourses
decreased globally, although some exceptions were noted to
this general rule: the mean number of lateral bourses was
higher for Gala than for type II cultivars and the mean
number of Fuji spurs was relatively high when compared
with other type III and IV cultivars.

In what follows, the exploratory analysis and model
building are detailed for ‘Reinette Blanche du Canada’,
which was then used as a reference for comparing cultivars.

**Exploratory analysis of ‘Reinette Blanche du Canada’
sequences**

The analysis of the sequence sample with the three points
of view previously described provided an intuitive idea of
the structure of the 1-year-old trunks. The ‘intensity’ point
of view highlighted a succession of differentiated zones,
each characterized by homogeneous composition properties
in terms of laterals (Fig. 1). The succession of zones in
‘Reinette’ may be summarized as follows (Figs 1 and 2).

Long shoots were located on the first five nodes from the top
and were mixed with latent buds and a few spurs. The
following nodes were occupied mainly by lateral bourses,
mixed with latent buds. The third zone corresponded to
sylleptic shoots. It is noteworthy that no other laterals
developed within this zone which did not contain any
proleptic shoots. These first three zones spread over the
distal half of the shoots. The basal half of the shoots
were located on the first five nodes from the top
and were mixed with latent buds and a few spurs. The
following nodes were occupied mainly by lateral bourses,
mixed with latent buds. The third zone corresponded to
sylleptic shoots. It is noteworthy that no other laterals
developed within this zone which did not contain any
proleptic shoots. These first three zones spread over the
distal half of the shoots. The basal half of the shoots
corresponded to three other zones: two unbranched zones on
both sides of a large zone where proleptic long shoots and
spurs were mixed with latent buds.

The characteristics of the long laterals were extracted for
the four zones able to bear this lateral type: the first zone,
near the top, with proleptic shoots; the median zone with sylleptic shoots—those being long were counted using the data collected during the previous study on the same trees (Costes and Guédon, 1997); and the last zone near the base, again with proleptic shoots. The total number of long shoots per sequence, including both sylleptic and proleptic long shoots, was considered first (Table 1). The balance between the three zones showed that the long shoots were more numerous in the basal zone (Table 2). In addition, the density of the long laterals within a given zone was evaluated by their frequency in this zone and their aggregation was examined by both ‘interval’ (run length of long laterals) and ‘counting’ characteristics (number of long axillary shoot runs). These points of view highlighted the isolation of long shoots along the 1-year-old trunk since the most frequent run length was one (Fig. 3).

A similar analysis was performed for the other types of laterals. When all the shoot types in ‘Reinette’ were considered, 50 % of axillary buds developed along the trunks. Of the developing buds, approx. 17 % differentiated into a bourse. This corresponds to 0–13 axillary bourses per sequence located in a single zone, just above sylleptic shoots. The two other types of vegetative laterals were represented in approximately equal numbers: the mean number of proleptic spurs and the mean total of sylleptic shoots per sequence was approx. 11 in both cases. Proleptic spurs were located in the same zones as the proleptic long shoots, and were relatively more numerous in the basal zone. The other laterals types were isolated in the same manner as the long shoots: the most frequent run length was one for all the lateral types (data not shown).

**Specification, building and evaluation of a hidden semi-Markov chain for modelling ‘Reinette Blanche du Canada’ sequences**

After the exploratory analysis, the branching sequences were viewed as a succession of zones in which the composition (in terms of lateral types) did not change substantially within each zone, but changed between zones. The composition may be defined by a single lateral type but is more generally defined by a given mixture of types. Moreover, the successive zones were transient, i.e. the branching zones of a given type occurred only once along a given sequence.
To represent this structure, an oriented ‘left–right’ hidden semi-Markov chain was built (Fig. 4). In this model, the transient states were obtained by placing constraints on the transition probabilities of the underlying Markov chain with transition from a given state to the previous states being forbidden. The model is composed of six successive transient states representing the successive zones of the shoot, and a final absorbing state defined such that after entering it, it is impossible to leave. The time spent in this final absorbing state is thus not included in the model definition.

Constraints were also expressed on the observation probabilities, forbidding the observation of a given symbol in a given state. This helped to differentiate the states with regard to observations. Therefore, only symbol 0 (latent bud) can be observed in the first and last states, which represent, respectively, the apical unbranched zone and the basal unbranched zone.
FIG. 6. Schematic representations of the hidden semi-Markov chains estimated for each cultivar. The branching zones are represented by different motifs and their length corresponds to the mean value of the occupancy distribution. The observed lateral types are represented by histograms (except for the top and bottom zones where only latent buds are observed). The oriented edges represent the possible transitions between the zones and their respective probabilities are noted nearby. The mean total number of nodes is indicated in parentheses at the bottom of each diagram.
Six of the states, corresponding to the six well-differentiated successive zones, were hypothesized during the exploratory analysis. The seventh is a small zone containing latent buds and located just below the top of the sequences. This zone is expressed in approx. 60% of the sequences. The mixture of laterals observed in the zones was reflected in the observation distributions (Fig. 4). Four zones contained almost a unique lateral type: the median zone which contained only sylleptic laterals and three zones which contained only latent buds (the first zone, the last zone and that located just below the sylleptic zone). The three other zones contained mixtures of proleptic shoots, previously described. The zone lengths were reflected in the corresponding state occupancies, which were all bell-shaped distributions (Fig. 4). This justifies a posteriori the choice of a hidden semi-Markov chain, since the possibility of fitting such occupancy distributions—which are far from being geometric distributions—is a property of this model, compared with a hidden Markov chain.

The accuracy of the entire model was evaluated by comparing the theoretical characteristics, organized according to the ‘intensity’, ‘interval’ and ‘counting’ points of view with the corresponding characteristics extracted from the observed sequences (Fig. 5).

The isolation of laterals, previously hypothesized on the basis of the run length histograms was confirmed by the theoretical distributions. In the case of independent events occurring at the successive nodes, the theoretical run length distributions should be geometric. In the present results, the deviation from the geometric distribution was of the same magnitude for all lateral types. The run length distributions took the form of a high frequency for value 1 followed by a geometric tail. Moreover, the most frequent event following the development of a lateral, whatever its type, was a latent bud (data not shown). These results are discussed more thoroughly using mathematical arguments in Guédon et al. (2001).

The homogeneity of the sequence sample is expressed in the model structure in particular by initial probabilities and transition probabilities that make it possible to jump states or to obtain states in parallel, and by the variability of the state occupancy. Except in the upper part where the latent bud zone was absent in 40% of the trees (Fig. 4), the sequences exhibit a remarkable homogeneity in their structure since all the transition probabilities are 1 or close to 1. Thus, except for the upper zone, all the zones were present and located in the same order in all the observed sequences. In addition, the state occupancy distributions exhibit low dispersions. This means that the number of successive nodes corresponding to a given zone is relatively stable since the standard deviations were less than 3 nodes, whatever the zone. Given these results, the ‘Reinette’ branching pattern may be considered very homogeneous between sequences.

Comparison among cultivars

The exploratory analysis highlighted a succession of homogeneous transient zones for all the other cultivars. This prompted us to build a model based on similar assumptions to those previously described, for each remaining cultivar. Figure 6 shows a simplified representation of the models corresponding to each cultivar. It shows that most of the branching zones were located in the same order as previously described for ‘Reinette’. In particular, five zones were always in the same position in all cultivars: the unbranching zone at the top, long shoots just below followed by a floral zone, the sylleptic shoots in a median position and the latent buds at the base. Like ‘Reinette’, all cultivars exhibited high transition probabilities, especially in the median and basal parts of the sequences.

Despite the existence of this remarkable homogeneity in their common branching pattern, each cultivar exhibited some specific differences. These were investigated by highlighting the main differences in terms of branching zones and by a comparison of the characteristic distributions.

The number of zones differed among the cultivars, ranging from six (‘Gala’) to eight (‘Elstar’ and ‘Granny’). The two type II cultivars, ‘Reinette’ and ‘Belrène’, differed in spur location, which was mainly basal in ‘Reinette’ and apical in ‘Belrène’. ‘Gala’ was characterized by the absence of a zone defined by a high frequency of latent buds in more than 80% of the sequences. The latent buds were as numerous as in other cultivars (Table 1) but were generally scattered throughout almost all the zones, mixed with other lateral types. ‘Fuji’ exhibited a similar succession of branching zones to that of ‘Reinette’ and differed only by more frequent long shoots and fewer spurs. ‘Granny’ and ‘Elstar’ were characterized by a large number of latent buds in the basal half of the trunk and by additional zones. ‘Granny’ showed an additional latent bud zone between the floral and the sylleptic lateral zones. In contrast to the other cultivars, the top of the sequences in ‘Granny’ was homogeneous while the basal part was more heterogeneous: the basal branching zone was present in only 37% of the sequences. ‘Elstar’ exhibited a particularly long median zone with latent buds. As a consequence, the basal branching zone was close to the base of the trunk. An additional branching zone with spurs was located in this basal part but was present in only 18% of the sequences.

The balance between the three zones of long laterals was roughly consistent with the classification into types since the long shoots were more numerous at the base for ‘Reinette’, in the median zone for ‘Gala’ and ‘Elstar’, and at the top for ‘Granny’. However, it should be underlined that this balance was not always as expected: ‘Belrène’ showed a balanced distribution of the long shoots between the three zones; ‘Fuji’ was characterized by a large number of long shoots in the basal zone; and ‘Granny’, despite a strong acrotony, exhibited numerous long shoots in the median zone.

DISCUSSION

Analysing the succession of lateral types along 1-year-old trunks as discrete sequences highlighted the existence of successive zones within which the lateral type composition was homogeneous, but changed between zones. This structure was ‘hidden’ in that it could not be predicted
from usual qualitative observations, in the absence of quantitative investigations. It also confirmed that the fate of buds depends on their location along the bearing shoot and, therefore, on the time of their appearance. The five zones that were common to and located in the same position in all the cultivars studied suggest that successive developmental stages occur in the same order over a growing season and can be used to explain bud fate.

This is particularly obvious for the sylleptic branching zone and for the floral zone. The development of sylleptic laterals is assumed to result from a rapid growth period (Champagnat, 1954; Génard et al., 1994). In the results presented here, this phase was noted for all the cultivars but occurred more or less rapidly during the growing season (or closer to the roots) depending on the cultivar. The location of the floral zone between the sylleptic branching zone and growth cessation suggests that floral differentiation occurs when the growth rate of the bearing shoot is decreasing. This location is consistent with results reported by Crabbé and Escobedo (1991), who considered that floral differentiation in axillary buds results from an intermediate plastochron rate.

To interpret the location of the other lateral types, two different events must be distinguished, namely bud burst and the ability to pursue growth in order to develop into long shoots. The presence of latent buds within branching zones indicates that not all buds can burst. This fact was mentioned by Cline (2000) as a drawback in studying hormonal effects. Budburst has been interpreted to be the result of hormonal equilibrium, with auxin and cytokinins playing a major role. In particular, apical dominance (i.e. the hormonal effects. Budburst has been interpreted to be the result of hormonal equilibrium, with auxin and cytokinins playing a major role. In particular, apical dominance (i.e. the apex and the long laterals inhibiting the axillary buds located below them) is usually considered as the result of a basipetal gradient of auxin from the apex (Wilson, 2000). The implication of other hormones such as cytokinins, which are probably shoot-derived, has recently been demonstrated in apple shoots (Cook et al., 2001). These hormonal gradients may be responsible for inhibition of the axillary buds located just below the end of the annual shoots that constitute the unbranched zone present in 60% of the trunks.

When located at a given distance from the apex, axillary buds are able to burst. The presence of latent buds mixed within the branching zones may be interpreted as local antagonism between buds, and possibly resulting from competition for assimilates prior to burst. This hypothesis is supported by studies showing that the intensity of budburst depends on stored carbohydrates and their access to the meristematic zone (Oliveira and Priestley, 1988; Bonhomme et al., 1999). In all cultivars, buds very rarely showed proleptic budburst within the median sylleptic branching zone. This suggests that their inhibition remains stable once a hierarchy between buds has been established. This inhibited state is maintained until the local position or the growth conditions of the buds are changed. This can be achieved by pruning, bending (Wareing and Nasr, 1961) or ageing (Drenou, 1994).

The percentage of latent buds increased from type II to type IV cultivars. This observation, made on 1-year-old trunks, is consistent with observations made on older branches. Working with fruiting branches, Lauri et al. (1995, 1997) observed that the percentage of latent buds—which decreases proportionally with the number of developing sites—may be related to the fruiting behaviour and to the regular bearing of the cultivars. This suggests that the percentage of latent buds could provide an early evaluation of fruiting habit, which is relevant for breeders.

A given number of axillary buds stop growing just after development of the preformed organs within the winter bud to form a spur, while a few shoots continue their development to form a long shoot. Competition for assimilates may explain the mixture of spurs and long shoots within the branching zones. However, another assumption recently tested in apricot trees implies that competition between primary and secondary growth could be responsible for the early primary growth cessation of most of the developing shoots (Costes et al., 2000).

The presence of a distal zone with long proleptic shoots is consistent with the description of an acrotonic gradient in apple shoots (Crabbé, 1987; Cook et al., 1998). However, proximal long proleptic laterals were also observed in all cultivars. This may reflect a basitonic tendency, first observed in apple and pear trees, and then in many other angiosperm species (for a review, see Barnola and Crabbé, 1991). This tendency is expressed temporarily in early winter; it has sometimes been observed at the end of summer (Mullins, 1965) or following suboptimal winter chilling (Cook and Jacobs, 1999).

Inhibition of the basal axillary buds can be interpreted, like acrotony, as the result of hormonal equilibrium. Indeed, low cytokinin concentrations were observed in proximal compared with distal parts of shoots in ‘Braburn’ and ‘Granny’ cultivars (Cook et al., 2001). The ability of certain buds to develop closer to the roots differed between the cultivars, with ‘Elsstar’ being the cultivar with the most basal laterals. The development of basal buds into long laterals is a drawback for tree management. Indeed, long branches close to the base of the trunk, whether sylleptic or proleptic, are usually removed by pruning. Thus, the determination of their number and location along the trunk can help to develop more effective selection strategies to ease tree training and to reduce pruning.

More generally, the difference between the cultivars in both the number of zones and their composition in terms of lateral types is of interest since it improves our knowledge of genetic differences and underlying physiological mechanisms. The statistical modelling led us to capture the embedded structure and contributed to a more precise definition of ‘branching pattern’ for apple tree in comparison with previous studies on genetic variations in growth and branching habits (Zagada and Faust, 1983). Moreover, the model outputs provide new insights into biological mechanisms, which can generate new assumptions or new questions. For example, the present results could be used to improve bud or tissue sampling strategies in order to better account for bud fate when studying the molecular mechanisms involved in budburst and lateral development. They could also be used to evaluate the outputs of other models, in particular structure–function models which generate
We are grateful to P. E. Lauri and G. Garcia for their Godin and Y. Caraglio for helpful comments on previous probably induced a very rapid and homogeneous growth as well as a large, possibly maximal, number of laterals. But branching patterns are also likely to vary with agronomic practices such as propagation method and rootstock, or with climatic conditions. Thus, the modifications possibly induced by agronomic or climatic conditions remain to be analysed and interpreted as a natural continuation of this work.
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