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Ovules as developmental precursors of seeds are organs of central importance in angiosperm flowers and can be traced back in evolution to the earliest seed plants. Angiosperm ovules are diverse in their position in the ovary, nucellus thickness, number and thickness of integuments, degree and direction of curvature, and histological differentiations. There is a large body of literature on this diversity, and various views on its evolution have been proposed over the course of time. Most recently eco–devo studies have been concentrated on molecular developmental genetics in ovules of model plants.

Scope The present review provides a synthetic treatment of several aspects of the sporophytic part of ovule diversity, development and evolution, based on extensive research on the vast original literature and on experience from my own comparative studies in a broad range of angiosperm clades.

Conclusions In angiosperms the presence of an outer integument appears to be instrumental for ovule curvature, as indicated from studies on ovule diversity through the major clades of angiosperms, molecular developmental genetics in model species, abnormal ovules in a broad range of angiosperms, and comparison with gymnosperms with curved ovules. Lobation of integuments is not an atavism indicating evolution from telomes, but simply a morphogenetic constraint from the necessity of closure of the micropyle. Ovule shape is partly dependent on locule architecture, which is especially indicated by the occurrence of orthotropous ovules. Some ovule features are even more conservative than earlier assumed and thus of special interest in angiosperm macrosystematics.
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INTRODUCTION

Ovules, the developmental precursors of seeds, are the organs in angiosperm flowers that can be traced back farthest in time, back to early seed plants almost 400 million years ago. In spite of their relatively stable basic structure, ovules have attained a broad diversity of forms. The early evolution of ovules in angiosperms has been much under discussion in comparative structural studies and embryology on extant and fossil plants, and recently ovules became prominent in molecular developmental genetic studies.

Thus, information on ovules relies on sources from different fields, and a synthetic review needs to draw from all of them. There is a plethora of descriptive studies on embryology of single angiosperm species, also including the sporophytic part of the ovules, especially from Indian botanists in the time between 1930 and 1980. Each by itself may not be of special interest, but taken together they are a treasure trove of information on ovule diversity, the value of which continuously increases with each new study. Another field encompasses studies on the development of ovules in model species, especially Arabidopsis, from the past 20 years. There are a number of comparative studies and reviews in which the sporophytic part of ovules and its diversity was considered (Brongniart, 1827; Mirbel, 1829; Agardh, 1858; Warming, 1878, 1913; van Tieghem, 1901; Schnarf, 1929, 1931, 1933; Mauritzon, 1939b; Maheshwari, 1950, 1963; Johri, 1963, 1967, 1984; Kapil and Vasil, 1963; Puri, 1970; Bouman, 1974, 1984a, b; Philipson, 1974, 1977; Hamann, 1977; Yakovlev and Batygina, 1981–1990; Tobe, 1989; Dahlgren, 1991; Kapil and Bhatnagar, 1991; Johri et al., 1992; Rudall, 1997; Shamrov, 1998, 2002b, 2003, 2006; Rangan and Rangaswamy, 1999; Batygina, 2002). Currently we are able to discuss the diversity and evolution of ovules based on molecular phylogenetic results (APG, 2009). In addition, molecular developmental studies on ovules brought to light new evolutionary facets over the past 20 years. This review focuses mainly on (a) evolution of ovules within angiosperms as seen in the current phylogenetic framework; (b) understanding of certain specific features of angiosperm ovules from patterns and trends in a broad range of angiosperm ovules; (c) evolution of angiosperm ovules from gymnosperm ovules; and (d) the role of ovules in angiosperm macrosystematics.

In the studies from my laboratory, carpel and ovule diversity was compared through all families of extant basal angiosperms, including the ANITA grade, magnoliids and the basal grades of monocots and of eudicots (Endress, 1986; Endress and Igersheim 1997, 1999, 2000, 2002, 2004, 2005a, b, Endress et al., 2000; Igersheim and Endress, 1997, 1998; Igersheim et al., 2001), as well as several orders of rosids (Matthews and Endress, 2002, 2004, 2005a, b, 2008, 2011; Endress and Matthews, 2006; Bachelier and Endress, 2007, 2008, 2009). In addition, data on floral structure, including ovules, were compiled from >3300 original publications (see Endress, 2011). Although ovules have their own developmental dynamics,
some structural properties of ovules, such as curvature and symmetry, are dependent on their position in the ovary. Thus, ovule structure cannot be fully understood if the architecture of their surroundings is not considered in the discussion.

Most of the figures are original. Collections used for figures are listed in the Appendix.

**BASIC STRUCTURE AND DEVELOPMENT OF ANGIOSPERM OVULES**

Angiosperm ovules basically consist of a nucellus and two integuments and may be sessile on the placenta or attached to it by a stalk, the funiculus (survey by Bouman, 1984a). Most commonly a vascular bundle extends from the placenta through the funiculus to the chalaza, i.e. the area right below the base of the nucellus where the integuments depart. The funiculus and the chalaza are intercalary structures and thus less well demarcated than the nucellus and integuments. The nucellus represents the megasporangium, in which a meiocyte undergoes meiosis forming four megaspores, typically only one of which develops into an embryo sac representing the megagametophyte. The embryo sac contains basically four or eight nuclei, organized into four or seven cells, depending on whether there are two or three rounds of mitotic divisions in the developing embryo sac (Maheshwari, 1950; Friedman and Williams, 2003; Friedman, 2006). These cells are the egg cell, associated with two synergids, all three forming the egg apparatus, a large central cell with one or two nuclei, and, if seven cells are present, three antipodals opposite the egg apparatus. The inner or both integuments form the micropyle, a narrow canal through which a pollen tube reaches the nucellus, grows into the nucellus and the embryo sac, and there into one of the synergids. One of the two sperm cells conveyed with the pollen tube fertilizes the egg cell resulting in the zygote, and the other fuses with the nucleus of the central cell (double fertilization), which then gives rise to the endosperm. In typical embryo sacs with seven cells, the central cell contains two nuclei, which fuse into a diploid nucleus and the endosperm becomes triploid; this is the most common type of embryo sac in angiosperms (Polygonum type). In embryo sacs with four cells, the central cell has only one nucleus and the endosperm is diploid.

Ovules begin development from the inner morphological surface of the carpels (Endress, 2006). They first appear as a mound, similar to other floral organs. The mound elongates and, close to the apex, the two integument primordia appear almost simultaneously, but often the inner slightly earlier than the outer (Figs 1A and 2B). The site where the integuments are initiated is the prospective chalaza. Most angiosperm ovules are curved so that the micropyle is directed toward the placenta, the direction from which pollen tubes arrive. In young ovules that later become curved (anatropous or campylotropous; see ‘Basic diversity of ovules in extant angiosperms’), the integument primordia are more conspicuous on the convex side; the outer may even be lacking on the concave side (Figs 2E’ and 3B). The part of the ovule above the inner integument primordium will develop into the...
nucellus. Thus the nucellus is only delimited with the initiation of the integuments. If there are no integuments there is consequently no nucellus, and the ovule is morphologically undifferentiated. The inner integument always forms a tubular sheath around the nucellus. The outer integument is more variable. In orthotropous ovules it also forms a tubular sheath. In anatropous or campylotropous ovules it either also forms a tubular sheath (annular outer integument) or it is incomplete on the concave side (semi-annular, hood-shaped outer integument). Whether it becomes annular or semi-annular depends on the speed of ovule curvature or the speed of progression of the outer integument primordium from the convex towards the concave side. The greater the speed of ovule curvature and the lower the speed of progression of the outer integument, the more the development is towards semi-annular.

Basic developmental processes in ovules of the model species Arabidopsis thaliana were described by Bowman (1993) and Schneitz et al. (1995). Curved ovules have a raphe, a sometimes conspicuous area through which the vascular bundle runs from the funiculus to the chalaza. It is not useful to describe the raphe as a product of ‘congenital fusion of the outer integument with the funiculus’ (Tilton and Lersten, 1981a, p. 452). The raphe develops by the extension of the ovule on one side beyond the funiculus and below the outer integument and is merely a developmental by-product of ovule curvature (Fig. 2E).

In terms of developmental genetics and the ‘ABC of floral development’, an additional class D MADS-box gene was assumed to determine ovule identity (Angenent et al., 1995; Colombo et al., 1995; Dreni et al., 2007). From subsequent studies, ovule identity appears to be promoted by the shared activity of C and D class genes (Favaro et al., 2003; Pinyopich et al., 2003). The D gene lineage originated from duplication of the C gene lineage; the C lineage may originally have operated in female organ identity (including ovules) and, following duplication, underwent sub-functionalization by which the D lineage specialized in ovule morphology (Kramer et al., 2004). A crucial event in ovule morphogenesis is integument initiation. As mentioned above, with integument initiation, the nucellus, chalaza and funiculus also become defined (Schneitz et al., 1995; Schneitz, 1999), and the genetics of this differentiation, in which NOZZLE plays an important role, was first studied in Arabidopsis (Schneitz et al., 1997, 1998a; Balasubramaniam and Schneitz, 2000, 2002). So far, numerous genes have been recovered that are involved in ovule development (Gasser et al., 1998; Schneitz et al., 1998a; Kelley and Gasser, 2009; Skinner and Gasser, 2009). This genetic diversity may reflect part of the morphological diversity of angiosperm ovules.

The putative evolutionary sequence of parts in ovules corresponds to the developmental sequence (nucellus = inner integument – outer integument – funiculus) and is reflected by molecular genetics of development in Arabidopsis, which shows that it is easier to affect the outer integument and funiculus than the nucellus and inner integument (Schneitz et al., 1998b). As an analogy of this developmental sequence, in stamen development the central part, the anther, also develops before the filament. Both ovules and stamens have in common that the part where meiosis takes place differentiates first. It may be assumed that this is functionally important because differentiation of meiocytes involves a highly specialized surrounding tissue which, in turn, requires a relatively long time for development. In contrast, the other (outer, basal) parts have a simpler structure and differentiate more rapidly.

**BASIC DIVERSITY OF OVULES IN EXTANT ANGIOSPERMS**

Ovule diversity is expressed in several respects. The main aspects of diversity are ovule size, degree of ovule curvature, nucellus thickness, integument number and thickness, formation of the micropyle, funiculus length, degree of vascularization of the ovule and diverse histological differentiation (e.g. hypostase, postament and endothecium).

Ovules are around 0.5 mm long in many angiosperm clades at the time of fertilization. In small-ovuled clades they are approx. 0.15 mm long. Large ovules may reach >2 mm. Diversity of ovule size may be extensive even at the level of orders.

Ovules can be straight or curved in various ways. Straight, uncurved ovules (orthotropous, atropous; Fig. 3A) are radially symmetric (or disymmetric). In curved ovules the nucellus is either straight (anatropous ovule, Fig. 3B) or it is also involved in the curvature (campylotropous ovule, Fig. 3C). Ovules that are only slightly curved are hemitropous (hemianatropous). The three terms ‘orthotrope’, ‘anatrope’ and ‘campulitrope’
EVOLUTIONARY ORIGIN OF OVULES IN SEED PLANTS

Discussion on evolution of ovules needs to incorporate aspects of function, development, differentiation at the key functional stages, extant diversity and fossil record (Haig and Westoby, 1989). The main functions of ovules as developmental precursors of seeds are: (1) production via meiosis of the female gametophyte with the egg cell; (2) collection of pollen (microspores) (in gymnosperms) or attraction of pollen tubes (male gametophytes) (in angiosperms) at the micropyle; (3) canalization of male gametes toward the egg cell via the nucellus and female gametophyte; (4) protection of the nucellus containing the female gametophyte with the egg cell and the developing embryo and endosperm; (5) closure of the pollen chamber (in gymnosperms); and (6) formation of specializations for seed dispersal, such as wings or a sarcotesta (combined with a sclerotesta) in endozoochory (in gymnosperms) and also other devices (in angiosperms). Thus an evolutionary reconstruction needs to take into consideration all these six functions. Function (1) is always provided by a nucellus. Thus it can be expected that nucelli in gymnosperms and angiosperms are all homologous. In contrast, functions (2–4) may not be furnished by the same organs in all seed plants and thus there may be transference of functions.

(1) The egg cell is produced within the nucellus by a multicellular gametophyte in gymnosperms (e.g. Singh, 1978; Friedman and Carmichael, 1998) or by a few-celled gametophyte (the embryo sac) in angiosperms (e.g. Maheshwari, 1950; Friedman, 2006).

(2) In extant gymnosperms, in which the ovules are exposed, attraction of microspore-transporting pollinators (in insect-pollinated clades) is olfactory and/or optical by odour and colour of the integument or organs surrounding the ovules, and the pollination drop presented at the micropyle. There is little known about the mechanism of attraction of the pollen tubes or gametes (spermatozoids) toward the egg cells (Singh, 1978). In contrast, in angiosperms, in which ovules are enclosed in a carpel or a multicarpellate gynoecium, attraction of the pollen through pollinators is by the carpels or other floral organs and attraction of the pollen tubes is chemical within the carpel or gynoecium by compounds secreted from upper parts of the carpels (Kim et al., 2003) and from the synergids of the embryo sac, or also secreted by the nucellus apex or the micropyle (Tilton and Lersten, 1981a, b, c; Franssen-Verheijen et al., 1993; Hülskamp et al., 1995; Smyth, 1997; Shimizu and Okada, 2000; McCormick and Yang, 2005; Dresselhaus and Märton, 2009). Spermatozoids are present in extant gymnosperms only in cycads and Ginkgo but were more common in the early evolutionary history of spermatothyles (e.g. Poort et al., 1996; Nishida et al., 2003; Doyle, 2006). The pollination drop, occurring in most extant gymnosperms, which is presented at the micropyle (see next paragraph) and in which pollen grains are caught, is formed by the integument and from decaying tissue (holocrine secretion) at the nucellus apex (Ziegler, 1959; Singh, 1978; Tomlinson, 1991; Tomlinson et al., 1991; Chesney, 1993; Takaso and Owens, 1996; Takaso et al.,

(in French) for the different types of expression of curvature were used by Mirbel (1829) (see also Wagenitz, 2003). Curved ovules are either monosymmetric or, when they twist, in addition may be asymmetric. The latter is the case in pendant ovules on a lateral placenta. In extant angiosperms, anatropous ovules are most probably ancestral (Doyle, 2008; Endress and Doyle, 2009). Some other types, in addition to the three most commonly distinguished types (orthotropous, anatropous and campylotropous), have been described (e.g. Bocquet, 1959; Bouman and Boesewinkel, 1991; Taylor, 1991; Batygina 2002), but will not be treated here, as their systematic significance is unexplored.

The nucellus is diverse in thickness and length. van Tieghem (1898) coined the terms ‘plantes crassinucellées’ (plants with crassinucellar ovules) (Fig. 10A, B) and ‘plantes tenuinucellées’ (plants with tenuinucellar ovules) (Fig. 10C–F) to distinguish between thick and thin nucelli. This distinction between crassinucellar and tenuinucellar has long been used in the embryological and morphological literature. In a review by Warming (1913) ‘ovules eusporangiates’ and ‘ovules leptosporangiates’ (in French) were distinguished, corresponding to crassinucellar and tenuinucellar. A more detailed classification was attempted by Shamrov (1997, 1998, 2000, 2002b, 2006), containing developmental aspects but without a phylogenetic framework. A phylogenetic framework for a progressively more refined classification was used by Endress (2003, 2005, 2010, 2011) and Endress and Matthews (2006) (see ‘Nucellus structure in angiosperm ovules’).

Integuments are diverse in number and of differential thickness (Fig. 10G–K). The number can be reduced from the basic two to one or (exceptionally) none, van Tieghem (1898) considered integument number in his ovule classification as ‘plantes bitegminées’ (plants with bitegmic ovules) and ‘plantes unitegminées’ (plants with unitegmic ovules), and also used this distinction in his angiosperm classification (van Tieghem, 1901). Shamrov (2000, 2003) dealt with integument diversity from a developmental point of view. Endress and Matthews (2006) and Endress (2010, 2011) found new correlations in integument thickness with macrosystematics from a phylogenetic point of view. Further, integuments can be lobed or unlobed, and the outer integument can be annular or semi-annular (review of basal angiosperms in Endress and Igersheim, 2000a).

The micropyle may be formed by one or both integuments. In some cases there is no micropyle at the time of ovule maturity, and adjacent parts (the funiculus or obturator) may be in contact with the rim of the integuments or directly with the nucellus.

Ovules are borne on the placentae of the carpels. They may have stalks (funiculi) of different length or may be sessile. When they are sessile they may have a narrow or an extensive attachment area.

Most ovules have a vascular bundle extending from the placenta through the funiculus and raphe to the chalaza. In a number of clades, vascular bundles also reach into one of the integuments. This is mostly in combination with large seeds. At the other extreme, there are ovules with only an undifferentiated procambial strand in the funiculus and raphe or even without any procambial strand at all. Such ovules are small and also otherwise reduced.
For canalization of male gametes a narrow tube is needed, not only do the fertile ovules present pollination drops but, in addition, the male units are associated with pollen drop-producing sterile ovules (e.g. Endress, 1996). There is little known on attraction by colour or scent in early seed plants, if there were animal pollinators at all. In fossils, such as Elkinsia or Lagenostoma (Lyginopteris) (Rothwell et al., 1989; Rothwell and Serbet, 1992; Taylor and Taylor, 1993), the organs surrounding ovules and forming a cupule are spreading, and in Lagenostoma (Lyginopteris) from the Carboniferous they appear to have had glands, which could have been protective or attractive. For paleozoic pteridosperms pollination drops were inferred by Rothwell (1977).

For canalization of male gametes a narrow tube is needed, the micropyle, which is formed by the single integument in extant gymnosperms and, within the carpel in angiosperms, by one or two integuments. The evolution of the integument in gymnosperms is unclear. An integument may have evolved several times (Li et al., 1997). Evolution from a group of branches of dichotomous branching systems (telomes in the terminology of Zimmermann, 1952) that became associated with megasporangia has most often been suggested as a first step (e.g. Andrews, 1963; Smith, 1964; Long, 1967; Gillespie et al., 1981; Retallack and Dilcher, 1988; Rothwell and Scheckler, 1988; Galtier and Rowe, 1989; Rothwell et al., 1989; Stewart and Rothwell, 1993; Hilton and Edwards, 1999; Kelley and Gasser, 2009), and such ovule precursors without a micropyle are called ‘pre-ovules’ (e.g. Stewart and Rothwell, 1993; Hilton and Edwards, 1996). Kenrick and Crane (1997) suggested a derivation of this megasporangium envelope from a group of sterile megasporangia. In some cases, the integument may be derived from two units, depending on symmetry and the number of vascular bundles in fossils, such as in the early Carboniferous Mitrospermum of cordaitean affinity (Long, 1977), the Late Carboniferous Stephanospermum (Drinnan et al., 1990) and Callospermarion of potential medullosan affinity (Eggett and Delevoryas, 1960), or the Permian Choanostoma of unknown affinity (Klavins et al., 2001). Such an envelope of several branches may function in catching microspores from the air by producing specific coats were given by Corner (1976) and Bouman (1984b). Such a hypothesis, derivation of the integument from the pollen chamber wall, would only make sense if the pollen chamber was a structure at the morphological level, a problem not considered by Meeuse and Bouman (1974).

Protection of the nucellus in gymnosperms is by the integument. In early seed plants sterile branches could have functioned for protection (see preceding paragraph). In more advanced gymnosperms protection is more complex. In Bennettitales the so-called interseminal scales could have played this role (e.g. Crane, 1985; Stockey and Rothwell, 2003; Crane and Herendeen, 2009; Rothwell et al., 2009). In Ephedra (Gnetales), it is a pair or a whorl of 3–4 fused bracts (‘seed envelope’), which may be free in the upper part (Rydin et al., 2010), perhaps also in the fossil gnetophyte Siphonospermum (Rydin and Friis, 2010). Perhaps the triangular seeds of Doylea (Stockey and Rothwell, 2009) and Rugosella (Friis et al., 2009) and the quadrangular seeds of Ephedrispermum, Buarcospermum, Lignierispermum and Lobospermum (Friis et al., 2009) also have a similar structure with an outer envelope of three or four units. Among gymnospermous ovules/seeds, such an outer envelope, commonly with layers of sclerified tissue, is known from Gnetales, Erdmanithecales and Bennettitales (Friis et al., 2007, 2009). Whether it is homologous in all three orders has not been resolved. In angiosperms it is not only the integuments that protect the nucellus but also the carpel or syncarpous gynoeicum in which the ovules are enclosed. In addition, angiosperms ancestrally probably have two integuments (e.g. Doyle and Endress, 2000; Endress and Doyle, 2009).

Protection of the young sporophyte in gymnosperms is provided by closure (sealing) of the pollen chamber and/or integument (Takaso and Bouman, 1986; Serbet and Rothwell, 1995). Some earlier information is summarized in Singh (1978).

The presence of wings and potential anemochory (in gymnosperms) was described in seeds of the Late Devonian (Rowe, 1992, 1997) and Permian (Dilcher et al., 1997), and they occur in some extant conifers and in some gnetophytes (Welwitschia, some Ephedra species). A sarcotesta was described for some Carboniferous (Taylor, 1965) and Permian seeds (Klavins et al., 2001; Hilton et al., 2003), and is present, at least in part, in all major extant gymnosperm clades. In gymnosperm seeds, commonly also a sclerified (‘mechanical’) layer is developed. In angiosperms, the position of this layer is diverse but macro-systematically significant (inner or outer surface or central area of the integument or of both integuments; Corner, 1976). A detailed historical survey on the use of terms for different layers was given by Schmid (1986), and surveys on the diversity of seed coats were given by Corner (1976) and Bouman (1984b).

**Symmetry of ovules in gymnosperms and angiosperms**

Curvature directly affects the symmetry of ovules. Orthotropous ovules are radially symmetric or disymmetric,
whereas curved ovules are monosymmetric or asymmetric. However, curvature is not the only factor influencing ovule symmetry.

In early spermatophytes the distinction between radiospermic (radially symmetric) and platyspermic (disymmetric) ovules/seed (Rothwell, 1986; Stewart and Rothwell, 1993) appears to be phylogenetically important at the macro-level (Rothwell and Serbet, 1994; Doyle, 1996, 2006; Hilton and Bateman, 2006). Both radiospermic and platyspermic seeds appear in the Devonian (Chalonier et al., 1977; Gillespie et al., 1981). In contrast, in angiosperms, radial and flattened ovules may occur in closely related groups, and flattened ovules may simply be understood by space constraints in the ovary locule.

Angiosperm ovules are probably derived from radiospermic seeds among gymnosperms (Meyen, 1982). However, this does not preclude that ancestral angiosperm ovules were anatropous and, thus, monosymmetric. Changes in the symmetry of ovules occurred multiple times in angiosperms and at different systematic levels. Araceae are an example in which there are multiple changes even within a single family (French, 1986; Mayo et al., 1997). Thus radial symmetry, disymmetry and monosymmetry in gymnosperms and angiosperms are not directly related; their significance is not at the same levels.

**EVILOATION OF BITEGMY FROM UNITEGMY ON THE WAY TO ANGIOESPERM EVOLUTION**

The inner integument of angiosperms is probably homologous to the single integument in their gymnospermous ancestors (Reinheimer and Kellogg, 2009), and the outer integument may have been derived from the wall of a cupule and reduction of ovule number to one per cupule in angiosperm ancestors [e.g., Glossopteridales or Caytoniales (e.g., Gausser, 1946; Stebbins, 1974, 1976; Doyle 1978, 1994, 2008)]. In Caytoniales the cupules are curved and could have given rise in this way directly to an anatropous bitegmic ovule (Gausser, 1946; Doyle, 1978, 2008). Curved cupules are also known from some other fossil gymnosperms [Kaltenia, Umkomasia, Corysospermales; Petriellaeae, Petriellaeales (e.g. Taylor and Archangelsky, 1985; Taylor et al., 1994, 2006, 2009; Klavins et al., 2002; Frohlich, 2003; Taylor and Taylor, 2009)]. Curvature in these various gymnosperms and the crown-group angiosperms could also have independently arisen several times.

There is little information on ovule evolution from the perspective of molecular developmental genetics. In a study on the interaction of NOZZLE and INNER NO OUTER, and that of PHABULOsa and WUSCHEL, Sieber et al. (2004, p. 333) are ‘tempted to speculate that bitegmic ovules of extant angiosperms might have been derived through the ‘splitting’ of an integument in a unitegmic precursor’. They hesitate to acknowledge an interpretation of other authors, of the outer integument being derived from a leaf simply because the YABBY gene INO is expressed on its outer side as other YABBY genes are on the outer (abaxial) side of leaves. It should be taken into consideration that the activity of these genes may not be organ specific but pattern or symmetry specific, i.e. promoting dorsiventrality (Eshed et al., 2001).

However, this hypothesis of origin of the second integument is of interest as it is at variance with the common paleobotanical hypothesis that the second (the outer integument) in angiosperms was co-opted from an already existing organ, such as the cupule (see ‘Evolutionary origin of ovules in seed plants’ point 4).

**DEVELOPMENT OF CURVATURE IN OVULES**

Ovule curvature is a predominant feature in angiosperms, in contrast to the commonly uncurved ovules in gymnosperms. Curvature ensures a position of the micropyle close to the attachment site of the ovule and thus close to the placenta for an easy uptake of pollen tubes (Figs 1A–D, 2A–E, E’). It was first discussed by Agardh (1858) that ovule curvature is functionally important to take up pollen tubes by the micropyle. That the micropyle (called ‘mamelon d’imprégnation’) plays a role for the development of the seed was already described by Brongniart (1827) and Mirbel (1829), who observed the pollen tube (called ‘tube conducteur’ and ‘filet’) from the style to the micropyle in some Cucurbitaceae, but the exact function of pollen tubes was not recognized until the ground-breaking work of Hofmeister (1849).

I contend that curvature and the advent of bitegmy are intimately functionally connected and that the development of the outer integument is responsible for curvature. There is evidence from several sources: (1) differential thickness of the outer integument in curved and uncurved angiosperm ovules; (2) structure of the outer integument in abnormally uncurved ovules in angiosperms; (3) behaviour of ovule mutants in model organisms without normal curvature; and (4) development of ovules in the rare gymnosperms that have curved ovules.

(1) The outer integument is often thinner in orthotropous ovules than in anatropous ovules, or is even lacking. For instance, it is only two cell layers thick in the orthotropous Barclaya of Nymphaeaceae, in contrast to more layers in the other, anatropous Nymphaeaceae; only two in the orthotropous family Piperaceae and Hydnoraceae, in contrast to more in most other magnoliids; and also only two(to three) in the orthotropous Proteaceae and Platanaceae, in contrast to the anatropous Nelumbonaceae among Proteales; and even unitegmy in the orthotropous Sabiaceae (Igersheim and Endress, 1998; Endress and Igersheim, 1999). In ovules that have an early forming aril, full ovule curvature seems to be slightly hindered. Often such ovules are not fully anatropous but more or less hemianatropous. Examples are Myristicaceae (Endress, 1973; Igersheim and Endress, 1997), Xanthorrhoeaceae (Steyn and Smith, 1998), and in Sapindaceae ovules are also sometimes hemianatropous but later they become campylotropous without going through an anatropous stage. In Mauloutchia (Myristicaceae), in which a well-developed aril is lacking, the ovule appears to be more anatropous, or at least the outer integument appears to be semi-annular (Sauquet et al., 2003).

(2) Abnormal orthotropous (or hemianatropous) ovules often occur in plants that normally have anatropous ovules,
especially in ovaries with numerous ovules. These are found in various major clades of angiosperms. Interestingly, in these abnormal ovules that failed to develop a normal curvature, the outer integument is often reduced; it is shorter or completely lacking. Another concomitant trait is that the funiculus is often longer than in the normal anatropous ovules. Such ovules were described and drawn in a number of publications for single species. Both features together (reduced outer integument and long funiculus) were documented for *Takhtajania* (Winteraceae, Fig. 4A; Endress et al., 2000), *Butomus* (Butomaceae, Fig. 4B; pers. obs.; Fernando and Cass, 1996), *Burmannia* (Burmanniaceae, one integument completely lacking; Rübsamen, 1986), *Berberis* (Berberidaceae; Schleiden, 1839; Mauritzon, 1938), *Caltha* (Ranunculaceae, outer integument growing backward; Kapil and Jalan, 1962), *Holoptelea* (Ulmaceae; Capoor, 1937), *Parnassia* (Parnassiaceae, one integument completely lacking; Saxena, 1964b), *Dalechampia* (Euphorbiaceae, outer integument growing backward in one case; Singh and Pal, 1968), *Podostemon* (Podostemaceae, funiculus length not indicated; Hammond, 1937), *Jussieuia* (Onagraceae; Khan, 1942) and *Foeniculum* (Apiaceae, integument growing backward; Gupta, 1964). In the following taxa, the outer integument was not reduced, but the funiculus was longer: *Hitchenia* (Zingiberaceae; Panchaksharappa, 1962), *Platystemon* (Papaveraceae; Bocquet and Bersier, 1960), *Bergenia* (Saxifragaceae; Saxena, 1969), *Heuchera* (Saxifragaceae; Mauritzon, 1933), *Saxifraga* (Saxifragaceae; Saxena, 1964a), *Neptunia* (Fabaceae; Singh and Shivapuri, 1935) and *Holopteleopsis* (Myrtaceae; Mauritzon, 1939a). In the following taxa the outer integument was misshapen, but the funiculus was not longer: *Podophyllum emodi* (Berberidaceae, Fig. 4C; pers. obs.) and *Pterospermum* (Malvaceae; Venkata Rao, 1954). In some Myrtaceae the pluriovulate placenta regularly contains some reduced ovules (‘ovulodes’) (see also ‘Direction of the ovule initiation sequence in placentae’). In *Angophora*, ovulodes have only one integument (Prakash, 1969).

(3) The behaviour of mutants in the model species *A. thaliana* strongly supports the role of the outer integument in ovule curvature. In *ant* (*aintegumenta*) (Elliott et al., 1996; Schneitz et al., 1997, 1998b; Skinner and Gasser, 2009) and *hll* (*huellenlos*) (Schneitz et al., 1997, 1998b) both integuments are lacking and the ovule is not curved, and the same occurs in double, triple and quadruple mutants involving *ant*, *stk* (*seedstick*), *shatterproof1* (*shp1*) and *shp2* (*shatterproof2*; Losa et al., 2010) and in triple mutants with *cna* (*corona*), *phb* (*phabulos*) and *phv* (*phavoluta*) (Kelley et al., 2009). In *inw* (*wuschel*) ovules both integuments are lacking and there is no normal curvature (Gross-Hardt et al., 2002). In *ino* (inner no outer), the outer integument is almost lacking (initiated but not further developed) but the inner is well developed, and there is no curvature (Baker et al., 1997; Schneitz et al., 1997; Villanueva et al., 1999; Gallagher and Gasser, 2008; Skinner and Gasser, 2009); the same was found in an *ino* mutant of the basal angiosperm *Annona squamosa* (Lora et al., 2011). In *pfs2* (pretty few seeds2) mutants with the *PFS2* transgene some ovules are normal; however, in some ovules the outer integument is reduced (shorter than the inner) and the ovule is only half-way curved (Park et al., 2004, 2005). In *kan1* (kanadi1) and *kan2* (kanadi2) double mutants the outer integument remains short and the ovules are not curved (Eshed et al., 2001); the same is the case in *kan1, kan2, kan3* triple mutants (McAbee et al., 2006) and in *seu, cyp85A2-1* double mutants (Nole-Wilson et al., 2010). In *sin1* (short *integuments*) (Robinson-Beers et al., 1992; Baker et al., 1997) and *sin2* mutants (Broadhurst et al., 2000) both integuments remain short and the ovules are only weakly curved, and similarly in *ag/AG stk* *shp1* *shp2* mutants (Brambilla et al., 2007). In *bell* (bell) the two integuments are not distinct, forming 2–4 irregular mounds, and there is no regular curvature (Robinson-Beers et al., 1992, Schneitz et al., 1997). Taking these results from several mutants together, there is a distinct pattern: the shorter the outer integument, the less the ovule is curved. If the outer integument is not formed at all, there is no curvature. For the formation of curvature, apparently an outer integument needs to be present and it must have a slant in order to develop asymmetrically from early on. A seemingly contradictory case was described and interpreted for the ovules of rice, in which curvature is said to be ‘associated closely with the extent of inner integument growth’ (Yamaki et al., 2005, p. 408). However, these ovules are almost uncurved and not easily compared with anatropous or campylotropous ovules.

(4) Among extant gymnosperms, Podocarpaceae are the only group that shows prominent ovule curvature during development. Although Podocarpaceae do not have an outer integument, they have a special structure, the ‘epimatium’. The epimatium looks like the hood-shaped outer
integument of an anatropous ovule in angiosperms. The epimatium is involved with curvature (‘anatropy’) of the ovule (Doyle, 1945; Tomlinson et al., 1991; Tomlinson, 1992; Del Fuego, 1999; Mill et al., 2001; Tomlinson and Takaso, 2002). Thus it is in some way functionally analogous to an outer integument. However, morphologically it corresponds to the ovuliferous scale in other conifers (Tomlinson, 1992). This difference in homology is also reflected in the precocious developmental appearance of the epimatium compared with the ovule (Tomlinson, 1992). The function of this ‘anatropy’ in Podocarpaceae is ‘pollen scavenging’; the pollination drop spreads in the area around the micropyle, and pollen grains trapped in the pollination drop will then float into the micropylar cavity (Tomlinson, 1991; Tomlinson et al., 1991). The epimatium is also involved in seed dispersal as it becomes fleshy and brightly coloured.

DIVERSITY OF OVULE POSITION IN THE GYNOECIUM AND REPERCUSSIONS OF OVARY ARCHITECTURE ON OVULE SHAPE

The position of the ovules in the gynoecium and ovary locule architecture have repercussions on details of ovule structure, especially ovule curvature and symmetry. Therefore, this aspect is important to understand details of ovule shape (Endress, 1994a, 2008). In most locule architectures, anatropous or campylotropous ovules direct their micropyte close to the placenta by their curvature, which facilitates pollen tube growth from the carpels directly into the micropyles (Fig. 1). For this reason, curved ovules are so common in angiosperms and appear to be the basal state for extant angiosperms (see ‘Basic diversity of ovules in extant angiosperms’; Doyle, 2008; Endress and Doyle, 2009). Completely orthotropous ovules occur in four different placenta positions or locule architectures. (1) A single ovule on a basal placenta in a narrow locule (single ascidiate carpel or syncarpous gynoeceum) (Figs 6A, 7). The micropyte is directed towards the stylar canal and is connected with it either through secretion or by contiguity. This is a relatively widespread situation in a number of unrelated angiosperm groups [e.g. Piperales of magnoliids, some Arecaceae of basal monocots, Juglandaceae, Myricaceae, and Urticaceae of rosids, Polygonaceae of the asterid alliance; Endress, 2008]. (2) Numerous ovules on laminar-diffuse or protruding-diffuse placentae in a spacious locule (locule much larger than the ovules), filled with secretion [Barclaya of the ANITA grade, Fig. 3A; Hydnora of magnoliids; Acorus (Rudall and Furness, 1997; Buzgo and Endress, 2000); Pistia, Fig. 6D (Buzgo, 1994); and Hydrocharis of basal monocots (Igersheim et al., 2001); Xiphidium coeruleum of core monocots (slightly curved), Figs 5B, 6E; Akebia of basal eudicots (slightly curved), Figs 5C, 6F (Endress and Igersheim, 1999); and Cytinus of core eudicots (Igersheim and Endress, 1998)]. (3) Several ovules on parietal placentae, the micropytes being contiguous with an adjacent placenta, Fig. 6B [e.g. Houttuynia cordata of Piperales, Fig. 5A (Endress, 1994b); Mayacaceae of monocots, Casearia of rosids (Endress, 2008); Scaphocalyx of rosids (van Heel, 1973)]. (4) Ovules with a long, curved funiculus, which directs the micropyte to their own placenta [e.g. Helianthemum of core eudicots, Fig. 6C (Nandi, 1998)].

If orthotropous ovules are present in narrow locules and not in a central basal position, they cannot be completely radially symmetrical on architectural grounds, but are somewhat curved at their base. This needs to be emphasized because...
this is the case in some of the basal angiosperms, such as
Amborella (Endress, 1986, 1994b), Chloranthaceae (Endress, 1987, 1994b) and Ceratophyllum (Igersheim and Endress, 1998). There has been debate in the literature as to whether these ovules are orthotropous or anatropous, without fully realizing the problem of architectural constraint. The question is still open in most cases of whether they are basically anatropous but could not complete the curvature because of limited space in the locule or, vice versa, whether they are basically orthotropous but were forced to make a slight curve at the base because of spatial constraint. Thus the curvature may be considered to be a superimposed restriction. This question is especially interesting in Amborella, the sister of all other extant angiosperms, which has been described both as anatropous and as orthotropous (see, for example, Bailey and Swamy, 1948; Endress, 1986; Endress and Igersheim, 1997, 2000b; Doyle and Endress, 2000; Tobe et al., 2000; Yamada et al., 2001a; Endress and Doyle, 2009). Similar cases also occur in basal monocots (Potamogeton, Igersheim et al., 2001; Shamrov, 2006) and basal eudicots (Platanus, Endress and Igersheim, 1999). An example of nearly orthotropous ovules due to developmental constraint on original anatropy occurs in Avicennia (Acanthaceae; Borg and Schönberger, 2011).

An especially obvious case of dependence of ovule shape on locule architecture are ascending orthotropous ovules with the micropyle contiguous with the transition area of the stylar canal into the locule. In some groups with this architecture one or both integuments elongate to keep pace with the elongation of the locule (Fig. 7A) [Elatostema and Myriocarpa of Urticaceae, Rosales (Fagerlind, 1944); Didymelaceae of Buxales (ovules hemitropous) (von Balthazar et al., 2003)]. In these Urticaceae the reverse situation also occurs: instead of an elongation of the integuments, hairs from the pollen tube transmitting tissue grow into the micropyle or onto the nucellus (Fig. 7C) (Fagerlind, 1944). Thus either the integument(s) or the pollen tube transmitting tract grows actively toward its functional counterpart. In some

**DIRECTION OF OVULE CURVATURE AND CARPEL CURVATURE**

Ovules are commonly formed at or close to the margin of carpels (in a marginal or sub-marginal position). To reach angiospermy, carpel margins curve inward so that the ventral carpel surface and the ovules become enclosed. The direction of carpel curvature is always the same in angiosperms. However, this is not the case for the direction of ovule curvature. Although in most cases the direction of curvature in anatropous or campylotropous ovules is the same as that of carpel curvature, there are other cases in which ovule curvature is in the opposite direction. These opposite patterns were earlier distinguished as apotropous and epitropous (introduced in the Latin form by Agardh, 1858; used, for example, by Engler, 1931). They were defined in a complicated and impractical way, as the relationship with carpel curvature was not considered. To make this connection and thus have a simpler definition, the terms ‘syntropous’ (Fig. 8A) and ‘antitropous’ (Fig. 8B) were introduced (Endress, 1994a). Syntropous ovules are common, e.g. in basal angiosperms (Endress and Igersheim, 2000a), whereas antitropous ovules are well known, e.g. from many Malpighiales (Sutter and Endress, 1995; Merino Sutter et al., 2006; Matthews and Endress, 2008, 2011), from Anacardiaceae (Bachelier and Endress, 2007, 2009) and some Rosaceae (Juel, 1918).

The predominant syntropous direction is optimal for ovules arranged in two parallel series (the most common pattern in angiosperms) because it leaves enough space between the opposite ovules for curvature to bring the micropyle close to the placenta and thus to form a direct passage for pollen tubes. Pollen tubes grow from the placenta around the funiculus to the other side of the ovule where the micropyle is located (Fig. 1C, D). With the antitropous pattern this would not be the

**Fig. 7. Different patterns of contact between the ovule and pollen tube transmitting tissue of the stylar canal in gynoecea with a single orthotropous ovule on a basal placenta (schematic, only one integument drawn in each ovule for simplicity).** (A) Integument(s) protruding into the stylar canal (i, e.g. Didymelaceae, schematized after von Balthazar et al., 2003; Elatostema, Urticaceae, schematized after Fagerlind, 1944). (B) Nucellus (nucellar beak, n) protruding into the stylar canal (e.g. Polygonum, Polygonaceae, schematized after Edman, 1929). (C) Carpel forming an obturator (o, e.g. Elatostema, Urticaceae, schematized after Fagerlind, 1944).

**Fig. 8. Different orientations of curved ovules with respect to carpel curvature (denoted by a red line).** (A) Syntropous. Curvature of the ovule in the same direction as the curvature of the carpel. (B) Antitropous. Curvature of the ovule in the opposite direction to that of the carpel.
case; the antitropous pattern commonly occurs in carpels with only one or two ovules. Associated with antitropous ovules is often a special auxiliary structure, an obturator, which is necessary for pollen tubes to bridge the gap between the pollen tube transmitting tract and the micropyle. For instance, in Malpighiales, many clades have antitropous ovules with obturators, and in clades with syndromous ovules within the order obturators are lacking. As seen throughout the angiosperms, obturators may have different developmental origins. Often they are formed from the carpel flanks above the placenta.

In multiovulate carpels or gynoecia, the curvature is syndromous. In linear (axile or parietal) placentae the longitudinal series of ovules are curved away from each other (Fig. 1C). In median placentae the ovules are curved downwards (and also outwards, if there are many). In laminar-diffuse placentae they are curved downwards if in the ascidiate zone (Fig. 6F) and sideways if in the plicate zone. In free central placentae (which represent part of the ascidiate zone), the ovules are also curved downwards.

**DIRECTION OF THE OVULE INITIATION SEQUENCE IN PLACENTAE WITH NUMEROUS OVULES**

In long, linear placentae or in diffuse placentae (with a number of ovules side by side), there is often a gradient in ovule development. Payer (1857), based on >30 examples, reported three patterns of ovule initiation: (1) basipetal in axile placentae (Fig. 9A); (2) acropetal in parietal placentae; and (3) bidirectional in placentae that are at the base and parietal on top. A fourth type, intercalation of new ovules between older ones, was described later (Kaplan, 1968). Okamoto (1984) hypothesized that initiation begins closest to the site of the former floral apex which, to some extent, conforms with Payer’s axis and parietal placentation initiation types. However, Okamoto (1984) considered only nine genera. Unfortunately, he did not take into account that axile placentae can be present in both the symplicate and syncasidate zone of the ovary and that only in the latter would the site of the former floral apex be on top of the placenta. Whereas this correlation between placenta form and direction of ovule development appears to be a trend in the material studied by Payer (1857), there are also cases in which parietal placentae show basipetal ovule initiation (such as *Dicentra* and *Mentzelia*, Payer, 1857; and *Berberidopsis*, Ronse De Craene, 2004). As expected, the direction of initiation is also commonly basipetal in flowers with a free central placenta (e.g. *Sundberg, 1982; Caris et al., 2000; Caris and Smets, 2004*). Payer’s (1857) study is as yet the largest comparative study. A limited number of species was described by Sattler (1973), and mostly only single species by other authors. Thus the problem of the direction of ovule initiation needs more critical study.

The pattern of ovule initiation in multiovulate placentae apparently depends on the direction of elongation/maturity of the ovary locules. In ovaries with basipetal ovule initiation there is intercalary locule elongation mainly at the base. The pattern of elongation and expansion is especially complex in Orchidaceae. Although ovule development was studied in a number of species, generally the authors focused on single ovules and did not study the development of the placenta and the sequence of initiation of numerous ovules. The parietal placenta may be multiply branched or crested, and ovule initiation begins in the centre of each branch or crest (Abe, 1972; Yeung and Law, 1997; Tsai et al., 2008); the crests may be convoluted (Zhang and O’Neill, 1993). From my experience, there is often a centrifugal direction of ovule appearance on a placenta. The ovules in the centre of an extended placenta appear the most developed, whereas the ovules at the periphery are less developed (Fig. 9D–F). However, whether this reflects the initiation sequence or a post-initiation delay of the peripheral ovules remains an open question. In some groups with linear placentae and acropetal succession of ovule development, the later formed ovules may remain small and be sterile (e.g. Altingiaceae, Fig. 9B; Hamamelidaceae, Fig. 9C; Anemoneae, Ren et al., 2010). In groups with diffuse placentae, the peripheral ovules may be sterile, such as in some Myrtaceae (e.g. *Eucalyptus*, Davis, 1968).

**OVULE BASE AND VASCULARIZATION**

Commonly, ovules have a short funiculus at the base, marking the transition from the placenta. Long funiculi, as an extreme, are uncommon and scattered in angiosperms. They show some concentration in Caryophyllales (notably in both the core clade, e.g. Cactaceae, Leins and Schuitalla, 1985; and the extended clade, e.g. Plumbaginaceae, De Laet et al., 1995) and Brassicales (Brassicaceae, Shamrov, 2002a; and Caricaceae, Ronse Decraene and Smets, 1999). In basal angiosperms long funiculi are present, e.g. in *Monodora* (Annonaceae) (Igersheim and Endress, 1997), and in basal monocots, e.g. in *Hydrocleys* (Limnocharitaceae) (Igersheim et al., 2001). As another extreme, sessile ovules with an extensive attachment area occur, e.g. among monocots in palms (Robertson, 1976) and among core eudicots in some Malpighiales (Iriguiaceae and Caryocaraceae, Matthews and Endress, 2011; and Achariaceae, van Heel, 1973).

The chalaza also belongs to the ovule base, as it is located below the nucellus and the integuments. In pachychalazal ovules the chalaza is relatively long compared with the nucellus and integuments, and the embryo sac becomes partly ‘inferior’ (e.g. Lauraceae; Endress, 1972). In perichalazal ovules the chalaza is long only in the median symmetry plane of the ovule but shorter in the transverse areas (e.g. Austrobaileyaceae; Endress, 1980). Both pachychalazal and perichalazal ovules occur scattered in several angiosperm groups.

Anatomically, ovules are connected with the placenta by a vascular bundle, which commonly extends through the funiculus and raphe and ends in the chalaza. However, in many cases the vascular bundle branches in the chalaza and branches extend into the outer, inner or both integuments. In some cases, branching begins in the raphe, and such vascular branches reach the outer integument by by-passing the chalaza. This is especially the case in ovules with an extensive attachment area (Matthews and Endress, 2011), but not only there (Tokuoka and Tobe, 2003). Vascularized integuments occur especially in massive ovules and ovules that develop...
into large seeds (Kühn, 1928; Corner, 1976; Bouman, 1984a). This may be seen in Araceae with vascularized and nonvascularized taxa (French, 1986) or Rhizophoraceae, where the large-seeded mangrove genera are more vascularized than non-mangrove genera (Matthews and Endress, 2011). Other clades with extensive vascularization in the integument(s) are Fagales and Euphorbiaceae (e.g. Tokuoka and Tobe, 2003). Among extant basal angiosperms (ANITA grade) ovules with a vascular bundle in the (outer) integument occur in Trimeniaceae (Endress and Sampson, 1983). As another extreme, there are ovules without a vascular bundle or with only an undifferentiated procambial strand, e.g. in Orchidaceae (Asparagales, monocots; Shamrov, 2006), the parasitic Cytinaceae (Malvales, rosids; Teryokhin, 1981; Shamrov, 2003, 2006) and some asterids, such as in Lamiales and Gentianaceae (Gentianales; Shamrov, 1990, 2003). Such ovules without differentiated vascular bundles are generally restricted to groups with numerous small seeds (Endress, 2010, 2011).

NUCELLUS STRUCTURE IN ANGIOSPERM OVULES

Nucellus thickness (measured in the number of cell layers above and around the meiocyte) greatly varies in angiosperms, but is relatively constant in larger clades. Nucellus structure is best compared at the time of prophase of meiosis. At this stage, the tissues of the nucellus are still intact. Later, during meiosis...
and embryo sac formation, tissue adjacent to the gametophytic parts is generally crushed and it becomes difficult to determine the number of cell layers around the embryo sac. The classical distinction between crassinucellar (with one or more hypodermal cell layers between the meiocyte and nucellus apex) and tenuinucellar (with no hypodermal cell layer between the meiocyte and nucellus apex) has been modified into a finer grid of six types based on the recognition that they characterize larger clades.

In surveys on floral diversity at the levels of eudicots (Endress, 2010) and angiosperms (Endress, 2011), the following ovule classification according to nucellus thickness was tentatively used: (a) crassinucellar (with more than one hypodermal cell layer between meiocyte and nucellus apex; Fig. 10A) (e.g. Cinnamomum, Lauraceae; Endress, 1972); (b) tenuinucellar (with just one hypodermal cell layer between the meiocyte and nucellus apex; Fig. 10B) (e.g. Dicholostemma, Asparagaceae, Berg, 1996); (c) pseudocrassinucellar (without a hypodermal cell layer between the meiocyte and nucellus apex, but with periclinal cell divisions in the epidermis of the nucellus apex; Fig. 10C) (e.g. Sagittaria, Alismataceae, Johri, 1935); (d) incompletely tenuinucellar (without a hypodermal cell layer between the meiocyte and nucellus apex, but with hypodermal tissue at the nucellus flanks and/or below the meiocyte (e.g. Nemophila, Boraginaceae; Berg, 2009; Fig. 10D); (e) tenuinucellar (without any hypodermal tissue in the nucellus; Fig. 10E) (e.g. Orphium, Gentianaceae; Hakki, 1997); and (f) reduced tenuinucellar (as in tenuinucellar but meiocyte partly extending below the nucellus, thus with a partly ‘inferior’ position; Fig. 10F) (e.g. Phyllis, Rubiaceae; Fagerlind, 1936).

The terms in Endress (2010, 2011) had been used in part earlier by other authors, such as ‘pseudocrassinucellar’ (Davis, 1966) and ‘reduced tenuinucellar’ (as ‘reduced variation of tenuinucellate’) (Shamrov, 1998). Shamrov (1998) divided an elaborate classification with types and sub-types, primarily based on histogenesis, which is sensible. However, a drawback is that a type may change during development into another: the ovules of Butomus are at first crassinucellate and then become medionucellate (Shamrov, 1998, p. 403). A practicable typology should be based on a fixed developmental stage. Also, it would be premature to make too elaborate a typology before its systematic relevance has been tested.

Other nucellus differentiations of systematic interest are a nucellus cap and a nucellus beak. They are sometimes confused in the literature. A cap refers to the anatomical/histological structural level and a beak to the morphological level. A cap is formed by multiple periclinal divisions in the epidermis before its systematic relevance has been tested. A cap refers to the anatomical/histological level and a beak to the morphological level. A cap is tentatively used:

**INTEGUMENT THICKNESS**

Integuments are two or more cell layers thick. Two-cell-layered integuments are developmentally derived from the dermato (‘dermal integments’, Bouman, 1984a). Integuments of more than two cell layers are either derived from the dermato and become thicker later in development by periclinal cell divisions in the epidermis or they are derived from both dermato and sub-dermato (‘subdermal integments’, Bouman, 1984a). Whether integuments are dermal or sub-dermal is correlated with their thickness at anthesis and later. It cannot be used for any deduction of homology (in contrast to Tilton and Lersten, 1981a).

Integument thickness is a relatively stable character, and therefore of interest at the macrosystematic level. This is especially so for the relative thickness of outer and inner integument (Fig. 10G–I; Endress and Matthews, 2006; Endress, 2010, 2011), which are constrained by the subsequent differentiation of the seed coat (for systematic significance, see ‘Features of ovules and macrosystematics of angiosperms’). In wild-type Arabidopsis the outer integument is regularly two cell layers thick and the inner three. However, in the mutant ats (aberrant testa shape), which has an abnormal seed coat, the entire cover is only three cell layers thick (Léon-Kloosterziel et al., 1994).

The inner integument appears to be constrained in thickness by the outer integument. This can be deduced from two observations. (1) If the inner integument is longer than the outer, it is considerably thicker in the micropyle where it is not surrounded by the outer. (2) In abnormal ovules with an exceedingly long inner integument forming the micropyle (in species in which the micropyle is normally formed by both integuments), the exposed rim of the inner integument becomes much thicker than in normal ovules (Eschscholzia; Sachar and Mohan Ram, 1958).

**HOODED, SEMI-ANNULAR VS. CUP-SHAPED, ANNULAR OUTER INTEGUMENT**

In curved (anatropous) ovules the outer integument is either hooded or cup-shaped, developmentally derived from a semi-annular or annular early stage, respectively (Yamada et al., 2001a). Hooded vs. cup-shaped outer integuments have been believed to represent two fundamentally different organizations by some authors (Kato and Imaichi, 1993). However, as it looks now, this difference is rather a consequence of minor differences in the speed of developmental curvature of the ovule, and not a fundamental difference.
It has been suggested that a hood-shaped (semi-annular) outer integument is primitive in angiosperms (Kato and Imaichi, 1993; Matsui et al., 1993; Umeda et al., 1994; Imaichi et al., 1995; Yamada et al., 2003a, b). In our comparative study on carpels and ovules through all families of basal angiosperms (as mentioned in the Introduction), we found a diversity of anatropous ovules with semi-annular (hood-shaped) and annular (cup-shaped) outer integument. Often both co-occur at low systematic levels. This indicates that there is no fundamental difference between the two forms. If anatropous ovules are primitive at the level of crown-group angiosperms, which is likely (discussion in Endress and Doyle, 2009), this does not automatically mean that the hood shape is also primitive. The hood shape is probably only a consequence of the early developmental curvature. Thus it is only the propensity to form hood-shaped outer integuments that is primitive. For instance, the outer integum is not semi-annular but annular in Illiciaceae, Canellaceae, Myristicaceae, Degeneriaceae and Himantandraeaceae (Igersheim and Endress, 1997). It has also been repeatedly found that ovules with both a semi-annular and an annular outer integument occur in the same family or even the same genus or species (e.g. Calycanthus, Peumus, Siparuna; Endress and Igersheim, 1997; Nuphar, Nymphaea, Aristolochia, Thottea; Igersheim and Endress, 1998), indicating that the two features are not of fundamental evolutionary difference but may merely depend on subtle developmental differences. The earlier the curvature begins, the more pronounced will the semi-annular form become. It may be assumed that if a certain threshold of retardation on one side is surpassed, instead of a complete ring, a partial ring and a compensatory additional lobe are formed. Thus the additional lobe is probably not a fundamentally different part as assumed by Matsui et al. (1993) or Umeda et al. (1994). This interpretation is also supported by those species in which abnormal orthotropous ovules were found, which always had a cup-shaped (annular) outer integument, as opposed to the normal anatropous ovules (see ‘Development of curvature in ovules’).

MULTIPLE EVOLUTION OF UNITEGMY FROM BITEGMY WITHIN ANGIOSPERMS

If bitegmy was so important for curvature in angiosperms, why was it possible that unitegmy (Fig. 10J) evolved secondarily within angiosperms several times, and yet in many cases the ovules did not give up their curvature? In anatropous unitegmic ovules (as found in most asterids and some other eudicots), the single integument probably does not correspond to an outer or an inner integument but is an evolutionarily complex structure in which both participate, although they can no longer be distinguished morphologically (as discussed by Bouman and Calis, 1977). This process of amalgamation of the two integuments is shown by those rare genera in which both bitegmic and unitegmic conditions are present [e.g. Impatiens (McAbee et al., 2005; Colombo et al., 2008, Kelley and Gasser, 2009) and Coriaria (Matthews and Endress, 2004)]. In contrast, there is some evidence that unitegmy in basal angiosperms evolved by reduction and loss of the outer integument (Igersheim and Endress, 1998).

Whether in orthotropous unitegmic ovules of core eudicots the only integument corresponds to the inner integument is unknown, but would be interesting to study (e.g. in Fagales and Rosales). That in several cases unitegmy goes together with orthotropy is plausible if the outer integument, which is responsible for curvature, is reduced. This is likely to be the case in (unitegmic) Peperomia, as in other (bitegmic) Piperaceae the outer integument is already shortened. In Rafflesiaaceae and Cytinaceae the outer integument is still present but highly reduced, and Ceratophyllum has only one integument (Igersheim and Endress, 1998). Also in the orthotropous Urticaceae the outer integument is shortened (Fagerlind, 1944).

FURTHER REDUCTION OF INTEGMENTS AND ENTIRE OVULES

Ovules also became reduced in other respects in some angiosperm clades. Integuments and then entire ovules were successively reduced in the parasitic order Santalales (Fagerlind, 1947, 1948; Shamrov et al., 2001, Brown et al., 2010; Endress, 2010, 2011). Brown et al. (2010) found in Santalales that lack differentiation into nucellus and integuments that integument-associated genes were expressed in the periphery of the ovule. It is not necessary to assume congenital fusion between the nucellus and integument(s). Under the assumption of non-differentiation (i.e. lack of nucellus and integuments) this evolutionary process can be seen as transference of function, in which the peripheral zone of the ovule that is normally formed by the (outer) integument is now formed by the periphery of the undifferentiated ovule. Some mycotrophic Gentianaceae also evolved highly reduced ovules without differentiation into nucellus and integument (ategmic) (Fig. 10K; Goebel, 1933; Bouman et al., 2002).

LOBATION OF INTEGMENTS IN ANGIOSPERM OVULES

It has been argued that the lobes on the rim of the inner integument in some basal angiosperms (Magnolia) (Figs 1B, 11E; Umeda et al., 1994; Herr, 1995), in some other angiosperms (van Heel, 1970, 1976) or in some mutants of Arabidopsis (Park et al., 2004) may represent remnants or atavisms of ancient telomeric structures. In earlier publications we expressed doubts concerning this interpretation (Endress and Igersheim, 1997; Igersheim and Endress, 1997). We argued that if an annular young plant part that spans an opening of a certain diameter in early development needs to close in later development, i.e. to form a closed pore, it can do this only by lobation (in the longitudinal direction) (Fig. 11C, D) or by irregular thickening, which also leads to a sort of lobation (in the transverse direction) (Fig. 13 in Igersheim and Endress, 1997), or by both processes in combination (Fig. 11A, B).

From these principles of plant growth and development, several hypotheses can be derived. These hypotheses can be tested with the wide array of species studied in our laboratory, covering all families of basal angiosperms, including the ANITA grade, magnoliids and the basal grades of monocots and eudicots (Igersheim and Endress, 1997, 1998; Endress and Igersheim, 1998, 1999, 2000a; Igersheim et al., 2001).
According to our studies, lobed integuments are widespread in basal angiosperms, not only in inner, but also in outer integuments. Of 131 taxa in which the lobation of the integuments was studied [from all families of the ANITA grade (except Hydatellaceae), magnoliids, basal eudicots and basal monocots] 124 have two integuments. Of these 124 taxa, in 57 the inner integument is lobed and in 40 the outer integument is lobed. We tested the following three hypotheses with our material.

1. The outer integument is relatively more often lobed than the inner if both integuments form the micropyle, because the circumference of the outer integument is larger than that of the inner. Result: of the 124 taxa with two integuments studied, 34 taxa both integuments form the micropyle. Of those, in 14 the inner integument is lobed, in 13 the outer integument is lobed (38% of all). However, in the 85 taxa in which the micropyle is formed by the inner integument alone, the inner integument is lobed in 40 taxa, but the outer only in 21 taxa (only 25% of all). Thus hypothesis 1 receives some support.

2. Ovules in which the integuments do not form a micropyle more often have unlobed integuments (inner integuments) than those that have a micropyle, because they do not have to compensate for their initially wide circumference. Result: of the seven taxa studied without a micropyle (five bitegmic, two unitegmic), both integuments or the only integument are lobed in only two (one bitegmic, one unitegmic). The number of cases is too small but does not speak against the hypothesis.

3. Integuments are more often lobed in ovules with thick nucelli than in ovules with thin nucelli, because they need to make a closure with an initially wider circumference. Result: two measurements were taken, always from anthetic flowers: (a) nucelli were measured at the broadest diameter at anthesis (ANITA grade, magnoliids, basal monocots and basal eudicots); (b) nucelli were measured at their base (only ANITA grade and magnoliids). In both cases the result was not clear. Ovules with broad nucelli did not show integument lobation more often than ovules with narrow nucelli. Of course, to use the size of mature nucelli is a very crude measure for a first approximation. For more useful results it would be important to measure the size of nucelli at a stage shortly after integument initiation. The size difference of nucelli between these two stages is considerable, and thus mature nucelli may not be suitable for meaningful deductions in this matter.

However, these preliminary results on basal angiosperms lend some support to the view that lobes on the rim of integuments in angiosperms are not remnants of ancient organs but merely the result of a developmental necessity for the closure of the micropyle, as discussed above. The peculiar deep lobation of the single integument in Juglandaceae that puzzled van Heel and Bouman (1972) may have a different cause. The ovule has an unusual position in the centre of the unilocular ovary formed by two carpels. The two integument lobes develop in the median symmetry plane of the carpels. There may be two explanations that have not been discussed by von Heel and Bouman (1972): (1) the lobation originates by space constraint in the slit-shaped two-carpellate locale; or (2) ovule growth is controlled by the two carpels, which is reflected in the two-parted integument.

**EVOLUTION OF ADDITIONAL ‘INTEGUMENTS’ IN ANGIOSPERMS**

Here and there in angiosperms there are ovules with a third envelope, which is called a ‘third integment’ if similar to the two normal integuments, or an ‘aril’, if it is more different and especially if delayed in development and functional as an attractive organ in fruit. Such extra envelopes can be present between the normal integuments, such as the third integment in some Annonaceae (Christmann, 1989), but commonly they appear on the outside of the two normal integuments, such as the arils in Myristicaceae (Endress, 1973) and many other groups. As they appear later than the normal integuments, their position is commonly distanced from the integuments and closer to the funiculus. More often than previously assumed, there are ‘reduced arils’, the role of which is unknown in most cases. They appear as small mounds at anthesis and do not develop further [e.g. among basal angiosperms, in Nymphaeaceae (Igersheim and Endress, 1998); in Sarcandra of Chloranthaceae (Endress and Igersheim, 1997) and Canellaceae (Igersheim and Endress, 1997); in Ranunculales, in Nandina of Berberidaceae (Endress and Igersheim, 1997); and in Buxales, in Buxus and Notobuxus
of Buxaceae (von Balthazar and Endress, 2002) and Didymelaceae (von Balthazar et al., 2003); in the latter two families, this inconspicuous feature supports their relationship. In *Arabidopsis* a small extra mound is formed in ovules of *ucn* (unicorn) mutants, which could represent a partial supernumerary integument (Schneitz et al., 1997; Schneitz, 1998b). To what extent third integuments and arils in angiosperms are homologous is uncertain.

**MICROPYLE**

The preponderance of narrow micropyles at the time around fertilization indicates that it is important for a successful functioning. In a few taxa a micropyle is not formed so that the nucellus apex is exposed. It is expected that in these taxa the architecture of the ovary is such that the exposed nucellus touches the funiculus, the placenta or the inner ovary wall. In this way a narrow gate above the nucellus apex may also be provided, e.g. in *Cassyytha* (Lauraceae; Endress and Igersheim, 1997), *Hernandia* (Hernandiaceae; Heo and Tobe, 1995), *Quisqualis* (Combretaceae; Fagerlind, 1941), various Euphorbiaceae s.l. (Sutter and Endress, 1995; Merino Sutter et al., 2006), and *Hiptage* and *Stigmatophyllum* (Malpighiaceae; Rao, 1940). In the mentioned *Hernandia*, *Euphorbiaceae* s.l. and *Malpighiaceae* a nucellar beak is contiguous with the ovary wall.

In bitegmic ovules micropyles are commonly formed by both integuments (amphistomic) (Fig. 3C) or the inner alone (endostomic) (Fig. 3A, B), and only rarely by the outer alone (exostomatic). If both integuments participate in micropyle formation, they may form a straight or undulating canal or, if the integuments are not aligned straight, a zig-zag-shaped canal (‘zig-zag micropyle’, Fig. 3C).

Micropyles may be open, forming an open canal (Fig. 3B), or closed (Fig. 3A, C). In the former the micropyle may be sealed by a secretion (e.g. *Anonna, Igersheim* and Endress, 1997; *Ortmikhogalum, Tilton* and Lersten, 1981a, b; *Beta, Olesen* and Braun, 1990). In the latter a closed pollen tube transmitting tract is formed by post-genital fusion (*Helianthus, Yan et al., 1991*). Thus this diversity is analogous to that of the carpels sealed by secretion or by post-genital fusion (*Endress* and *Igersheim, 2000a*). However, details of the histology of mature micropyles have only rarely been studied.

For some time, it had been suggested that a zig-zag micropyle is a basal feature of angiosperm ovules. This would fit the hypothesis by Gaussen (1946) (see ‘Evolution of bitegmy from unitegmy on the way to angiosperm evolution’) of a derivation of the angiosperm ovule from a cupule. In addition, a zig-zag micropyle is pronouncedly differentiated in Dilleniaceae, a family earlier thought to be basal in angiosperms (Stebbins, 1974). However, since in the meantime the phylogenetic position of Dilleniaceae has been found not to be basal, the zig-zag micropyle can be considered to be merely a consequence of excessive elongation of the outer integument concomitant with excessive curvature of the ovule. (This view does not contradict the hypothesis by Gaussen, 1946.) The hypothesis that a zig-zag micropyle is not basal is corroborated from two different sides, the systematic distribution and the strong association with campylotropous ovules. (1) Zig-zag micropyle and campylotropous ovules are mainly present in more derived groups; this combination is lacking in the ANITA grade, and in magnoliids it is restricted to Canellaceae (*Igersheim* and Endress, 1997); in Magnoliaceae zig-zag micropyles have been reported in anatropous ovules. (2) In campylotropous ovules the antiraphal peripheral side of the ovule develops comparatively strongly; an expression of this strong development is also that the outer integument becomes exceedingly long and may far overtop the inner one; thus a zig-zag micropyle is formed. The combination of a zig-zag micropyle and campylotropy tends to be present in some Ranunculales (Papaverales, Berberidaceae, weakly in Menispermaceae; *Endress* and *Igersheim, 1999*) and in a number of major sub-clades of core eudicots, such as various Dilleniaceae (*Svedelius 1911; Swamy and Periasamy, 1955; Rao, 1957; Sastri, 1958; Stebbins, 1974; Imaichi and Kato, 1996*); Fabales (various Fabaceae, *Rau, 1951; Prakash and Chan, 1976; Lakshmi et al., 1987; Ashrafunnisa and Pulliaah, 1999); Oxalidales (*Elaeocarpaceae: Aristotelia, Mauritzon, 1934*); Myrtales (*Lythraceae: Sonneratia, Venkateswaralu, 1937; Kamelina, 1985; Melastomataceae: *Rhexia, Etheridge* and Herr, 1968; *Monochaetum*, Ziegler, 1925; Myrtaceae: *Acca, Pescador* et al., 2009; *Baeckkea, Mauritzon, 1939a; Psidium, Narayanswami and Roy, 1960*); Crossosomatales (*Crossosomataceae: Crossosoma, Kapil and Vani, 1963*); Brassicales (various Brassicaceae; *Hakki, 1974; Prasad, 1974; Bouman, 1975; Febulans and Pulliaah, 1990; *Beeckman et al., 2000*; Capparaceae: *Cadaba, Narayana, 1965; Niehburia, Arunalakshmi, 1985*; Resedaceae: *Reseda, Chaban* and *Yakovlev, 1974*); and Malvales (various Malvaceae; *Venkata Rao, 1954; Singh, 1967*). However, in Caryophyllales, although the ovules are prominently campylotropous, there is no zig-zag micropyle; there the prominent inner integument forms the micropyle. There are also cases of anatropous ovules with zig-zag micropyle, but they are more rare. Examples are in Oxalidales (*Elaeocarpaceae: Elaeocarpus, Venkata Rao, 1953*); Geraniaceae (*Geranium, Boesewinkle* and *Been, 1979*); and Sapindales (*Rutaceae: Aegle, Johri* and *Ahuja, 1957; *Poincirus, Boesewinkle, 1978*).

**PATHWAY OF POLLEN TUBES FROM CARPELS TO OVULES**

It was mentioned above that pollen tubes are attracted to the micropyle by secretions of the micropyle, nucellus and embryo sac (Hulskamp et al., 1995). That the embryo sac plays an important role in this attraction has long been known (e.g. Jensen, 1974). In ovules with degenerated embryo sacs the pollen tubes do not grow into the micropyle but down to the chalaza (*Elodea; Ernst-Schwarzenbach, 1945*). On the other hand, ovules with more than one embryo sac attract more pollen tubes (*Persea; Sedgley, 1976*). In *Arabidopsis* mutants with delayed embryo sac maturation, pollen tubes lose their way on the funiculus just before entering the micropyle (*Shimizu and Okada, 2000*). However, in normal *Arabidopsis* ovules there is a mechanism to prevent reception of more than one pollen tube (*Shimizu and Okada, 2000; Shimizu, 2002; Palanivelu et al., 2003*).
In plant groups with delayed ovule development, in which the ovules are far from fully developed at the time of pollination, pollen tubes do not enter the ovules via the micropyle. This was first described as chalazogamy in Casuarina (Treub, 1891), and later found in many other Fagales (Sogo et al., 2004; Sogo and Tobe, 2006a, c, 2008) and in Garryales (Encomnia, Sogo and Tobe, 2006b). A more neutral term, non-porogamy, considers that the entrance of the pollen tube to the ovules is not always via the chalaza in such cases. Luzã and Polito (1991) showed that in Juglans, a genus with delayed ovule maturation and non-porogamy under natural conditions, the pollen tube grew through the micropyle in post-anthetic flowers experimentally pollinated at the time of embryo sac maturity.

Immaturity of ovules at the time of pollination has also been found in other groups, but in such cases either non-porogamy does not occur or the pathway of the pollen tubes has not been studied (survey in Sogo and Tobe, 2006a). Examples are in magnoliids (Magnoliaceae; Igersheim and Endress, 1997), monocots (Orchidaceae; Yeung and Law, 1997), basal eudicots [Eupteleaceae (Endress, 1969), Circaeasteraceae, some Ranunculaceae and Lardizabalaceae, (Endress and Igersheim, 1999). Platanaceae, Myrothamnaceae (Endress and Igersheim, 1999) Buxaceae: Sarcococca, Pachysandra (von Balthazar and Endress, 2002)], Saxifragales [Altingiaceae, Ceridiphyllaceae, Daphniphyllaceae (Endress and Igersheim, 1999), some Hamamelidaceae (Endress, 1967, 1977)] and Sapindales [some Anacardiaceae (Bachelier and Endress, 2007)]. Mutants with precocious stigma development, such as ettin in Arabidopsis (Sessions, 1997), may give a clue to the easy and multiple evolution of this displacement of stigma and ovule maturity.

FEATURES OF OVULES AND MACROSYSTEMATICS OF ANGIOSPERMS

As angiosperm phylogeny becomes increasingly resolved, the evolution of ovules can be traced in even more detail. Large clades can be better characterized by their ovule features and we learn more about evolutionary idiosyncrasies of ovules. This was still not possible or not attempted when the last round of big reviews on embryological features was published (Johri et al., 1992; Batygina et al., 2002). First attempts in the new era were made by Endress (2003, 2005, 2010, 2011) and Endress and Matthews (2006), and are briefly summarized here. The names used here for the major clades of angiosperms are those in APG (2009).

ANITA grade

Ovules are crassinucellar. Only in Hydatellaceae, highly specialized, miniaturized wetland plants, are they reduced to pseudocrassinucellar or incompletely tenuinucellar (Hamann, 1975; Rudall et al., 2008), and in the water plant family Cabombaceae they are weakly crassinucellar (Ramji and Padmanabhan, 1965; Igersheim and Endress, 1998). The ovules are predominantly anatropous, but they are almost orthotropous in Amborellaceae, Chloranthaceae and Ceratophyllaceae (see above) (position of Chloranthaceae and Ceratophyllaceae in the ANITA grade uncertain, see, for example, Endress and Doyle, 2009) and completely orthotropous in Barclaya of Nymphaeaceae (Schneider, 1978; Igersheim and Endress, 1998). They are bitegmic, except for the unitegmic Ceratophyllaceae, another reduced water plant family. The outer integument is predominantly more than two cell layers thick [only 2–3 in Cabombaceae (Endress and Igersheim, 1997, 2000a; Igersheim and Endress, 1997, 1998) and two in Hydatellaceae (Rudall et al., 2007) Barclaya of Nymphaeaceae (Igersheim and Endress, 1998) and Ascarina of Chloranthaceae (Endress and Igersheim, 1997)]. The inner integument is predominantly 2–3 cell layers thick. The micropyle is commonly formed by the inner integument. However, in Hydatellaceae, some derived Nymphaeaceae, in Trimeniaceae and in some Chloranthaceae both integuments participate in the formation of the micropyle (Endress and Igersheim, 1997; Igersheim and Endress, 1997, 1998; Rudall et al., 2007).

Magnoliids

Crassinucellar ovules are by far predominant. They are incompletely tenuinucellar in a few Piperales, such as Lactoridaceae, Hydroraceae, and Houttuynia of Saururaceae (Tobe et al., 1993; Igersheim and Endress, 1998). The ovules are predominantly anatropous, but orthotropous in Saururaceae, Piperaeae and Hydnoraceae (Piperales) (Igersheim and Endress, 1998), and almost orthotropous in Gomortegaceae (Endress and Igersheim, 1997). They are bitegmic, except for Siparunaceae (Laurales) (Endress, 1972; Renner et al., 1997), and Peperomia and Hydnoraceae (Piperales) (Igersheim and Endress, 1998). The outer integument is more than two cell layers thick (Endress and Igersheim, 1997, 2000a; Igersheim and Endress, 1997; Heo et al., 1998), except for Piperales (Igersheim and Endress, 1998). The inner integument is mostly 2–3 cell layers thick. The micropyle is predominantly formed by the inner integument, but by both integuments in Magnoliaceae, Canellaceae, Gomortegaceae, some Calycanthaceae, a few Monimiaceae and several Piperales (Endress and Igersheim, 1997; Igersheim and Endress, 1997, 1998).

Monocots

Crassinucellar and weakly crassinucellar ovules are common, the latter here and there in most orders and prominent in Commeliniales and Zingiberales of commelinids. Pseudocrassinucellar ovules appear to be more common in basal groups (Acorales, some Alismatales) than in more derived groups (a few Asparagales and Poales) (Rudall, 1997; Igersheim et al., 2001; Endress, 2011). Incompletely tenuinucellar ovules are widespread, with increased frequency in Dioscoreales, Pandanales and Poales (see Endress, 2011). Tenuinucellar ovules are only known from Orchidaceae (Asparagales) and Triuridaceae (Pandanales): both families mycotrophic (see Endress, 2011). Reduced tenuinucellar ovules appear to be absent. Ovules are predominantly anatropous, and only rarely campylotropous. However, they are orthotropous in Acorales, several Alismatales, some Asparagales, Commelinales and Poales (see Endress, 2011). Ovules are bitegmic (Bouman, 1984a), with a few exceptions
of unitegmy in Alismatales (Igersheim et al., 2001). The inner integument is almost always only two cell layers thick (see Endress, 2011). The same is also very often the case in the outer integument, but in Zingiberales and mostly in Asparagales and Liliales it is thicker (see Endress, 2011). Thus thin integuments are a conspicuous general trend in monocots, as compared with the other angiosperm groups. The micropyle is mostly formed by the inner integument (only in Poales more often by both integuments) (see Endress, 2011).

Basal grade of eudicots

Ovules are basically crassinucellar, except for some reduced Ranunculales (Circaeasteraceae, Anemone and Clematis in Ranunculaceae; reviewed in Endress and Igersheim, 1999). They are almost always curved, mostly anatropous (in some Ranunculales campylotropous), but orthotropous in Sabiaceae, Platanaceae and many Proteaceae (Endress and Igersheim, 1999). They are almost always bitemgic, but with a conspicuous trend to unitegmy in core Ranunculales (Circaeasteraceae, some Menispermaceae and some Ranunculaceae (Wang and Ren, 2008); derived from ‘integumentary shifting’ at least in Menispermaceae and Ranunculaceae, as shown by intermediate forms (Bouman and Calis, 1977); Sabiaceae are also unitegmic (Raju, 1952; Endress and Igersheim, 1999). The inner integment is mostly around 2–3 cell layers thick, the outer between two and up to 13 (Endress and Igersheim, 1999). The outer integment is notably thinner than the inner in Papaveraceae, Platanaceae, Proteaceae and Trochodendraceae (Endress and Igersheim, 1999). The micropyle is formed by the inner integment in some Ranunculales, most Proteales and in Gunneraceae, otherwise by both integuments (Endress and Igersheim, 1999). In several basal eudicots ovule development is delayed at anthesis (see ‘Pathway of pollen tubes from carpels to ovules’).

Core eudicots: rosid alliance

Crassinucellar ovules are common in Saxifragales, Vitales, the nitrogen-fixing clade, Geraniales, Myrtales, Crossosomatales, Sapindales, Malvales and many Brassicales. However, the ovules are weakly crassinucellar in Zygophyllales, and tend to be incompletely tenuinucellar or weakly crassinucellar in the COM clade (Celastrales, Oxalidales, Malpighiales) and some Brassicales (Endress, 2010). There is a strong tendency to form an endothelium in incompletely tenuinucellar and weakly crassinucellar (and even some crassinucellar) ovules of the COM clade and malvids. Tenuinucellar ovules (in the new, restricted sense) are lacking, as seen from my literature search. Ovules are predominantly curved, with a tendency to campylotropism and zig-zag micropyle in Fabales (many Fabaceae, Surianaceae) and malvids (some Geraniales, Myrtales, Crossosomatales, core Brassicales, a few Malvales) (Endress, 2010). A trend towards orthotropism is present in the nitrogen-fixing clade, especially in some Fagales and Rosales (former members of Urticales), and some families of Malvales (Nandi, 1998; Endress, 2010). Ovules are almost always bitemgic, but there is a trend to unitegmy in some families of the Cucurbitales–Fagales clade (Endress, 2010). The outer integument is commonly thicker than the inner or equally thick. However, there is a strong trend to differentiate an inner integment that is thicker than the outer in the COM clade and malvids (Endress and Matthews, 2006). The micropyle is mostly formed by both integuments or by the inner integment alone, but there are no conspicuous trends.

Core eudicots: asterid alliance

Asterids are well known to be characterized by tenuinucellar ovules (tenuinucellar in the traditional sense), associated with an endothelium. A recent review showed that also in the Caryophyllales and Santalales, newly acquired orders of the asterid alliance, the nucelli are relatively thin, even if they are crassinucellar, such as in Caryophyllales (Endress, 2010, 2011). In Santalales there is stepwise reduction of ovules to forms without integuments; there are also carpels without differentiated ovules or without an ovary locale and then with embryo sac formation in the compact gynoecium (Dahlgren, 1927; Fagerlind, 1948; Shamrov et al., 2001; Brown et al., 2010). Among the classical sub-clades of asterids, incompletely tenuinucellar, tenuinucellar and reduced tenuinucellar ovules abound in euasterids, whereas in the basal orders of asterids, crassinucellar, weakly crassinucellar and incompletely tenuinucellar ovules are predominant (Endress, 2010, 2011). In euasterids, tenuinucellar ovules (in the new, restricted sense) characterize some species-rich clades, such as Asteraceae, Gentianales and Lamiaceae, whereas reduced tenuinucellar ovules are largely restricted to asclepiads (Apocynaceae), some Gentianaceae and Rubiaceae, a few families of Lamiaceae, and Convolvulaceae of Solanales (Endress, 2011). Notably, in Gentianales, an endothelium is absent (Endress, 2010). In some mycotrophic Gentianaceae even integumentless ovules occur (Goebel, 1933). Ovules are always anatropous (or campylotropous), never orthotropous (except for reduced members of Santalales, see above). Untegmic ovules dominate, and are almost exclusive in euasterids. However, ovules are bitemgic in Berberidopsidales, Caryophyllales and in the only weakly reduced, basal sub-clades of Santalales and in some Ericales. Among bitemgic Ericales the micropyle is more often formed by the inner integument alone than by both integuments, and the inner integment is thicker than the outer in the clade of the former Primulales, but the other way around in the other bitemgic families (Endress, 2010).

CONCLUSIONS

A synthetic look at ovule structure from several points of view (structure, development, diversity, fossils, evo–devo and systematic distribution) allowed the elucidation of some trends in angiosperm ovules: (1) the co-occurrence of bitemgic and anatropous ovules; (2) lobed integuments; (3) hood-shaped vs. cup-shaped outer integuments; (4) zig-zag micropyles; (5) the evolution of unitegmy within angiosperms; (6) the partial dependence of ovule structure on locular architecture; and (7) relatively stable features of ovules.
The two most salient differences between ovules of angiosperms and other seed plants are that they are basically and predominantly anatropous and bitegmic (vs. orthotropous and unitermic). The combination of these two features is not a coincidence. Evidence from four independent sources indicates that curvature is functionally dependent on bitegmy: (a) in orthotropous ovules the outer integument is often thin (only two cell layers thick), short or even absent; (b) in normally bitegmic, anatropous clades, abnormal orthotropous ovules mostly have a reduced outer integument; (c) in mutants of Arabidopsis without ovule curvature, the outer integument is mostly reduced, with the same pattern arising with different genes and gene combinations; (d) in Podocarpaceae, the only extant gymnosperm clade with a conspicuous developmental ovule curvature, an outer envelope (but not homologous with the outer integument in angiosperms) also appears instrumental for ovule curvature.

Lobation of inner (and outer) integuments in angiosperms does not represent remnants of telomes as in fossil gymnosperms but is simply a morphogenetic necessity in forming a narrow micropyle.

Hood-shaped and cup-shaped outer integuments are not fundamentally different in angiosperms. They co-occur within closely related clades. It is likely that the hood shape is just an extreme form resulting from rapid early curvature.

Zig-zag micropyles in angiosperms are not basal, but rather the result of developmental overgrowth in ovules with excessive development of the antiraphal side. (a) Zig-zag micropyles are rare in basal angiosperms but more common in more derived groups; and (b) zig-zag micropyles and campylotropous ovules show a high correlation of occurrence. Campylotropy results from especially strong growth of the antiraphal side.

The evolutionary pathway to unitegmy from bitegmy in angiosperms is not uniform: in unitegmic basal angiosperms and some derived groups it probably evolved mainly by loss of the outer integument, often associated with orthotropous ovules, but in derived eudicots (asterids) it is mainly by incorporation of the outer into the inner integument, associated with anatropous ovules.

Ovule structure is partly shaped by locule architecture and also associated with the presence or absence of massive locular secretions. This is especially pronounced in the distribution of orthotropous ovules through the angiosperms.

A closer look at nucellus and integument thickness shows a clear association of some newly recognized types with larger clades in angiosperms. It indicates that certain aspects of ovule diversity are relatively stable in evolution. However, stability has changed over time, e.g. ovule symmetry has become less stable from gymnosperms to angiosperms, whereas ovule size has become more stable from basal to more advanced angiosperms.

OUTLOOK

Some new questions derived from the ‘Conclusions’ and unanswered old questions are as follows. (a) How does the interdependence of ovule shape and locule architecture work developmentally in detail? (b) It has been shown that the thickness of the nucelli and the relative thickness of the two integuments are relatively stable at the macrosystematic level. How is this stable thickness developmentally regulated? (c) The diversity of the micropyle differentiation (fusion or non-fusion) across angiosperms is little known. Is there a systematic pattern? (d) There is no comparative study on the development of different ovule curvature patterns. How exactly do these different patterns come about in development? (e) The evolution of the outer integument in angiosperms is still uncertain. Does it correspond to a cupular wall or does it have a different origin? (f) How was the evolution of ancestral anatropy in angiosperms co-ordinated with the evolutionary advent of the closed carpel?
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APPENDIX

List of collections used for figures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Collection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Akebia quinata Decne. (Lardizabalaceae)</td>
<td>P. K. Endress 4625, Botanic Garden, University of Zurich.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barclaya rotundifolia M. Hotta (Nymphaeaceae)</td>
<td>E. L. Schneider, s.n., 1992, Malaysia.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asimina triloba (L.) Dunal (Annonaceae)</td>
<td>P. K. Endress 5160, Botanic Garden, University of Zurich.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butomus umbellatus L. (Butomaceae)</td>
<td>P. K. Endress, s.n., s.d., Botanic Garden, University of Zurich.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corylopsis willmottiae Rehder &amp; E. H. Wilson (Hamamelidaceae)</td>
<td>P. K. Endress 3577, Botanic Garden, University of Zurich.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypecoum pendulum E. H. Wilson (Hamamelidaceae)</td>
<td>P. K. Endress 4488, Botanic Garden, University of Zurich.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnolia grandiflora (Magnoliaceae)</td>
<td>P. K. Endress 7259, Botanic Garden, University of Zurich.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nymphaea tetragona Georgi (Nymphaeaceae)</td>
<td>P. K. Endress 2221, Rhodos, Greece.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passiflora holosericea Ruiz &amp; Pav. ex Mast. (Passifloraceae)</td>
<td>P. K. Endress 4901, Botanic Garden, University of Zurich.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Podophyllum emodi Wall. ex Hook. f. &amp; Thomson (Berberidaceae)</td>
<td>P. K. Endress 4606, Botanic Garden, University of Zurich.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyscias pinnatum Wall. ex Hook. f. &amp; Thomson (Araceae)</td>
<td>P. K. Endress 7281, Botanic Garden, University of Zurich.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Takhtajania perrieri (Capuron) M. Baranova &amp; J.-F. Leroy (Haemodoraceae)</td>
<td>P. J. Rakotomala et al. 1342, Madagascar.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tasmannia piperita Miers (Winteraceae)</td>
<td>P. K. Endress 4137, Papua New Guinea.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tasmannia tetraptera Mill. (Aristolochiaceae)</td>
<td>P. K. Endress 3764, Botanic Garden, University of Zurich.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totara floribunda Wall. (Podocarpaceae)</td>
<td>P. K. Endress, s.n., 27 IX 1990, Botanic Garden, University of Zurich.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xiphidium coeruleum Miers (Winteraceae)</td>
<td>P. K. Endress 4140, Botanic Garden, University of Zurich.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>