Regional chemotherapy for unresectable primary liver cancer: results of a phase II clinical trial and assessment of DCE-MRI as a biomarker of survival
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Background: This study reports the results of hepatic arterial infusion (HAI) with floxuridine (FUDR) and dexamethasone (dex) in patients with unresectable intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma (ICC) or hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) and investigates dynamic contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging (DCE-MRI) assessment of tumor vascularity as a biomarker of outcome.

Patients and methods: Thirty-four unresectable patients (26 ICC and eight HCC) were treated with HAI FUDR/dex. Radiologic dynamic and pharmacokinetic parameters related to tumor perfusion were analyzed and correlated with response and survival.

Results: Partial responses were seen in 16 patients (47.1%); time to progression and response duration were 7.4 and 11.9 months, respectively. Median follow-up and median survival were 35 and 29.5 months, respectively; 2-year survival was 67%. DCE-MRI data showed that patients with pretreatment integrated area under the concentration curve of gadolinium contrast over 180 s (AUC 180) >34.2 mM/C1s had a longer median survival than those with AUC 180 <34 mM/C1s (35.1 versus 19.1 months, P = 0.002). Decreased volume transfer exchange between the vascular space and extracellular extravascular space (−ΔKtrans) and the corresponding rate constant (−Δkep) on the first post-treatment scan both predicted survival.

Conclusions: In patients with unresectable primary liver cancer, HAI therapy can be effective and safe. Pretreatment and early post-treatment changes in tumor perfusion characteristics may predict treatment outcome.
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introduction

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) and intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma (ICC) account for nearly all primary liver cancers (PLC) and have become major public health problems [1, 2]. Resection is the most effective therapy for both tumors but is frequently not possible, often because of advanced intrahepatic disease [3, 4]. Patients with irresectable tumors have a poor prognosis, which is marginally improved with ablative or systemic therapies [5–12].

More effective treatment is clearly needed. Liver-directed chemotherapy, administered through a surgically implanted pump, is an attractive option because it allows continuous infusion directly into the arterial bed from which the tumor derives nearly all of its blood supply. Floxuridine (FUDR) is typically used for hepatic arterial infusion (HAI) therapy because of its superior hepatic first-pass metabolic profile [13], exposing hepatic tumors to much higher drug concentrations with little or no systemic toxicity. Although FUDR-based HAI chemotherapy has been used mainly for hepatic colorectal metastases [14, 15], its safety profile is well established and it is known to have activity against PLC [16, 17].

This study investigates HAI FUDR/dexamethasone (dex) for advanced PLC and a potential role for dynamic contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging (DCE-MRI) as a biomarker of treatment outcome. DCE-MRI noninvasively assesses the tumor microvasculature, allowing quantitative and semiquantitative measurements of kinetic parameters related to perfusion and permeability [18]. DCE-MRI thus provides a more comprehensive assessment of tumor physiology than is possible with standard imaging. Prior work suggests that DCE-MRI may better assess tumor response than traditional measures of tumor size and that pretreatment parameters and early post-treatment changes may predict outcome [19–22]; however, to date, most studies have shown...
the utility of DCE-MRI as a pharmacodynamic marker only [23, 24].

patients and methods

eligibility, evaluation, and follow-up

All patients had confirmed and measurable ICC or HCC; irresectability was confirmed by attending hepatobiliary surgeons. Exclusionary factors included the following: extrahepatic metastases at any site or ≥70% liver involvement by tumor; prior hepatic radiation or treatment with FUDR; Karnofsky performance status (KPS) < 60; first-degree sclerosing cholangitis; Gilbert’s disease; portal hypertension; severe hepatic parenchymal dysfunction (encephalopathy, serum albumin < 2.5 g/dl, serum bilirubin ≥ 1.8 mg/dl, or international normalized ratio (INR) > 1.5); portal infowocclusion; white blood cell < 3500 cells/mm³; concurrent malignancy (except localized basal or squamous cell skin cancers); active infection; and pregnant or lactating females. Failure of prior therapy and chronic hepatitis and/or Child–Pugh class A cirrhosis were allowed. All patients were ≥ 18 years of age and provided informed consent; the protocol and informed consent were approved by the Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center Institutional Review Board.

Pretreatment evaluation included a complete history/physical examination, routine laboratory studies, and tumor markers (carcinoembryonic antigen, α-fetoprotein, and CA19-9). Disease extent was assessed with cross-sectional imaging of the chest [computed tomography (CT) scan] and abdomen/pelvis (CT or MRI); suspicious extrahepatic findings were evaluated with targeted imaging (2-[fluorine-18]fluoro-2-deoxy-D-glucose positron emission tomography or bone scan) or a biopsy. Patients with presumed ICC also underwent esophagogastroduodenoscopy, colonoscopy, and mammography (females). All patients were required to have hepatitis serology within 32 weeks of treatment (if never previously tested or if previously negative for hepatitis B or C).

Pump placement technique has been reported [25]; tumor and liver biopsies were carried out as part of the procedure. Pretreatment MRI scans were carried out with conventional and dynamic sequences and were repeated every 2 months. The RECIST criteria were used to categorize responses [26], based on tumor size measurements on conventional MRI sequences and confirmed by the study radiologist (LHS).

dynamic MRI

DCE-MRI scans were obtained using a 1.5 Tesla MRI scanner (GE Medical Systems, Waukesha, WI) and an 8-channel phased array body coil. Anatomical images, fat-suppressed T₁- and T₂-weighted images, three-dimensional T₁-weighted pre- and postcontrast images, and fat gradient echo-based perfusion images were acquired during each scan. Paramagnetic contrast (gadolinium diethylenetriaminepentaacetic acid; Magnevist, Berlex Inc., Wayne, NJ) was administered at a constant dose of 0.1 mmol/kg body weight. A bolus of gadolinium was injected with a delay of 6 s using an automatic injector (Medrad, Inc., Warrendale, PA) and serial images with a temporal resolution of 1.2–1.5 s were acquired over 4–5 min under shallow breathing conditions. One coronal oblique plane was prescribed through the center of the tumor and the aorta to maximize the cross-sectional area and the temporal resolution. DCE-MRI parameters included the following: echo time (TE) = 2 ms, repetition time (TR) = 9 ms, flip angle = 40°, slice thickness = 7 mm, matrix size = 256 × 128, field of view = 320 mm, bandwidth = 23.8 kHz, number of excitations = 1.0, and number of phases (n) = 225.

Dynamic images were analyzed with a two-compartment general kinetic model using a vascular space (VS) and an extracellular extravascular space (EES) for pharmacokinetic characterization of tumors [27]. The vascular input function (VIF) was measured in some patients, and an average VIF with two fast and slow components [28–30] was used for analysis (Cine Research Software, GE/UM, Waukesha, WI) of the dynamic and pharmacokinetic parameters. The variables measured included the following: (i) those related to tumor permeability—the volume transfer constant (Ktrans, 1/min) from VS to EES, the rate constant (kfo, 1/min) from EES to VS, the fractional volume of EES (vEES); (ii) those related to global tumor perfusion—the integrated area under the concentration curve of gadolinium contrast agent over 90 and 180 s from the start of contrast enhancement (AUC 90 and AUC 180, mM·s) [31]. In each case, region of interest (ROI) and voxel (Vx) analyses were carried out for determining the kinetic parameters in tumors. For the Vx analyses, the top decile values within the ROI were determined for the variables of interest [32–34]. The change in DCE-MRI parameters from baseline to the first post-treatment scan was also determined using both ROI and Vx values.

chemotherapy administration and toxicity

HAI chemotherapy was initiated no sooner than 2 weeks after pump placement, on a 4-week cycle; concurrent systemic chemotherapy was not used in any patient while on protocol. Infusion of HAI FUDR (0.16 mg/kg × 20/pump flow rate) and dex 25 mg started on day 1 of each cycle, with heparin sulfate (30 000 U) and saline added to a volume of 30 ml. On day 14, the residual volume was removed and heparin–saline (30 ml) instilled. Toxicity was graded according to the National Cancer Institute, Common Toxicity Criteria; FUDR dose adjustment schedule has been previously described [35]. The end points of treatment were disease progression, excessive toxicity, or achievement of resectability. Sites of initial disease progression were noted. In patients removed from the protocol for progression, systemic therapy was added or HAI therapy was changed; several patients received both HAI FUDR and systemic therapy, depending on the site of progression.

study design and statistics

The primary objective was to evaluate the antitumor activity of HAI FUDR in patients with resectable PLC; assessment of treatment outcome and DCE-MRI variables were planned secondary objectives. The study followed a Simon two-stage design. At the first stage, 12 patients were enrolled; if there were less than one or one responder or if more than four patients had unacceptable toxicity, the study would be terminated and further studies of this regimen in this population would have been deemed unwarranted. If at least 2 of the first 12 patients responded, 22 more patients would be enrolled for a total of 34. If at least 6 of the 34 patients responded, the regimen would be recommended for further study. A true response rate of 30% or more would yield a 90% probability of recommending the regimen for further study. This probability reduces to 10% if the true response rate was 10%. Numerical data are presented as median values (range). Continuous and categorical variables were compared using Student’s t-test or a chi-square test, respectively. Survival probabilities were computed using the Kaplan–Meier method and compared using the log-rank test. In analyzing the DCE-MRI data for changes from baseline to the first post-treatment scans, variables were dichotomized around zero (i.e. positive or negative changes); for analyses of pretreatment data, variables were dichotomized around the median values.

results

patient demographics and baseline laboratory studies

From August 2003 through March 2007, 34 patients were enrolled. Complete treatment and follow-up information was available for all patients. Demographic and baseline laboratory data are shown in Table 1. ICC was the most common
diagnosis ($n = 26$); 21 patients (61.8%) had multifocal intrahepatic disease while 11 (32.4%) had extensive portal venous involvement. The median tumor size was 9.7 cm (2.7–18.1 cm), with a median of 45% liver involvement. Four patients had chronic hepatitis (B or C) and five had cirrhosis or fibrosis. Seven patients (20.6%) had one or more prior therapies: ablation in five, systemic therapy in three, and resection in two.

### chemotherapy administration and treatment-related toxicity

All patients received HAI FUDR therapy according to the protocol. The median number of treatment cycles completed was 7 (2–25) (Table 2). Dose reductions, typically for serum liver enzyme elevations, were common [median = 1/patient (0–4)]; the median FUDR dose at the end of treatment was 62.5% lower (0%–98%) than the starting dose.

Five patients (14.7%) experienced grade 3 or 4 toxicity (Table 2). Three patients had elevations of serum bilirubin (grade 4 in two and grade 3 in one), all of which resolved with dose modification; there were no biliary strictures. Two other grade 3 complications (migraine headache and diarrhea) were attributable to diuretic therapy and a preexisting condition, respectively. One patient was removed from the study after two cycles with disease progression and liver failure due to exacerbation of chronic hepatitis B infection. This problem, which ultimately resulted in death, may have resulted from the patient’s decision to discontinue anti-hepatitis B virus medication; the remaining patients with chronic hepatitis experienced no such problems. Postoperative complications related to the pump placement occurred in eight patients (23.5%) (Table 2).

### treatment response and disease progression

Sixteen patients had a partial response (PR) (47.1%), with response duration in the liver of 12.3 months (3.7–51.4 months); 14 patients had stable disease (SD) (41.2%) and three had progressive disease (PD) (8.8%). The disease control rate (complete response + PR + SD for at least two cycles) was 88.2%. Patients with ICC had a higher response rate (53.8%) compared with those with HCC (25%) (Figures 1 and 2). One patient with ICC responded sufficiently to undergo resection;
the final histology of the resected specimen showed a complete necrosis. 

At a median follow-up of 25 months, 33 of 34 patients developed disease progression. In 21 patients (61.8%), the liver, either alone \( (n = 18) \) or in combination with an extrahepatic location \( (n = 3) \), was the site of initial progression; 12 patients (38.2%) progressed initially at an extrahepatic site only, 11 of whom eventually had hepatic disease progression. Of the 33 patients who progressed, 17 continued to receive HAI therapy, of whom 14 received HAI FUDR in conjunction with systemic cytotoxic therapy and three received an alternative HAI regimen; seven received systemic therapy followed by HAI and eight received systemic therapy alone [gemcitabine single agent \( (n = 11) \), gemcitabine/oxaliplatin, or irinotecan] Thus, 24 of 33 patients (72.7%) received additional HAI treatment after removal from the study.

**survival**

The median disease-specific survival was 29.5 months (Figure 3A) and was greater in those who responded (35.1 months) compared with those with SD (28.6 months) or PD (9.8 months) \((P < 0.0021)\). The 1-, 2-, and 3-year survival rates were 88%, 67%, and 29%, respectively. Median progression-free survival (any site) was 7.4 months; hepatic progression-free survival (HPFS) was 10.3 months. HPFS was higher in patients with ICC compared with HCC (11.6 months versus 9.4 months, \( P < 0.043)\); no other disease-specific differences were noted.

**dynamic MRI**

Complete DCE-MRI data were available for 28 patients. The time between baseline DCE-MRI and treatment start was 9.5 days (0–14 days); the time between baseline and first post-treatment DCE-MRI was 59 days (43–72 days).

Pretreatment AUC 90 and AUC 180 predicted survival (Table 3 and Figure 3B). Patients with an AUC 180 >34.2 mM·s on
their baseline study \((n = 14)\) had similar response rates (50% versus 35.7%, \(P < 0.44\)) but longer disease-specific survival (35.1 versus 19.1 months, \(P < 0.002\)) compared with patients with an AUC 180 \(>34.2 \text{mM} \cdot \text{s}\) \((n = 14)\); HPFS also tended to be greater in the group with AUC 180 \(>34.2 \text{mM} \cdot \text{s}\) (11.5 versus 7.5 months, \(P < 0.18\)), although overall progression-free survival was similar. AUC 90 \(>17.1 \text{mM} \cdot \text{s}\) was similarly associated with greater disease-specific survival (Table 3).

Regarding changes from pretreatment to first post-treatment scans, Vx analyses of the top decile values for both \(K_{trans}^\text{trans}\) and \(k_{ep}\) revealed a survival correlation. Compared with baseline, a decrease in \(k_{ep}\) (i.e. a negative \(\Delta k_{ep}\)) on the first post-treatment DCE-MRI was associated with a disease-specific survival of 30.1 months and an 18-month survival of 88.9%, compared with 19.1 months \((P = 0.013\) and 60.0% \((P = 0.023\), respectively, when \(\Delta k_{ep}\) was positive (Table 4 and Figure 3C). Similarly, there was an inverse association between disease-specific survival and a decrease in \(\Delta K_{trans}^\text{trans}\) [33.1 months versus 28.6 months, \(P = 0.07\) (Table 4)].

**Discussion**

With the rising incidence and mortality related to PLC, better therapies are desperately needed. Resection and transplantation (for HCC) are the most effective but are applicable to a small fraction of patients [3, 36]. Ablative therapies are similarly constrained by anatomical and disease-related factors and are less effective than surgery, and prolonged survival is rare [5]. Systemic chemotherapy has been equally disappointing. For ICC, the advent of irinotecan and gemcitabine has generally represented an advance [8], and contemporary agents, both cytotoxic and targeted, have likewise led to improved response rates for HCC. Despite improvements, however, survival in these series was \(\leq 1\) year. Recently, a randomized study evaluating sorafenib in patients with HCC showed a response rate of 2% and only a modest improvement in survival over placebo (10.7 versus 7.9 months) [12]. HAI chemotherapy offers several advantages over systemic and ablative therapy. First, using an agent with a favorable first-pass hepatic metabolic profile such as FUDR, very high doses can be administered directly into the hepatic arterial system with little or no systemic toxicity. Since tumors derive nearly all of their blood supply from the hepatic artery, high tumor drug levels can be achieved while maintaining hepatic perfusion via the portal venous system [13]. Unlike other local–regional therapies for PLC, HAI chemotherapy is not limited by tumor size, proximity to major vascular structures, or multifocality, all of which commonly preclude resection or ablation. The efficacy of HAI FUDR for hepatic colorectal metastases has been shown in both the adjuvant [14] and palliative settings (even after failure of systemic regimens). Experience with HAI therapy for PLC is more limited, generally confined to small series, although some benefit has been suggested [16, 17].

The current study shows that continuous HAI therapy with FUDR is active against PLC and is safe. Although dose

---

**Table 3.** Baseline (pretreatment) integrated area under the concentration curve of gadolinium contrast at 90 (AUC 90) and 180 (AUC 180) s from the start of contrast enhancement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>AUC 90 (&gt; 17.1) ((n = 14))</th>
<th>AUC 90 (\leq 17.1) ((n = 14))</th>
<th>(P)</th>
<th>AUC 180 (&gt; 34.2) ((n = 14))</th>
<th>AUC 180 (\leq 34.2) ((n = 14))</th>
<th>(P)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Response rate</td>
<td>8 (57.1%)</td>
<td>4 (28.6%)</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>7 (50%)</td>
<td>5 (35.7%)</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progression-free survival</td>
<td>7.4 months</td>
<td>6.8 months</td>
<td>0.077</td>
<td>7.2 months</td>
<td>7.3 months</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatic progression-free survival</td>
<td>11.5 months</td>
<td>7.3 months</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>11.5 months</td>
<td>7.5 months</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease-specific survival</td>
<td>35.1 months</td>
<td>19.1 months</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>35.1 months</td>
<td>19.1 months</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Survival &gt; 18 months</td>
<td>12 (85.7%)</td>
<td>9 (64.3%)</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>13 (92.9%)</td>
<td>8 (57.1%)</td>
<td>0.029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Survival &gt; 24 months</td>
<td>10 (71.4%)</td>
<td>5 (35.7%)</td>
<td>0.058</td>
<td>10 (71.4%)</td>
<td>5 (35.7%)</td>
<td>0.058</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Patients were stratified around the median values for both variables. Region of interest analyses were carried out. Units for AUC—mM·s. AUC, area under the concentration curve.

---

**Table 4.** Changes in the volume transfer constant from the vascular space to the extracellular extravascular space \((\Delta K_{trans}^\text{trans})\) and the corresponding rate constant \((\Delta k_{ep})\) from baseline (pretreatment) to first post-treatment DCE-MRI scan as predictors of outcome

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(\Delta K_{trans}^\text{trans} &lt; 0^\circ) ((n = 15))</th>
<th>(\Delta K_{trans}^\text{trans} \geq 0^\circ) ((n = 13))</th>
<th>(P)</th>
<th>(\Delta k_{ep} &lt; 0^\circ) ((n = 18))</th>
<th>(\Delta k_{ep} \geq 0^\circ) ((n = 10))</th>
<th>(P)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Response rate</td>
<td>8 (53.3%)</td>
<td>4 (30.8%)</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>9 (50.0%)</td>
<td>3 (30.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progression-free survival</td>
<td>7.4 months</td>
<td>7.2 months</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>7.3 months</td>
<td>7.2 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatic progression-free survival</td>
<td>11.5 months</td>
<td>11.5 months</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>11.5 months</td>
<td>7.5 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease-specific survival</td>
<td>33.1 months</td>
<td>28.6 months</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>30.1 months</td>
<td>19.1 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Survival &gt; 18 months</td>
<td>13 (86.7%)</td>
<td>8 (61.5%)</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>16 (88.9%)</td>
<td>5 (50.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Survival &gt; 24 months</td>
<td>10 (66.7%)</td>
<td>5 (38.5%)</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>12 (66.7%)</td>
<td>3 (30.0%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Patients were stratified into groups with positive or negative changes in \(K_{trans}^\text{trans}\) and \(k_{ep}\) voxel analysis of top decile values for each variable. Units for \(K_{trans}^\text{trans}\) and \(k_{ep} = 1/\text{min.}\)

DCE-MRI, dynamic contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging.
modifications were common, primarily due to elevations in serum liver enzymes or serum bilirubin, these were mostly minor and resolved spontaneously, and no patient developed a biliary stricture. Response, TTP, and disease-specific survival were all greater than those observed in other trials and were achieved in the face of advanced intrahepatic disease (i.e. median of 45% liver replacement, tumor diameter ~10 cm). Although no patient had distant disease initially, a large majority had gross multifocal disease, an indicator of intrahepatic metastases, and while survival of patients with liver-confined tumors may be slightly better than that of patients with extrahepatic metastases, the difference is likely small. In a recent review of 270 ICC patients seen at MSKCC, the survival of those with liver-confined disease treated with systemic chemotherapy was 10 months [3].

With the exception of one patient converted to resectability, all patients ultimately progressed, and nearly all were treated at some point with systemic therapy. While the impact of systemic treatment on outcome cannot be determined, it should be noted that the median survival of patients with PLC treated with cytotoxic systemic therapy alone is poor. It should also be emphasized that 55% of patients who progressed continued to have liver-confined disease and nearly three-fourths received additional HAI therapy after removal from the study, which is likely reflected in the long HPFS (11.6 months for ICC). Of note, over one-third of patients progressed initially at an extrahepatic site. Whether the concomitant use of systemic therapy would have impacted this result is unclear, but it underscores the risk of extrahepatic disease progression, even in the absence of clinical or radiographic evidence of such findings. Studies are now underway to evaluate the impact of adding systemic agents to regional FUDR/dex.

A major finding of the present study was the delineation of a potentially important role for DCE-MRI in predicting outcome, both before and early after treatment initiation. The baseline AUC 90 and AUC 180, measures of global tumor perfusion, directly correlated with better disease-specific survival. Of the variables measured, AUC is probably the easiest and the most reproducible; they require no kinetic modeling and are unaffected by variations related to data-fitting algorithms and parameter estimation [22, 37]. The AUC is a measure of the contrast agent delivered to and retained in the tumor over a period of time, and while perhaps not as specific physiologically as other variables, it correlates with higher tissue delivery of drug, which may have an impact on efficacy. By contrast, a change in $k_{ep}$ and $K^{trans}$ on the initial post-treatment scan was inversely related to survival, suggesting that direct delivery of FUDR into the hepatic arterial system induces early and prognostically important changes in the tumor vasculature, in this case related to vascular endothelial permeability. Although not typically considered major mediators of tumor angiogenesis, cytotoxic agents are active in this regard [38]. Indeed, a recent report showed antiangiogenic activity of 5-fluorouracil-based drugs, mediated by up-regulation of thrombospondin-1 [39]. The possibility that HAI FUDR exerts some antitumor effects indirectly through changes in the tumor vasculature is therefore supported and provides a basis for the use of DCE-MRI to assess efficacy.

It is important to emphasize that, compared with other tumor types (i.e. colorectal cancer), the baseline vascularity of PLC is generally greater, and it is therefore not unreasonable to expect that changes in $K^{trans}$ and $k_{ep}$ in response to therapy will also differ. The use of direct intra-arterial chemotherapy, compared with systemic therapy, may also be an important factor in this regard. Primary liver tumors often have necrotic regions, but it is the assessment of viable areas that is obviously of greatest interest for assessing therapeutic response. The top decile values of the kinetic maps, as used in the current study, appear to be the most sensitive to change. It should be stated that the cut-off values for AUC 90, AUC 180, $\Delta K^{trans}$, and $\Delta k_{ep}$ will require prospective validation in another dataset, and their importance in relation to other predictors of response will need to be determined.

The search for biomarkers that accurately predict response to treatment and outcome remains an area of intense research in clinical oncology. Such biomarkers, whether clinical, genetic, or radiologic, hold the promise of targeting therapy to patients most likely to benefit and sparing others toxicity from ineffective therapies. As a noninvasive imaging technique, DCE-MRI can be used to measure properties of tumor microvasculature [18]. As this and other studies have shown, DCE-MRI provides an in-depth, quantitative assessment of the tumor vasculature in vivo, allowing analysis of variables related to tumor perfusion and permeability. Such analyses have become increasingly important since changes in tumor size may underestimate treatment response [40]. The results of the present study underscore this point since none of AUC, $\Delta K^{trans}$, and $\Delta k_{ep}$ correlated significantly with response as measured by RECIST despite their association with survival. Indeed, these variables, particularly AUC and $\Delta k_{ep}$, were ultimately more potent predictors of disease-specific survival than tumor response, based on data collected before or soon after treatment initiation. The predictive value of DCE-MRI parameters for treatment response has been shown in other studies for different tumors; however, correlation of the MRI data with survival was not observed in these reports, the sole exception being the use of sorafenib in metastatic renal cell carcinoma [41].

In summary, this study shows that continuous HAI of FUDR/dex can be an effective and safe therapy for unresectable PLC. This study also suggests an important role for DCE-MRI as a potential biomarker of treatment outcome.
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