Metal and molecule cooling in simulations of structure formation
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ABSTRACT
Cooling is the main process leading to the condensation of gas in the dark matter potential wells and consequently to star and structure formation. In a metal-free environment, the main available coolants are H, He, H2 and HD; once the gas is enriched with metals, these also become important in defining the cooling properties of the gas. We discuss the implementation in GADGET-2 of molecular and metal cooling at temperatures lower than 104 K, following the time-dependent properties of the gas and pollution from stellar evolution. We have checked the validity of our scheme by comparing the results of some test runs with previous calculations of cosmic abundance evolution and structure formation, finding excellent agreement. We have also investigated the relevance of molecule and metal cooling in some specific cases, finding that inclusion of HD cooling results in a higher clumping factor of the gas at high redshifts, while metal cooling at low temperatures can have a significant impact on the formation and evolution of cold objects.
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1 INTRODUCTION
The understanding of cosmic structure formation and evolution is one of the most outstanding problems in astrophysics, which requires dealing with processes on very large scales, like galactic or cluster properties and, at the same time, on very small scales, like atomic behaviour of gas and plasma. To join these extremes, it is fundamental to include atomic physics into astrophysics and cosmology. In fact, only with a unified study it was and is still possible to justify many physical phenomena otherwise not explained, like, for example, the very well-known O III forbidden line, typical of many gaseous nebulae. Interesting introductions into this subject are found in Spitzer (1978), the paper review by Osterbrock (1988) and Osterbrock (1989).

Nowadays, one of the main links between ‘small scales’ and ‘large scales’ seems to be the cooling properties of the gas as, to form cosmic structures, it is necessary for the gas to condense in the dark matter potential wells and emit energy as radiation (comprehensive reviews on the topic are Barkana & Loeb 2001; Ciardi & Ferrara 2005). For this reason it is fundamental to investigate the chemical properties of molecules and atoms and their cooling capabilities. In the standard cosmological scenario for structure formation, the first objects are supposed to form in metal-free haloes with virial temperatures lower than 104 K, for which atomic cooling is ineffective. In such physical conditions, the most-efficient coolants are likely to be molecules (e.g. Lepp & Shull 1984; Puy et al. 1993).

As hydrogen is the dominant element in the Universe, with a primordial mass fraction of about 76 per cent, we expect that the derived molecules will play a role in the cosmological gas chemistry. The first studies in this direction were made by Saslaw & Ziópy (1967) followed by Peebles & Dicke (1968) and many others (Hollenbach & McKee 1979; Abel et al. 1997; Galli & Palla 1998; Stancil, Lepp & Dalgarno 1998), who highlighted the importance of H2 in cooling gas down to temperatures of about 103 K.

In addition, one should also consider that, besides hydrogen, nucleosynthesis calculations predict the existence of primordial deuterium and lithium. Recent measurements from a metal-poor damped Lyman α system (O’Meara et al. 2006) give log(D/H) = −4.48 ± 0.06 and are consistent with other observations (Burles & Tytler 1998; Pettini & Bowen 2001), while the abundance of Li (around 10−14) is not very well determined and can vary by a factor of 2 or 3 when compared to the measurements in the atmospheres of old stars (Korn et al. 2006; Yong et al. 2006). Other molecules derived from Li (e.g. LiH and LiH+) have much lower abundances (e.g. Lepp & Shull 1984; Puy et al. 1993; Galli & Palla 1998).

Another potentially interesting molecule is HD. Due to its permanent electric dipole moment,1 HD has higher rotational transition probabilities and smaller rotational energy separations compared

1 Some values of the permanent HD electric dipole moment found in the literature are D = 8.3 × 10−4 debye (Abragam, Roueff & Viala 1982) and D = 8.51 × 10−4 debye (Thorson, Choi & Knudson 1985). The first data date back to McKellar, Goetz & Ramsay (1976); for a theoretical, ab initio, non-relativistic, perturbative treatment, via radial Schroedinger equation, see also Ford & Browne (1977), and references therein.
to H$_2$ and thus, despite its low abundance of log(HD/H$_2$) $\sim -$4.5 (e.g., Lepp & Shull 1984; Puy et al. 1993; Galli & Palla 1998), HD can be an efficient coolant (Flower 2000; Galli & Palla 2002; Lipovka, Núñez-López & Avila-Reese 2005; Abgrall & Roueff 2006) and bring the gas in primordial haloes to temperatures of the order of 10$^2$ K. This results into a smaller Jeans mass and a more efficient fragmentation process. For haloes with virial temperatures in the range 10$^5$–10$^6$K, HD cooling can be as relevant as H$_2$, while its effects are expected to be minor for larger haloes (see Ripamonti 2007; Shchekinov & Vasiliev 2006).

The formation of primordial structures and stars has been investigated by many authors (like Bromm, Coppi & Larson 1999, 2002; Karlsson 2006; Yoshida et al. 2006) but our understanding of the problem is still limited, because we are lacking information on all those feedback effects (such as metal pollution, mass loss and energy deposition from the first stars) that profoundly affect it. In particular, it is now commonly accepted that the presence of metals, by determining the cooling (and thus fragmentation) properties of a gas, influences the shape of the initial mass function (IMF), leading to a transition from a top-heavy IMF to a Salpeter-like IMF, when a critical metallicity – varying between $\sim$10$^{-6}$ and $\sim$10$^{-3.5}$ Z$_\odot$ – is reached; observationally, there are only few constraints (Frebel, Johnson & Bromm 2007).

Tornatore et al. (2004) have presented the first implementation of a detailed chemical feedback model in the numerical code GADGET [other works on this subject are Raiteri et al. (1996), Gnedin (1998), Kawata & Gibson (2003) and Ricotti & Ostriker (2004)], through which they study metal-enrichment for different feedback/IMF scenarios.

In this study, we discuss the implementation in GADGET of molecular and metal cooling at temperatures below 10$^4$ K and we present a scheme able to deal both with primordial and with metal-enriched composition. In details, we extend the existing implementation in GADGET of H$_2$ chemistry (Yoshida et al. 2003), in order to include HD, HeH$^+$ and metal cooling at those low temperatures. Indeed, these species are expected to be relevant for the formation and evolution of cold objects.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we describe the computations of deuterium chemistry (Section 2.1), metal lines (Section 2.2) and their cooling capabilities (Section 2.3); in Section 3, we perform tests of our numerical implementation about chemical abundance evolution (Section 3.1), cosmic structure formation (Section 3.2) and cluster evolution (Section 3.3); in Section 4, we discuss the results and give our conclusions.

### 2 METHODS AND TOOLS

In the commonly adopted scenario of structure formation, objects form from the collapse, shock and successive condensation of gas into clouds having a typical mass of the order of the Jeans mass. This process requires the gas to cool down, that is, the conversion of kinetic energy into radiation that eventually escapes from the system. This can occur via inelastic collisions which induce atomic electronic transitions to upper states, followed by de-excitations and emission of radiation. Details of the cooling process will depend on the type of elements considered and of transitions involved.

In a standard primordial environment, the main coolants are expected to be hydrogen, helium and some molecules like H$_2$ and HD; if the medium is metal-enriched, the heavier elements become important coolants, thanks to a larger number of possible atomic transitions with different energy separations.

The relevant quantity describing the cooling properties of a plasma is the energy emitted per unit time and volume, that is, the cooling function (we will indicate it with $\Lambda$, adopting cgs units, erg cm$^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$).

The characteristic time-scale for the cooling, determined by $\Lambda$, is important to discriminate whether the gas can cool during the infall phase in the dark matter gravitational potential wells: structures are able to form only if the cooling time is short enough compared to the free-fall time.

In this work, we focus on the effects of molecules and metals in gas at low temperatures. In particular, we will include their treatment in GADGET-2 (Springel, Yoshida & White 2001; Springel 2005). This code uses a tree-particle-mesh algorithm to compute the gravitational forces and implements a smoothed particle hydrodynamics (SPH) algorithm to treat the baryons. Moreover, it is possible to follow the main non-equilibrium reactions involving electrons, hydrogen, helium and H$_2$, with the respective ionization states (Yoshida et al. 2003). Stellar feedback processes and metal release from Type II supernova (SNII), Type Ia supernova (SNIa) and asymptotic giant branch (AGB) stars are also included together with metal cooling at temperatures higher than 10$^4$ K (for a detailed discussion, see Tornatore & Borgani 2007, and references therein).

In the following, we are going to discuss in detail our HD and metal line treatment at $T < 10^4$ K.

#### 2.1 HD treatment

The HD molecule primarily forms through reactions between primordial deuterium and hydrogen or molecules: a complete model for the evolution of HD involves 18 reactions (Nakamura & Umemura 2002) but, as their solution becomes quite computationally expensive when implemented in cosmological simulations, we use only the set of reactions selected by Galli & Palla (2002), which are the most relevant for HD evolution:

\begin{align}
H_2 + D & \rightarrow HD + H, \\
H_2 + D^+ & \rightarrow HD + H^+, \\
\text{which lead to HD formation;}
\end{align}

\begin{align}
HD + H & \rightarrow D + H_2, \\
HD + H^+ & \rightarrow D^+ + H_2, \\
\text{for HD dissociation and H$_2$ formation; and}
\end{align}

\begin{align}
H^+ + D & \rightarrow H + D^+, \\
H + D^+ & \rightarrow H^+ + D, \\
\text{for charge-exchange reactions.}
\end{align}

From reactions (1)–(6), we see that HD abundance primarily depends on the amount of primordial deuterium and on the H$_2$ fraction.

For each species $i$, the variation in time of its number density $n_i$ is

\[
\frac{dn_i}{dt} = \sum_p \sum_q \sum_{l,n} k_{pq,l} n_p n_q - \sum_l k_{il} n_l,
\]

where $k_{pq,l}$ in the first term on the right-hand side is the creation rate from species $p$ and $q$, and $k_{il}$ is the destruction rate from interactions

\footnote{Sometimes it is possible to find the same notation $\Lambda$ for the cooling rate in erg cm$^3$ s$^{-1}$.}
of the species \( i \) with the species \( k \); they are temperature-dependent and are usually expressed in \((\text{cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1})\).

A plot of the rates as a function of the temperature is given in Fig. 1, and the exact expressions and references in Appendix A. From the figure, it is clear that the most important reactions in the relevant range of temperatures are reactions (5) and (6), and that the HD creation rates of reactions (1) and (2) are always higher than the corresponding destruction rates of reactions (3) and (4), respectively.

We have also considered HeH\(^+\) molecule evolution and found negligible effects on the cooling properties of the gas. The rates for HeH\(^+\) formation and destruction are given in Appendix A.

We implement our chemistry model extending the code by Yoshida et al. (2003), which adopts the rates from Abel et al. (1997), and modify it for self-consistency to obtain a set of reactions including \( e^- \), H, H\(^+\), He, He\(^+\), H\(_2\), H\(_2^+\), D, D\(^+\), HD and HeH\(^+\) (a complete list of the reactions is given in Table 1).

The set of differential equations (7) are evaluated via simple linearization, according to a backward difference formula (Anninos et al. 1997): given the time-step \( \Delta t \), at each time \( t \) and for each species \( i \), equation (7) can be re-written as

\[
\frac{n_i^{t+\Delta t} - n_i^t}{\Delta t} = C_i^{t+\Delta t} - D_i^{t+\Delta t} n_i^{t+\Delta t},
\]

where we have introduced the creation coefficient for the species \( i \), in \((\text{cm}^{-3} \text{s}^{-1})\), as

\[
C_i = \sum_p \sum_q k_{pqij} n_p n_q,
\]

and the destruction coefficient, in \((\text{s}^{-1})\), as

\[
D_i = \sum_j k_{ij} n_i.
\]

The number density, \( n_i^t \), is then updated from equation (8):

\[
n_i^{t+\Delta t} = \frac{C_i^{t+\Delta t} \Delta t + n_i^t}{1 + D_i^{t+\Delta t} \Delta t}.
\]

We apply this treatment to all chemical species.
(which depopulate it), per unit time and volume:

\[ n_i \sum_j P_{ij} = \sum_j n_j P_{ji} \quad (i \neq j). \quad (12) \]

In formula (12), \( P_{ij} \) is the probability per unit time of the transition \( i \rightarrow j \) and \( n_i \) and \( n_j \) are the number densities of atoms in the \( i \)th and \( j \)th (with \( i \neq j \)) level. The left-hand side of the previous equation refers to depopulations of the \( i \)th level, while the right-hand side refers to the transitions which can populate it.

The probability of a given transition can be easily computed once the Einstein coefficients and the collisional rates are known.

The further constraint which must be satisfied is the number particle conservation

\[ \sum_j n_j = n_{tot}, \quad (13) \]

where \( n_{tot} \) is the total number density of the species considered and \( n_j \) the population of the generic level \( j \).

In case of collisional events, the rate at which the transition \( i \rightarrow j \) occurs is by definition

\[ n_i n_e \gamma_{ij} = n_i n_e \langle u \sigma_{ij} \rangle = n_i n_e \int u \sigma_{ij} f(u) \, du, \quad (14) \]

where \( \sigma_{ij} \) is the cross-section of the process, \( f(u) \, du \) is the velocity distribution function of the particles (typically a Maxwellian), \( \gamma_{ij} \) is the collisional rate, \( n_e \) is the number density of the particles in the \( i \)th level and \( n_i \) is the colliding particle number density, and where the integral is done over all the velocity space.

The relation between \( \gamma_{ij} \) and \( \gamma_{ji} \) is

\[ g_i \gamma_{ij} = g_j \gamma_{ji} e^{-\beta \Delta E_{ij}}, \quad (15) \]

where \( g_i \) and \( g_j \) are the level multiplicities, \( \beta = (k_B T)^{-1} \), \( \Delta E_{ij} \) is the energy level separation and \( i < j \).

In addition to collisionally induced transitions, spontaneous transitions can take place with an emission rate given by the Einstein A coefficient.

It is convenient to define the critical number density for the transition \( i \rightarrow j \) as

\[ n_{cij} = \frac{A_{ij}}{\gamma_{ij}}. \quad (16) \]

This determines the minimum density above which thermal equilibrium can be assumed and low-density deviations from the Boltzmann distribution become irrelevant. At densities below \( n_{cij} \), we expect values of the excited level populations lower than that in the thermodynamic limit, because of the reduced number of interactions.

For a two-level system, the low-density level populations arising from electron and hydrogen impact excitations can be found by solving the system of equations resulting from conditions (13) and (12):

\[ \begin{cases} n_i + n_j = n_{tot} \\ n_i \gamma_{12}^H + n_e n_j \gamma_{21}^H - n_e n_i \gamma_{12}^H - n_j n_e \gamma_{21}^H - n_j A_{21} = 0 \end{cases}, \quad (17) \]

where \( n_{tot} \) and \( n_j \) are the hydrogen and electron number densities, while \( \gamma_{12}^H \) and \( \gamma_{21}^H \) are the H-impact and e-impact excitation rates.

\[ 3 \text{ The critical number density depends on the particular line transition considered; typical values for the fine structure transitions we are mostly interested in are of the order of } \sim 10^3 \text{ cm}^{-3}. \]

The solution of (17) is:

\[ \begin{align*}
    n_1 & = \frac{\gamma_{21}^H + \gamma_{21}^H n_e / n_H + A_{21} / n_H}{\gamma_{12}^H + \gamma_{12}^H + \left( \gamma_{12}^H + \gamma_{21}^H \right) n_e / n_H + A_{21} / n_H} \\
    n_2 & = \frac{\gamma_{21}^H + \gamma_{21}^H n_e / n_H}{\gamma_{12}^H + \gamma_{12}^H + \left( \gamma_{12}^H + \gamma_{21}^H \right) n_e / n_H + A_{21} / n_H}. \\
    n_{tot} & = \gamma_{12}^H + A_{21} / n_H. \\
\end{align*} \quad (18) \]

The ratio between the two level populations

\[ \frac{n_2}{n_1} = \frac{\gamma_{21}^H + \gamma_{21}^H n_e / n_H}{\gamma_{21}^H + \left( \gamma_{12}^H + \gamma_{21}^H \right) n_e / n_H + A_{21} / n_H} \quad (19) \]

\[ n_{tot} = \gamma_{12}^H + A_{21} / n_H \quad (20) \]

will in general deviate from the Boltzmann statistic, because the spontaneous emission term dominates over the collisional term at low densities. In a neutral dense gas, instead, the level population will in general deviate from the Boltzmann statistic, because the spontaneous emission term dominates over the collisional term at low densities.

In case of \( n \)-level systems, one must solve the \( n \times n \) population matrix consisting of \( n - 1 \) independent balancing equations (12) and the constraint of particle conservation (13).

In the modelling, we approximate C II and Si II as two-level systems, and O I and Fe II as five-level systems (Santoro & Shull 2006).

Further details on the atomic data and structures are reported in Appendix B.

### 2.3 Cooling

In addition to calculating the chemical evolution of the gas, we need to evaluate the cooling induced by different species. In the original code, hydrogen and helium cooling from collisional ionization, excitation and recombination (Hui & Gnedin 1997), Compton cooling/heating and bremsstrahlung (Black 1981) are evaluated. For the H II and H III cooling, the rates quoted in Galli & Palla (1998) are adopted. We take the HD cooling function from Lipovka et al. (2005), who consider the HD ro-vibrational structure and perform calculations for \( J \leq 8 \) rotational levels and \( v = 0, 1, 2, 3 \) vibrational levels. Their results are somehow more accurate than other approximations (Flower 2000; Galli & Palla 2002) and valid for a wide range of number densities (up to \( 10^4 \text{ cm}^{-3} \)) and temperatures \((10^2 - 2 \times 10^4 \text{ K})\).

In Fig. 2, we show cooling functions for H\(_2\), HD and H\(_3^+\) molecules; for the latter case, we distinguish between neutral hydrogen impact and electron impact cooling; we have assumed fractions \( x_{\text{HD}} = 10^{-3}, x_{\text{H}_2} = 10^{-5}, x_{\text{H}_2^+} = 10^{-13}, x_{e^-} = 10^{-4} \) and a total hydrogen number density \( n_H = 1 \text{ cm}^{-3} \). Due to its very low abundance, H\(_3^+\) is less effective than neutral H\(_2\) and HD, which remain the only relevant coolants over the plotted range of temperature.

We highlight that the contribution of HD to gas cooling at low temperatures is dominant in the case considered here, but its relevance strongly depends on the relative abundances of the species.

The cooling for metal line transitions is computed as follows. In case of two-level systems, we define

\[ \Lambda \equiv n_2 A_{21} \Delta E_{21}, \quad (22) \]

where \( n_2 \) is the atomic excited state number density, \( A_{21} \) is the probability per unit time of the transition \( 2 \rightarrow 1 \) and \( \Delta E_{21} \) is the energy separation of the levels.
Combining equations (19) and (22), one can write the previous equation as a function only of the total number density of the species:

$$\Lambda = \frac{n_{\text{H}} Y_{12}^{\text{H}} + n_{e} n_{\text{H}} Y_{12}^{\text{e}}}{n_{\text{H}} n_{\text{e},12}^{\text{H}} + n_{e} n_{\text{e},12}^{\text{e}}} - 1 \Delta E_{21}$$

(24)

where $n_{\text{H}}^{\text{H},12}$ and $n_{e}^{\text{e},12}$ are the critical densities for the transition $2 \rightarrow 1$ due to H- and e-impact excitations, respectively.

In particular, in the low-density limit ($n_{\text{H},e} \ll n_{\text{H}}$), the above equation becomes

$$\Lambda \simeq n_{e} n_{\text{H}} Y_{12}^{\text{e}} \Delta E_{21}$$

(26)

$$n_{e} \ll n_{\text{H}}$$

(27)

In this regime, each excitation – see formulae (26) and (27) – is statistically followed by emission of radiation – see the general definition (22).

In the high-density limit, one finds the expected thermodynamic equilibrium cooling rate

$$\Lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{n_{\text{H}}} \frac{g_{i} A_{i,21}}{g_{1}} \frac{e^{-\beta E_{21} n_{1}/n_{\text{H}}} Y_{12}^{\text{H}}}{1} \Delta E_{21}$$

(28)

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{n_{e}} \frac{g_{i} A_{i,21}}{g_{1}} \frac{e^{-\beta E_{21} n_{e}/n_{\text{e}}^{\text{e},12} Y_{12}^{\text{e}}}}{1} \Delta E_{21}$$

$$n_{e} \ll n_{\text{H}}$$

(29)

In the right-hand side, it is easy to recognize the Boltzmann distribution of populations for $n_{1}$. It is interesting to note that the cooling function does not depend any more on the number density of the colliding particles, but only on the species abundance, in contrast with the low-density regime, where there is a linear dependence on both densities.

These arguments ensure that it is safe to use formula (23) to compute the gas cooling for two-level atoms.

For $n$-level systems, the cooling function is simply the sum of all the contributions from each transition:

$$\Lambda = \sum_{i,j \geq 1} \sum_{0 \leq j} n_{i} A_{i,j} \Delta E_{ij}$$

(30)

In general, once the number density of the cooling species is fixed, we expect the cooling function to grow linearly with the colliding particle number density and eventually to saturate, converging to the Boltzmann statistic, when the critical densities are reached. We see that C II, Si II and Fe II saturate when the colliding particle number density achieves values around $10^{6-7}$ cm$^{-3}$, while for O I we will have a double phase of saturation: the first one at $\sim 10^{10}$ cm$^{-3}$ involving the lower three states and the second one at $\sim 10^{11}$ cm$^{-3}$ involving the higher two states.

As an example, in Fig. 3, we show the cooling functions for a total number density $1$ cm$^{-3}$ and for each metal species $10^{-6}$ cm$^{-3}$, the ratio between free electrons and hydrogen is chosen to be $10^{-4}$. With these values, the presence of electrons can affect the results up to 10 per cent with respect to the zero electron fraction case. We also note that all the metals contribute with similar importance to the total cooling function and the main difference in the cooling properties of the gas will depend on their detailed chemical composition.

We also plot the cooling functions for all the temperature regime we are interested in: at temperatures higher than $10^{9}$ K, we interpolate the Sutherland and Dopita tables (Sutherland & Dopita 1993), at lower temperatures, we include metals and molecules as discussed previously. Fig. 4 shows the cooling function for different individual metal number fractions with abundances in the range $10^{-5-7}$ and H$_{2}$ and HD fractions of $10^{-2}$ and $10^{-6}$, respectively. These values for H$_{2}$ and HD are fairly typical for the intergalactic medium (IGM) gas.


Figure 2. Cooling functions for a primordial gas with a hydrogen number density of 1 cm$^{-3}$ and the following fractions for the different species: $x_{\text{H}} = 10^{-8}$, $x_{\text{H}_{2}} = 10^{-5}$, $x_{\text{H}_{2}} = 10^{-13}$ and $x_{e} = 10^{-4}$. The H$_{2}$ cooling function (long-dashed line) is plotted together with the HD (solid line), H-impact H$_{2}^{+}$ (dotted line) and e-impact H$_{2}^{+}$ (short-dashed line) cooling functions. The fits for H$_{2}^{+}$ cooling are appropriate only for $T < 10^{4}$ K.

Figure 3. Cooling due to metals as a function of temperature. The computations are done for a gas with total number density of 1 cm$^{-3}$; for each metal species, we assume a number density of $10^{-6}$ cm$^{-3}$ and we set the free electron over hydrogen fraction to a value of $10^{-4}$. 
metal fractions lower than a certain threshold.

For example, complete collisional ionization of carbon and oxygen is evident at low metallicity, while it is washed out by the contribution of different metal ionization processes as the metallicity increases. The double peak due to hydrogen and helium collisional excitations is evident at about $10^7$ K. At temperatures lower than $10^4$ K and at the mean density (see also the conclusions of Galli & Palla 1998, and references therein). In the temperature range $10^5$–$10^6$ K, the double peak due to hydrogen and helium collisional excitations is evident at low metallicity, while it is washed out by the contribution of different metal ionization processes as the metallicity increases. For example, complete collisional ionization of carbon and oxygen produces the twin peak at $10^5$ K, while complete ionization of iron is evident at about $10^7$ K. At temperatures lower than $10^5$ K and metal fractions lower than $\sim 10^{-6}$, the dominant cooling is given by molecules; instead, for larger metal fractions the effects of metals become dominant.

The general conclusion is that at very high redshift, when metals are not present, only H$_2$ and HD can be useful to cool the gas down to some $10^5$ K, while after the first stars explode, ejecting heavy elements into the surrounding medium, metals quickly become the most-efficient coolants.

3 TESTS

In this section, we are going to test the implementation of HD and metal cooling using different kinds of simulations. In particular, we focus on the analysis of abundance redshift evolution, cosmic structure formation and clusters.

3.1 Abundance redshift evolution

As a first test, we investigate the behaviour of a plasma of primordial chemical composition (i.e. with no metals) looking at the redshift evolution of the single abundances. Our goal is to reproduce the results from Galli & Palla (1998), who calculate the redshift evolution of a metal-free gas at the mean density by following a detailed chemical network. For this reason, here, we perform our non-equilibrium computations on isolated particles, including the following chemical species: $\text{e}^-$, H, H$^+$, He, He$^+$, He$^{++}$, H$_2$, H$_2^+$, H$^-$, D, D$^+$, HD and HeH$^+$ and assuming a flat cosmology with no dark energy content (matter density parameter $\Omega_{{\text{m}}} = 1$), baryon density parameter $\Omega_{{\text{b}}} = 0.037$, Hubble constant, in units of 100 km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$, $h = 0.67$ and initial gas temperature of 1000 K.

The evolution of the number fractions for the different species is plotted in Fig. 5; the electron abundance is given from charge conservation of neutral plasma and is normally very close to the H$^+$ value, this being the dominant ion. These results are in very good agreement with those of Galli & Palla (1998).

In our set of reactions, due to the low initial gas temperature, the collisions are inefficient to ionize helium. The inclusion of HeH$^+$ creation

$$\text{He} + \text{H}^+ \rightarrow \text{HeH}^+ + \gamma \quad (31)$$

contributes to rise H$_2^+$ abundance mainly via reaction

$$\text{HeH}^+ + \text{H} \rightarrow \text{He} + \text{H}_2^+ \quad (32)$$

and weakly decrease the H$^-$ number fraction via

$$\text{HeH}^+ + \gamma \rightarrow \text{He} + \text{H}^+, \quad (33)$$

$$\text{H}^+ + \text{H}^+ \rightarrow 2\text{H}, \quad (34)$$

where $\gamma$ indicates the photons. Because of the very low HeH$^+$ abundance reached, there is no substantial He atom abundance evolution.

Another caveat to take into account is the lack of reactions between D$^+$ and free electrons which would destroy the deuterium ions more efficiently, but without altering significantly the global amount of HD formed. We note also the exponential decay of D$^+$ due to the rate coefficient of equation (5) and the freezing out of H$^+$, H$_2$, D and HD number fractions.

As a comparison, we also plot (dotted lines) the H$_2$ and HD abundance evolution in a flat cold dark matter (LCDM) model having $h = 0.73$, $\Omega_{{\text{m}}} = 0.237$, $\Omega_{{\text{b}}} = 0.763$, $\Omega_{{\Lambda}} = 0.041$ (Spergel et al. 2006). The slight increment observed is due to the fact that in the CDM cosmology the baryon fraction is about 4 per cent, making the interactions among different species rarer than that in the ΛCDM model, for which the baryon fraction is about 17 per cent. In addition, the cosmological constant is dominant only at redshifts below 1. The evolution of the other species is similar in both cosmologies.

Figure 4. Total cooling due to hydrogen, helium, metals, H$_2$ and HD molecules as a function of temperature, for gas having a hydrogen number density of 1 cm$^{-3}$. The fractions of H$_2$ and HD are fixed to $10^{-3}$ and $10^{-5}$, respectively. The labels in the plot refer to different amounts of metals, for individual metal number fractions of $10^{-3}$ (solid line), $10^{-4}$ (long-dashed line), $10^{-5}$ (short-dashed line) and $10^{-6}$ (dotted line).

Figure 5. Abundances as a function of redshift. The solid lines refer to the abundance evolution in a flat CDM universe with $h = 0.67$, $\Omega_{{\text{m}}} = 1$, $\Omega_{{\text{b}}} = 0.037$; the dotted lines refer to H$_2$ and HD evolution in a ΛCDM model with $h = 0.73$, $\Omega_{{\text{m}}} = 0.237$, $\Omega_{{\Lambda}} = 0.763$ and $\Omega_{{\text{b}}} = 0.041$. The evolution of the number fractions for the different species is plotted in Fig. 5; the electron abundance is given from charge conservation of neutral plasma and is normally very close to the H$^+$ value, this being the dominant ion. These results are in very good agreement with those of Galli & Palla (1998).

In our set of reactions, due to the low initial gas temperature, the collisions are inefficient to ionize helium. The inclusion of HeH$^+$ creation

$$\text{He} + \text{H}^+ \rightarrow \text{HeH}^+ + \gamma \quad (31)$$

contributes to rise H$_2^+$ abundance mainly via reaction

$$\text{HeH}^+ + \text{H} \rightarrow \text{He} + \text{H}_2^+ \quad (32)$$

and weakly decrease the H$^-$ number fraction via

$$\text{HeH}^+ + \gamma \rightarrow \text{He} + \text{H}^+, \quad (33)$$

$$\text{H}^+ + \text{H}^+ \rightarrow 2\text{H}, \quad (34)$$

where $\gamma$ indicates the photons. Because of the very low HeH$^+$ abundance reached, there is no substantial He atom abundance evolution.

Another caveat to take into account is the lack of reactions between D$^+$ and free electrons which would destroy the deuterium ions more efficiently, but without altering significantly the global amount of HD formed. We note also the exponential decay of D$^+$ due to the rate coefficient of equation (5) and the freezing out of H$^+$, H$_2$, D and HD number fractions.

As a comparison, we also plot (dotted lines) the H$_2$ and HD abundance evolution in a flat Λ cold dark matter (ΛCDM) model having $h = 0.73$, $\Omega_{{\text{m}}} = 0.237$, $\Omega_{{\text{b}}} = 0.763$, $\Omega_{{\Lambda}} = 0.041$ (Spergel et al. 2006). The slight increment observed is due to the fact that in the CDM cosmology the baryon fraction is about 4 per cent, making the interactions among different species rarer than that in the ΛCDM model, for which the baryon fraction is about 17 per cent. In addition, the cosmological constant is dominant only at redshifts below 1. The evolution of the other species is similar in both cosmologies.
3.2 Cosmic structure formation

To test the behaviour of the code in simulations of structure formation and evolution and the impact of HD, we run a cosmological simulation with the same properties and cosmology as in Maiolino et al. (2006). The main difference here is the addition of HD chemistry. We adopt the concordance $\Lambda$CDM model with $h = 0.7$, $\Omega_m = 0.3$, $\Omega_b = 0.04$, $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.7$; the power spectrum is normalized assuming a mass variance in an 8 Mpc $h^{-1}$ radius sphere, $\sigma_8 = 0.9$, and the spectral index is chosen to be $n = 1$. We sample the cosmological field (in a periodic box of 1 Mpc comoving side length) with 324$^3$ dark matter particles and the same number of gas particles, having a mass of about 1040 and 160 M$_\odot$, respectively. The comoving Plummer-equivalent gravitational softening length is fixed to 0.143 kpc. This allows to resolve haloes with mass of about $10^9$ M$_\odot$. The simulation starts at $z = 100$ and is stopped at $z \sim 21$.

We include the reactions involving $e^-$, H, H$^+$, He, He$^+$, He$^{++}$, H$_2$, H$_2^+$, H$^-$, D, D$^+$ and HD (here we neglect He$^{++}$, as it has no significant effects on the simulation) and compare the results with those of Maiolino et al. (2006), whose $\Lambda$CDM simulation has the same features, but the chemical set does not follow the evolution of D, D$^+$ and HD and does not include H$_2$ cooling.

To quantify the differences between the two runs and the efficiency of the HD cooling, we calculate the gas clumping factor, $C$, in the simulation box, in the following way:

$$C = \frac{\sum_i m_i \rho_i \sum_j m_j \rho_j^{-1}}{\left( \sum_i m_i \right)},$$

where for each SPH particle, $i$, we indicate with $m_i$ its mass and with $\rho_i$ its mass density; the indices run over all the gas particles. For the sake of comparison, we calculate $C$ using only particles with density below a given overdensity threshold, $\delta_m$, and we make $\delta_m$ vary in the range [100, 500].

The results are plotted in Fig. 6 for both simulations. We see that the inclusion of HD makes the clumping factor increase at all redshifts, almost independently from the density threshold. This means that the gas is, on average, denser and more clumped, with an increment of about 10 per cent at a redshift $\sim 22$.

3.3 Cluster

So far, we have assumed either primordial gas with no metal pollution (previous test case) or a pre-defined metallicity to demonstrate the effect of the presence of metals on the cooling function at low temperature, as in Section 2.3. Now, we are going to couple our cooling function with a model for the chemical enrichment and test this implementation within a simulation that follows the formation of a cluster. In addition to testing the validity of our implementation, although there are no significant changes for the intracluster medium (ICM) to be expected, it is of interest to check whether there are regions inside the simulations where the polluted medium is cooling below 10$^4$ K due to its metal content.

The ‘zoomed initial condition technique’ (Tormen, Bouchet & White 1997) is used to extract from a dark matter only simulation with a box-size of 479 Mpc $h^{-1}$ (we adopt a $\Lambda$CDM cosmology with $H_0 = 70$ km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$, $\sigma_8 = 0.9$, $\Omega_m = 0.7$, $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.3$ and $\Omega_b = 0.04$) a smaller region and to re-simulate it at higher resolution introducing also gas particles. The cluster evolution is simulated with about $2 \times 10^6$ particles. The comoving Plummer-equivalent gravitational softening length is 5 kpc $h^{-1}$. At redshift zero, the selected cluster has a virial mass of about $10^{14}$ M$_\odot$ $h^{-1}$, a virial radius of about 1 Mpc $h^{-1}$ and a virial temperature of $2 \times 10^4$ K (for more details see Dolag et al. 2004).

We start the simulation with no metallicity content. The metal abundances are then consistently derived (as in Tornatore & Borgani 2007) following the star formation history of the system, accounting for the lifetime of stars of different mass (Padovani & Matteucci 1993) distributed according to a Salpeter IMF and adopting appropriate stellar yields: we use those from Woosley & Weaver (1995) for massive stars (SNeII), van den Hoek & Groenewegen (1997) for low- and intermediate-mass stars and Thielemann et al. (2003) for SNeLa. The underlying subresolution model for star formation in multiphase interstellar medium (Springel & Hernquist 2003) includes a phenomenological model for feedback from galactic ejecta powered by the SNII explosions, where we have chosen the wind velocity to be 480 km s$^{-1}$. As we are only interested to test the effect of the metals, we exclude H$_2$, HD and He$^+$ chemistry and consider only atomic cooling from collisional excitations of hydrogen and helium. Once the medium gets polluted with metals, their contribution is added. For the metal cooling of the gas above $10^4$ K, Sutherland and Dopita tables (Sutherland & Dopita 1993) are used. At lower temperatures, the fine structure transitions from OI, CI, SiII and FeII are included as discussed in the previous sections.

Fig. 7 shows the cooling diagram of our simulation at redshift $z = 0$; each SPH particle is represented by a point. In the plot, different areas can be identified. The one at high temperatures (bottom right-hand panel) represents the hot ICM. When the ICM starts to get denser, cooling gets more efficient: the corresponding gas particles are represented by the points belonging to the upper branch of the cooling function and they are brought to lower and lower temperatures. Feedback from the star formation partially pushes some of them away from the cooling curve to slightly higher temperatures. Below $10^5$ K, only particles which are metal-enriched can further cool down to about 300 K, while gas particles with primordial composition are stacked at $10^4$ K. The three-pointed stars refer to particles within twice the virial radius and with a temperature below 8000 K, indicating that this region of the $T$–$\Lambda$ space is also populated by gas associated with the galaxy cluster.
feedbacks are represented by points in the central right-hand side ($\rho$ higher than about $5 \times 10^{-25}$ g cm$^{-3}$, and $T \sim 10^{4}$ K). The main effect of our metal-cooling implementation is to lower the temperature of the dense medium, generating the sharp triangular area visible in the $\rho$--$T$ space, at $T < 10^{4}$ K and $\rho > 10^{-26}$ g cm$^{-3}$. The points at very low densities are associated with diffuse metal-free gas; this suggests that the spread in the cooling diagram of Fig. 7 at temperature lower than $10^{4}$ K is mainly due to different fractional metal-enrichment of the particles, rather than due to their different densities.

As already mentioned, global properties of the ICM and star formation are not significantly changed compared to the reference run without the metal line cooling from fine structure transitions. This happens because the simulation was merely meant to be a test case of the implementation of metal line cooling below $10^{4}$ K under realistic conditions, but the haloes resolved are large enough to cool and form stars without the aid of such cooling. In order to investigate in more detail the effects of the additional cooling by molecules and metals at low temperatures on the ICM and the star formation, higher resolution simulations are needed. However, this opens interesting grounds for further investigations on the interplay between formation of small objects, with virial temperatures in the range of interest for our extended cooling function, and metal pollution from first stars.

4 CONCLUSIONS

In order to understand structure formation and evolution, a detailed study of the chemical and cooling properties of baryonic matter is needed.

In this paper, we have presented time-dependent calculations of the cooling properties of a gas in a 'low temperature' regime, using the contributions of several chemical species and we have tested the effect on cosmic structure evolution. Hydrogen-derived molecules are effective in cooling metal-free gas below a temperature of $\sim 10^{4}$ K, the typical temperature range of primordial objects. On the other hand, when the medium is polluted by material expelled from stars (via SN explosions, mass losses in AGB phase and winds), metals are expected to become the main coolants.

For these reasons, we have extended previous 'non-equilibrium' calculations (Yoshida et al. 2003) in order to include in the numerical code GADGET-2 (Springel et al. 2001; Springel 2005), the deuterium chemistry and follow the formation/destruction of HD molecules. This, together with molecular hydrogen, is able to cool down the gas at $T < 10^{4}$ K. Thanks to its permanent electric dipole moment, HD could allow cooling even below $10^{2}$ K (Yoshida et al. 2006).

Other molecules are not very significant for the gas cooling properties.

The treatment of metal cooling at $T \geq 10^{4}$ K is included using the tables provided by Sutherland & Dopita (1993), while the contribution from fine structure transitions of oxygen, carbon, silicon and iron at $T < 10^{4}$ K has been included by computing the populations of the levels, for each species, using the detailed balancing principle. More in particular, we have assumed that the UV radiation coming from the parent star ionizes carbon, silicon and iron, while oxygen remains neutral as its first ionization potential is higher than 13.6 eV. We deal with the gas radiative losses computing the detailed balancing populations of the levels due to collisional excitations arising from hydrogen and electron impacts. The cooling follows the level de-excitations. The electron impact excitations are also included, as a residual electron fraction of about $10^{-4}$ survives in the

Figure 7. Distribution of the particles of a cluster simulation in the $T$--$A$ space. The hot and thin ICM populates the bottom right-hand area. The particles within collapsed objects, which represent very dense regions of the simulation, populate the high-temperature cooling function (upper branch). In addition, metal-enriched particles undergo metal-line cooling in the low-temperature regime (below $\sim 10^{4}$ K). The three-pointed star symbols correspond to particles which are located within twice the virial radius of the cluster and have a temperature lower than 8000 K.

Figure 8. Distribution of the particles of a cluster simulation in the phase diagram. The hot and thin ICM populates the central left-hand area of the plot, while the dense and cooled regions of the simulation are represented in the lower right-hand part. Particles heated by feedback effects are represented by points in the central right-hand side. The three-pointed star symbols correspond to metal-enriched particles which are located within twice the virial radius of the cluster and have a temperature lower than 8000 K. We remind that the critical density of the Universe at present is $\rho_{0, cr} \simeq 1.9 \times 10^{-29}$ $\rho_{2}$ g cm$^{-3}$.

The corresponding phase diagram is shown in Fig. 8. The three-pointed star symbols are the same as in Fig. 7. The hot and thin ICM populates the central left-hand area of the plot, while the dense and cool regions occupy the lower right-hand part. Particles heated by
post-recombination epoch and higher values are reached during the reionization process.

On the whole, we are now able to follow the evolution of e−, H, H+, He, He++, H2, H+, D, D+, HD, HeH+, O, C+, Si+, and Fe++; so, the code is suitable to deal both with primordial and with metal-enriched gas.

We have checked the validity of our scheme by comparing the results of some test runs with previous calculations of molecule abundance evolution, finding excellent agreement.

We have also investigated the relevance of HD and metal cooling in some specific cases.

Adding the deuterium chemistry and HD contribution to the cooling function in simulations of structure formation results in a higher clumping factor of the gas, that is, clouds are slightly denser and more compact, at high redshifts, with respect to the case when only H, He and H2 cooling is considered. The difference is about 10 per cent at $z \approx 2$.

For what concerns the role of metal cooling at $T < 10^4$ K, we have shown that their presence is relevant in this temperature regime. In particular, in the cluster simulations we have run, fine structure transitions can actually cool the local temperature down to $10^2–10^3$ K.

In conclusion, we have implemented in GADGET-2, the most-relevant features of gas cooling, in both pristine and polluted environments, for the temperature range $2.7–10^9$ K. We find that HD cooling has some influence on the high-redshift gas-clumping properties, while low-temperature metal cooling has a significant impact on the formation and evolution of cold objects. In addition to investigating the above topics, this implementation can be used to study the detailed enrichment history of the IGM and its possible interplay with the transition between a primordial, massive star formation mode and a more standard one.
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APPENDIX A: CHEMICAL RATES

We consider the following set of equations involving HD creation and destruction:

\[ H_2 + D \rightarrow HD + H, \]  
\[ H_2 + D^+ \rightarrow HD + H^+, \]  
\[ HD + H \rightarrow D + H_2, \]  
\[ HD + H^+ \rightarrow D^+ + H_2, \]  
\[ H^+ + D \rightarrow H + D^+, \]  
\[ H + D^+ \rightarrow H^+ + D. \]

We use the rate coefficients from Wang & Stancil (2002):

\[ k_{HD,1} = 9.0 \times 10^{-11} \mathrm{s}^{-1} \times \frac{\mathrm{cm}^3}{\mathrm{T}}, \]  
\[ k_{HD,2} = 1.6 \times 10^{-9} \mathrm{cm}^3 \mathrm{s}^{-1}, \]  
\[ k_{HD,3} = 3.2 \times 10^{-11} \mathrm{s}^{-1} \times \frac{\mathrm{cm}^3}{\mathrm{T}}, \]  
\[ k_{HD,4} = 10^{-9} \mathrm{s}^{-1} \times \frac{\mathrm{cm}^3}{\mathrm{T}}, \]  
and from Savin (2002):

\[ k_{HD,5} = 2 \times 10^{-10} \frac{T^{0.402} \mathrm{e}^{-37.1/T} - 3.31 \times 10^{-17} T^{1.48}}{\mathrm{s}^{-1}}. \]

We consider the main equations for HeH\(^+\) formation and evolution (Galli & Palla 1998), namely,

\[ \mathrm{He} + H^+ \rightarrow \mathrm{HeH}^+ + \gamma, \]  
\[ \mathrm{HeH}^+ + \mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{He} + \mathrm{H}_2^+, \]  
\[ \mathrm{HeH}^+ + \gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{He} + \mathrm{H}^+, \]

and the rates from Roberge & Dalgarno (1982):

\[ k_{\mathrm{HeH}^+} = \begin{cases} 
7.6 \times 10^{-18} T^{-0.5} \mathrm{cm}^3 \mathrm{s}^{-1}, & T \leq 10^3 \mathrm{K}, \\
3.45 \times 10^{-16} T^{-1.06} \mathrm{cm}^3 \mathrm{s}^{-1}, & T > 10^3 \mathrm{K}, 
\end{cases} \]

from Karpas, Anich & Huntress (1979):

\[ k_{\mathrm{HeH}^+} = 9.1 \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{cm}^3 \mathrm{s}^{-1}, \]  

from Karpas, Anich & Huntress (1979):

\[ k_{\mathrm{HeH}^+} = 6.3 \times 10^{-11} \gamma^3.5 e^{-22750/T} \mathrm{s}^{-1}. \]

In the previous expressions, \( T \) stands for the gas temperature and \( T_r \) for the radiation temperature.

APPENDIX B: ATOMIC DATA

In the following, the atomic data adopted in this paper are provided (see also Osterbrock 1989; Hollenbach & McKee 1989; Santoro & Shull 2006). We will use the usual spectroscopic notation for many electron atoms: \( S \) is the total electronic spin quantum operator, \( L \) the total electronic orbital angular momentum operator and \( J = L + S \) is the sum operator; \( S_L \) and \( J \) are the respective quantum numbers and \( X \) indicates the orbitals S, P, D, F, . . . , according as \( L = 0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots \), respectively; \( 2J+1 \) \( X \) will then indicate the atomic orbital \( X \), with the spin quantum number \( S \) and total angular momentum quantum number \( J \); its multiplicity is equal to \( 2 J + 1 \).

In the following, we are going to discuss the models adopted for each species and the lines considered in more detailed way.

We will often use the notation \( T_{100} = T/100 \mathrm{K}. \)

(i) C II. We model C II as a two-level system considering the fine structure transition \( (2p)^2 P_{3/2} - (2p)^2 P_{1/2} \) between the quantum number \( J = 3/2 \) and \( 1/2 \) states. Data were taken from Hollenbach & McKee (1989):

\[ \gamma_{21}^H = 8 \times 10^{-10} T_{100}^{0.07} \mathrm{cm}^3 \mathrm{s}^{-1}; \]
\[ \gamma_{21}^e = 2.8 \times 10^{-7} T_{100}^{-0.5} \mathrm{cm}^3 \mathrm{s}^{-1}; \]
\[ A_{21} = 2.4 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{s}^{-1}; \]
\[ \Delta E_{21} = 1.259 \times 10^{-14} \mathrm{erg} \quad (T_{100} = 91.2 \mathrm{K}, \lambda = 157.47 \mu \mathrm{m}). \]

The cooling function is computed according to formula (23).

(ii) Si II. We model Si II as a two-level system with the fine structure transition \( (3p)^2 P_{3/2} - (3p)^2 P_{1/2} \). Data were taken from Hollenbach & McKee (1989):

\[ \gamma_{21}^H = 8 \times 10^{-10} T_{100}^{0.07} \mathrm{cm}^3 \mathrm{s}^{-1}; \]
\[ \gamma_{21}^e = 1.7 \times 10^{-6} T_{100}^{-0.5} \mathrm{cm}^3 \mathrm{s}^{-1}; \]
\[ A_{21} = 2.1 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{s}^{-1}; \]
\[ \Delta E_{21} = 5.71 \times 10^{-14} \mathrm{erg} \quad (T_{100} = 413.6 \mathrm{K}, \lambda = 34.8 \mu \mathrm{m}). \]

The cooling function is computed following formula (23).

(iii) O I. Neutral oxygen is a metastable system formed by the \((S = 1, L = 1)\) triplet and \((S = 0, L = 0, 2)\) doublet, \((2p)^4 P_{3/2} - P_{1/2} P_{3/2} - P_{1/2} D_{5/2} - S_0\), in order of increasing level, with the following excitation rates (Hollenbach & McKee 1989; Santoro & Shull 2006):

\[ \gamma_{21}^H = 9.2 \times 10^{-11} T_{100}^{0.67} \mathrm{cm}^3 \mathrm{s}^{-1}; \]
\[ \gamma_{31}^H = 4.3 \times 10^{-11} T_{100}^{0.80} \mathrm{cm}^3 \mathrm{s}^{-1}; \]
\[ \gamma_{21}^H = 1.1 \times 10^{-10} T_{100}^{0.44} \mathrm{cm}^3 \mathrm{s}^{-1}; \]
\[ \gamma_{41}^H = \gamma_{41}^e = 10^{-12} \mathrm{cm}^3 \mathrm{s}^{-1}; \]
\[ \gamma_{21}^H = \gamma_{21}^e = 10^{-12} \mathrm{cm}^3 \mathrm{s}^{-1}; \]
\[ \gamma_{21}^e = 1.4 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{cm}^3 \mathrm{s}^{-1}; \]
\[ \gamma_{31}^e = 1.4 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{cm}^3 \mathrm{s}^{-1}; \]
\[ \gamma_{21}^e = 5.0 \times 10^{-9} \mathrm{cm}^3 \mathrm{s}^{-1}; \]
\[ \gamma_{41}^e = \gamma_{41}^e = 10^{-10} \mathrm{cm}^3 \mathrm{s}^{-1}; \]
\[ \gamma_{21}^e = \gamma_{21}^e = 10^{-10} \mathrm{cm}^3 \mathrm{s}^{-1}. \]
The radiative transition probabilities are (Hollenbach & McKee 1989; Osterbrock 1989):

\[ A_{21} = 8.9 \times 10^{-5} \text{s}^{-1}, \]
\[ A_{31} = 1.0 \times 10^{-6} \text{s}^{-1}; \]
\[ A_{32} = 1.7 \times 10^{-5} \text{s}^{-1}; \]
\[ A_{41} = 6.3 \times 10^{-5} \text{s}^{-1}; \]
\[ A_{42} = 2.1 \times 10^{-5} \text{s}^{-1}; \]
\[ A_{51} = 2.9 \times 10^{-5} \text{s}^{-1}; \]
\[ A_{52} = 7.3 \times 10^{-6} \text{s}^{-1}; \]
\[ A_{54} = 1.2 \times 10^{-5} \text{s}^{-1}; \]

energy separations are derived from Hollenbach & McKee (1989):

\[ \Delta E_{21} = 3.144 \times 10^{-14} \text{erg} \quad (T_{\text{exc}} = 227.7 \text{K, } \lambda = 63.18 \text{µm}); \]
\[ \Delta E_{22} = 1.365 \times 10^{-14} \text{erg} \quad (T_{\text{exc}} = 98.8 \text{K, } \lambda = 145.5 \text{µm}); \]
\[ \Delta E_{33} = 3.14 \times 10^{-12} \text{erg} \quad (T_{\text{exc}} = 2.283 \times 10^4 \text{K, } \lambda = 6300 \text{Å}); \]
\[ \Delta E_{55} = 3.56 \times 10^{-12} \text{erg} \quad (T_{\text{exc}} = 2.578 \times 10^4 \text{K, } \lambda = 5577 \text{Å}). \]

To compute the cooling function, we solve for the five-level populations and sum over the contributions from each of them.

(iv) Fe II. We adopt a model for a five-level system including the transitions \([3d][^{6}D_{9/2} - ^{6}D_{7/2} - ^{6}D_{5/2} - ^{6}D_{3/2} - ^{2}D_{1/2}]\) in order of increasing level. For the data see also Santoro & Shull (2006) and references therein:

\[ \gamma_{21}^{\text{H}} = 9.5 \times 10^{-10} \text{cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1}; \]
\[ \gamma_{22}^{\text{H}} = 4.7 \times 10^{-10} \text{cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1}; \]
\[ \gamma_{23}^{\text{H}} = 5.0 \times 10^{-10} \text{cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1}; \]
\[ \gamma_{24}^{\text{H}} = 5.0 \times 10^{-10} \text{cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1}; \]
\[ \gamma_{31}^{\text{H}} = 5.0 \times 10^{-10} \text{cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1}; \]
\[ \gamma_{32}^{\text{H}} = 5.0 \times 10^{-10} \text{cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1}; \]
\[ \gamma_{33}^{\text{H}} = 5.0 \times 10^{-10} \text{cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1}; \]
\[ \gamma_{34}^{\text{H}} = 5.0 \times 10^{-10} \text{cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1}; \]
\[ \gamma_{35}^{\text{H}} = 5.0 \times 10^{-10} \text{cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1}; \]
\[ \gamma_{41}^{\text{H}} = 1.8 \times 10^{-6} T_{100}^{-0.5} \text{cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1}; \]
\[ \gamma_{42}^{\text{H}} = 8.7 \times 10^{-7} T_{100}^{-0.5} \text{cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1}; \]
\[ \gamma_{43}^{\text{H}} = 10^{-5} T_{100}^{-0.5} \text{cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1}; \]
\[ \gamma_{44}^{\text{H}} = 10^{-5} T_{100}^{-0.5} \text{cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1}; \]
\[ \gamma_{51}^{\text{H}} = 1.8 \times 10^{-6} T_{100}^{-0.5} \text{cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1}; \]
\[ \gamma_{52}^{\text{H}} = 10^{-5} T_{100}^{-0.5} \text{cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1}; \]
\[ \gamma_{53}^{\text{H}} = 10^{-5} T_{100}^{-0.5} \text{cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1}; \]
\[ \gamma_{54}^{\text{H}} = 10^{-5} T_{100}^{-0.5} \text{cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1}; \]

**Figure B1.** A scheme of the level models adopted for the different atoms with respective line-transition data.

\[ \gamma_{51}^{\text{SiII}} = 10^{-5} T_{-0.5} \text{cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1}; \]
\[ \gamma_{52}^{\text{SiII}} = 10^{-5} T_{-0.5} \text{cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1}; \]
\[ \gamma_{53}^{\text{SiII}} = 10^{-5} T_{-0.5} \text{cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1}; \]

we assume a fiducial normalization of \(10^{-5}\) for missing data on e-impact rates. We have checked that the level populations are almost insensitive to the adopted values.

\[ A_{21} = 2.13 \times 10^{-3} \text{s}^{-1}; \]
\[ A_{31} = 1.57 \times 10^{-3} \text{s}^{-1}; \]
\[ A_{32} = 1.50 \times 10^{-9} \text{s}^{-1}; \]
\[ A_{33} = 7.18 \times 10^{-4} \text{s}^{-1}; \]
\[ A_{34} = 1.88 \times 10^{-4} \text{s}^{-1}; \]
\[ \Delta E_{21} = 7.64 \times 10^{-14} \text{erg} \quad (T_{\text{exc}} = 553.58 \text{K, } \lambda = 25.99 \text{µm}); \]
\[ \Delta E_{32} = 5.62 \times 10^{-14} \text{erg} \quad (T_{\text{exc}} = 407.01 \text{K, } \lambda = 35.35 \text{µm}); \]
\[ \Delta E_{33} = 3.87 \times 10^{-14} \text{erg} \quad (T_{\text{exc}} = 280.57 \text{K, } \lambda = 51.28 \text{µm}); \]
\[ \Delta E_{34} = 2.27 \times 10^{-14} \text{erg} \quad (T_{\text{exc}} = 164.60 \text{K, } \lambda = 87.41 \text{µm}). \]

To compute the cooling function, we solve for the five-level populations and sum over the contributions from each of them.

A scheme of the atomic states, with wavelengths of the transitions between different levels, is given in Fig. B1.
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