Molecular line mapping of the giant molecular cloud associated with RCW 106 – II. Column density and dynamical state of the clumps
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ABSTRACT

We present a fully sampled C18O (1–0) map towards the southern giant molecular cloud (GMC) associated with the H II region RCW 106, and use it in combination with previous 13CO (1–0) mapping to estimate the gas column density as a function of position and velocity. We find localized regions of significant 13CO optical depth in the northern part of the cloud, with several of the high-opacity clouds in this region likely associated with a limb-brightened shell around the HII region G333.6−0.2. Optical depth corrections broaden the distribution of column densities in the cloud, yielding a lognormal distribution as predicted by simulations of turbulence. Decomposing the 13CO and C18O data cubes into clumps, we find relatively weak correlations between size and linewidth, and a more sensitive dependence of luminosity on size than would be predicted by a constant average column density. The clump mass spectrum has a slope near −1.7, consistent with previous studies. The most massive clumps appear to have gravitational binding energies well in excess of virial equilibrium; we discuss possible explanations, which include magnetic support and neglect of time-varying surface terms in the virial theorem. Unlike molecular clouds as a whole, the clumps within the RCW 106 GMC, while elongated, appear to show random orientations with respect to the Galactic plane.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Molecular clouds must be supported by turbulent motions in order to resist gravitational collapse and thereby explain the relatively long time-scale for star formation in the Galaxy (Zuckerman & Palmer 1974). Observations of clumpy and filamentary structures in these clouds, in qualitative agreement with numerical simulations of turbulence, provide further evidence for turbulent support. However, the simulations also indicate that turbulence dissipates quickly (within a few crossing times, e.g. Stone, Ostriker & Gammie 1998), and must therefore be continually renewed by energy injection. Given that several physical processes, acting on different scales and contributing different amounts of kinetic energy, can contribute to turbulence, the nature of the principal driving source of turbulence in molecular clouds remains a subject of active debate.

When studying the turbulent properties of molecular clouds and their possible universality, statistics which distinguish among different spatial scales are generally preferred: these include Fourier power spectra of 2D velocity slices (Lazarian & Pogosyan 2000), the delta variance (Stutzki et al. 1998), and principal component analysis (Heyer & Schloerb 1997). These techniques take advantage of the self-similar nature of turbulence to reduce its characterization to a small number of quantities, e.g. power-law indices of the energy spectrum or of the correlation between spatial scale and velocity...
displacement. A number of studies in recent years have applied these methods to atomic and molecular line data (Bensch, Stutzki & Ossenkopf 2001; Dickey et al. 2001; Brunt & Heyer 2002; Sun et al. 2006).

On the other hand, relating turbulence to star formation requires that the molecular gas data be considered as a function of position, and not only as a function of spatial scale. To facilitate such analysis, it is commonplace to decompose molecular line maps into discrete structures, usually referred to as clumps or cores, and to relate the properties of these clumps and cores to the properties of stars and star-forming units. Although several automated decomposition methods have been introduced (e.g. GAUSSCLUMPS, Stutzki & Guesten 1990; CLUMPFIND, Williams, de Geus & Blitz 1994) all are sensitive to the map resolution and to ad hoc parameters selected by the user. Papers emphasizing numerical results have tended to cast doubt on the interpretation of these decompositions (Ballesteros-Paredes & Mac Low 2002; Dib et al. 2007), yet they remain useful as a way to identify regions that are amenable to multiwavelength analysis.

Motivated by an interest in both approaches to studying molecular cloud structure, we have recently undertaken a systematic program of observing the giant molecular cloud (GMC) associated with the RCW 106 star-forming region in multiple molecular lines with the Mopra Telescope. Bains et al. (2006, hereafter Paper I) presented the initial results of the program. Applying a 2D clump-finding algorithm to an integrated 13CO \( (J = 1 \rightarrow 0) \) emission map, we found that about half of the identified 13CO clumps could not be distinguished as clumps in the 1.2-mm dust emission map made by Mookerjea et al. (2004). While some of these clumps may have fallen below the sensitivity limit of the dust map, it is possible that a significant fraction of the gas clumps were the result of integrating unrelated cloud structures in velocity.

In this paper we present our C18O \( (J = 1 \rightarrow 0) \) mapping of this region, and derive improved estimates of molecular column density across the cloud. We also quantify and discuss variations in 13CO optical depth and their implications for estimating the probability distribution function (PDF) of the column density. Finally, we revisit the structural decomposition of the cloud emission, working directly on the 3D data cubes, using the CPROPS algorithm developed by Rosolowsky & Leroy (2006, hereafter RL06). Future papers in this series will examine the power spectra of the molecular gas (P. Jones et al., in preparation) and the relationship between recent star formation and the molecular clumps (N. Lo et al., in preparation). As in Paper I, we adopt a distance to the cloud of 3.6 kpc (Lockman 1979), giving it a projected size of roughly 90 × 30 pc. Since all observed lines are \( J = 1 \rightarrow 0 \) transients, we simply refer to them hereafter by the name of the species (+13CO, etc.).

### 2 OBSERVATIONS

Observations of the C18O \( (J = 1 \rightarrow 0) \) line towards RCW 106 were made with the 22-m Mopra Telescope of the Australia Telescope National Facility (ATNF) between 2005 July 5 and September 11. A total of 46.5 × 5-arcmin\(^2\) fields were observed in two passes, with the first pass produced by scanning along the right ascension (RA) axis and the second pass along the declination (Dec.) axis. The fields observed in each pass were chosen to have some overlap, with centre positions separated by 4.75 arcmin, as for the 13CO observations described in Paper I. In addition, the field centres for the second pass were shifted by 1 arcmin in both RA and Dec. (towards the south-west) in order to further reduce sensitivity variations at the field edges. The region mapped is shown in Fig. 1, and is a subset of the region previously mapped in 13CO, which comprised 93 fields.

The observations were conducted in raster scan (’on-the-fly’) mode, with the same mapping parameters and speed as for 13CO (Paper I). Each field took ~70 min to complete, and the system temperature was calibrated every ~30 min using an ambient load. Typical above-atmosphere system temperatures were ~300 K. The pointing solution was refined after each field using observations of the nearby SiO maser IRSV 1540; typical corrections were ~5 arcsec.

The Mopra digital correlator was configured to output 1024 channels across a 64-MHz bandwidth, providing a velocity resolution of 0.17 km s\(^{-1}\). To ensure that reference and source observations were taken at the same frequency, Doppler tracking was disabled and the centre frequency was set to 109.792 GHz, which corresponded to \( v_{\text{LSR}} \approx -50 \text{ km s}^{-1} \) at the time of the observations. As in Paper I, we quote velocities in this paper relative to the local standard of rest (LSR).

Data reduction was performed using the ATNF MIRIAD, LIVE-DATA and GRIDZILLA packages.\(^1\) Following data inspection and editing, spectra were bandpass corrected using an emission-free spectrum taken at the beginning of each row at a fixed sky position \( (\alpha_{2000} = 16:27, \delta_{2000} = -1 : 30) \). A linear baseline was fitted to

---

\(^1\) http://www.atnf.csiro.au/computing/software/.

![Figure 1. C18O map integrated from \(-65\) to \(-35\) km s\(^{-1}\). Contour levels are linearly spaced starting at 7 K km s\(^{-1}\) (\(T_{\text{mb}}\)). The dashed line represents the region mapped with Mopra, while the larger solid line represents the region previously mapped in 13CO (Paper I). The Mopra beam size is shown in the lower left-hand corner. The orientation of the Galactic coordinate axes is also shown at lower left-hand corner.](image)
channels deemed to be emission-free and subtracted. The spectra were then gridded into a data cube using a 33 arcsec full width at half-maximum (FWHM) Gaussian smoothing kernel and 15 arcsec pixel scale. Approximating the Mopra point source response as a Gaussian with FWHM 33 arcsec, the final map resolution was determined to be 45 arcsec. The rms noise in the map was 0.12 mJy beam$^{-1}$ and ranging from 0.063 to 1 K; high-noise regions at the edge of the map were masked out by setting a threshold rms of 0.25 K. The resulting masked map has an rms noise of 0.11 ± 0.03 K. Fig. 1 shows the $^{13}$CO intensity integrated from −65 to −35 km s$^{-1}$, a velocity range which covers the bulk of the emission.

Since the Mopra beam response is not perfectly Gaussian, a correction factor is needed to account for flux which is scattered out of the main lobe of the primary beam. Ladd et al. (2005) derived a main-beam efficiency for the 2004 season of $\eta_{\text{mb}} = 0.42$ at 115 GHz, and an ‘extended’ beam efficiency (for sources that couple to the inner error beam) of $\eta_{\text{ex}} = 0.55$. Because the structures of interest for this paper are comparable to or larger than the size of the inner error beam (∼80 arcsec), the extended beam efficiency is used here. To determine whether there was a change in $\eta_{\text{ex}}$ from 2004 to 2005, we computed $\eta_{\text{mb}}(T_{\text{mb}}^3)$ and $\eta_{\text{ex}}$ from 2004 June towards 15 positions in the RCW 106 region is also shown, scaled down by the assumed abundance ratio of 7.4 (see Section 3.1). Aside from calibration errors, any mismatch between the profiles could be due to variations in the abundance ratio and/or to optical depth effects.

3 ANALYSIS

3.1 Opacity, excitation temperature and column density

Column densities were derived from the $^{13}$CO and C$^{18}$O data by assuming a filled beam and a uniform excitation temperature, constant to both tracers, within the beam. The first step was to derive the opacity in both lines at each ($\alpha, \delta, \nu$) volume pixel (voxel) in the data cube from the ratio of $^{13}$CO to C$^{18}$O main-beam brightness temperatures (e.g. Myers, Linke & Benson 1983):

$$T_{\text{mb}}(\text{^{13}CO}) = 1 - \exp(-\tau_{13}) = 1 - \exp(-7.4\tau_{13}),$$

$$T_{\text{mb}}(\text{C^{18}O}) = 1 - \exp(-\tau_{18}) = 1 - \exp(-7.4\tau_{18}).$$

To reduce the effects of noise, the spectra were binned to a velocity resolution of 0.68 km s$^{-1}$, and the brightness ratio was only computed when the signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) was $>5$ in the C$^{18}$O data. Voxels which failed this test, or had brightness ratios $<1$ (all such voxels were found to be consistent with noise), were assigned $^{13}$CO column densities in the optically thin limit.

Equation (1) assumes $\tau_{13} = 7.4\tau_{18}$, based on the abundance ratio $^{13}$C$/^{18}$O/$^{12}$C$^{18}$O = 7.4 estimated at a galactocentric radius of $D_{\odot} = 5.5$ kpc (Wilson & Rood 1994). Note that this value is ∼30 per cent higher than the value of $^{13}$C$^{18}$O/$^{12}$C$^{18}$O = 5.5 used by Myers et al. (1983), which assumes terrestrial abundances. Our estimate, while still quite uncertain, takes into consideration the isotopic abundance gradients in the Galaxy and the decrease in $^{12}$C$/^{13}$C since the epoch of the Earth’s formation due to CNO processing.

The excitation temperature $T_{\text{ex}}$ can then be derived from the equation of radiative transfer,

$$T_{\text{ex}} = f[J(T_{\text{ex}}) - J(T_0)][1 - \exp(-\tau)],$$

where $f$ is the beam filling factor, $J(T) = T_0/\exp (T_0/T - 1)$, $T_0 = 2.7$ K and $T_0 = h\nu/k = 5.29$ K for the 1–0 transition of $^{13}$CO. This equation was applied to the $^{13}$CO rather than C$^{18}$O data because of the higher S/N of the former. For most of the analysis we assume a filled beam, so $f = 1$. However, in cases where the emission was weak but $\tau$ was found to be significant, resulting in $T_{\text{ex}} < 5$ K, we set $T_{\text{ex}} = 5$ K and calculated the filling factor $f$ needed to account for the observed brightness temperature. Such regions of very low implied $T_{\text{ex}}$ tend to be found at the edges of the cloud (in position and velocity), where incomplete beam filling is quite likely. We also imposed a maximum $T_{\text{ex}}$ of 12, corresponding to a brightness temperature ratio of 1.25, as we cannot accurately measure higher opacities given uncertainties in telescope calibration and the abundance ratio. Such voxels were extremely rare (<0.02 per cent) and only found in the highest opacity region in the north-west part of the map.

Given $T_{\text{ex}}, \tau_{13}$ and $\tau_{18}$, the column densities of $^{12}$CO and C$^{18}$O can be derived using the expression for column density in a single rotational level (e.g. Garden et al. 1991):

$$N_J = \frac{3h}{8\pi c^2 \mu^2} \int \left[ 1 - \exp \left( -\frac{h\nu}{kT_{\text{ex}}} \right) \right] J d\nu,$$

where $J$ is the lower state of the transition and $\mu$ is the permanent dipole moment of the molecule ($\mu = 0.11$ for the lines studied here). A lower limit to the total column density $N_{\text{tot}}$ can be derived by assuming only the first two levels ($J = 0$ and 1) are populated (Frerking, Langer & Wilson 1982), while an upper limit can be derived assuming all rotational levels are thermized with the same excitation temperature $T_{\text{ex}}$. The latter assumption yields (Garden et al. 1991):

$$N_{\text{tot}} = \frac{3k}{8\pi c B \mu^2} \int \left[ 1 - \exp \left( -\frac{h\nu}{kT_{\text{ex}}} \right) \right] J d\nu,$$

where $B$ is the rotational constant.

In a significant fraction of voxels (roughly 12 per cent of the total), generally with detected but weak C$^{18}$O emission, we found $T_{\text{mb}}(\text{^{13}CO})/T_{\text{mb}}(\text{C^{18}O}) > 7.4$, resulting in optical depths which were

\[ \text{Figure 2. Average C}^{18}\text{O spectrum, in T}_{\text{mb}} \text{units, over the region shown in Fig. 1. The thick line is the }^{13}\text{CO spectrum averaged over the same region, divided by the adopted abundance ratio of 7.4.} \]
undefined in equation (1). The largest significant values for the ratio are \( \approx 14 \) and occur near \((\alpha, \delta, \nu) = (16:20:53, -50:43:55, -56)\). These high values could result from a slight mismatch in beam sizes near regions of bright emission, from differences in excitation between \(^{13}\text{CO}\) and \(^{13}\text{C}^{18}\text{O}\), or from chemical fractionation increasing the abundance of \(^{13}\text{CO}\) relative to \(^{12}\text{CO}\) and \(^{13}\text{C}^{18}\text{O}\) (Langer et al. 1980). For these cases we assumed an excitation temperature based on the \(^{12}\text{CO}\) brightness temperature as described in Section 3.2, and derived \(N(\text{C}^{18}\text{O})\) in the optically thin limit, where

\[
T_{\text{mb}} \approx \frac{1}{J(T_{\text{ex}}) - J(T_{\text{bg}})} \frac{J_{\text{bg}}}{T_{\text{bg}}} \tau.
\]

This yields (cf. Bourke et al. 1997)

\[
N(\text{C}^{18}\text{O})_{\text{thin}} = \frac{2.42 \times 10^{14} \frac{T_{\text{ex}} + 0.88}{1 - \exp(-5.27/T_{\text{ex}})}}{J(T_{\text{ex}}) - J(T_{\text{bg}})} \int T_{\text{mb}}(\text{C}^{18}\text{O}) \, dv.
\]

We also applied this procedure whenever \(\tau_{12} < 0.1\), to limit the number of poorly constrained, very high values of \(T_{\text{ex}}\). If the column densities had been calculated from \(^{13}\text{CO}\) instead, they would have been on average \(\sim 10\) per cent higher (assuming an abundance ratio of 7:4), though in some regions up to a factor of 2 higher.

In other voxels, only \(^{13}\text{CO}\) was observed or detected. Again, an excitation temperature was assumed based on \(^{12}\text{CO}\), and \(N(^{13}\text{CO})\) was derived in the optically thin limit (Bourke et al. 1997):

\[
N(^{13}\text{CO})_{\text{thin}} = \frac{2.42 \times 10^{14} \frac{T_{\text{ex}} + 0.88}{1 - \exp(-5.29/T_{\text{ex}})}}{J(T_{\text{ex}}) - J(T_{\text{bg}})} \int T_{\text{mb}}(^{13}\text{CO}) \, dv.
\]

The molecular hydrogen column density, \(N(H_2)\), was then calculated by integrating the \(^{13}\text{CO}\) or \(^{13}\text{C}^{18}\text{O}\) column density cube in velocity from \(-80\) and \(-20\) km s\(^{-1}\) assuming an \([H_2]/[^{13}\text{CO}]\) abundance ratio of \(7 \times 10^5\) (Frerking et al. 1982) and an \([H_2]/[^{13}\text{C}^{18}\text{O}]\) abundance ratio of \(5 \times 10^6\) (for consistency with our adopted \([^{13}\text{CO}/^{12}\text{CO}]\) abundance ratio). Fig. 3 shows the resulting column density maps (assuming thermalization of all \(J\) levels or only population of \(J = 0\) and 1) as well as a map showing the peak optical depth of \(^{13}\text{CO}\) at each spatial position. Note that the column density outside the region mapped in \(^{13}\text{C}^{18}\text{O}\) is more uncertain due to our lack of constraints on the opacity.

### 3.2 Default \(T_{\text{ex}}\) using NANTEN data

In order to provide an independent estimate of the excitation temperature in cases where it could not be derived from the \(^{13}\text{CO}\) and \(^{13}\text{C}^{18}\text{O}\) data directly, we made use of the \(^{12}\text{CO}\) data from the NANTEN Galactic Plane Survey. The observations and data reduction have been described by Matsunaga et al. (2001). In brief, spectra taken with a grid spacing of 4 arcmin using the 4-m NANTEN telescope (half-power beamwidth 2.6 arcmin). An acousto–optical spectrometer provided velocity coverage of 650 km s\(^{-1}\) at a resolution of 0.65 km s\(^{-1}\). The resulting map was interpolated on to the Mopra grid and \(T_{\text{ex}}\) for each voxel was derived from the peak \(T_{\text{mb}}\) at the corresponding position in the \(^{13}\text{CO}\) data cube, assuming a filled beam and optically thick CO \((\tau_{12} \gg 1)\). Where the peak \(T_{\text{mb}}(^{12}\text{CO})\) was less than 5 K, the minimum \(T_{\text{ex}} = 5\) K was adopted as above. No attempt was made to deconvolve the \(^{12}\text{CO}\) map to approximate the resolution of the Mopra data, so this method is likely to yield an underestimate of \(T_{\text{ex}}\) in regions of bright emission while an overestimate in adjacent regions. In addition, the assumption of optically thick \(^{12}\text{CO}\) in a filled beam is likely to yield too low an estimate of \(T_{\text{ex}}\), especially at the edges of the cloud, although our imposition of a minimum \(T_{\text{ex}}\) should help to reduce errors introduced by this assumption.

### 3.3 Decomposition into clumps

Paper I had noted several velocity components in the \(^{13}\text{CO}\) cube, with most of the emission centred on an LSR velocity near \(-50\) km s\(^{-1}\) (Fig. 2). In order to distinguish the main GMC emission from unrelated emission along the line of sight, we applied the ‘diluted mask’ method in the model-based CPROPS procedure of RL06. The \(^{13}\text{CO}\) spectra were binned to 0.34 km s\(^{-1}\) resolution to increase the S/N, and a mask was created by starting from a threshold contour of \(T_{\text{ex}} = 5\) K and expanding in both position and velocity to include all simply connected regions above a brightness threshold of 0.26 K (3\(\sigma\)). The resulting ‘GMC mask’ was applied to the \(^{13}\text{CO}\) cube, yielding a single continuous region encompassing 92 per cent of the emission between \(-80\) and \(-20\) km s\(^{-1}\) and extending in velocity from \(-68\) to \(-25\) km s\(^{-1}\). Of course, such a procedure does not guarantee that unrelated emission is not projected into the same velocity range. However, given that the region of interest is located somewhat away from the Galactic plane (from \(b = -0.2\) to \(-0.6\)), it seems reasonable to assume that the emission within the mask comes from a single cloud.

To decompose the GMC into discrete structures, we applied a modified version of the CPROPS algorithm to the masked data cube. Significant peaks (local maxima) in the emission were identified as voxels which are greater than all their neighbours within a \(1 \times 1 \times 1\) box, where \(l = 5\) arcmin and \(k = 3\) km s\(^{-1}\). The adopted values of \(l\) and \(k\) were chosen to reduce blending between clumps and ensure that the decomposition could be run in a reasonable amount of time. However, they may bias our analysis against detection of closely spaced clumps. The data were then contoured below each peak until the highest contour level which begins to enclose another peak; this level (which is unique to each peak) is termed the ‘merge level’. Peaks which did not lie at least 3\(\sigma\) above the merge level, or did not contain at least two beam areas of pixels above the merge level, were discarded. The resulting ‘clumps’ were defined as the regions uniquely associated with each peak (i.e. above the merge level). Unlike the original CPROPS procedure of RL06, we did not filter the list of clumps based on the change in moments when merging with adjacent clumps. Such filtering, which has the effect of merging clumps which blend smoothly into each other, drastically reduces the number of clumps (from \(~600\) to \(~30\)), and in our case leaves a great deal of substructure within each clump.

The clumps derived from CPROPS contain a relatively small fraction (\(~10\) per cent) of the total \(^{13}\text{CO}\) emission, since contour levels which enclose several peaks (blended contours) are not decomposed. As an alternative, we also performed decompositions using the ‘ECLUMP’ option in CPROPS, which applies the CLUMPFIND algorithm of Williams et al. (1994) to the previously identified emission peaks. In this case, blended contours, rather than being discarded, are assigned to existing clumps via a ‘friends-of-friends’ algorithm. This has the effect of assigning all of the flux within the GMC mask into clumps, and thus producing a ‘patchwork’ of much larger clumps. Unlike CLUMPFIND, however, the number of clumps cannot increase as one works down to lower contour levels: the initial number of peaks remains the final number of clumps.

We also ran both procedures discussed above on two additional cubes: a non-GMC \(^{13}\text{CO}\) cube, which consists of the emissio

Figure 3. Images of integrated column density and peak opacity. Left-hand side: Integrated H$_2$ column density assuming all rotational levels are thermalized. Contour levels are $6.4n^2 \times 10^{21}$ cm$^{-2}$ ($n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$). This gives an upper limit to the column density. Centre: Integrated H$_2$ column density assuming only the $J = 0$ and 1 states are populated. Contour levels are again $6.4n^2 \times 10^{21}$ cm$^{-2}$. This represents a lower limit to the column density. Right-hand side: Peak $^{13}$CO optical depth at each position in the map. Contour levels are $2.4n$ ($n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$).
between \(-80\) and \(-20\) km s\(^{-1}\) that lies outside the GMC mask, and the whole C\(^{18}\)O cube within the same velocity range. In both cubes, islands of discrete emission were identified by starting from a threshold of \(4\sigma\) in two adjacent channels and expanding to a \(\sigma\) boundary (still requiring significant emission in at least two adjacent channels). Each island was then decomposed into clumps as was done for the GMC \(^{13}\)CO emission. Although the C\(^{18}\)O cube was decomposed without the use of the GMC mask, it turned out that all but two of the resulting clumps were within the mask boundary, so effectively all of the decomposed C\(^{18}\)O emission is associated with the GMC. The non-GMC \(^{13}\)CO cube, on the other hand, contains emission which appears separated from the GMC in position or velocity, and thus may be foreground or background emission.

The final step in the CPROPS analysis is to determine basic properties (radius, linewidth and luminosity) for each clump. The radius of a clump \(R\) is proportional to \(\sigma_r\), the geometric mean of the rms sizes (second spatial moments) of the clump along the major and minor axes, with the major axis determined via principal component analysis. Following RL06, we take \(R = 1.91\sigma_r\) (as previously adopted by Solomon et al. 1987). The FWHM linewidth \(\Delta V\) is equal to \(2.35\sigma_r\), where \(\sigma_r\) is the intensity-weighted second moment along the velocity axis. The luminosity is based on the integrated flux of the cloud and the adopted distance (3.6 kpc, except for the non-GMC clumps where the near kinematic distance was used). RL06 detail procedures to correct for the sensitivity and resolution biases that affect real observational data; this involves extrapolating the major and minor axes, linewidth and flux to infinite sensitivity based on their values at various S/N levels, and then (for the radius and linewidth) subtracting the beam or channel width in quadrature. In the end we did not apply these procedures, for reasons discussed further in Section 4.3. The locations and sizes of the clumps are shown overlaid on the respective integrated intensity maps in Fig. 4.

4 RESULTS

4.1 Overall cloud properties

The total gas mass can be estimated from the \(^{13}\)CO and C\(^{18}\)O cubes separately by assuming optically thin emission and a single excitation temperature for all rotational levels. We integrated all observed emission from \(-80\) to \(-20\) km s\(^{-1}\) using the abundance ratios given at the end of Section 3.1. Adopting \(T_{\text{ex}} = 20\) K as in Paper I, and including a factor of 1.36 for helium, the resulting masses are shown in the first two lines of Table 1. Note that the \(^{13}\)CO estimate is corrected to be a factor of \(\approx 2\) higher than in Paper I, due to an error in the original calculation. Although use of \(^{13}\)CO alone may overestimate the column density in some optically thin regions, perhaps due to fractionation (Section 3.1), it underestimates the column density in optically thick regions, resulting in an overall mass estimate lower than that from C\(^{18}\)O.

The last two lines of Table 1 list the opacity-corrected masses derived by integration of the column density cube. The opacity-corrected masses, assuming population of all \(J\) levels, are only slightly larger than the masses derived in the optically thin approximation, which may reflect both optical depth effects and variations in \(T_{\text{ex}}\) that reduce the gas mass in cold regions and increase it in warm regions.

The distribution of integrated column density values over the region mapped in \(^{13}\)CO, after binning to 45 arcsec pixels to approximate the spatial resolution of the data, is shown in Fig. 5. Column densities \(<2 \times 10^{21}\) cm\(^{-2}\) have been excluded, reflecting a \(4\sigma\) sensitivity limit of 3 K km s\(^{-1}\) (\(T_{\text{mb}}\)) in the \(^{13}\)CO data. However, imposing this cut-off has little effect, as <0.5 per cent of the pixels fall below this limit. To facilitate comparison with theoretical models (e.g. Vázquez-Semadeni & García 2001), we have normalized \(N\) by its mean value and plotted it on a logarithmic scale. The optically thin, \(^{13}\)CO-based column densities (upper panel) show a distinctly asymmetric distribution with a steep decline at high column densities. The opacity-corrected values (lower panel) show a less abrupt decline towards high column densities, and the overall distribution can be well described as a lognormal function with a dispersion of 0.33 dex. Underscoring this point, the best-fitting Gaussian shows a significant improvement in reduced \(x^2\) (assuming each bin has an uncertainty of \(\sqrt{N}\)), from 12.7 to 3.3. Thus, although the opacity correction has little effect on the total mass estimate, it has a pronounced effect on the distribution of column densities, bringing them closer to a lognormal distribution.

4.2 Clump orientations and masses

As noted in Section 3.3, we decomposed the cloud into clumps using two methods: the ‘default’ method in CPROPS and the ‘ECLUMP’ method which applies a modified CLUMPFIND algorithm. Although the initial number of clumps from the two methods was the same, we then proceeded to exclude clumps which extended to the edge of the observed field, as their sizes and fluxes could not be reliably determined. Because the ECLUMP method produces larger clumps, it yielded more clumps which had to be excluded. Additional culling was then applied to remove clumps with undefined linewidths or radii, or which lay outside the GMC boundary in the case of the C\(^{18}\)O cube. As summarized in Table 2, the final numbers of clumps were 455, 83, and 135 in the GMC-only \(^{13}\)CO cube, non-GMC \(^{13}\)CO cube, and C\(^{18}\)O cube respectively. We find a large fraction (<75 per cent) of the \(^{13}\)CO clumps overlap in position–velocity space with \(^{13}\)CO clumps, although the reverse is not true, as a result of the smaller region observed in C\(^{18}\)O and the higher S/N of the \(^{13}\)CO observations. The locations, sizes and orientations of the 223 overlapping \(^{13}\)CO and C\(^{18}\)O clumps are shown in Fig. 6, indicating that when there is overlap one typically finds good agreement in terms of clump size and orientation.

Fig. 7 shows the distributions of position angles (PAs) and axis ratios for the GMC-only \(^{13}\)CO clumps, as derived using the default CPROPS method. In general the clumps show significant ellipticity, with a mean axis ratio \(a/b = 0.6\), similar to the mean value found by Myers et al. (1991) for cores within dark clouds. Since the axis lengths have not been corrected for beam smearing, the true ellipticity could be even greater. Given the filamentary appearance of the GMC, this is not unexpected. However the PAs of the clumps appear to be randomly oriented, with no clear preference to be aligned with the Galactic plane. We discuss this observation further in Section 5.4.

CPROPS provides two estimates of clump mass, a ‘luminous mass’ \(M_{\text{LUM}}\) which is simply proportional to the observed line flux, and a ‘virial mass’ \(M_{\text{VIR}}\) defined as the mass of a spherical cloud with a density profile \(\rho \propto r^{-1}\) in equilibrium between internal velocity dispersion and gravity (Solomon et al. 1987):

\[
M_{\text{VIR}} = 189 M_\odot \Delta V^2 R
= 1050 M_\odot \sigma_v^2 R,
\]

where \(\sigma_v\) is the velocity dispersion in km s\(^{-1}\) and \(R\) is the effective radius in pc (\(R = 1.91\sigma_v\)). We also calculated a ‘local thermodynamic equilibrium mass’ \(M_{\text{LTE}}\) for each clump, being the mass in the corresponding voxels of the H\(_2\) column density cube assuming...
Figure 4. Locations and sizes of the clumps derived from the CPROPS analysis. Sensitivity and resolution corrections have not been applied. The ellipse major axis is the major axis derived from the principal component analysis. (a) Integrated $^{13}$CO emission from the GMC. Contour levels are spaced by 10 K km s$^{-1}$ ($T_A$). (b) Non-GMC integrated $^{13}$CO emission. Contour levels are spaced by 3 K km s$^{-1}$ ($T_A$). (c) Integrated C$^{18}$O emission. Contour levels are spaced by 3 K km s$^{-1}$ ($T_A$).
Table 1. Estimates of cloud mass.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$^{13}$CO map region</th>
<th>$^{18}$O map region</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Optically thin $^{13}$CO, all $J$</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optically thin $^{18}$O, all $J$</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>_opacity corrected $^{13}$CO, $J = 0, 1$</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>_opacity corrected $^{13}$CO, all $J$</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All masses are given in units of $10^6 M_\odot$.

Figure 5. Histogram of normalized column densities in the RCW106 GMC, integrated from $-80$ to $-20$ km s$^{-1}$ over the region observed in $^{13}$CO. (a) Column density based on the optically thin assumption for $^{13}$CO and adopting $T_{ex} = 20$ K. (b) Column density calculated based on the optical depth analysis. In regions where only $^{13}$CO is observed, $N$ is calculated in the optically thin limit using $T_{ex}$ based on the NANTEN data. For both panels, a best-fitting Gaussian has been overplotted which represents a lognormal distribution with the dispersion indicated.

Table 2. Number of clumps found with CPROPS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$^{13}$CO, GMC</th>
<th>$^{13}$CO, non-GMC</th>
<th>$^{18}$O, GMC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Initial number</td>
<td>594</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After edge culling</td>
<td>456 (345)</td>
<td>83 (71)</td>
<td>138 (116)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After all culling</td>
<td>455 (345)</td>
<td>83 (71)</td>
<td>135 (114)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Numbers in parentheses correspond to the ECLUMP method.

(a thermalized population of $J$ levels. As Fig. 8 indicates, the relation between $M_{LTE}$ and $M_{LUM}$ is roughly linear, with only a slight non-linearity appearing at larger masses, where the luminous mass (essentially an optically thin estimate) underestimates the LTE mass by a factor of $\sim 2.5$. The virial mass, on the other hand, is likely to be a poor estimator of the mass as it relies on the assumption of virial equilibrium (Section 5.3).

The differential mass function for GMC-only $^{13}$CO clumps, using the LTE estimate, is shown in Fig. 9. Following Williams & McKee (1997), we have fitted the distribution with a truncated power law,

$$\frac{dN}{d\ln M} \propto \left(\frac{M}{M_\odot}\right)^{\beta+1} , \quad M \leq M_\odot,$$

(7)

where $\beta$ is the usual power law for the mass distribution ($dN/dM \propto M^\beta$). Guided by the fact that CPROPS can only identify peaks which lie well above the extended background emission from the GMC, we have fitted the distribution only where $\log(M/M_\odot) > 2$, which we adopt as our completeness limit; this corresponds (in the optically thin limit) to a clump with a size twice the beam area and an average column density equivalent to $I + 3\sigma$, where $I = 40$ K km s$^{-1}$ is the average integrated $^{13}$CO intensity and $\sigma = 0.7$ K km s$^{-1}$ is the rms noise in the integrated $^{13}$CO map. In other words, although we are able to detect much lower mass clumps, they would not necessarily be identified as local maxima in the cube given our adopted decomposition algorithm.

The fitted power law, weighted by the inverse variance assuming Poisson noise (see Williams & McKee 1997), has an index of $\beta = -1.68 \pm 0.05$ for a bin size of 0.2 dex. Varying the bin size between 0.1 and 0.25 dex yields power laws between $-1.6$ and $-1.75$, suggesting a slightly larger uncertainty in $\beta$ (0.08). Our derived value of $\beta$ lies within the range of values ($-1.5$ to $-1.9$) that have been...
previously derived for clumps within molecular clouds using the iterative GAUSSCLUMPS decomposition method, which models the clumps as 3D Gaussians in position–velocity space (e.g. Kramer et al. 1998; Simon et al. 2001). It is also consistent with the value of $-1.7 \pm 0.3$ derived by (Mookerjea et al. 2004) using CLUMPFIND and GAUSSCLUMPS for the RCW 106 cloud as observed in dust continuum. Recently, Reid & Wilson (2006) rederived the clump mass function in RCW 106 using the data from Mookerjea et al. (2004) and found a double power law with a slope at the high-mass end of $-2.4$; we do not see indication for such a distribution, but further study using denser gas tracers would be valuable.

The dot–dashed histogram shows the clump mass function produced using the ECLUMP method. Since this method partitions all of the cloud flux among the peaks identified by CPROPS, the resulting clump sizes and masses are significantly larger. These masses should be viewed with great caution, as the clump sizes are determined largely by the separation between peaks, which in turn was determined largely by our decomposition parameters. Note that in Paper I, we also found a relatively flat mass function when applying CLUMPFIND to the integrated $^{13}$CO map; this may be due in part to the difficulty of assigning extended emission to clumps. We believe that the steeper slope found with the CPROPS technique should be considered more robust, since extended emission has been excluded from the clump assignment process. None the less, the form of the mass function is clearly sensitive to the decomposition method employed, especially for a low-density tracer such as $^{13}$CO which shows both compact and extended emission.

4.3 Size–linewidth and mass–radius correlations

In Fig. 10 we examine, in two sets of four panels each, correlations among clump properties for the $^{13}$CO and C$^{18}$O clumps within the GMC. Horizontal and vertical dashed lines represent our resolution limits; these are given by $\Delta V = 0.34$ km s$^{-1}$ and $R = 0.64$ pc (the latter based on the effective radius for a 45 arcsec Gaussian). For each correlation we compute the slope of the OLS bisector (Feigelson & Babu 1992), which treats both variables symmetrically by determining the line which bisects the standard ordinary least-squares fits of $X$ on $Y$, OLS($X \mid Y$), and $Y$ on $X$, OLS($Y \mid X$). The quoted errors represent the range between the OLS($X \mid Y$) and OLS($Y \mid X$) slopes. The virial and LTE masses show a clear correlation (upper left-hand panels) but with considerable scatter, with the $^{13}$CO clumps tending to have larger virial masses compared to LTE masses and the
Figure 10. Left-hand panels: Correlation plots of derived clump properties for the GMC-only $^{13}$CO emission. Dotted lines are OLS bisector fits; the power-law slope is given in the upper left-hand corner. The range between OLS($X \mid Y$) and OLS($Y \mid X$) is indicated by the quoted errors. Vertical and horizontal dashed lines represent the instrumental resolution; the skewed dashed line in the luminosity–radius plot represents the sensitivity limit. Right-hand panels: Correlation plots of derived clump properties for the C$^{18}$O emission.
reverse tending to be true for the C\textsuperscript{18}O clumps. We discuss these trends further in Section 5.3.

A weak correlation between linewidth and radius appears to exist (right-hand panels), especially for the C\textsuperscript{18}O clumps, although much of the trend is driven by clumps smaller than the nominal resolution limit. The slope is poorly constrained because of the large scatter in the data points and the limited range of sizes probed. The fitted slope appears closer to 1 than to 0.5, the latter being the value derived by Solomon et al. (1987) for 273 clouds observed in CO. Similar studies of correlations for clumps within clouds (e.g. Kramer, Stutzki & Winnewisser 1996; Simon et al. 2001) also show considerable scatter. Superposition effects can strongly affect measured clump linewidths, increasing them beyond the actual (3D) linewidth for a given size scale (Ostriker, Stone & Gammie 2001).

A clear correlation between luminosity and radius is found for both samples (lower left-hand panels). While such a correlation is unsurprising, the slope of the correlation ($\gamma \approx 3.2$) appears steeper than would be expected for a constant average column density, which would yield $M \sim M_{\text{LUM}} \propto L \propto R^2$. Including the slight non-linearity between $M_{\text{LTE}}$ and $M_{\text{LUM}}$ (Fig. 8) would make the agreement even poorer. The steepness of the correlation cannot be solely due to the sensitivity limit, which follows an $L \propto R^2$ relation (dashed line). Excluding clumps based on the resolution and completeness limits does not significantly change the observed slope, although it could then be marginally consistent with $\gamma = 2$. The constant volume (rather than column) density implied by $\gamma \approx 3$ could be related to the critical density needed to excite the CO lines, which is comparable to the average densities of the clumps ($n_{\text{H}} \sim 10^{13}$ cm\textsuperscript{-3}). Assuming that gas at much higher or lower density is uncommon or poorly traced by CO, one would expect most clumps to have densities near the critical density.

Finally, we note that the luminosity and linewidth show a clear correlation (lower right-hand panels), although this relation is not independent of the previous two.

It is worth considering the sensitivity of these relations to the decomposition method employed. The left-hand side of Fig. 11 shows the correlations for the $^{12}$CO emission using the ECLUMP method. Here both the virial and LTE masses are considerably larger, presumably a result of the larger clump size. There is also an even steeper relation of luminosity with radius ($\gamma \sim 4$). At first glance this result is somewhat surprising, as it implies larger clumps have higher densities. However, it appears to reflect the tendency for smaller clumps to be found at the edges of the cloud: because of the competitive nature of how flux is assigned to peaks in this method, clumps have a tendency to ‘grow’ in size in the inner parts of the cloud, with the strongest peaks tending to collect the most mass.

One obvious concern raised by Fig. 10 is that roughly half (45 per cent) the clump radii fall below the nominal resolution limit set by the Mopra beam. This is possible because the clumps are identified as intensity peaks, and the size of those peaks above some threshold may be smaller than a beamwidth. As noted in Section 3.3, CPROPS can apply corrections for sensitivity and resolution biases, extrapolating the sizes, linewidths and fluxes to a zero noise level and then deconvolving the instrumental resolution. Unfortunately, these corrections do not appear to be reliable for the $^{13}$CO data, as the extrapolated values are poorly correlated with the raw values, and can increase the clump masses by orders of magnitude (Fig. 11, right-hand panels). Indeed, the sum of the extrapolated clump masses exceeds the total GMC mass by a considerable margin (factor of 1.3–1.6). Deconvolving the beam without extrapolation excludes many clumps as unresolved, as indicated by the resolution limit in Fig. 10, but the resulting correlations between properties are largely the same, except that the luminosity–radius correlation has a slope closer to the constant column density case ($\gamma \sim 2$) due to a decrease in size of the smallest clumps.

We conclude, in agreement with Simon et al. (2001), that the classical scaling relations for molecular clouds (e.g. Solomon et al. 1987) are not easily revealed by studies of substructure within clouds, since CO observations probe a limited range of clump size and density. Subsequent studies making use of molecular lines of higher critical density or dust extinction, both of which can better isolate high-density peaks, should be able to demonstrate these relations more clearly.

4.4 Regions of highest opacity

As Fig. 3 indicates, the regions of highest opacity in the map are not necessarily the regions that have highest $^{13}$CO integrated intensities. This is not surprising, as high optical depths generally require narrow linewidths as well as significant column density. The highest optical depths are found in the northern part of the map, in a ring-like structure surrounding the luminous H\textsc{ii} region G333.6–0.2. Fig. 12 shows a 5.8-\textmu m image of this region as observed by the Spitzer Space Telescope as part of the GLIMPSE survey (Benjamin et al. 2003). This H\textsc{ii} region has been estimated by Fujiiyoshi et al. (2005) to be between 10\textsuperscript{5} and 10\textsuperscript{6} yr old, based on the lack of methanol maser emission (Caswell 1997) and the presence of O8V stars. The ring, although clumpy, may represent a limb-brightened shell driven by stars within the H\textsc{ii} region. At a projected distance of $\sim 9$ arcmin ($\sim 9$ pc) from G333.6–0.2, an expansion velocity of $\sim 10$ km s\textsuperscript{-1} would be adequate for the shell to reach its current size within 10\textsuperscript{5} yr.

As shown in Fig. 12, several of the high-opacity clouds appear fainter than the background emission in the GLIMPSE image, confirming that they are cold and dense enough to be seen in absorption. Given recent interest in these ‘infrared (IR) dark clouds’ as sites of star formation (Rathborne, Jackson & Simon 2006; Simon et al. 2006), a more detailed study of this complex would be worthwhile.

5 DISCUSSION

5.1 Uncertainties in column density

One limitation of this analysis is the lack of an independent constraint on the kinetic (and thus excitation) temperature at the resolution of the Mopra data. Pointed $^{12}$CO observations by one of us (BM) suggest peak $T_{\text{mb}}^{12}$CO values of up to 20–30 K, which translates to 40–60 K ($T_{\text{mb}}$). Such brightness is roughly a factor of 2 larger than the corresponding peak $^{13}$CO brightness temperatures seen in the NANTEN data. In the optically thin approximation, the column density for a thermalized population increases by roughly a factor of $\sim 5$ for $T_{\text{mb}}$ increasing from 10–100 K. Thus we underestimate the column density by at most a factor of $\sim 2$ in the warmer regions. With a new spectrometer that is capable of observing $^{12}$CO, $^{13}$CO, and C\textsuperscript{18}O simultaneously, future Mopra observations should be able to better constrain the variation in $T_{\text{mb}}$ across clouds. Even with matched resolution observations, however, there would remain some ambiguity about whether the same material was being traced by $^{12}$CO, given its high optical depth.

An even greater limitation in warmer regions of the cloud is the use of the LTE approximation, especially in populating the observed higher rotational levels. Direct measurements of higher $J$
Figure 11. Left-hand panels: Correlation plots of derived clump properties for the GMC-only $^{13}$CO emission, using the ECLUMP method. Dotted and dashed lines are as per Fig. 10. Right-hand panels: Comparison between original values, using the default decomposition method, with the extrapolated values derived by CPROPS. Extrapolation increases all values significantly, but adds substantial scatter.
transitions are still required to test the LTE assumption. In nearby globules, Harjunpää et al. (2004) find that the LTE approximation overestimates column densities by 10–30 per cent, due to subthermal excitation of the higher rotational levels. In massive GMCs like RCW 106, where overall temperatures are likely to be higher, the total column density becomes even more sensitive to the column densities in excited rotational states, and thus the uncertainty in extrapolating from \( N(J=0) \) is likely to become even more significant. On the other hand, volume densities are also likely to be higher, which may help to thermalize the higher \( J \) transitions. Future observations with submillimetre telescopes such as NANTEN2 will be important in determining whether a non-LTE approach is required.

Finally, uncertainties and possible variations in the \(^{13}\text{CO}/^{18}\text{O} \) abundance ratio will affect the inferred opacity and column density values. As noted in Section 3.1, about 12 per cent of the voxels have \(^{13}\text{CO}/^{18}\text{O} \) intensity ratios exceeding our adopted abundance ratio of 7.4. Unusually high abundances of \(^{13}\text{CO} \) relative to other CO isotopomers have been noted in the outskirts of molecular clouds (Dickman, McCutcheon & Shutier 1979; Langer et al. 1980), and have been considered to result from chemical fractionation, in which isotopic exchange reactions (\(^{12}\text{CO} + ^{12}\text{CO} \leftrightarrow ^{12}\text{CO} + ^{13}\text{CO} \)) favour \(^{13}\text{CO} \) at low temperatures (Watson, Anicich & Huntress 1976; Langer 1977). For the isotopic exchange to be effective, the medium must be partially irradiated by UV photons (yielding a significant abundance of ionized carbon) whilst still being cold enough to suppress the reverse exchange reaction. Both conditions could be fulfilled at the edges of a cloud. Fig. 13 shows how the LTE column density (cf. equations 1, 2, 4) varies for \(^{13}\text{CO}/^{18}\text{O} \) abundance ratios ranging from 5 to 10, assuming \( T_{\text{ex}}(^{13}\text{CO}) = 5 \text{ K} \), \( T_{\text{ex}}(^{18}\text{O}) = 1 \text{ K} \), and a default \( T_{\text{ex}} = 20 \text{ K} \) (in the optically thin limit). Whereas \( \tau_{13} \) is very sensitive to the adopted abundance ratio, the column density \( N(^{13}\text{CO}) \) is much less so, as a result of the anticorrelation between \( \tau \) and \( T_{\text{ex}} \) for a given observed brightness temperature.

We conclude that errors in the column density of a factor of \( \gtrsim 2 \) could quite plausibly result from uncertainties in excitation temperature, isotopic abundance ratio, and the LTE approximation, with the greatest uncertainties in warmer regions or cloud edges, where the column density may be underestimated.

### 5.2 The PDF of the column density

The PDF of the volume density in a turbulent medium is well described by a lognormal distribution, provided that the medium is approximately isothermal (Vázquez-Semadeni 1994; Padoan, Nordlund & Jones 1997; Passot & Vázquez-Semadeni 1998). Even if the turbulence is not strictly isothermal, the PDF should remain close to lognormal, developing a quasi-power-law tail (Passot & Vázquez-Semadeni 1998). However, volume densities are difficult to infer observationally, requiring observations of several \( J \) transitions to be interpreted within the context of a radiative transfer model. In order to facilitate comparison with observations, Ostriker et al. (2001) and Vázquez-Semadeni & García (2001) have investigated the PDF of the column density in molecular cloud models. For the isothermal case, the column density PDF remains lognormal, at least until the line-of-sight depth becomes much larger than the autocorrelation function of the density field (Vázquez-Semadeni & García 2001). Our observation of a lognormal column density PDF lends support to the view that this form of the PDF is a generic consequence of interstellar turbulence.

Recently, Lombardi, Alves & Lada (2006) have investigated the column density PDF in the Pipe nebula, based on near-IR extinction towards background stars. They also find an approximately lognormal PDF, although there are additional peaks in the distribution, some of which may be related to additional clouds along the line of sight. Comparing their results with previous \(^{12}\text{CO} \) mapping, they find that \(^{12}\text{CO} \) fails to trace very low column densities (due presumably to UV dissociation) and very high column densities (due presumably to saturation or depletion). Ridge et al. (2006) also find that near-IR extinction yields a much closer match to a lognormal PDF than \(^{12}\text{CO} \) and \(^{13}\text{CO} \) line intensities in Ophiuchus and Perseus. Our ability to recover a lognormal distribution from observations of CO isotopomers is likely a reflection of three effects: (1) we correct for optical depth, whereas previous studies have tended to ignore opacity; (2) our observations are confined to largely molecular regions where \(^{13}\text{CO} \) is likely tracing the bulk of the gas mass and (3) the GMC contains many active H II regions, where depletion of CO on to grains is probably less important than in nearby dark clouds. Thus, the approach we outline here may be useful for...
studying the column density PDF in clouds near the Galactic plane where extinction-based methods face greater difficulties.

5.3 Dynamical state of the clumps
A commonly used indicator of the dynamical state of clumps is the virial parameter (Bertoldi & McKee 1992),

$$\alpha = \frac{5\sigma^2 R}{GM} = \frac{M_{\text{vir}}}{M_{\text{LTE}}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

which measures the ratio of kinetic to gravitational energies. For $\alpha > 1$, the clump must be confined by external pressure in order to survive as a long-lived entity, whereas $\alpha \sim 1$ indicates that the clump is close to self-gravitating. Bertoldi & McKee (1992) found that for $\alpha > 1$ (pressure-confined clumps), the virial parameter correlates with cloud mass as approximately $\alpha \propto M^{-2/3}$.

Fig. 14 shows plots of $\alpha$ versus $M_{\text{LTE}}$ for the GMC-only $^{13}$CO clumps, the non-GMC $^{13}$CO clumps, and the C$^{18}$O clumps. All show a trend of decreasing $\alpha$ with $M$ that is roughly consistent with the slope of $-2/3$ predicted by Bertoldi & McKee (1992). Somewhat unexpectedly, however, the more massive clumps within the GMC, particularly the C$^{18}$O clumps, show values of $\alpha \ll 1$, and thus seem unable to support themselves against gravity. By contrast, the non-GMC clumps, which we identify with foreground or background Galactic gas, appear to have lower masses and to be either pressure supported or marginally self-gravitating.

The low values of $\alpha$, down to $\sim 0.1$, are also puzzling given that studies of clumps within other clouds typically find very few clumps with $\alpha \lesssim 1$ (Kramer, Stutzki & Winnewisser 1996; Heyer, Carpenter & Snell 2001; Simon et al. 2001). One possibility is that we are overestimating the gas mass by assuming thermalization of all $J$ levels. However, Table 1 suggests that this can at most explain a factor of 2 discrepancy, and it would be counteracted by our likely underestimate of the excitation temperature (Section 5.1). A second possibility is that we underestimate the virial mass because we measure the size and linewidth of each clump only near the emission peak, rather than extrapolating to infinite sensitivity. However, such extrapolation increases $M_{\text{vir}}$ and $M_{\text{LTE}}$ as well, and thus appears to have little net effect on $\alpha$. A third possibility is that we overestimate the distance, since $\alpha \propto D^{-1}$. Since we adopt the near kinematic distance, however, it seems unlikely that the molecular complex can be much nearer. While none of these effects seem able to explain the low $\alpha$ values alone, a combination of them might be a viable solution.

If values of $\alpha \ll 1$ are not an artefact of our analysis technique, then there must be additional terms in the virial equation that are counteracting gravity. For instance, there may be a significant degree of magnetic support for the clumps, as suggested by Bot et al. (2007) for clouds in the Small Magellanic Cloud with similarly low values of $\alpha$. In this scenario, one would still need to explain why the $\alpha-M$ relation shows a smooth variation from the pressure-confined regime ($\alpha > 1$) to the magnetically supported regime ($\alpha < 1$), and how a strong degree of magnetic support is maintained in the most massive clumps, where ambipolar diffusion should be most effective because of lower ionization fractions in more shielded regions of the cloud. In addition, as noted below (Section 5.4), the axis ratios of the clumps are not consistent with an oblate population, as would be expected in the simplest magnetically supported models.

Alternatively, Dib et al. (2007) have criticized the use of the virial parameter to assess the dynamical state of clumps and cores, because of its neglect of the surface terms in the virial equation, which represent the exchange of energy between a region and its environment. A useful implication is the notion of turbulent pressure, which is generally assumed to provide support against gravity, yet turbulent compression might plausibly act to accelerate collapse. The net effect of turbulent motions depends sensitively on the surface kinetic energy term, which is difficult to constrain observationally. The simulations of Dib et al. (2007) show $\alpha \propto M^{-0.6}$ even for $\alpha < 1$. Yet many of the simulated clumps with $\alpha < 1$ are not truly bound, i.e. they have positive total energy, and are thus likely to be transient. This is especially true of the lower density regions that would be probed by CO. In future work, we plan to investigate the $\alpha-M$ relation for clumps identified in tracers of higher gas densities to test this possibility.

5.4 GMC velocity gradient and clump elongations
Paper I had noted a velocity gradient across the cloud of $\sim 0.2 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ arcmin$^{-1}$ by inspection of position–velocity slices. We measured the gradient to be $0.13 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ arcmin$^{-1}$ by fitting a plane to an image of the intensity-weighted first moment along the velocity axis. Since 1 arcmin $\approx 1 \text{ pc}$ at our adopted distance, this is consistent with the typical velocity gradients, projected on the plane of the sky, of $0.15 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ arcmin$^{-1}$ for molecular clouds in the Galactic ring (Koda et al. 2006). As noted by Koda et al. (2006), such gradients are

---

Figure 14. Virial parameter defined in equation (8) plotted against LTE mass for (a) $^{13}$CO emission from the GMC; (b) non-GMC $^{13}$CO emission; (c) C$^{18}$O emission. Dashed lines are OLS bisector fits. The number of clumps and slope of each fit are indicated on each plot. Note the scale of the horizontal (mass) axis differs among the panels.
substantially larger than the gradient expected from Galactic rotation (0.04 km s$^{-1}$ pc$^{-1}$). Indeed, large scale velocity gradients, inconsistent with Galactic rotation, are commonly revealed by principal component analysis of CO data cubes (Brunt 2003). These gradients may be an indication of turbulence driven on large scales, as microturbulent models have difficulty accounting for such gradients (Brunt 2003).

The observed velocity gradient (Fig. 15) has a PA of $-19^\circ$ (counterclockwise relative to north) and appears therefore somewhat misaligned with the elongation of the cloud (at PA $\sim 25^\circ$); it makes an angle of 64$^\circ$ relative to the Galactic plane, whereas the misalignment of the cloud itself relative to the plane is only $\sim 20^\circ$. Since the cloud has not been mapped completely to its edges, the orientation of the velocity gradient is not strongly constrained. None the less, an alignment of the gradient with the Galactic plane appears unlikely. This is consistent with the analysis of Koda et al. (2006), who found that molecular clouds in the inner Galaxy are preferentially elongated along the Galactic plane, but that their spin axes have random orientations. They argue that this elongation cannot be due to rotation, but that their spin axes have random orientations (Fiege & Pudritz 2000), are probably not applicable for the clumps we observe. Further support for this conclusion comes from work by Gammie et al. (2003), who applied a clump-finding algorithm to 3D simulations of magnetohydrodynamic turbulence. Their distribution of apparent axis ratios peaks around 0.5, and only 10 per cent of their clumps are oblate. However, our observations lack the spatial resolution to study the elongation of star-forming cores, where the importance of magnetic support is most controversial.

6 CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we have presented C$^{18}$O mapping of the central part of the GMC associated with RCW 106, which had been previously mapped at similar resolution in $^{13}$CO (Paper I). The $^{13}$CO and C$^{18}$O data have been used to estimate the optical depth and excitation temperature as a function of position and velocity, and thereby obtain estimates of the column density assuming LTE. In a separate analysis, we have decomposed both $^{13}$CO and C$^{18}$O data cubes into clumps using an automated decomposition algorithm and estimated the clump masses using the LTE column density cube. The principal results of this study are as follows.

(i) Correcting for opacity does not have a dramatic effect on the overall cloud mass, since regions of high opacity are restricted to compact regions. Depending on the adopted excitation temperature, assuming negligible opacity can even lead to an overestimate of the cloud mass.

(ii) Correcting the $^{13}$CO emission for opacity does broaden the distribution of column densities, which otherwise exhibits a relatively rapid fall-off at the high end. The resulting column density PDF is well approximated as a lognormal function, consistent with what is commonly found in numerical simulations of turbulence.

(iii) The regions of highest opacity are found in the northern part of the cloud, in a ring-like structure surrounding the luminous H II region G333.6–0.2. We speculate that this ring is a limb-brightened shell, $\sim 10$ pc in radius, driven by the expansion of the H II region. The shell material is cold enough to appear in absorption in mid-IR Spitzer images.

(iv) The distribution of clump masses can be approximated as a power law, $dN/dM \propto M^{-1.7}$, consistent with previous studies of clumps within molecular clouds. While this result appears sensitive to the decomposition method employed, we argue that the adopted method is less prone to assigning unrelated extended emission to the largest clumps.

(v) Positive correlations between clump size, linewidth, and luminosity are found, albeit with considerable scatter. The luminosity–radius correlation appears closer to $L \propto R^3$ than $L \propto R^2$, suggesting
most clumps have a volume density close to the critical density needed to excite CO emission. The strength and interpretation of such correlations should be revisited in future work with maps tracing higher density gas.

(vi) The virial parameter \( \alpha \), which measures the ratio of kinetic to gravitational energies, is inversely correlated with the LTE clump mass. The most massive clumps in the GMC show \( \alpha \ll 1 \), indicating that another source of support against gravity is required, possibly magnetic fields. Alternatively, \( \alpha \) may fail to capture the true dynamical state of the clumps, due to the neglect of time-varying surface terms in the virial theorem.

(vii) The clumps show mean apparent axis ratios of \( \sim 0.5 \), with no preferential alignment along the Galactic plane. On the other hand, the GMC as a whole does appear elongated along the plane, although it exhibits a large-scale velocity gradient with a different orientation.

Future studies in this series will probe the turbulent properties of the cloud using the power spectrum and related techniques, and incorporate observations of higher density tracers, as well as the young stellar population, to address directly the relationship between molecular cloud properties and star formation.
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