X-ray observations of PSR B1259−63 near the 2007 periastron passage
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ABSTRACT

PSR B1259−63 is a 48-ms radio pulsar in a highly eccentric 3.4-yr orbit with a Be star SS 2883. Unpulsed γ-ray, X-ray and radio emission components are observed from the binary system. It is likely that the collision of the pulsar wind with the anisotropic wind of the Be star plays a crucial role in the generation of the observed non-thermal emission. The 2007 periastron passage was observed in unprecedented details with Suzaku, Swift, XMM–Newton and Chandra missions. We present here the results of this campaign and compare them with previous observations. With these data we are able, for the first time, to study the details of the spectral evolution of the source over a 2-month period of the passage of the pulsar close to the Be star. New data confirm the pre-periastron spectral hardening, with the photon index reaching a value smaller than 1.5, observed during a local flux minimum. If the observed X-ray emission is due to the inverse Compton (IC) losses of the 10-MeV electrons, then such a hard spectrum can be a result of Coulomb losses, or can be related to the existence of the low-energy cut-off in the electron spectrum. Alternatively, if the X-ray emission is a synchrotron emission of very high-energy electrons, the observed hard spectrum can be explained if the high-energy electrons are cooled by IC emission in Klein–Nishina regime. Unfortunately, the lack of simultaneous data in the TeV energy band prevents us from making a definite conclusion on the nature of the observed spectral hardening and, therefore, on the origin of the X-ray emission.
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1 INTRODUCTION

PSR B1259−63 is a ~48-ms radio pulsar located in an eccentric (e ~ 0.87), 3.4-yr orbit with a Be star SS 2883 (Johnston et al. 1992). This system is known to be highly variable on an orbital time-scale in radio (Johnston et al. 2005, and references therein), X-ray (Chernyakova et al. 2006, and references therein) and TeV (Aharonian et al. 2005) energy ranges. The orbital multiwavelength variability pattern is determined by the details of the interaction of a relativistic pulsar wind with a strongly anisotropic wind of the companion Be star, composed of a fast, rarefied polar wind and a slow, dense equatorial decretion disc. The disc of the Be star in the PSR B1259−63 system is believed to be tilted with respect to the orbital plane. While the inclination of the disc is not constrained, the line of intersection of the disc plane and the orbital plane is known to be oriented at about 90° with respect to the major axis of the binary orbit (Wex et al. 1998; Wang, Johnston & Manchester 2004) and the pulsar passes through the disc twice per orbit.

The unpulsed radio emission from the system appears approximately at the moment of the pre-periastron entrance of the pulsar into the equatorial Be star disc. Within several days unpulsed radio emission sharply rises to a peak, and then slightly decreases, as the pulsar passes through periastron. The second peak is reached during the second, post-periastron disc crossing (Johnston et al. 1999, 2005; Connors et al. 2002).

ASCA observations of the PSR B1259−63 system in 1994 and 1995 have shown that, similar to the radio light curve, the X-ray light curve has two peaks around the periastron (Kaspi et al. 1995; Hirayama et al. 1999). The XMM–Newton observations of the source’s orbital X-ray light curve, with a detailed monitoring of...
the period when pulsar approaches and enters the dense equatorial wind of the Be star prior to periastron, have shown that the appearance of the unpulsed radio emission is also accompanied by a sharp rise of the X-ray flux (Chernyakova et al. 2006). The source spectral evolution has revealed an unexpected hardening of the source spectrum with the smallest value of the photon index $\Gamma_1 \approx 1.2$, and a subsequent softening on the day scale as the pulsar moves deeper inside the disc. Unfortunately, in 2004 the source became invisible for XMM–Newton just after the entrance to the disc, so that the behaviour of the source within the disc and during the second disc crossing remained unclear. In order to clarify this behaviour, we organized an intensive X-ray monitoring campaign during the 2007 periastron passage. We have monitored the source with the Suzaku, XMM–Newton, Chandra and Swift satellites during a 2-month period, which covers both the pre- and post-periastron disc crossings. The results of this campaign are discussed below.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we describe the details of the data analysis. The results are presented in Section 3 and discussed in Section 4.

## 2 OBSERVATIONS AND DATA ANALYSIS

In 2007, we were able to organize an intensive X-ray monitoring campaign of the PSR B1259–63 system with Suzaku, XMM–Newton, Chandra and Swift. The list of observations is given in Table 1, where $\tau$ denotes the number of days after the periastron passage (2007 July 27, MJD 54308) and $\phi$ is the true anomaly of the source.

### 2.1 XMM–Newton observations

In all XMM–Newton observations, the source was observed with the European Photon Imaging Cameras (EPIC) MOS1, MOS2 (Den Herder et al. 2001) and PN (Struder et al. 2001) detectors in the small window mode with a medium filter. The XMM–Newton Observation Data Files (ODFs) were obtained from the online Science Archive and analysed with the Science Analysis Software (SAS) v7.1.2. During the data cleaning, all time intervals in which the count rate in the energy band above 10 keV was higher than 1 cts s$^{-1}$ for the PN detector and/or higher than 0.35 cts s$^{-1}$ for the MOS detectors have been removed. In addition, we discarded the first kilosecond of X12 and the last 2 ks of X13 observations, as these were affected by soft proton flares.

The event lists for the spectral analysis were extracted from a 15-arcsec radius circle at the source position for the X11 MOS1 observation, and from a 22.5-arcsec radius circle for all other MOS and PN observations. We have performed spectral analysis by simultaneously fitting the data of MOS1, MOS2 and PN instruments, leaving the intercalibration factors between the instruments free.
2.2 Chandra observations

During our 2007 monitoring campaign, PSR B1259−63 was observed with Chandra (Weisskopf et al. 2000) four times (see Table 1). The first two observations (Ch1 and Ch2) were done in the Faint mode with HETG grating. In order to reduce the pile-up, only 1/8 of the S3 detector was in use, which reduces the nominal frame integration time from 3.2 to 0.4 s. The observations Ch3 and Ch4 were done in C3S3_Faint mode, because of the higher expected source flux level. This allowed us to obtain good quality results not affected by a pile-up. Similar to the first two observations, only the S3 detector was in use. In the data analysis, we used the Chandra Interactive Analysis of Observations software package (CIAO ver. 3.4) and the CALDB version 3.4.0. The tool CELLDTECT found no sources other than PSR B1259−63 in the field of view. We have used the generated region file for the spectral extraction, and collected background events from a nearby region of the same form and size. The resulting spectra were grouped to have at least 30 counts per energy bin. PSR B1259−63 showed a moderate level of activity, with an average count rate of 0.21 cts s−1 in Ch1 observations and 0.15 cts s−1 in Ch2 observation, which corresponds to a pile-up affection at the 15 and 10 per cent levels, respectively. It is worth to note that Kishishita et al. (2009) in their analysis of Chandra LS Sw4 found no sources due to the lack of statistics, we fixed the value of $\chi^2$ to 535.

3.2 The X-ray light curve

The upper panel of Fig. 2 shows the X-ray orbital light curve of PSR B1259−63 system. The figure summarizes all the available X-ray observations of PSR B1259−63 have been clearly detected in all observations with all instruments. Apart from PSR B1259−63, another X-ray binary, IGR J13020-6359 (identified with 2RXP J130159.6-635806 in Chernyakova et al. 2005), located 10 arcmin away to the north-west, is detected in the MOS2 XMM–Newton and XRT Swift fields of view. Fig. 1 shows the contour plot of XMM–Newton field of view for the X12 observation, in which both sources are visible. IGR J13020-6359 was not detected in MOS1, because some of its CCDs were turned off during the observation. This source is found to be in a low-activity state, with the flux comparable to the flux of PSR B1259−63. Table 2 summarizes the spectral parameters of IGR J13020-6359 found in our observations.

Table 2. Spectral parameters of IGR J13020-6359 during 2007 Swift and XMM–Newton observations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Set</th>
<th>$F_{\nu}$ (2−10 keV) $10^{-11}$ ergs cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$</th>
<th>$\Gamma$</th>
<th>$N_H$ $10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$</th>
<th>$\chi^2$ (dof)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sw1</td>
<td>$2.10^{+0.65}_{-0.38}$</td>
<td>$1.00_{-0.14}^{+0.18}$</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>21.969 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X11</td>
<td>$2.21_{-0.19}^{+0.11}$</td>
<td>$0.97_{-0.06}^{+0.08}$</td>
<td>2.27_{−0.12}$^{+0.18}$</td>
<td>171.08 (153)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sw2</td>
<td>$2.33_{-0.62}^{+0.07}$</td>
<td>$1.21_{-0.19}^{+0.20}$</td>
<td>2.56_{−0.40}$^{+0.46}$</td>
<td>12.28 (22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sw3</td>
<td>$1.90_{-0.64}^{+1.06}$</td>
<td>$1.44_{-0.25}^{+0.27}$</td>
<td>3.14_{−0.57}$^{+0.69}$</td>
<td>7.28 (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sw4</td>
<td>$1.83_{-0.77}^{+1.53}$</td>
<td>$1.67_{-0.32}^{+0.35}$</td>
<td>3.96_{−0.93}$^{+1.15}$</td>
<td>11.21 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X12</td>
<td>$3.4_{-0.03}^{+0.09}$</td>
<td>$0.89_{-0.02}^{+0.03}$</td>
<td>2.33_{−0.07}$^{+0.08}$</td>
<td>534.78 (422)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X13</td>
<td>$2.89_{-0.22}^{+0.10}$</td>
<td>$0.87_{-0.07}^{+0.07}$</td>
<td>2.32_{−0.14}$^{+0.15}$</td>
<td>151.03 (150)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2Pile-up affection level was calculated using PIMMS v3.9d tool, available at http://cxc.harvard.edu/toolkit/pimms.jsp. Using this tool we assumed that the emission can be described as an absorbed power law with parameters listed in Table 3.

3Due to the lack of statistics, we fixed the value of $N_H$ in the Sw1 observation.
data on the source in 1–10 keV energy range. The historical data of \textit{XMM–Newton} (X1–X10) and BeppoSAX points are taken from Chernyakova et al. (2006), and ASCA data are taken from Hirayama et al. (1999). Observations made with different instruments at close orbital phases are consistent with each other, demonstrating satisfactory intercalibration between different instruments: the points marking Sz1 and Sz2, and X11 and a \textit{Swift} observation at the phase $\theta \sim 85^\circ$ are superimposed on each other. Some of our new observations are done at orbital phases close to the ones of historical ASCA observations (e.g. Ch1). One can see that the system's orbital light curve does not exhibit strong orbit-to-orbit variations – all fluxes measured by ASCA are consistent with the ones measured 13 yr later. Our new data also confirm a local minimum at the phase $\theta \simeq 90^\circ$, first observed with \textit{XMM–Newton} during the 2004 periastron passage (X9 and X10 observations).

Stability of the orbital light curve allows us to use old and new data simultaneously while analysing the flux orbital evolution. The first (pre-periastron) entrance of the pulsar into the equatorial disc of the Be star is accompanied by a sharp rise of the X-ray flux (points X7–X9), by a factor of six in 7 d ($\Delta \theta = 13^\circ$). This period of the sharp rise of the flux is followed by a period of variability – all fluxes measured by ASCA are consistent with the ones measured 13 yr later. Our new data also confirm a local minimum at the phase $\theta \simeq 90^\circ$, first observed with \textit{XMM–Newton} during the 2004 periastron passage (X9 and X10 observations).

Figure 2. PSR B1259–63 orbital evolution of (1–10 keV) light curve (top panel), spectral index (middle panel) and hydrogen column density (bottom panel), as seen with \textit{Suzaku}, \textit{XMM–Newton}, \textit{Swift} and \textit{Chandra} during the 2007 periastron passage along with the old \textit{XMM–Newton}, BeppoSAX and ASCA observations. 1–10 keV flux of the source is given in units of $10^{-11}$ ergs cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$. Shaded area corresponds to the disc position proposed in Chernyakova et al. (2006). The solid line on the top panel is a fit with a Gaussian decay model (see text). To guide the eye, we have connected old \textit{XMM–Newton} data on the middle panel.

3.3 Spectral analysis

The spectral analysis was done with the \textsc{nasa/gspc xspec} v11.3.2 software package. In Fig. 4, the folded and unfolded spectra of PSR B1259–63 for X12, Sw3, Ch2 and Ch3 observations are shown. In order to make the figure clear, we have multiplied the observed spectra by the specified factors. A simple power-law model with photoelectrical absorption describes the data well in most observations, with no evidence for any line features. In Table 3, we present the results of the three parameter fits to the \textit{Suzaku}, \textit{XMM–Newton}, \textit{Chandra} and \textit{Swift} data.
X-ray observations of PSR B1259–63

Figure 3. Top panel: PSR B1259–63 orbital light curve (1–10 keV), as seen with Suzaku, XMM–Newton, Swift and Chandra during the 2007 periastron passage along with the old XMM–Newton, BeppoSAX and ASCA observations. 1–10 keV flux of the source is given in units of $10^{-11}$ ergs cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$. Middle panel: 2004 HESS orbital light curve. Bottom panel: collection of historical 1.4 GHz radio light curve during different periastron passages. In order to compare the orbital behaviour at different wavelength, we show with a black dash line the scaled 2004 radio curve on top and middle panels. Shaded area corresponds to the disc position proposed in Chernyakova et al. (2006).

Figure 4. Folded (top panel) and unfolded (bottom panel) PSR B1259–63 spectra during the X12 (PN data), Sw3, Ch2 and Ch3 observations. In order to make the figure clearer, we have multiplied the observed spectra by the specified factors.

in the 0.5–10 keV energy range. The uncertainties are given at the 1σ statistical level and do not include systematic uncertainties. The quality of the first two Chandra observations prevent the simultaneous determination of the spectral slope and absorption column density, so we decided to fix the latter to the value of $N_H = 4.8 \times 10^{21}$ cm$^{-2}$, consistent with the value found in XMM–Newton observations. Orbital evolution of the spectral parameters is shown in Fig. 2. The high value of the reduced $\chi^2$ for Sz3 (1.19 for 272 dof) and Sz4 (1.30 for 298 dof) observations was studied in details in Uchiyama et al. (2009). It was found that for these observations broad-band (0.6–50 keV) spectrum is much better fitted with a broken power-law model with a spectral break from $\Gamma_1 = 1.25 \pm 0.04$ below $E_{br} \sim 5$ keV to $\Gamma_2 = 1.6 \pm 0.05$ above.

3.4 Timing analysis

Within one orbit PSR B1259–63 is known to be variable at different time-scales. Apart from the orbital time-scale (several years), fast, day-scale, variability of the flux and spectral characteristic is observed during the periastron passage. Variability at time-scales much shorter than the orbital time-scale is observed in other TeV binaries. For example, the X-ray emission from the binary LSI + 61°303 is characterized by a spectral energy distribution similar to the one of PSR B1259–63 (which might point to the similar mechanism of the X-ray emission) with variability time-scales of down to ~1 ks (Sidoli et al. 2006) and possibly even shorter (Smith et al. 2009). Such short time-scale variability could be related to the clumpy structure of the wind of the Be star (Zdziarski, Neronov & Chernyakova 2008). If so, then the clumpy structure of the Be star wind should also lead to the short-time-scale variability of emission from the PSR B1259–63 system. In order to study the variability on
the short time-scales, we have analysed the light curves in the 0.3–2 and 2–10 keV energy ranges in individual X-ray observations.

Fig. 5 shows the soft and hard energy band light curves (1 ks time bins) of the three 2008 XMM–Newton observations of the source. The lower panels of this figure show the hardness ratios.

The source flux appears to be variable at the observation (∼10 ks) time-scale at least in the X11 and X12 observations. In the case of the observation X11, the best fit of the soft (hard) band light curves with a constant flux, shown in the Fig. 5, gives a $\chi^2 = 55.88$ ($\chi^2 = 42.52$) for 13 dof. The probability that the soft (hard) band flux stayed constant over the entire observation is $3 \times 10^{-7}$ ($5 \times 10^{-5}$), i.e. the constant flux hypothesis is ruled out at a $\sim 6\sigma$ (∼5σ) level.

To study the details of variability at the ∼10 ks time-scale, we arranged a long ∼50 ks continuous exposure during the observation X12. The flux of the source was stable (1.4 cts s$^{-1}$ in 2–10 keV energy range) during the first ∼30 ks of the observation and then during the following 10 ks grew up to a count rate of 1.6 cts s$^{-1}$. No sharp variations of the flux and hardness ratio on the short time-scales have been observed. No significant variations of the flux are detected in the XMM–Newton observation X13: the scatter of the data points in the light curve shown in the right panel of Fig. 5 does not exceed the $3\sigma$ limit in the soft or the $2\sigma$ limit in the hard energy bands.

A possible episode of faster (∼10 ks time-scale) flux variations was detected in the X11 observation, which is close to the moment of pulsar entrance into the disc and is in the middle of the period of strong spectral variability. In order to find the minimal variability time-scale in this observation, we have applied the structure function analysis (Simonetti, Cordes & Heeschen 1985) to the X-ray light curve.

Fig. 6 shows the structure functions calculated for the soft band light curve of X11 observation. The error bars of the structure function deviates by $3\sigma$ from the low plateau value at the time-scale $\tau \sim 3$ ks, which means that the light curve is variable at this time-scale. For comparison

Table 3. Spectral parameters for 2007 observations of PSR B1259−63.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Set</th>
<th>$\phi$</th>
<th>$F^0(1–10\text{ keV})$</th>
<th>$\Gamma$</th>
<th>$N_H$</th>
<th>$\chi^2(\text{ndof})$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sw1</td>
<td>84.1</td>
<td>$2.56^{+0.36}_{-0.31}$</td>
<td>1.65$^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$</td>
<td>0.53$^{+0.07}_{-0.06}$</td>
<td>33 (35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sz1</td>
<td>84.58</td>
<td>$2.58^{+0.03}_{-0.03}$</td>
<td>1.64$^{+0.02}_{-0.01}$</td>
<td>0.5$^{+0.02}_{-0.02}$</td>
<td>375 (354)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X11</td>
<td>86.14</td>
<td>$2.76^{+0.04}_{-0.04}$</td>
<td>1.58$^{+0.010}_{-0.010}$</td>
<td>0.478$^{+0.006}_{-0.006}$</td>
<td>1490 (1575)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sw2</td>
<td>88.3</td>
<td>$2.49^{+0.25}_{-0.22}$</td>
<td>1.56$^{+0.07}_{-0.07}$</td>
<td>0.52$^{+0.05}_{-0.05}$</td>
<td>63 (654)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sz2</td>
<td>88.10</td>
<td>$2.67^{+0.03}_{-0.03}$</td>
<td>1.58$^{+0.03}_{-0.03}$</td>
<td>0.51$^{+0.03}_{-0.03}$</td>
<td>321 (309)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sw3</td>
<td>92.6</td>
<td>$2.39^{+0.27}_{-0.24}$</td>
<td>1.3$^{+0.09}_{-0.08}$</td>
<td>0.49$^{+0.06}_{-0.06}$</td>
<td>41 (48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sz3</td>
<td>95.80</td>
<td>$2.16^{+0.03}_{-0.03}$</td>
<td>1.35$^{+0.03}_{-0.03}$</td>
<td>0.51$^{+0.03}_{-0.03}$</td>
<td>324 (272)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sw4</td>
<td>99.5</td>
<td>$2.41^{+0.27}_{-0.24}$</td>
<td>1.5$^{+0.08}_{-0.08}$</td>
<td>0.56$^{+0.06}_{-0.05}$</td>
<td>42 (53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sz4</td>
<td>102.17</td>
<td>$2.31^{+0.03}_{-0.03}$</td>
<td>1.4$^{+0.03}_{-0.03}$</td>
<td>0.52$^{+0.03}_{-0.03}$</td>
<td>387 (298)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X12</td>
<td>112.26</td>
<td>$2.54^{+0.02}_{-0.02}$</td>
<td>1.53$^{+0.005}_{-0.005}$</td>
<td>0.478$^{+0.003}_{-0.003}$</td>
<td>2792 (2543)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sz5</td>
<td>149.61</td>
<td>$1.90^{+0.02}_{-0.02}$</td>
<td>1.83$^{+0.03}_{-0.03}$</td>
<td>0.50$^{+0.03}_{-0.03}$</td>
<td>212 (249)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ch1</td>
<td>187.61</td>
<td>$1.24^{+0.06}_{-0.07}$</td>
<td>1.65$^{+0.07}_{-0.07}$</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>16 (30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sz6</td>
<td>230.02</td>
<td>$1.29^{+0.02}_{-0.02}$</td>
<td>1.73$^{+0.03}_{-0.03}$</td>
<td>0.52$^{+0.03}_{-0.03}$</td>
<td>189 (205)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ch2</td>
<td>248.87</td>
<td>$0.9^{+0.04}_{-0.11}$</td>
<td>1.4$^{+0.09}_{-0.08}$</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>23 (22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X13</td>
<td>278.57</td>
<td>$3.40^{+0.04}_{-0.04}$</td>
<td>1.64$^{+0.010}_{-0.009}$</td>
<td>0.480$^{+0.005}_{-0.005}$</td>
<td>1648 (1595)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sz7</td>
<td>279.61</td>
<td>$3.44^{+0.04}_{-0.04}$</td>
<td>1.69$^{+0.02}_{-0.02}$</td>
<td>0.50$^{+0.02}_{-0.02}$</td>
<td>406 (402)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ch3</td>
<td>289.56</td>
<td>$3.59^{+0.06}_{-0.07}$</td>
<td>1.4$^{+0.03}_{-0.03}$</td>
<td>0.48$^{+0.02}_{-0.02}$</td>
<td>187 (196)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sz8</td>
<td>300.35</td>
<td>$2.27^{+0.03}_{-0.03}$</td>
<td>1.69$^{+0.02}_{-0.02}$</td>
<td>0.46$^{+0.03}_{-0.03}$</td>
<td>283 (253)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ch4</td>
<td>308.83</td>
<td>$1.43^{+0.03}_{-0.03}$</td>
<td>1.4$^{+0.04}_{-0.04}$</td>
<td>0.48$^{+0.02}_{-0.02}$</td>
<td>193 (200)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$In units of $10^{-11}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$.

$^b$In units of $10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$.
index $\Gamma_{ph} \simeq 1.2$ was observed at the beginning of the rapid growth of the X-ray flux at the disc entrance ($\phi = 73^\circ$). Remarkably, the time of the subsequent spectral softening coincided with the time of a step-like increase of the hydrogen column density ($\phi = 83^\circ$), which can be related to the penetration of the pulsar in the dense equatorial disc. The observed hardening, along with the successive softening and sharp flux rise, was attributed by Chernyakova et al. (2006) to the injection of high-energy electrons at the disc entrance (e.g. due to the proton–proton collisions), or to a sharp decrease of the high-energy electron’s escape velocity accompanied with the modification of their spectrum by Coulomb losses. An alternative explanation of the hard X-ray spectrum observed at the disc entrance is possible within a model in which X-ray emission is produced via the synchrotron mechanism (Tavani & Arons 1997; Khangulyan et al. 2007; Uchiyama et al. 2009). In this case, hardening of the spectrum to photon indexes $\Gamma_{ph} < 1.5$ on a day time-scale can be achieved if the electron energy loss is dominated by inverse Compton (IC) scattering losses in the Klein–Nishina regime (Khangulyan & Aharonian 2005). The Klein–Nishina regime of IC scattering becomes important at energies above $E_e \geq m_e^2c^4/(2.7kT_e) \simeq 30$ GeV, where $T_e$ is the temperature of the Be star. Decrease of the IC cross-section at high energies leads to the decrease of the efficiency of the IC cooling of electrons and, as a result, to the hardening of the electron spectrum. Electrons with a power-law energy distribution $N_e \propto E_e^{-\Gamma}$ cooled in the Klein–Nishina regime form a spectrum $N_e(E_e) \propto E_e^{-\Gamma + 1} \times \left[ \ln \frac{2.7kT_e}{m_e c^2} - \frac{2.7}{\Gamma} - 0.6472 \right]^{-1}$, much harder than $N_e(E_e) \propto E_e^{-\Gamma + 1}$ in Thompson limit (Blumenthal & Gould 1970). Thus the resulting synchrotron spectrum is proportional to $e^{-\Gamma/2}$ and can be harder than 1.5.

The 2007 data did not cover the period of the entrance to the disc, so it is not possible to check if the spectral hardening preceding the flux growth repeats from orbit to orbit. Instead, the photon index $\Gamma_{ph} \simeq 1.3$ was observed in 2007 at almost the maximum of the X-ray flare associated with the pre-periastron disc passage. More precisely, the time of the hardening and subsequent softening of the spectrum in the 2007 data coincides with a local ‘dip’ in the X-ray light curve during the broad flare associated with the disc passage. No strong variations of the hydrogen column density are notable at the moment of the hardening of the spectrum. Detection of the spectral break in the X-ray spectrum of the source at $E \simeq 5$ keV in Suzaku observation, which reveals the hard state with $\Gamma_{ph} \simeq 1.3$ (Uchiyama et al. 2009), provides an additional clue for the understanding of the nature of the observed spectral hardening.

These two models of spectral hardening could be readily distinguished via multwavelength observations. In the case of the IC mechanism of the X-ray emission, the energies of electrons responsible for the X-ray emission are in the range of $\sim 10$ MeV, while in the synchrotron model the X-ray emitting electrons have multi-TeV energies. A necessary condition for the hardening of the spectrum beyond $\Gamma = 1.5$ in the synchrotron model is that the energy losses of multi-TeV electrons are dominated by the IC loss. This means that the expected TeV-band IC luminosity of the source at the moment of the spectral softening is larger than the X-ray luminosity. Unfortunately, the absence of the TeV observations simultaneous with the X-ray observations in 2007 does not allow us to distinguish between the two models.

If the hard X-ray spectrum can be explained by Coulomb losses of the $\sim 10$ MeV electrons, then the break at the $\sim 5$ keV energy, observed by Suzaku, has to be ascribed to the so-called ‘Coulomb’ break in the electron spectrum. Such a break appears at the energy $E_C$, at which the rate of the Coulomb energy loss is equal to the IC energy loss rate: $E_C \simeq 15[D/10^3\text{cm}][n_e/10^3\text{cm}^{-3}]^{1/2}$ MeV.
where \( n_e \) is the stellar wind density at a distance \( D = (10^{13} \text{ cm}) \) is a characteristic separation of the companions at orbital phases close to periastron. Electrons with the energy \( E_e \) produce IC emission in the energy band \( E_e \simeq 4(T_\gamma/3 \times 10^4 \text{ K})(E_e/10 \text{ MeV})^2 \text{ keV} \). Measurement of the break in the X-ray spectrum of the source enables an estimate of the density of the medium

\[
 n_e(D \simeq 10^{13} \text{ cm}) \simeq 10^6 \text{ cm}^{-3}.
\]

(1)

This estimate is consistent with the one expected for an equatorial disc with the radial density profile \( n_e(D) = n_e(D/R_\star)^{-3.5} \) if the disc density close to the surface of the star is \( n_0 \sim 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-3} \).

If the X-ray emission is produced via the IC mechanism, the break in the X-ray spectrum can be also related to the existence of a low-energy cut-off in the electron spectrum. Indeed, the IC cooling time of the X-ray emitting electrons of the energy \( E \) is

\[
 t_{\text{IC}}(E) = \frac{3\pi m_e^2 c^4 D^2}{\sigma_T L_e E} \simeq 6 \times 10^5 \left[ \frac{10^{38} \text{ erg s}^{-1}}{L_e} \right] \left[ \frac{D}{10^{13} \text{ cm}} \right]^2 \left[ \frac{10 \text{ MeV}}{E_e} \right] \text{s},
\]

(2)

where \( L_e \) is the luminosity of the Be star, \( m_e \) is the electron mass and \( \sigma_T \) is the Thomson cross-section. The IC cooling time is comparable to the escape time \( t_{\text{esc}} \simeq D/V \simeq 10^6[D/10^{13} \text{ cm}]/[V/10^6 \text{ cm s}^{-1}] \text{s} \) if the escape velocity is \( V \sim 10^7 \text{ cm s}^{-1} \). If the IC emitting electrons are initially injected at energies much larger than 10 MeV (e.g. as a result of the proton–proton interactions, Neronov & Chernyakova 2007), they would not be able to cool to energies below \( \sim 10 \text{ MeV} \), which can explain the deficiency of the IC emission at the energies below the \( \sim 5 \text{ keV} \) break energy.

Finally, the shape of electron spectrum below 10 MeV can also be affected by adiabatic cooling. Equating the adiabatic cooling rate \( dE_e/dt = (E_e/R) dR/dt = nV E_e/(2D) \) to the IC cooling rate \( dE_{\text{IC}} = (4/3)\pi c U_{\text{rad}} (E_e/m_e c^2)^2 \), where \( U_{\text{rad}} = L_e/(4\pi D^2 c) \) is the radiation energy density, one finds the adiabatic break energy

\[
 E_{\text{ad}} \simeq 10 \text{ MeV} \left[ \frac{D}{10^{13} \text{ cm}} \right] \left[ \frac{V}{10^8 \text{ cm s}^{-1}} \right] \left[ \frac{L_e}{10^{38} \text{ erg s}^{-1}} \right]^{-1} \text{ eV}.
\]

(3)

If the emission is produced at the distances \( D \sim 10^{13} \text{ cm} \), the observation of the cooling break at the energy \( \varepsilon \sim 5 \text{ keV} \) is consistent with the assumption that the break is produced by the influence of the adiabatic losses if the escape velocity is \( V \sim 10^7 \text{ cm s}^{-1} \), which is in agreement with the estimates of the velocity of the stellar wind in the considered range of distances (Waters, Coté & Lamers 1987).

The results of numerical modelling of the broad-band spectrum of the source within the IC scenario of the X-ray emission are shown in Fig. 8. We assume that the high-energy electrons are injected in the synchrotron/IC emitting bubble, which escapes with a speed equal to the speed of the stellar wind. The spectrum of the high-energy electrons, shown by the thick solid line in the upper panel of Fig. 8, is formed as a result of cooling of electrons due to the IC, synchrotron, bremsstrahlung and Coulomb energy losses during their escape from the system. The injection of electrons is assumed to happen at a distance \( D_0 = 3 \times 10^{12} \text{ cm} \). The injection spectrum of the electrons, shown by the dashed line in the upper panel of the figure is assumed to be a power law with spectral index \( \Gamma_{\text{ini}} = 2.2 \) (Kirk et al. 2000). The magnetic field is assumed to be equal to \( B_0 = 0.1 \text{ G} \) at the initial injection distance. In the calculations presented in Fig. 8, we have used the angle-averaged IC cross-section to calculate the IC energy loss and emission spectrum. The account of the anisotropy of the IC emission is important for the accurate calculations of the source light curve (see Chernyakova & Illarionov 1999; Khangulyan, Aharonian & Bosch-Ramon 2008), but requires a detailed three-dimensional model and is not addressed here for simplicity. The cooled spectrum of electrons is shown on the top panel of Fig. 8 with a solid line. Coulomb losses affect the electron spectrum at the lower end, leading to the formation of the first bump. The second bump arises due to the decrease of the cross-section after the transition from Thomson to the Klein–Nishina regime, which results in spectral hardening above \( 10^{11} \text{ eV} \), and softening above \( 10^{12} \text{ eV} \) because of the dominance of the synchrotron losses at those energies.

An alternative model, in which the observed X-rays are produced via the synchrotron mechanism is illustrated in Fig. 9. For the calculation, we have used the same numerical code as for the calculation shown in Fig. 8. The main differences between the two cases are different injection spectra and the assumed initial values of the magnetic field. The magnetic field at the initial distance \( D = 3 \times 10^{12} \text{ cm} \) is assumed to be \( B_0 = 1 \text{ G} \). The electron injection spectrum, shown by the dashed line in the upper panel of Fig. 9 is assumed to be sharply peaked at energies above \( 10^{15} \text{ eV} \). If the typical initial electron injection energies are above 10 TeV, the shape of the cooled electron spectrum at the energies below 10 TeV is completely determined by the cooling effects and is almost independent of the details of the initial electron injection spectrum.

The low-energy cut-off in the cooled electron spectrum at \( E \sim 10^9 \text{ eV} \) arises because at energies below \( 10^8 \text{ eV} \) the radiative cooling time of electrons becomes longer than the escape time.
variability time-scales are set up by the rate of the Coulomb and/or IC and/or adiabatic energy losses of $\sim 10$ MeV electrons, which are normally much longer than $\sim 1$ ks. The detection of the variability in the X11 observation of XMM–Newton at the time-scale of $\sim 3$ ks thus provides an argument in favour of the synchrotron model of the X-ray emission. However, fast variability in the X11 observation is detected at a $3 \sigma$ level with the help of the structure function analysis. Further observations are necessary to firmly establish the presence/absence of the fast variability of the X-ray emission.

To summarize, we find that the X-ray data alone do not allow us to distinguish between the synchrotron and IC origin of the X-ray emission from the source. The observation of the hardening of the spectrum below $\Gamma = 1.5$ during the pre-periastron disc passage gives an important clue about the X-ray emission mechanism. However, the origin of the observed spectral hardening can be clarified with the help of the simultaneous TeV observations. If the observed X-rays have an IC origin, then the observed hardening during the drop of the flux is primarily connected to the hardening of electron spectrum below $\sim 10$ MeV (due to the Coulomb losses, or because of the escape of electrons from the emission region), so that no tight correlation between the X-ray spectral evolution and the TeV energy band emission is expected. On the other hand, in the case of synchrotron origin of the observed X-rays, the spectral hardening can be produced if the electron cooling is dominated by the IC energy loss in the Klein–Nishina regime. This implies that the IC flux from the system in the very high energy band at the moment of the spectral hardening should dominate over the X-ray flux. GeV data can give us another possibility to distinguish between the models, as in this region the predicted flux value is very different in IC and synchrotron model. Hopefully, Fermi Gamma-ray telescope observations of the next PSR B1259–63 periastron passage will give us a clue to distinguish between the models.
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Figure 9. Spectral energy distribution of PSR B1259–63 in the model with electron injection at very high energies. Notations are the same as in Fig. 8.

from the system. The spectrum of electrons is hard in the 10 GeV to 1 TeV energy interval, in which the electron energy losses are dominated by IC scattering proceeding in the Klein–Nishina regime. A sharp break in the spectrum at TeV energies is related to the fact that the cooling rate of the multi-TeV electrons is determined by the synchrotron, rather than IC energy losses. The break energy can be determined by equating the synchrotron loss time ($t_s$) to the IC loss time in the Klein–Nishina regime,

$$t_s = \frac{6\pi m_e^2 c^3}{\sigma_T B^2 E_e} \approx 4 \times 10^2 \left[ \frac{1}{B} \right]^2 \left[ \frac{1 \text{ TeV}}{E_e} \right] \text{s}$$

(4)

to the IC loss time in the Klein–Nishina regime,

$$t_{KN} \approx \frac{16 E_e D h}{\sigma_T (m_e c T_s R_s)^2} \ln \frac{0.5 E_e k T_s}{m_e c^2}$$

$$\approx 10^4 \left[ \frac{E_e}{1 \text{ TeV}} \right] \left[ \frac{D}{10^{15} \text{ cm}} \right] \text{s}.$$  

(5)

This gives

$$E_{br} = 0.5 \left[ \frac{B}{1 \text{ G}} \right] \left[ \frac{D}{10^{15} \text{ cm}} \right]^{-1/2} \text{ TeV.}$$

(6)

The synchrotron emission produced by electrons with the energy $E_{br}$ is emitted at the energy

$$\epsilon_s = \frac{e B E_{br}^2}{m_e c^2} \approx 10 \left[ \frac{B}{1 \text{ G}} \right] \left[ \frac{E_e}{0.5 \text{ TeV}} \right]^2 \text{ keV},$$

(7)

which is close to the observed break energy $\epsilon \approx 5$ keV in the Suzaku spectrum.

The two different mechanisms of the X-ray emission (IC or synchrotron) could also be distinguished by the difference in the variability properties of the X-ray signal expected in the two models. In principle, much faster variability is expected in the synchrotron model of X-ray emission. Within this model, the typical variability time-scale is set up by the synchrotron and IC cooling times of the multi-TeV electrons. This time-scale could be as short as $\lesssim 1$ ks, if the magnetic field in the emission region is strong enough. On the other hand, within the IC model of X-ray emission, the characteristic...