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Abstract
Nature contains a tremendous diversity of forms both at the organismal and genomic levels. This diversity motivates the twin central questions of molecular evolution: what are the molecular mechanisms of adaptation, and what are the functional consequences of genomic diversity. We report a 22-species comparative analysis of tropomyosin (PPM) genes, which exist in a variety of forms and are implicated in the emergence of a wealth of cellular functions, including the novel muscle functions integral to the functional diversification of bilateral animals. TPM genes encode either or both of long-form [284 amino acid (aa)] and short-form (approximately 248 aa) proteins. Consistent with a role of TPM diversification in the origins and radiation of bilaterians, we find evidence that the muscle-specific long-form protein arose in proximal bilaterian ancestors (the bilaterian ‘stem’). Duplication of the 5’ end of the gene led to alternative promoters encoding long- and short-form transcripts with distinct functions. This dual-function gene then underwent strikingly parallel evolution in different bilaterian lineages. In each case, recurrent tandem exon duplication and mutually exclusive alternative splicing of the duplicates, with further association between these alternatively spliced exons along the gene, led to long- and short-form-specific exons, allowing for gradual emergence of alternative “internal paralogs” within the same gene. We term these Mutually exclusively Alternatively spliced Tandemly duplicated Exon sets “MATEs”. This emergence of internal paralogs in various bilaterians has employed every single TPM exon in at least one lineage and reaches striking levels of divergence with up to 77% of long- and short-form transcripts being transcribed from different genomic regions. Interestingly, in some lineages, these internal alternatively spliced paralogs have subsequently been “externalized” by full gene duplication and reciprocal retention/loss of the two transcript isoforms, a particularly clear case of evolution by subfunctionalization. This parallel evolution of TPM genes in diverse metazoans attests to common selective forces driving divergence of different gene transcripts and represents a striking case of emergence of evolutionary novelty by alternative splicing.
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Introduction
The genetic mechanisms of evolutionary adaptation constitute arguably the largest question in molecular evolution. Under most conditions, a gene must continue to encode the ancestral function, imposing constraints on the emergence of new functions by simple changes in the gene sequence. Much of the debate about the emergence of new functions has focused on two possibilities: changes in gene expression patterns across environmental conditions, developmental stages, tissues, or subcellular locations; or emergence of new genomic products, primarily by genomic duplication and/or alternative splicing (AS). These last two mechanisms are to some extent interchangeable in evolution and there is a clear inverse correlation between the occurrence of the two phenomena (Kopelman et al. 2005; Irimia, Rukov et al. 2008). In this regard, alternatively spliced forms can be considered “internal paralogs” (Modrek and Lee 2003), in analogy to true paralogs (gene duplicates), because alternative forms can also diverge while maintaining the ancestral gene function.

Tropomyosin (TPM) genes provide a striking case study of the intersection of these processes. TPM genes are present in all characterized fungi and animals (Vrhovski et al. 2008) and have been extensively studied at the functional level (Gunning et al. 2005; Gooding and Smith 2008). Tropomyosin (Tm) proteins bind actin filaments and play important roles in the different functions and specializations of the actin cytoskeleton (Gunning et al. 2005), the formation of sarcomeres in striated muscles in bilaterians perhaps being the most prominent example.

Tm proteins in mammals and studied model invertebrates can be divided into two classes, the so-called long [approximately 284 amino acid (aa)] and short (approximately 248 aa) forms. Cytoskeletal Tms are found in the cytoskeleton of all cell types examined and are usually ‘short’ (although they can also be ‘long’), whereas the Tms found in the contractile apparatus of striated and smooth muscle are only of the long form (Gunning et al. 2008). A TPM gene may encode either one or both forms (fig. 1a provides a general scheme). Genes often
encode both long and short forms, deriving from different promoters with associated 5′ exons: the genomic region encoding the 5′ end of the long form (including a promoter plus two long-form–specific exons) lies upstream of the region encoding the 5′ end of the short form (promoter plus one short-form–specific exon). These alternative 5′ regions are then typically spliced to downstream regions (beginning with the exon traditionally called exon 3; fig. 1a).

Differences between long- and short-form transcripts extend to downstream sequences. For instance, human TPM genes undergo extensive AS and alternative termination of transcription. TPM gene repertoires also differ significantly in number, with four copies in mammals, three of which encode multiple transcripts (Gunning et al. 2005), but only one gene in Caenorhabditis elegans (Vrhovski et al. 2008). The diversity of isoforms resulting from this web of interacting processes is subtly regulated in embryonic development. Gene expression often shows tissue, cellular, or even subcellular specificity (e.g., Karlik and Fyrberg 1986; Lin et al. 1988; Weinberger et al. 1996; Lin and Storti 1997; Schevzov et al. 1997; Hannan et al. 1998; Dalby-Payne et al. 2003; Li and Gao 2003; Vrhovski et al. 2008), and different transcripts within the same species encode a vast array of specialized functions (Gunning et al. 2005).

To probe the evolutionary history of this genetic and functional diversity, we studied gene and transcript structures for 69 TPM genes from 22 sequenced genomes. We find strikingly parallel evolution in a wide variety of bilaterians. In almost all studied bilaterians, TPM genes have evolved by tandem duplication of different exons and mutually exclusive AS of these duplicates (i.e., each transcript contains only one copy of a given duplicated exon). Splicing of each pair (or set) of exons is closely associated both with the AS of other exon pairs/sets and with the alternative promoter usage leading to the long/short distinct gene
products. Thus, many bilaterian TPM genes provide striking cases of internal paralogs: long and short isoforms transcribed from the same gene have up to 77% of homologous protein sequence deriving from different exons. We also report cases in which long- and short-form transcripts have been resolved into separate genes, apparently by gene duplication and loss of one promoter (and associated AS regions) in each of the gene duplicates. We designate such cases of conversion of internal paralogs into actual or external paralogs “externalization.” These cases represent a particularly clear example of “subfunctionalization,” partitioning of multiple ancestral gene functions into gene duplicates.

**Methods**

**Genomic and Expressed Sequence Tag sources**

We used the following genome sequence assemblies and expression data from the following sources: *Monosiga brevicollis* v1.0, *Trichoplax adhaerens* Grell-BS-1999 v1.0, *Nematostella vectensis* v1.0, *Branchiostoma floridae* v1.0, *Ciona intestinalis* v2.0 and v1.0, *Takifugu rubripes* v4.0, *Xenopus tropicalis* v4.1, *Daphnia pulex* v1.0, *H. robusta* v1.0, *Lottia gigantea* v1.0 and *Capitella capitata* v1.0 at DOE Joint Genome Institute (JGI) webpage (http://genome.jgi-psf.org/euk_home.html); and of *Strongylocentrotus purpuratus* Build 2.1, *Apis mellifera* Amel_4.0, *Tribolium castaneum* Build 2.1, *Anopheles gambiae* AgamP3.3, *Drosophila melanogaster* Fb5.3, *Homo sapiens* Build GRCh37, *Mus musculus* Build 37.1 at the NCBI webpage (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/blast/Blast.cgi), and/or Ensembl webpage (http://www.ensembl.org), *Hydra magnipapillata* v1.0 at Metazome webpage (http://hydrazome.metazome.net/cgi-bin/gbrowse/hydra/), *Brugia malayi* BMA1 at TIGR webpage (http://blast.jgi.doe.gov/ER-BLAST/index.cgi?project=Bma1), *C. elegans* WS197 and *Caenorhabditis briggsae* WS197 at WormBase (www.wormbase.org); for *Saccoglossus kowalevskii* we performed a BLASTN search against the traces at NCBI and then manually assembled the genomic locus through walking in silico.

**Search for TPM Genes and Tandemly Duplicated Exons**

We used TBlastN against the genome sequences using different TPM genes as queries and e-values. We inspected several hits to detect fast evolving true TPM gene copies. We also performed BlastP against annotated protein databases because the small length of most tropomyosin exons precluded the identification of divergent members of the family when using only TBlastN.

To identify tandemly duplicated exons, we took two complementary approaches. First, for each species, we aligned each identified exon against the upstream and downstream intronic sequences using ClustalW. As homologous exons always had the same nucleotide lengths, reliability of the splice sites for putative exons could be easily assessed. Then, for each newly identified tandemly duplicated exon, we again aligned the exon sequence against the new upstream and downstream intronic sequence, until we could not identify any putative duplicated exon in the intronic sequence. Second, we searched for expressed sequence tags (ESTs) supporting the expression of the different duplicated exons. In some cases, new exons with lower sequence similarity, and thus not confidently detected by ClustalW alignments were identified. All exons for all studied species are provided in Supplementary figure S1 (Supplementary Material online).

**Phylogenetic Analyses**

To assess the global phylogenetic relationships among the TPM genes, phylogenetic trees were generated using exons common to all TPM genes (exons 3–9). Due to the extensive tandem duplication of these exons, there is a high risk of comparing paralogous exons instead of true orthologous sequences across metazoans. In order to randomize this potential effect, for each species with mutually exclusively alternatively spliced tandemly duplicated exon sets (MATEs, see below) at a given exon locus, we randomly selected which of the duplicated exons were included in the alignment. Two independent randomly selected sets of exons 3–9 were generated and trees were inferred for these two replicates. For this approach, accurate alignment was fundamentally important and therefore, some of the highly divergent nonbilaterian intronless genes with varying lengths were not included in the analysis (*Podocoryne carinensis* TPM2, *H. magnipapillata* TPM and *C. intestinalis* TPM, *N. vectensis* TPM13, 54a, 54b, and 115).

Individual exon trees were also inferred for each of the ancestral 10 TPM exons (1, 2, 3, 4.1, 4.2, 5, 6, 7, 8, and 9). Exons 1A and 1B were analyzed together. In addition to their respective individual trees, exons 4.1 and 4.2 were also analyzed as a joint exon (exon 4) as they are a single exon in most of the species due to specific intron losses in protostomes and some chordates (fig. 1). All internal exons always had the exact same nucleotide length and therefore alignments were ungapped and reliable. In the case of exons 1 and 9, sequences were aligned using ClustalW and manually curated. For simplicity, we only used exons from two nonbilaterian genes, *T. adhaerens* TPMI and *N. vectensis* TPMI. The rest of nonbilaterian genes were very divergent in sequence and length and in many cases intronless and thus could not be aligned to the rest of TPM exons with full confidence.

To study the externalization of short and long TPM isoforms in Lophotrochozoans, three different kinds of trees were constructed. One was inferred using only two exons that are not duplicated (3 and 7) and are therefore constitutive for all the isoforms. Another tree was generated using only the two alternative initial exons, 1A and 1B. The third tree was built for MATE exons (4, 5, 6, 8, and 9). Isoform merges were built according to EST information from the mutually exclusive MATE patterns.

Similarly, to study the externalization of the long isoform in insects, a tree was built using the MATE exons from insect TPMI and *D. pulex* TPM that, in the case of insect TPM2, were constitutive (exons 4, 5, and 7).
All trees in the present study were performed using both Bayesian inference (BI) and maximum likelihood (ML). BI trees were inferred using MrBayes 3.1.2 (Huelsenbeck and Ronquist 2001; Ronquist and Huelsenbeck 2003), with the model recommended by ProtTest 1.4 (Drummond and Strimmer 2001; Guindon and Gascuel 2003; Abascal et al. 2005) under the Akaike information and the Bayesian information criteria. Two independent runs were performed, each with four chains. For convention, convergence was reached when the value for the standard deviation of split frequencies stayed below 0.01. Burn-in was determined by plotting parameters across all runs for a given analysis: All trees prior to stationarity and convergence were discarded, and consensus trees were calculated for the remaining trees (from at least 1,000,000 generations).

ML analyses were performed using RAxML version 7.0.3 (Stamatakis 2006) with the model recommended by ProtTest, 10,000 bootstrap replicates and the rapid Bootstrap-Approximation algorithm. Alignments in Nexus format are provided in supplementary figure S2 (Supplementary Material online).

Syntenic analysis for TPM4 in rodents was done using Ensembl genome browsers and Genomicus v55.1 (http://www.dyogen.ens.fr/genomicus/cgi-bin/search.pl).

Results

Extensive Alternative Splicing of TPM is Common and Restricted to Bilaterians

We studied TPM genes and transcripts across 22 species, including 18 bilaterians, 3 nonbilaterian metazoans, and 1 choanoflagellate (fig. 1b). There were two sharp distinctions between bilaterian and nonbilaterian species. First, all 18 surveyed bilaterian genomes encode both the short and long forms of the TPM genes, whereas all nonbilaterian genomes encode only the short form, consistent with the long form having arisen in proximal ancestors of bilaterians. Second, nearly all studied bilaterian genomes have duplicated to form a MATE at least in one species during evolution (fig. 1 and supplementary table S1, Supplementary Material online). We refer to such Mutually exclusively Alternatively spliced Tandemly duplicated Exon sets as MATEs.

The ubiquity and diversity of these MATEs within bilaterians was striking. First, each of the ancestral TPM exons has duplicated to form a MATE at least in one species during evolution (fig. 1 and supplementary table S1, Supplementary Material online). Second, some of the MATEs are species or clade specific (e.g., amphioxus exons 4.1 and 8), whereas others are much more ancient and shared by higher taxonomic groups (e.g., amphioxus exon 5, and 7 in arthropods) (supplementary fig. S3, Supplementary Material online). Among 70 MATEs comprising 160 exons in 16 species, most consisted of 2 (78.6%) or 3 exons (17.1%), with 4 (2.9%) or more exons (1.4%) being rare.

Internal Paralogy of Bilaterian TPM Genes: Association of Alternative Regions

We also found that AS patterns of MATEs are highly non-independent, with clear associations between splicing of exons from different MATEs (fig. 2a). For instance, D. melanogaster has two-exon MATEs at exons 4 (exons 4a and 4b) and 5 (exons 5a and 5b). Of the four possible combinations (4a/5a, 4a/5b, 4b/5a, and 4b/5b), two combinations (4a/5b and 4b/5a) account for all 79 available EST sequences, whereas the others (4a/5a and 4b/5b) are not observed. This extends to the two copies of exon 7 as well: exon 7a is associated with 4b/5a, whereas exon 7b is associated with 4a/5b (fig. 2b). In total, there were eight pairs of internal two-exon MATEs with significant EST coverage, from five
species; all eight exhibited complete or nearly complete association (supplementary table S3, Supplementary Material online). In addition, there were eight pairs of internal MATEs in which one MATE contained more than two exons. In these cases, each exon within the more than two-exon MATE associates with one exon within the other MATE (table S3). The example of *L. gigantea* is shown in figure 2c.

AS of MATEs is also closely associated with alternative promoter usage (i.e., long-/short-form transcripts). As mentioned above, long- and short-form transcripts from the same gene are transcribed from different promoters: long forms are transcribed from a promoter upstream of the gene, whereas short forms are transcribed from a proximal promoter located downstream of the long-form–specific exon 2 (fig. 1a). In addition, long-form transcripts include a different first exon as well as an additional exon (exon 2 in fig. 1). We found a global association between alternative long/short promoter usage and AS of downstream MATEs (fig. 2 and supplementary table S3, Supplementary Material online). In all 13 cases in 11 species with significant EST coverage, there was a significant association between exons in the first internal MATE and specific promoters. The strength of this association varied among taxa. Most cases in protostomes showed a complete (100%) association, whereas in the case of the *TPM1* in mouse, this association was more quantitative (80%, \( P = 0.0026 \); supplementary table S3, Supplementary Material online). Moreover, in all (5/5) independent cases the long-form (upstream) promoter was associated with the most downstream exon in the first MATE, whereas the short-form promoter (proximal) was associated with the upstream first exon. For instance, the *C. elegans* gene has its first MATE at exon 3: among 60 ESTs, the upstream exon copy (exon 3a) is always short-form specific (always spliced to exon 1b; 49 ESTs), and the downstream copy (exon 3b) is always long-form specific (always spliced to exon 2; 11 ESTs).

Thus, association of alternative promoter usage and AS of MATEs and of AS of different MATEs leads to different transcripts from the same genomic locus being largely encoded by different regions within the locus. In some species, the extent of this “internal paralogy” is truly striking. For instance, in *D. melanogaster*, 67% of homologous regions between short- and long-form transcripts are transcribed from different genomic regions (compared with 100% in the case of true paralogs); in the most extreme case, in the species *L. gigantea*, this percentage rises up to 77%, and the sequences of the proteins encoded by the two main transcript types are 50% different (fig. 2).

### Alternative Splicing of Terminal Exons

The terminal protein-encoding exons of *TPM* genes show a similar but slightly more complex scenario. Terminal
Gene Duplication in Bilaterians

The above suggests that significant selective pressure has driven the production of increasingly divergent TPM transcripts. As shown by a wealth of previous studies, such transcript diversity is often generated by whole gene duplication and sequence divergence. Accordingly, we also found extensive TPM gene duplication across metazoans (supplementary table S1, Supplementary Material online). Whereas some species harbored a single gene, others had multiple copies, ranging from two in insects and sea urchin to eight in the leech Helobdella robusta and seven in the ascidian Ciona intestinalis (including a partial duplicate and an atypical shorter TPM gene).

There was a clear inverse association between AS and gene duplication across species. In all bilaterians with a single TPM gene, this gene was highly alternatively spliced and encoded both long and short forms by alternative promoters. Conversely, in the most extreme cases of gene duplication, the ascidian Ciona intestinalis and the leech Helobdella robusta, each gene contained a single promotor, encoded either long- or short-form transcripts, and did not contain MATEs. The rest of the bilaterian species were intermediate between these extremes, typically containing a single highly alternatively spliced gene with both promoters, as well as additional gene copies with no promotor/splicing variation (supplementary table S1, Supplementary Material online). The only clear exception to this pattern was in vertebrates, which harbor several copies of alternatively spliced genes apparently dating to whole genome duplications in vertebrate ancestors (Putnam et al. 2008).

Subfunctionalization of Long- and Short-TPM Forms After Gene Duplication

We next examined the relationship between gene duplicates in species with multiple gene copies. In a simple case of gene duplication, a single gene duplicates and the duplicates then diverge, thus the gene duplicates are more
closely related (and thus similar) to each other than either is to the single unduplicated copy in a distantly related species (fig. 4b, top box). In the case of TPM, the extensive divergence between different transcripts of the same gene greatly complicates the matter. In particular, one possible outcome of a duplication of a dual long-/short-encoding gene could be partitioning of the two forms into the two duplicates: one copy would encode the long form, but lose the short-form sequences, whereas the other would keep the short-form sequences and lose the long-form-specific exons. The phylogenetic signal of such a case would be that each gene duplicate would consistently more closely resemble the alternative sequence of one of the two transcripts from the ancestral dual-encoding gene, both for the first exon and for exons from MATEs (fig. 4b, bottom right panels). In particular, duplicates encoding only long-/short-form transcripts would more closely resemble the corresponding transcript from dual-encoding genes. On the other hand, for gene regions that are shared between the two transcripts in dual-encoding genes (i.e., constitutively spliced exons), the gene duplicates would be most closely related to each other (fig. 4b, bottom left panel).

The leech Hellobdella robusta represents a clear case of complete subfunctionalization of the single AS ancestral TPM gene. Related lophotrochozoan species (Lottia and Capitella) have a single gene encoding both long and short forms with several MATEs, whereas Hellobdella robusta contains separate genes encoding the two forms (six long-form genes and two short-form genes). We
performed phylogenetic analyses of the various lophotrochozoan genes. We found distinctly different patterns for regions that are and are not shared between the two transcript forms in ‘typical’ (dual transcript encoding) lophotrochozoan genes (from L. gigantea and Capitella capitata). For regions in which both long and short forms are transcribed from the same genomic locus for typical genes (constitutive exons 3 and 7), there is no clear phylogenetic signal (fig. 4c, left). The case was clearly different for regions in which the homologous long- and short-form transcripts in typical lophotrochozoan genes are transcribed from different genomic regions (MATE exons 4, 5, 6, and 9). For these regions, short-form Helobdella robusta genes grouped with other lophotrochozoan short-form transcripts, whereas long-form Helobdella robusta genes grouped with long-form transcripts (fig. 4c, middle). The same pattern was found for exons 1a/1b (fig. 4c, right).

This pattern is exactly as expected from gene duplication and reciprocal retention of short and long forms in the two resulting duplicates but is not expected from loss of AS and divergence of transcript forms following gene duplication (fig. 4b, top). When extending the phylogenetic analysis to the orthologous alternative regions of other protostomes (restricted to MATE exons 4 and 9), we obtain the same pattern, consistent with these alternative exons having been present at the origin of protostomes (supplementary fig. S4, Supplementary Material online). Thus, the internal paralogs encoded in the single ancestral lophotrochozoan gene by a pattern of MATEs associated with alternative promoters and persisting for at least half a billion years has become “externalized” to multiple single transcript–encoding genes in Helobdella robusta.

The case in the tunicate Ciona intestinalis appears to be similar, with five canonical TPM genes, three coding only for long-form proteins and two for short ones. However, in this case, the lack of unambiguously conserved MATEs in the related species (amphioxus and vertebrates) does not allow for a similar phylogenetic analysis as described for Helobdella robusta.

In other species, including vertebrates or insects, some gene duplicates contain either the short or long form, although both isoforms are also maintained in additional alternatively spliced copies (supplementary table S1, Supplementary Material online). For example, in insects, TPM2 shows a clear case of specific retention of the long muscle-specific isoform. TPM2 is expressed only from the long-form promoter, and phylogenetic analysis of MATE exons 4, 5, and 7 from arthropods shows that single exons present in TPM2 group specifically with the corresponding long-form–specific exons of the AS paralog TPM1 (Supplementary fig. S5, Supplementary Material online). Consistent with its genome structure, TPM2 is known to form heterodimers with the long forms of TPM1 to build the contractile units in striated muscle (Molloy et al. 1993; Mateos et al. 2006).

Finally, we also found an apparent case of very recent or ongoing subfunctionalization in the TPM4 gene of rodents. TPM4 in rodents including mouse, rat, and guinea pig, are only expressed from a short form–specific promoter, in contrast to the AS found in all other related mammalian groups. In mouse and rat, sequence searches for exons 1a and 2 give unquestionable hits upstream of exon 1b, but with single indels in either exon 1a (in mouse) or exon 2 (in rat), which would introduce a frameshift in the long form (supplementary fig. S6, Supplementary Material online). This suggests that the first step in subfunctionalization was the loss of expression from the long–form–specific promoter, followed by ongoing pseudogenization of the long–form–specific exons. In the case of guinea pig, only exon 2 is found in the genomic sequence near the TPM4 (also containing several indels), whereas exon 1a has likely been lost or translocated, as suggested by the lack of conserved synteny for the upstream region.

**TPM Genes in Nonbilaterians and the Origin of the Muscular/Long Form**

In stark contrast to the complex transcriptional outputs of TPM genes in bilaterians, all surveyed nonbilaterians TPMs encoded only the short-form Tm protein. Gene number across nonbilaterians was highly variable: We found many gene duplicates in some non-bilaterian animals, particularly in cnidarians. Nematostella vectensis and H. vulgaris show six and five TPM genes, respectively, and Podocoryne carinensis was reported to have at least two gene copies with different known functions (Gröger et al. 1999); the placozoan T. adhaerens has two TPM genes. In general, there are two broad types of TPM genes in nonbilaterians. Some TPM genes are similar to the canonical TPM genes (i.e., having the same protein length as most bilaterian short forms, 248 aa), and typically have an intron–exon structure largely conserved with bilaterian genes (supplementary fig. S7, Supplementary Material online, green branches). Other genes have a variety of protein lengths (217–281 aa), typically lack introns (supplementary table S1, Supplementary Material online) and show lower sequence similarity to bilaterian TPMs (supplementary fig. S7, Supplementary Material online, red branches).

The clearest difference between bilaterian and nonbilaterian TPM repertoires is the lack of long forms in nonbilaterian genomes, suggesting that the long form is a bilaterian innovation. We sought to determine how this new gene structure arose. The first exon in the long-form transcript (exon 1a) shows a clear similarity to the first exon of the short form (1b), especially in slow-evolving species (supplementary fig. S8, Supplementary Material online). In addition, we found that the second exon of the long-form transcript also shows significant sequence similarity, and similar length (126 vs. 134 nucleotides), to the second exon of the short form (exon 3; fig. 5a and b). For instance, a Blast search of different bilaterian exon 2 against the Nematostella genome consistently retrieved the Nematostella equivalent of exon 3 (supplementary fig. S8, Supplementary Material online). Thus, the structure of AS bilaterian genes is much as expected if the single ancestral promoter and the first two exons were duplicated in tandem in early bilaterian evolution, leading to the emergence of a new transcript including the
upstream copy of the duplicated two exons, the down-
stream copy of the second exon, and the remainder of
the gene (fig. 5b). This scenario is consistent with previous
models for the structural evolution of the TPM gene struc-
ture (Wieczorek et al. 1988).

However, there is one complication to this scenario. Al-
though exons 2 and 3 show clear sequence similarity, they
are not only of different lengths (134 and 126) but they
have a different relationship to coding frame. Both exons
begin with a full codon (i.e., the upstream exon falls be-
tween the last codon of exon 1a (1b) and the first of exon
2 (3)). However, although exon 2 also ends with a full co-
don, exon 3 ends with two bases out of a codon, with the
first base of exon 4 completing that codon (i.e., the intron
between exons 3 and 4 is in phase 2). Alignment of the two
exons shows that this difference represents a truncation in
exon 2, with 11 base pairs from exon 3 falling beyond
the end of the alignment with exon 3 (fig. 5a and b).

This scenario raises two questions: What was the func-
tional significance of this change, and how did the change

---

**Fig. 5.** Model for the origin of the long TPM form in bilaterians. (A) Alignment of translated sequences for exons 2 and 3 from different bilaterian species and exon 2/3 from the nonbilaterian N. vectensis. Grey boxes indicate residues that are shared between the ancestral exon/exon 3 and the upstream exon 2. (B) Nucleotide sequence of the human TPM3 exons 2 and 3 and adjoining intronic sequences. A single nucleotide change at the exon 2 could have created the new splice site boundary. (C) Proposed two-step scenario for the origin of the long TPM isoform by tandem exon duplication of exon 1B and 3 (top) and frameshifting sliding in the newly formed exon 2 (bottom). Nve, Nematostella vectensis; Hsa3, Homo sapiens TPM3; Br, B. floridae; Lgi, Lottia gigantea.
The high degree of conservation of intron positions across species is unexpected. For most genes, species such as flies or nematodes have experienced large amounts of intron loss and gain since their split from the common ancestors, typically leading to only small minorities of intron positions being conserved with vertebrates (Rogozin et al. 2003). The reason for the high conservation in exon–intron structure for the TPM genes could be the widespread AS: For a given MATE, none of the intervening introns can be lost without affecting the AS pattern, which would likely be strongly disfavored. Consistent with this idea, in species with genes displaying no MATEs, a significant number of introns have been lost (supplementary table S1, Supplementary Material online). In other words, the ubiquity of MATEs in most bilaterians would seem to imply that intron–exon structure is highly constrained.

Discussion

The Evolutionary History of TPM

Our results support a detailed picture of the evolutionary history of TPM genes. Beginning from a single-transcript TPM gene that encoded the cytoplasmic form of Tm proteins, as found in all studied nonbilaterians, tandem genomic duplication of the promoter and first two exons of the ancestral gene in a bilaterian ancestor led to alternative transcripts derived from different promoters—the universal ancestral short form and the bilaterian-specific long form, using the upstream copy of the promoter and exon 1, both copies of duplicated exon 2/3, and all downstream exons (fig. 5). Then, whereas the short form maintained the ancestral function of the cytoplasmic Tm proteins and widespread expression, the new long isoform evolved a new function and expression pattern likely related to the origin of the sarcomeres present in bilaterian striated muscular cells. Due to their different functions and expression patterns, these two transcripts experienced different selective pressures; however, because the majority of the two transcripts were initially transcribed from the same genomic sequence, the transcripts could only differentiate at the (duplicated) 5′ end of the gene. Throughout the history of bilaterians, tandem duplication of individual exons and mutually exclusive AS associated with the two promoters then allowed the transcripts to diverge according to differential selective forces, leading to repeated parallel evolution of internal paralogy between the two transcripts. Finally, in some lineages, the transcripts achieved more complete independence, with the entire gene undergoing gene duplication followed by loss of one form from each gene, leading to long- and short-form transcripts encoded by different gene copies. This is a particularly clear case of subfunctionalization, whereby multiple functions of an ancestral gene are partitioned between gene duplicates.

Origin of a New TPM Gene Function and the Evolution of Organismal Complexity

The origin of the long-form Tm protein in bilaterians may be at least in part related to the evolution of a new cellular...
structure, the sarcomere, which constitutes the basis of striated muscles in bilaterians. Despite the fact that both long- and short-Tm proteins bind actin, they have radically different properties (Gunning et al. 2005). Muscle cells primarily express long muscle-specific Tms that form a highly specialized complex with several other proteins to build the core of the contractile apparatus of muscle fibers. The cytoplasmic forms usually have a complementary expression pattern (e.g., Stark et al. 2005), although small amounts of cytoplasmic forms in skeletal muscle form a cytoskeleton independent of the contractile apparatus in muscle (Gunning et al. 2008). High-level expression of a cytoplasmic Tm protein not normally expressed in skeletal muscle, however, has been shown to compromise the structural integrity of skeletal muscle (Kee et al. 2009).

Some nonbilaterian animals also have striated-like muscles, but these are built on different proteins and have different ultrastructure. For example, in the medusa Podo- coryne one of the two isolated TPM genes is specifically expressed in muscle, whereas the other is not expressed in this tissue type, despite the fact that both are short-form TPMs (Gröger et al. 1999). Therefore, one short form TPM was specifically and independently recruited for muscular function (yet without sarcomeres).

The evolution of this novel isoform occurred by tandem exon duplication increasing the length of the TPM, resulting in a protein with seven binding sites to actin instead of six (McLachlan and Stewart 1976; Phillips 1986). Interestingly, metazoan short forms are already longer than homologs in fungi, which are usually 161–199 and have four to five binding sites to actin (Maytum et al. 2008), suggesting that a similar evolutionary process may have account for the origin of the cytoskeletal Tm proteins form itself before the origin of metazoa (Wieczorek, Smith, and Nadal-Ginard 1988).

Remarkably Parallel Evolution in Bilaterian TPM Genes

Deeply converged bilaterian lineages evolved strikingly similar yet apparently independent patterns for TPM diversification to resolve the functional tension imposed by the long/short functional duality. Throughout the metazoan tree, we repeatedly found evolution of species- and lineage-specific MATEs along the whole TPM gene structure; in total, every TPM exon was duplicated at least in one lineage. In the most parsimonious scenario, 13 independent origins of MATEs would be needed to account for the patterns observed in the studied species. Moreover, new exons were added to preexisting MATEs in a convergent manner in several lineages, requiring up to 13 extra tandem exon duplications of already duplicated exons. Gain of alternative polyadenylation sites, resulting in the mutually exclusive inclusion of different last exons, also occurred in diverse lineages such as vertebrates and arthropods.

Finally, we found extensive whole gene duplication in all major metazoan groups, in many cases leading to convergent subfunctionalization through loss of ancestral exons and splicing patterns, and resulting in the complete externalization of the internal paralogy in lineages as divergent as tunicates and leeches.

Extensive convergent evolution of (tandem) gene duplication across metazoa has been previously reported for several gene families (e.g., D’Aniello et al. 2008; Irimia, Maeso, et al. 2008; Negre and Simpson 2009); however, we are not aware of a similarly extensive case of parallel exon duplication and AS throughout the full gene and full protein length, being perhaps only comparable with the tandem exon duplications of three Dscam exons in Ecdysozoans (Brites et al. 2008).

Alternative Splicing and Gene Duplication

The evolutionary emergence of novel protein functions is presumably greatly constrained by the need to retain old functions. Forty years ago, Ohno (1970) pointed out that gene duplication could resolve this tension: After duplication, one gene copy would continue to produce the original product while the other could evolve new functions. The discovery of AS offered another path for protein innovation: the single gene produces the initial product, but new splicing isoforms could evolve new functions by mutations within isofrom-specific regions (Modrek and Lee 2003).

These two paths to genetic novelty seem to have different levels of importance in different lineages (Rukov et al. 2007; Irimia, Rukov et al. 2008; Irimia et al. 2009). More surprisingly, previous evidence suggests that different gene families within a given lineage may have different propensities to evolve via these two pathways, and negative correspondences between gene duplication and AS across gene families have been reported in nematodes and mammals (Kopelman et al. 2005; Hughes and Friedman 2008; Irimia, Rukov et al. 2008).

The TPM gene exemplifies the relation between AS and gene duplication. Both mechanisms seem to be used in different lineages to achieve superficially similar outputs, that is, the optimization and specific divergence of the short- and long-Tm proteins. The origin of the muscle-specific form in bilaterians likely imposed a need for the single TPM gene to optimize the new function as well as constrained the evolution of the short form because both proteins initially shared most of the sequence. Our results suggest that this tension was resolved in most lineages by the evolution of internal exon duplications that are mutually exclusively spliced and subsequently associated with specific promoters. In this way, each specific MATE exon could evolve freely, allowing the optimization of both the specific long- and short-form functions independently. The relation between the origin of MATEs and the existence of alternative promoters is attested to by their close association in modern bilaterian genomes: all genes with both promoters have MATEs and nearly no genes with a single promoter have MATEs, with the exception of a few vertebrate genes.
The initial redundancy produced by AS thus facilitated the evolution of a new gene function (performed by the long form) while keeping the old one (that of the short form). As mentioned above, this was achieved in some lineages by whole gene duplication and subfunctionalization, as it seems to be the extreme case in Hellobdella robusta and Ciona intestinalis in which not a single MATE is found in the full duplicative TPM repertoire, exemplifying the inverse correspondence between AS and gene duplication.

We term this process of subfunctionalization of the different isoforms into independent genes externalization of the internal paralogy. Interestingly, it may mechanistically resemble the duplication-degeneration-complementation model for the evolution of paralogs after gene duplication (Force et al. 1999). In this case, if one of the gene duplicates loses the ability to generate one of the isoforms and the other duplicate the other, both genes must be conserved to account for the full function of the ancestral gene, as described by Force et al. (1999) for the case of mutations in cis regulatory elements of gene duplicates.

**Distinct Evolution of TPM Gene Structures and Functions in Vertebrates**

Vertebrate TPM genes have been by far the most studied TPMs—nearly all we know about TPM function and regulation comes from the study of the dozens of mammalian Tm protein isoforms. However, the behavior of vertebrate TPMs seems atypical among bilaterians. First, the vertebrate TPM2 encodes only long isoforms but contains both an internal MATE and alternative terminal exons (Table S1). Second, the association between different alternative regions, though generally strong, is less complete than in any other lineages (supplementary table S3, Supplementary Material online). For example, the TPM3 gene encodes 10 isoforms consisting of exon 1a or 1b, exon 6a or 6b, and one of the different copies exon 9. Northern blot analysis shows that they are all expressed in the brain at roughly similar levels, although 6a containing isoforms go down with development while 6b containing isoforms come up (Dufour et al. 1998). A similar situation is reported for TPM1 gene in mammals and TPM2 gene in birds.

These differences between vertebrates and other bilaterians could be related to both genomic and organismal architecture. Vertebrates contain four or more ancient duplicates produced in the rounds of whole genome duplication, and most exhibit at least moderately high levels of AS. In addition, long Tms in vertebrates have been extensively recruited for new cytoskeletal functions, a type of innovation generally associated with short-form Tms in other studied species (Stark et al. 2005). Thus, in vertebrates, there may be more functional divergence between genes (i.e., apart from the long/short distinction), as supported by knockout experiments showing little redundancy among different TPM genes, but significant overlapping between some products of the same genes (Blanchard et al. 1997; Rethinasamy et al. 1998; Robbins 1998; Hook et al. 2004).

**Functional Implications of Tm proteins Diversification**

The drive to create isoform diversity in the TPM gene family is remarkably consistent and has utilized both gene duplication and AS extensively. Different Tms differ in their ability to interact with myosin motors (Fanning et al. 1994; Bryce et al. 2003), actin severing proteins (Ishikawa et al. 1989; Bryce et al. 2003), capping proteins (Watakabe et al. 1996; Kostyukova and Hitchcock-DeGregori 2004) and cross-linking proteins. There is increasing evidence that the entire actin/tropomyosin filament is the unit of function (Holmes and Lehman 2008) and that the binding of a single type of Tm to a filament provides both fidelity of function and functional characteristics to that filament (Gunning et al. 2005, 2008). Therefore, the functional consequences of Tm proteins diversification are mainly 2-fold. First, spatial segregation of isoforms has provided a mechanism to independently regulate different actin filament populations; second, the Tm proteins isoforms can directly regulate the functional properties of the actin filament.

Single exon changes are sufficient to account for altered spatial segregation (Percival et al. 2004; Schevzov et al. 2005) and functional characteristics such as ability to restore stress fibers to transformed cells (Gimona et al. 1996). This means that a single change in the primary exon sequence of a Tm proteins isoform could be manifested as a change in the functional characteristics of a specific actin filament, and thus the change in the use of an exon will be directly manifested as the generation of a new type of actin filament with novel functional characteristics. This suggests that a single MATE could greatly alleviate the functional tensions produced by the long/short duality.

Interestingly, the presence of extensive convergent evolution of AS at different exons seems to indicate that AS has been of central importance in the evolution of these genes but that the specific exon(s) subject to AS matters considerably less. This suggests that a specific change in one region of a protein can impact the function across the whole protein. Because the Tm proteins polymer is a repeating structure and the whole actin/tropomyosin filament seems to be the unit of function (Holmes and Lehman 2008), any exon change could produce a repeated alteration along the entire length of the filament, giving an explanation for the remarkable convergent evolution of AS at different exons.

**Concluding Remarks**

Whether morphological innovation arises mainly through the recruitment of nearly unchanged proteins and functional gene networks or through changes in protein functions is the subject of a hot debate (Wagner and Lynch 2008), and only few examples of protein neofunctionalization were reported in recent literature. Our overall analysis of TPM genes in metazoans illustrates a striking case of formation of new generic products, which then underwent significant changes in expression patterns. Through tandem duplication of two exons and AS of a single gene, two distinct proteins evolved independently, and one of them...
acquired a new function likely associated with a bilaterian-specific innovation, the sarcomere. Evolution of MATEs has allowed the gradual divergence of two functionally distinct gene products encoded in a single gene. Furthermore, across bilaterian evolution, short and long Tm proteins became encoded by distinct genes, after gene duplication and subfunctionalization, a process that we denominate externalization of internal paralogs. Globally, we show that MATEs and gene duplication are two distinct mechanisms for the same purpose: the generation of novel proteins and the acquisition of new, evolutionary relevant functions. On the other hand, these results focus attention on the importance of mutually exclusive splicing of tandemly duplicated exons in the emergence of new functions. The evolutionary forces underlying this mechanism and its uses in the evolution of organismal complexity are priorities in understanding gene evolution.

Supplementary Material
Supplementary figures S1–S8 and tables S1–S3 are available at Molecular Biology and Evolution online (http://www.mbe.oxfordjournals.org/).
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